## **Supplementary Data**

## **The Three-Dimensional Structural Basis of Type II Hyperprolinemia**

Dhiraj Srivastava<sup>1</sup>, Ranjan K. Singh<sup>1</sup>, Michael A. Moxley<sup>2</sup>, Michael T. Henzl<sup>3</sup>, Donald F. Becker<sup>2</sup>, and John J. Tanner<sup>1,3,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Chemistry, University of Missouri-Columbia, Columbia, MO 65211, USA <sup>2</sup>Department of Biochemistry, University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Lincoln, NE 68588, USA <sup>3</sup>Department of Biochemistry, University of Missouri-Columbia, Columbia, MO 65211, USA

\* Corresponding author: Department of Chemistry, University of Missouri-Columbia, Columbia, MO 65211, USA; email: tannerjj@missouri.edu; phone: 573-884-1280; fax: 573-882-2754

## **Table of Contents**



<b>THOIC</b> ST. KINGHO CONSUMING TOT THAT SUBJIT GOVERNMENT STOOM TRUMS			
Parameter	Best fit value	Lower Bound	<b>Upper Bound</b>
$k_1(k_{cat}/K_m, NAD)$	$98.7 \text{ mM}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$	74.7 $mM^{-1}s^{-1}$	$144 \text{ mM}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$
$k_{-1}$	$0.473 s^{-1}$	$0.294 s^{-1}$	$0.813 s^{-1}$
$k_2(k_{cat}/K_m, P5C)$	$316 \text{ mM}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$	$253 \text{ mM}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$	$406$ mM <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>
$k_2^{\text{a}}$	$\leq 300 s^{-1}$		
$k_3^{\ b}$	$\geq 500 s^{-1}$		
$k_3^{\circ}$ $k_4^{\circ}$	$\geq 500 s^{-1}$		
$k_4^{\ d}$			
$k_5(k_{\rm cat})$	$10 s^{-1}$	$9.1 s^{-1}$	$11 s^{-1}$
$k_5$ <sup>e</sup> $k_6$ <sup>f</sup>			
	$81.7 \text{ mM}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$		
$k_{-6}$	$9.2 s^{-1}$	5.1	14.8
$K_{I} = k_{-6}/k_{6}$	$112 \mu M$	$49^{\circ}$	181
${}^a k_2$ has little effect on the initial velocity progress curves and was held fixed			

**Table S1.** Kinetic constants for HsP5CDH determined from global fitting

to a value of 22 s<sup>-1</sup> but could be  $\leq 300 \text{ s}^{-1}$ .

*k*3 and *k*4 have little effect on the initial velocity progress curves beyond 500  $s<sup>-1</sup>$  and were fixed at 3290 s<sup>-1</sup> and 569s<sup>-1</sup> so that these steps were non rate limiting according to the Theorell-Chance mechanism.<sup>1</sup>

 $c_{k_3}$  was fixed to zero based on the previous observation of no observable turnover in the reverse direction.

 $d_{k-4}$  was fixed to zero according to the Theorell-Chance mechanism. <sup>e</sup> $k$ <sub>-5</sub> was fixed to zero based on the observation of a  $K_d \ge 1$  mM (data not shown). Once  $k_5$  is 10-fold below  $k_5$  it has no effect on the progress curves.  ${}^{\text{f}}k_6$  was held fixed to obtain an error on the  $K_I$ ; this step is at equilibrium and thus the fixed rate constant shown here is only the best fit value and should not be considered as a constrained estimate of this rate constant.

<sup>g</sup>The percent error from the bounds of  $k_{-6}$  was used to estimate a rigorous boundary for the  $K_I$ .



**Figure S1.** Superposition of HsP5CDH (white) and MmP5CDH (red).



**Figure S2.** Electron density for the active site of the MmP5CDH-sulfate complex (stereographic view). The cage represents a simulated annealing  $\sigma_A$ -weighted  $F_o$  -  $F_c$  omit map contoured at 3.0 σ.



**Figure S3.** Electron density for the catalytic loops of (a) HsP5CDH and (b) S352A. (a) Superposition of HsP5CDH (gray) and MmP5CDH (yellow). The cage represents a simulated annealing σ<sub>A</sub>-weighted  $F_0$  -  $F_c$  omit map for HsP5CDH contoured at 3.0 σ. Note that the two enzymes have almost identical active site conformations. (b) Catalytic loop of S352A shown in the same orientation as in panel a. The cage represents a simulated annealing  $\sigma_A$ -weighted  $F_o$  -*F*<sub>c</sub> omit map contoured at 3.0  $\sigma$ . Note that the conformation of the active site of S352A is nearly identical to those of HsP5CDH and MmP5CDH.



**Figure S4.** Mutation of Ser352 to Leu abolishes catalytic activity. Progress curves for HsP5CDH and S352L. The NAD<sup>+</sup> and P5C concentrations are 350  $\mu$ M and 200  $\mu$ M, respectively. The concentration of S352L is 10-fold higher than that of HsP5CDH.



**Figure S5.** (a) Lineweaver-Burk analysis of initial velocity data for HsP5CDH collected with NAD<sup>+</sup> concentrations in the range 10 - 1500  $\mu$ M in different fixed concentrations of a 50/50 mixture of DL-P5C with L-P5C concentrations as follows: 10 (black), 15 (red), 50 (green), 150 (yellow), 500 µM (blue). Solid lines are the best-fit line to the individual data sets with the corresponding colored data points. (b) Kinetic scheme suggested by previously published data<sup>2</sup> but with an additional step for substrate inhibition as observed in panel A at higher P5C concentrations (150 and 500  $\mu$ M). The original kinetic mechanism established for HsP5CDH was a Theorell-Chance mechanism, which is a limiting case of the scheme shown above where *k*<sup>3</sup> and  $k_4$  are much faster than  $k_5$ .



**Figure S6.** (a) Initial velocity progress curves for HsP5CDH at various NAD<sup>+</sup> concentrations (1-1500 µM) and different fixed L-P5C concentrations followed at 340 nm. Data were globally fitted to the simulated mechanism shown in Supplemental Figure 6B using KinTek Global Kinetic Explorer.<sup>3</sup> The bottom right graph shows a titration of HsP5CDH with NAD<sup>+</sup> monitored by tryptophan fluorescence quenching, which was also included in the global fitting analysis to help constrain  $k_1$  and  $k_1$ . The rate constants for the chemical step  $(k_3)$  and glutamate dissociation step  $(k_4)$  were held fixed at values well above the NADH dissociation step  $(k_5)$  in accordance with the Theorell-Chance mechanism.<sup>1</sup> (b) FitSpace<sup>4</sup> contour plots of the global fitting showing how variation in the fitted parameters affects the  $\chi^2$  value.



**Figure S7.** Superposition of HsP5CDH with bacterial P5CDHs from *Thermus thermophilus*  (red, PDB code 2EIW), *Bacillus licheniformis* (blue, PDB code 3RJL), and *Bacillus halodurans*  (yellow, PDB code 3QAN).



**Figure S8.** A model of OH-GSA bound to MmP5CDH (stereographic view). OH-GSA is shown in pink.  $NAD<sup>+</sup>$  is colored green. The arrows depict the directions of nucleophilic attack by Cys348 and hydride transfer to  $NAD^+$ .

## **Supplementary References**

- 1. Cook, P. F. & Cleland, W. W. (2007). *Enzyme kinetics and mechanism*, Garland Science, New York.
- 2. Forte-McRobbie, C. & Pietruszko, R. (1989). Human glutamic-gamma-semialdehyde dehydrogenase. Kinetic mechanism. *Biochem. J.* **261**, 935-43.
- 3. Johnson, K. A., Simpson, Z. B. & Blom, T. (2009). Global kinetic explorer: a new computer program for dynamic simulation and fitting of kinetic data. *Anal. Biochem.* **387**, 20-9.
- 4. Johnson, K. A., Simpson, Z. B. & Blom, T. (2009). FitSpace explorer: an algorithm to evaluate multidimensional parameter space in fitting kinetic data. *Anal. Biochem.* **387**, 30-41.