# **Supporting Information**

## Samel et al. 10.1073/pnas.1120968109

## **SI Materials and Methods**

**Partial Purification of Cse4.** A histone purification from cells carrying 3xHA-tagged Cse4 (AEY2781) was performed as previously described (1) with the following modifications. The DNA of extracts prepared from 6,000 OD cells was precipitated with 0.4 N HCl. After the ultrafiltration of the supernatant through an Amicon Ultra 100K column, the eluate was concentrated to 2 mL with Amicon Ultra 10K, lyophilized, and fractionated for 94 min by reversed-phase HPLC on an Agilent Zorbax 300SB-C3 column between 35% and 53% acetonitrile (ACN) (vol/vol) in 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid at 1 mL/min. Cse4-containing fractions were identified by dot blot, lyophilized, and used for SDS/PAGE.

Gel Electrophoresis of Cse4 and In-Gel Digestion. Cse4 from histone purifications was separated by SDS/PAGE. The gel was stained with Coomassie Blue using the Colloidal Blue Staining Kit (Sigma). The Cse4 band was excised and digested in-gel with trypsin (Promega) essentially as previously described (2). Briefly, the gel band was washed four times with 50 mM ammonium bicarbonate/50% ethanol (vol/vol) and incubated with 10 mM DTT in 50 mM ammonium bicarbonate for 1 h at 56 °C for protein reduction. An alkylation step was performed by incubating the sample with 55 mM iodoacetamide in 50 mM ammonium bicarbonate for 1 h at 25 °C in the dark. Gel pieces were washed twice with 50 mM ammonium bicarbonate, 50% ACN, dehydrated with 100% ethanol, and dried in a vacuum concentrator. Digestion was performed using 12.5 ng/mL trypsin in 50 mM ammonium bicarbonate for 16 h at 37 °C. The supernatant was transferred to a fresh tube, and the remaining peptides were extracted by incubating the gel pieces twice with 30%ACN in 3% trifluoroacetic acid (TFA), followed by dehydration with 100% ACN. The extracts were combined, reduced in volume in a vacuum concentrator, desalted, and concentrated using RP-C<sub>18</sub> StageTip columns. The eluted peptides were used for subsequent mass spectrometric analysis (3).

MS Analysis (Liquid Chromatography-MS/MS). Peptide mixtures were separated by nano-liquid chromatography (LC)-MS/MS using an Agilent 1100 Series nanoflow LC system (Agilent Technologies), interfaced to a 7-Tesla LTQ-FT-Ultra mass spectrometer (ThermoFisher Scientific). The nanoliter flow LC was operated in one column setup with a 15-cm analytical column (75-µm inner diameter, 350-µm outer diameter) packed with C18 resin (ReproSil, Pur C18AQ 3 µm; Dr. Maisch HPLC GmbH). Solvent A was 0.1% formic acid (FA) and 5% ACN in ddH<sub>2</sub>O, and solvent B was 95% ACN with 0.1% FA. Samples were injected in an aqueous 0.1% TFA solution at a flow rate of 500 nL/min. Peptides were separated with a gradient of 0-40% solvent B over 90 min followed by a gradient of 40-60% for 10 min and 60-80% over 5 min at a flow rate of 250 nL/min. The mass spectrometer was operated in a data-dependent mode to automatically switch between MS and MS/MS acquisition. In the LTQ-FT full scan, MS spectra were acquired in a range of m/z 300–1,350 by Fourier transform ion cyclotron resonance (FTICR) with resolution r =100,000 at m/z 400 with a target value of 2,000,000. The five most intense ions were isolated for fragmentation in the linear ion trap using collision-induced dissociation at a target value of 5,000. Singly charged precursor ions were excluded. In the MS/ MS method a dynamic exclusion of 60 s was applied, and the total cycle time was ~2 s. The nanoelectrospray ion source (Proxeon) was used with a spray voltage of 2.4 kV. No sheath and auxiliary gasses were used, and capillary temperature was set to 180 °C. Collision gas pressure was 1.3 millitorrs, and normalized collision energy using wide-band activation mode was 35%. Ion selection threshold was 250 counts with an activation q = 0.25. The activation time of 30 ms was applied in MS2 acquisitions.

Data Analysis and Sequence Assignment Using MASCOT. The raw data from LTQ-FT Ultra were converted to mgf files using Raw2MSM software (4). MS/MS peak lists were filtered to contain at most six peaks per 100-Da intervals and searched by Mascot Daemon (version 2.2.2; Matrix Science) against a concatenated forward and reversed version of the yeast ORF database (Saccharomyces Genome Database at Stanford University; www.yeastgenome. org) (5, 6). This database was complemented with frequently observed contaminants (porcine trypsin, achromobacter lyticus lysyl endopeptidase, and human keratins) as well as with their reversed sequences. Search parameters were as follows: an initial MS tolerance of 7 ppm, a MS/MS mass tolerance at 0.5 Da, and full trypsin cleavage specificity, allowing for up to two missed cleavages. Carbamidomethylation of cysteine was set as a fixed modification, and variable modifications included mono- and dimethylation on lysine and arginine residues, trimethylation on lysines, oxidation on methionine, and acetylation on the N terminus of proteins. We accepted peptides and proteins with a false discovery rate of less than 1%, estimated according to the number of accepted reverse hits (5). Filtered data were then manually confirmed using the Qual Browser version 2.0.7 (ThermoFisher Scientific). Extracted ion chromatograms were constructed for precursor ions with mass tolerance of 10 ppm and mass precision up to four decimal places. Peak areas for both unmodified and modified peptide species were measured within the same retention time interval. In the case of the LAGDQQSINDR (27-37) peptide from the Cse4 protein, the relative abundance (%) of the modification was estimated from the relative proportion between two peptide species identified (me0 and me1).

**Chromatin Immunoprecipitation.** ChIP and quantitative real-time PCR were performed as previously described (7). Primer sequences are available upon request.

**Chromosome Loss Assay.** Generation of WT and mutant centromerecontaining chromosome fragments was carried out as previously described (8). Briefly, plasmids containing WT and mutant CEN6-CDEI sequence (pAE1769, pAE1768) were linearized with NotI and used to transform *ade2-101* diploid strains (AEY7, AEY5005) to uracil prototrophy to generate a the large, nonessential chromosome fragment carrying *URA3* and *SUP11* fused to the left arm of chromosome III. The transformants were restreaked on full medium to identify pink derivatives. Chromosome loss was monitored by the loss of the *SUP11* gene, which suppresses the *ade2* mutation, and whose absence thus causes a red pigmentation of the cells.

- 1. Waterborg JH (2000) Steady-state levels of histone acetylation in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. J Biol Chem 275:13007–13011.
- Shevchenko A, Tomas H, Havlis J, Olsen JV, Mann M (2006) In-gel digestion for mass spectrometric characterization of proteins and proteomes. *Nat Protoc* 1:2856– 2860.
- Rappsilber J, Mann M, Ishihama Y (2007) Protocol for micro-purification, enrichment, pre-fractionation and storage of peptides for proteomics using StageTips. Nat Protoc 2: 1896–1906.
- Olsen JV, et al. (2005) Parts per million mass accuracy on an Orbitrap mass spectrometer via lock mass injection into a C-trap. Mol Cell Proteomics 4:2010–2021.

- Elias JE, Haas W, Faherty BK, Gygi SP (2005) Comparative evaluation of mass spectrometry platforms used in large-scale proteomics investigations. Nat Methods 2:667–675.
- Käll L, Storey JD, MacCoss MJ, Noble WS (2008) Assigning significance to peptides identified by tandem mass spectrometry using decoy databases. J Proteome Res 7: 29–34.
- Weber JM, Irlbacher H, Ehrenhofer-Murray AE (2008) Control of replication initiation by the Sum1/Rfm1/Hst1 histone deacetylase. BMC Mol Biol 9:100.
- Hegemann JH, Shero JH, Cottarel G, Philippsen P, Hieter P (1988) Mutational analysis of centromere DNA from chromosome VI of Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Mol Cell Biol 8: 2523–2535.



**Fig. S1.** Partial purification of Cse4 and estimation of relative abundance of modified Cse4 species. (*A*) Colloidal Coomassie-stained SDS/PAGE gel of HPLC fractions (1) of partially purified 3xHA-Cse4 were used to excise the Cse4 band (dashed circle). Cse4-containing fractions were identified by Western blotting with  $\alpha$ -HA antibody. (*B*) Zoomed mass spectrum of precursor ions at m/z [608.7994]<sup>2+</sup> and [615.8072]<sup>2+</sup> corresponding to the unmodified (me0) and monomethylated (me1) 27-L.AGDQQSIND.R-37 peptide. (*C*) Extract ion chromatograms relative to both me0 and me1 species were used to estimate the relative abundance of the two species, as reported in the formula (*Right*).



Fig. 52. Specificity of Cse4 R37me1 and R37me2a antibodies. (A) Immuno-dot blot showing the specific reactivity of the Cse4-R37me1 antibody. The indicated amounts of modified and unmodified peptides were spotted on nitrocellulose membrane and probed against  $\alpha$ -Cse4-R37me1 (1:200). (B) Specificity of the Cse4-R37me2a antibody. Immuno-dot blot was performed as in A and probed against  $\alpha$ -Cse4-R37me2a (1:200).



**Fig. S3.** Mutation of serine 40 within the essential N-terminal domain of Cse4 (cse4-S40A) did not cause a synthetic growth defect in  $cbf1\Delta$  or  $ctf19\Delta$  cells. Plasmid-borne cse4-S40A was introduced into cse4 $\Delta$  strains deleted for CBF1 or CTF19, and serial dilutions of the strains were spotted on complete medium and incubated at the indicated temperatures.



Fig. S4. cse4-R37Q showed a maintenance defect for plasmids lacking the centromere DNA element I (CDEI) sequence of CEN6. Error bars give SD of three independent experiments. \*Significant difference, P < 0.01.



**Fig. S5.** *cse4-R37A* caused the loss of chromosome fragments carrying a mutation of CDEI in CEN6. Diploid strains that were either WT for *CSE4* or homozygous for *cse4-R37A* were generated that contained a large chromosomal fragment marked with *URA3* and *SUP11* and carried either CEN6 or CEN6-CDEI $\Delta$  (8). Loss of this artificial chromosome during nonselective growth could be monitored visually by colony color, because *SUP11* suppresses the *ade2-101* allele present in these strains.



Fig. S6. Cse4 was not stabilized at noncentromeric loci. The association of Cse4 at CEN4, PGK1, HMR, and TEL-VI R was measured by ChIP. Analysis and representation are as in Fig. 4A.



**Fig. 57.** The annotated arginine methyltransferases Hmt1, HsI7, and Rmt2 were not responsible for Cse4-R37 methylation. (A) Deletion of genes encoding arginine methyltransferases did not cause a loss of asymmetrical dimethylation of Cse4 R37. Histone extracts were probed with the  $\alpha$ -Cse4-R37me2a antibody. Cse4-3xHA was detected with  $\alpha$ -HA as a loading control. The decrease of Cse4-R37me2a signal in the *hsI7* $\Delta$  strain was due to a lower amount of total Cse4 in the preparation. (B) Deletion of *HMT1*, *RMT2*, and *HSL7* did not cause growth defects and synthetic lethality in the absence of Cbf1. Serial dilutions of segregants from crosses between *cbf1* $\Delta$  (AEY4816) and *hmt1* $\Delta$  (AEY4818), *mt2* $\Delta$  (AEY4820) or *hsI7* $\Delta$  (AEY4822) were spotted on full medium and incubated for five days at the indicated temperatures. The top rows show the parental strains. (C) Simultaneous absence of the annotated arginine methyltransferases did not cause a synthetic growth defect in *cbf1* $\Delta$  serial dilutions of *cbf1* $\Delta$  (AEY4816) and *hmt1* $\Delta$  (AEY4816), *cbf1* $\Delta$  *cse4* $\Delta$  pcse4-R37A (AEY4848) and three *cbf1* $\Delta$  *hmt1* $\Delta$  *hsI7* $\Delta$  strains (AEY4931) were spotted on full medium and incubated for three days at indicated temperatures. (D) Deletion of annotated arginine methyltransferases in *ctf19* $\Delta$  cells revealed no synthetic lethality. Tetrad dissection of genetic crosses between *ctf19* $\Delta$  and the indicated deletions are shown. The four spores from individual asci are aligned in vertical rows and were incubated at 30 °C.

## Table S1. S. cerevisiae strains used in this study

PNAS PNAS

Strain	Genotype	Source*
AEY1	MATα ade2-101 his3-11,15 trp1-1 leu2-3,112 ura3-1 (W303)	J. Rine (University of California, Berkeley, CA)
AEY2	AEY1, but <i>MAT</i> a	J. Rine
AEY3	AEY1, but <i>ADE2 lys2</i> ∆	J. Rine
AEY4	AEY2, but <i>ADE2 lys2</i> ∆	J. Rine
AEY7	MATa/MATα HMRa/HMR-ss∆I, W303 (diploid)	
AEY685	MATα HMRa-e** cin8∆::LEU2 ade2 LYS2	
AEY2781	MATα cse4Δ::KanMX ade2 lys2 + pRS426-3xHA-CSE4	
AEY2797	<i>MATa cep3-2,</i> W303 (SBY162)	S. Biggins (Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center,
AEV2700	$MAT_{2}$ pdc10 1 W202 (SPV164)	S Biggins
AEV2800	MATa ndc10-7, W303 (SBV165)	S. Biggins
AFY2801	MATa rep3-1, W303 (SRY168)	S. Biggins
AEY2802	MATa mif2-3 leu2 trp1 ura3 ADE2 LYS2 HIS3	L. Hartwell (Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, Seattle, WA)
AEY4816	AEY1 <i>cbf1</i> ∆::NatMX	
AEY4818	AEY4 <i>hmt1</i> ∆::kanMX	
AEY4820	AEY4 <i>rmt2</i> ∆::kanMX	
AEY4822	AEY4 <i>hsl7</i> ∆::kanMX	
AEY4846	MATα cse4Δ::KanMX cbf1Δ::NatMX, W303, ade2 lys2 + pRS313-3xHA-CSE4	
AEY4848	MATα cse4Δ::KanMX cbf1Δ::NatMX, W303, ade2 lys2 + pRS313-3xHA-cse4-R37A	
AEY4854	MATa cbf1	
AEY4855	MATa cbf1∆::NatMX hsl7∆::KanMX ade2 lys2, W303	
AEY4856	MATa cbf1∆::NatMX hmt1∆::KanMX ade2 lys2, W303	
AEY4857	MATα cbf1Δ::NatMX hmt1Δ::KanMX ade2 LYS2, W303	
AEY4858	MATα cbf1Δ::NatMX rmt2Δ::KanMX ade2 LYS2, W303	
AEY4859	MATa cbf1∆::NatMX rmt2∆::KanMX ade2 LYS2, W303	
AEY4874	AEY4858 hsl7d::HISMX	
AEY4880	MATa ade2-101 trp1-Δ63 leu2-Δ1 ura3-52 his3-Δ200 lys2-801 mcm21Δ::TRP1	J. Lechner (University of Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany)
AEY4881	MATa ade2-101 trp1-∆63 leu2-∆1 ura3-52 his3-∆200 lvs2-801 okp1-5∆::TRP1	J. Lechner
AEY4917	MATa csm3A::KanMX, W303	A. Marston (University of Edinburgh Edinburgh UK)
ΔΕΥ4918	MATa im/3A···KanMX_W303	A Marston
AFY4919	$MATa ch/4$ \::KanMX, W303	A. Marston
AEY4920	$MATa$ $ctf_{3\Delta}$ ::KanMX, W303	A. Marston
AEY4921	MATa mtw1-11 ade2-1 trp1-1 can1-100 leu2-3,112 his3-11,15 ura3 ssd1	J. Kilmartin (Medical Research Council Laboratory of Molecular Biology,
		Cambridge, UK)
AEY4922	MATa ndc80-1 ade2-1 trp1-1 can1-100 leu2-3,112 his3-11,15 ura3 ssd1	J. Kilmartin
AEY4923	MATa spc24-1 ade2-1 trp1-1 can1-100 leu2-3,112 his3-11,15 ura3 ssd1	J. Kilmartin
AEY4924	MATa spc25-1 ade2-1 trp1-1 can1-100 leu2-3,112 his3-11,15 ura3 ssd1	J. Kilmartin
AEY4925	MATa spc105-4 ade2-1 trp1-1 can1-100 leu2-3,112 his3-11,15 ura3 ssd1	J. Kilmartin
AEY4931	AEY4874 hmt1∆::URAMX	
AEY4946	MATa ame1-4::TRP1, W303, ade2 lys2	J. Vogel (McGill University, Montreal, Canada)
AEY4965	AEY4 cse4-R37A	
AEY4974	AEY1 <i>ctf19</i> ∆::KanMX	
AEY4983	AEY3 cse4-R37A	
AEY4985	MATa cse4-R37A cbf1 A::NatMX ADE2 LYS2, W303	
AEY4990	AEY2 cse4-R37A iml3∆::KanMX	
AEY5005	MATa/MATα cse4-R37A/cse4-R37A, W303 (diploid), lys2∆/LYS2	
AEY5040	<i>MAT</i> α cse4Δ::KanMX ade2 lys2, W303 + pRS423-3xHA-cse4-R37A	
AEY5083	AEY1 <i>ctf19</i> ∆::NatMX	
AEY5165	MATα cbf1Δ::NatMX MTW1-9xmyc-KanMX cse4-R37A ade2 LYS2, W303	
AEY5166	MATa cbf1\Delta::NatMX MTW1-9xmyc-KanMX ade2 LYS2, W303	

#### Table S1. Cont.

DNAS

Strain	Genotype	Source*
AEY5173	MATα cbf1Δ::NatMX AME1-9xmyc-KanMX ADE2 LYS2, W303	
AEY5174	MATa cbf1∆::NatMX MTW1-9xmyc-KanMX cse4-R37A ade2 LYS2, W303	
AEY5194	MATα cse4Δ::KanMX cbf1Δ::NatMX ade2 lys2, W303 + pRS313	
AEY5195	MATα cse4Δ::KanMX cbf1Δ::NatMX ade2 lys2, W303 + pRS313-3xHA-CSE4-R37A	
AEY5254	AEY2781 rmt2∆::NatMX	
AEY5256	AEY2781 hmt1A::NatMX	
AEY5266	AEY2781 <i>hsl7</i> Δ::NatMX	
AEY5297	AEY4 cse4-R37Q	

\*Unless indicated otherwise, strains were generated in this study or are from the laboratory collection. All strains except for AEY2802 are isogenic to W303.

Plasmid	Description	Source*
pRS313	CEN6, HIS3	(1)
pRS414	CEN6, TRP1	(1)
pAE615	pRS313-3xHA-CSE4 <sup>†</sup>	
pAE977	pRS426-3xHA-CS <i>E4</i>	
pAE1579	pRS313-3xHA- <i>cse4-R37A</i>	
pAE1636	pRS306-cse4-R37A	
pAE1755	pRS423-3хHA <i>-cse4-R37A</i>	
pAE1768	<i>URA3, ARS3, SUP11, TEL, CEN6 CDEI</i> ∆ (pYCF5/d66)	P. Hieter (University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada; ref. 2)
pAE1769	URA3, ARS3, SUP11, TEL (pYCF5)	P. Hieter (2)
pAE1771	TRP1, ARS1, CEN6-CDEI∆ (d66)	P. Hieter (3)
pAE1847	pRS313-3xHA-cse4-S40A	
pAE1867	pRS313-3xHA- <i>cse4-R37Q</i>	

### Table S2. Plasmids used in this study

\*Unless indicated otherwise, plasmids were generated in this study. Cloning details are available upon request. <sup>†</sup>3xHA was inserted into a natural Xbal restriction site within *CSE4* that placed the tag between amino acids 81 and 82 of Cse4, analogous to ref. 4.

1. Sikorski RS, Hieter P (1989) A system of shuttle vectors and yeast host strains designed for efficient manipulation of DNA in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Genetics 122:19–27.

Hegemann JH, Shero JH, Cottarel G, Philippsen P, Hieter P (1988) Mutational analysis of centromere DNA from chromosome VI of Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Mol Cell Biol 8:2523–2535.
Panzeri L, Landonio L, Stotz A, Philippsen P (1985) Role of conserved sequence elements in yeast centromere DNA. EMBO J 4:1867–1874.

4. Stoler S, Keith KC, Curnick KE, Fitzgerald-Hayes M (1995) A mutation in CSE4, an essential gene encoding a novel chromatin-associated protein in yeast, causes chromosome nondisjunction and cell cycle arrest at mitosis. Genes Dev 9:573–586.