Potential secondary structure at translation-initiation sites

M.C.Ganoza, E.C.Kofoid*, P.Marlière+ and B.G.Louis

Banting and Best Department of Medical Research, University of Toronto, 112 College Street, Toronto, Ontario M5G 1L6, Canada

Received May 21, 1986; Revised October 2, 1986; Accepted November 17, 1986

ABSTRACT

Since translational start codons also occur internally, more-complex features within mRNA must determine initiation. We compare the potential secondary structure of 123 prokaryotic mRNA start regions to that of regions coding for internal methionines. The latter display an unexpectedly-uniform, almost-periodic pattern of pairing potential. In contrast, sequences 5' to start codons have little self-pairing, and do not pair extensively with the proximal coding region. Pairing potential surrounding start codons was found to be less than half of that found near internal AUGs. In groups of random sequences where the distribution of nucleotides at each position, or of trinucleotides at each in-frame codon position, matched the observed natural distribution, there was no periodicity in the pairing potential of the internal sequences. Randomized internal sequences had less pairing: the ratio of pairing intensity between internals and starts was reduced from 2.0 to 1.6 by randomization.

We propose that the transition from the relatively-unstructured start domains to the highly-structured internal sequences may be an important determinant of translational start-site recognition.

INTRODUCTION

Start codons are generally homonyms of methionine, valine or leucine codons (for review see 1,2). Features other than the initiation triplet itself must therefore be necessary to determine a translation start site within mRNA. In principle, the specificity required to initiate translation selectively could be imparted by primary, secondary or tertiary structure of the molecule. In prokaryotes, a polypurine tract complementary to the 3' end of 16S rRNA (3) is important in start-site designation (4,5,6). Nevertheless, this is not always necessary (7,8,9,10,11,12) nor sufficient (13). Effects of nucleotides 5' (14,15) and 3' (16,17) to the start codon have been considered, as has the presence of UAA or UGA terminators (18,19). Statisticallysignificant base-frequency biases have been observed in domains neighboring start sites (20). Several authors have pointed out isolated instances where stem-and-loop structures, with the initiator codon buried in the stem or exposed in the loop, can be postulated (1,21). It has been suggested that loop-exposed AUG or GUG correlates with efficiency of expression (22,23). Release of embedded Shine-Dalgarno or AUG signals from intramolecular association has been used to explain widely-varying translational efficiencies of the λ <u>cro</u> deletions (22), and of mutations in the <u>lam B</u> protein of <u>E. coli</u> (23).

We now ask whether there are secondary-structural features that can occur frequently in domains known to specify initiation of translation. We have analysed the potential local stem-and-loop formation of 123 prokaryotic start regions and compared these to regions coding for internal methionines.

METHODS

Initiation-site sequences were examined for common pairings essentially as described by Trifonov and Bolshoi (24). Sequences to be examined were compiled in a standard format in which the start codon was centered (as positions 35-37) in a 71-nucleotide window. First the 71-base mRNA sequences were compared. From any group of two or more sequences with 54 or more identical bases at corresponding positions, one sequence was arbitrarily chosen as group representative. This eliminated five of the 123 original sequences, leaving 118 sequences for further analysis. Self-pairing matrices (25) were formed for all of the class representatives with the aid of a computer program that finds, by exhaustive search, all possible foldings of a given sequence that exceed minimum criteria for length (4 or more) and stability (K_a at least 50,000).

Local potential mRNA secondary structure was deduced by an iterative procedure. Each nucleotide, n_i , was compared with the nucleotides, n_j (j>i), following it in the sequence. When a base-pairing match was found, the residues n_{i+1} and n_{j+1} were compared. The comparison continued until two successive mismatches were found; then the energy, length and position of the stem were stored provided that length and stability exceeded the given minima. G·U base pairs were tolerated internally, but were treated as mismatches when adjacent to two or more unambiguous mismatches. Approximate base-pairing energies were assigned: G·U=1, C·G=4, A·U=2. Mismatched bases were given a value of -2. Constraint produced by formation of small loops was taken into account using the calculations described by Ninio (26).

The triangular self-pairing matrices were then superimposed and summed. The average pairing potential was calculated as the sum of all pairings in the superposed matrix divided by the product of sequence length and number of sequences. To reduce the effect of minor variation in the positions of common features (if any), the composite matrix was smoothed by averaging each cell with its eight nearest neighbours. The smoothed composite array was plotted as a density map (the algorithm used for this purpose allowed a resolution of one part in 50). The same array was then replotted showing only the cells with densities over half of the maximum (<u>i.e.</u>, all other cell densities were set to 0), thus displaying prominent densities in isolation. Results from all of the analyses described in this and the next paragraph (except for the tRNA controls) were all plotted to a common scale to facilitate comparison of the overall densities.

Several controls were performed. A set of 51 tRNA sequences was examined. A set of 123 sequences surrounding non-initiator AUGs within the coding regions was created by taking one such sequence from each of the 123 genes making up the start-sequence library (in a few cases there were no internal AUGs, and the deficiency was made up by choosing one at random from another gene), and this was analysed in the same way. For both the start and the internal sequence libraries, the frequency of occurrence was then calculated for each base at each of the 71 positions in the sequence, and for each triplet at each of the 23 in-frame codon positions. These frequency distributions (Table 1) were used to generate four further libraries: one containing 2000 random sequences with base distribution at each position corresponding to that found in the corresponding position in the start sequences; one with 2000 random sequences where the bases were distributed as in the internal (coding) sequences; one with 2000 random sequences of length 69 with nucleotide triplets corresponding to the codon distributions at positions 2 to 68 of the start sequences; and the fourth with 2000 random sequences of length 69 matching the distributions of codons among the internal sequences. These too were analysed and mapped as described in the foregoing paragraph except that the sums were divided to match the density scales with those of the gene libraries.

Most initiator and phe-tRNA sequences in the control set were from Gauss and Sprinzl (27). Other Met initiator tRNA data (courtesy of Drs. Y. Kuchino and S. Nishimura) were for <u>H. morrhuae</u>, <u>T. acidophilum</u> and <u>S. acidocaldarius</u>; the sequence from <u>S. faecalis</u> was provided by Dr. J. Rabinowitz, and <u>M.</u> <u>musculus</u> mitochondrial initiator and phe-tRNAs were from Bibb <u>et al</u>. (28).

Translation start sequences and mRNA internal sequences were from both bacterial and bacteriophage genes. The compilation, including references, is available on request.

RESULTS

The secondary-structure-forming potential of random RNA molecules is expected to involve about 50% of the residues in base-paired structures (29). Before we tested the start regions for common or unique potential mRNA secondary structure, we checked that the programs accurately detect the cloverleaf structure of tRNA. Average density maps were produced as described in Methods from a set of 51 tRNAs, from which the initial screening yielded 21 representative sequences. Dense regions in such maps with their long axes perpendicular to the hypotenuse reflect potential to form paired structures involving similarly-located bases in a significant number of the sequences. Common or frequently-occurring complementary contacts thus cluster in characteristic positions, whereas other contacts disappear as a nearly-uniform background. The 21 representative tRNA sequences showed areas of density corresponding to the common aminoacyl, anticodon, D and T stems (Figure 1A), along with other regions of background density. The aminoacyl acceptor stem



Figure 1. Self-Pairing of tRNA Sequences

Self-pairing matrices were formed for members of a library of tRNA sequences as described in Methods. The matrices were superimposed and summed and the composite matrix was smoothed. The results were plotted (A) such that each cell of the matrix is represented on the diagram as a 7x7-dot square, the blackness of which (on a scale from 0 to 49 black dots) is proportional to the number of sequences in the library that could form a pairing involving that cell.

Prominent densities were isolated by replotting the data (B) without cells having a pairing density beneath 50% of maximum (<u>i.e.</u>, the densities of such cells were reset to 0 before plotting).

 										1	-
NUCLEO	TIDE	DISTR	IBUTIO	NS P	OR START	AND IN	TERNA	L SEC	UENCE	s	
Position	A	c	Starts U	G	Total	A	cIr	uterna U	ls G1	otal	
1 2 3 4	40 49 29 42	25 24 26 20	33 27 37 33	25 23 31 28	123 123 123 123	34 20 35 41	35 35 29 26	25 24 37 25	29 44 22 31	123 123 123 123	
5 6 7 8	40 37 34 35	32 31 28 32	29 31 34 31	22 24 27 25	123 123 123 123	22 36 36 13	33 24 36 35	30 40 22 30	38 23 29 45	123 123 123 123 123	
9 10 11 12	46 37 35 36	26 26 29 30	29 32 33 30	22 28 26 27	123 123 123 123	35 43 23 37	21 29 23 30	48 24 34 38	19 27 43 18	123 123 123 123	
13 14 15 16	37 32 25 31	32 24 27 26	31 38 56 50	23 29 15 16	123 123 123 123	35 14 27 44	36 23 28 32	24 37 43 20	28 49 25 27	123 123 123 123	
18 19 20	40 31 40	35 20 31 22	29 39 47 37	24 14 24	123 123 123 123	33 34 32 18	20 33 35 26	40 21 30	41 16 35 49	123 123 123 123	
22 23 24 25	25 22 11	17 10 11 8	42 49 45 37 42	32 46 64	123 123 123 123	49 22 35	24 25 27	30 32 41 27	20 44 20	123 123 123 123	
26 27 28 29	12 22 30 42	8 11 16 14	41 43 47 40	62 47 30 27	123 123 123 123	21 35 41 25	30 33 33 28	25 28 24 25	47 27 25 45	123 123 123 123	
30 31 32 33	41 36 26 47	15 18 28 25	46 50 51 36	21 19 18 15	123 123 123 123 123	34 37 24 38	28 41 28 31	38 22 29 34	23 23 42 20	123 123 123 123 123	
34 35 36 37	31 1 123 0	29 0 0 0	44 116 0 0	19 6 0 123	123 123 123 123 123	34 1 123 0	24 0 0	31 116 0 0	34 6 0 123	123 123 123 123 123	
38 39 40 41	24 15 48 10	13 55 22 24	46 35 38 61	40 18 15 28	123 123 123 123 123	16 40 43 18	36 35 22 27	28 22 22 36	43 26 36 42	123 123 123 123 123	
42 43 44 45	23 41 36 49	21 23 19 29	56 36 49 35	23 23 19 10	123 123 123 123	32 45 23 33	27 25 27 30	41 24 33 36	23 29 40 24	123 123 123 123 123	
46 47 48 49	39 13 35 38	22 26 24 29	47 55 52 36	15 29 12 20	123 123 123 123	43 25 44 38	31 31 28 32	18 33 35 22	31 34 16 31	123 123 123 123	
50 51 52 53	21 39 41 23	26 33 29 30	49 34 26 34	27 17 27 36	123 123 123 123	19 31 41 24	27 25 24 26	32 52 29 29	45 15 29 44	123 123 123 123	
54 55 56 57	35 33 22 36	33 37 31 24	35 29 33 42	20 24 37 21	123 123 123 123	28 45 23 26	29 37 18 27	43 19 32 48	23 22 50 22	123 123 123 123	
58 59 60 61	40 16 38 37	34 30 24 36	27 47 38 26	22 30 23 24	123 123 123 123	37 18 34 36	40 25 28 35	23 33 37 24	23 47 24 28	123 123 123 123	
63 64 65	19 39 28 27	35 33 31 24	26 34 29 26	43 17 35 46	123 123 123 123	23 37 43 18	27 27 29 35	30 35 21 33	43 24 30 37	123 123 123 123	
67 68 69 70	30 26 38	36 31 38	25 29 30	32 37 17	123 123 123 123	48 23 35 41	33 28 26	20 33 43	22 39 19	123 123 123 123	
71	18	29	28	48	123	23	22	27	51	123	

Table 1. Nucleotide Distributions for Start and Internal Sequences

Base sequences surrounding initiator codons at 123 known protein start sites were compiled such that each sequence comprised 34 nucleotides prior to the start site and 34 nucleotides following the start codon. The 5' nucleotide of the start codon was considered as occupying position 35 for ordinal reference. For each position from 1 through 71, the numbers of A, C, U and G nucleotides appear in the subcolumns so labelled. The column group labelled Internals contains data for a similarly-compiled library of 71nucleotide sequences centered around non-initiator AUGs occurring in-frame within the coding regions of the genes used in the start-sequence library.

-	ΤΤ	RINUCLEO	TIDE COM	POSIT	lõn	: STAR	t sequ	ENCE	s
pos=2	UUU: 9	UUC: 1	UUA: 1	UUG:	5	UCU:	3 UCC	: 1	UCA: 2 UCG: 1
	CUU: 2	CUC: 2	CUA: 2	CCU	1	CCA:	2 000	- 3	CAU: 2 CAC: 3
	CAA: 1	CAG: 2	CGU: 2	ČĞČ:	2	CGG:	Ĩ ĂŬŬ	: 3	AUA: 2 ACU: 1
	ACA: 3	ACG: 3	AAU: 3	AAC:	2	AAA:	4 AGU	: 1	AGC: 1 AGA: 3
		GGU: 1	GOA: 1 GGC· 1	GGA ·	3	666	2 606	: 4	GAU: 4 GAC: 1
pos=5	ŬŨŨ: 5	ŬŬĈ: 2	UUA: 3	ŬŬĜ:	3	UCU:	i ucc	: 6	UCA: 4 UCG: 1
-	UAU: 3	UAA: 4	UAG: 3	UGU:	2	UGC:	1 UGA	: 2	CUU: 2 CUC: 2
	CUA: 2	CUG: 2	CCU: 3	CCA:	2	CCG: 2		: 1	CAC: 4 CAA: 1
	ACC: 3	ACA: 1	ACG: 3	AAU:	3	AAC:	2 AAA	: 3	AGU: 3 AGC: 1
	AGA: 1	GUU: 3	GUA: 3	GUG:	1	GCU:	1 GCC	: 1	GCG: 3 GAU: 1
	GAA: 3	GAG: 1	GGC: 2	GGG:	3	1104	, 1100		TOTAL: 123
pos=o	UAG: 3	UGU: 4	UGC: 3	CUU:	5	CUC	2 UUG 3 CUA	: 3	CUG: 4 CCC: 1
	CCG: 2	CAU: 2	CAC: 1	CAG:	5	CGU:	Í ČĞĊ	: 4	CGA: 3 AUU: 2
	AUC: 1	AUA: 3	AUG: 1	ACU:	4	ACC:	3 ACA	: 2	ACG: 2 AAU: 1
	AAU: 1 GUA: 5	AAA: 5 GUG· 1	AAG: 2	AGU:	1	AGA: A	2 AGG 3 GAII		
	GAG: 1	GGU: 1	GGC: 2	GGA:	2	000. (U UAU	• •	TOTAL: 123
pos=11	UUU: 8	UUC: 4	UUA: 4	UUG:	3	UCU:	2 UCA	: 1	UAU: 1 UAC: 1
	UAA: 2	UAG: 2		UGC:	3	UGA: 2	2 UGG 2 CAG	: 1	
	CGG: 3	AUU: 2	AUC: 4	AUA:	i	AUG:	I ACU	2	ACC: 2 ACA: 1
	AAU: 6	AAC: 5	AAA: 4	AGU:	1	AGC: 3	3 AGG	: 1	GUC: 1 GUA: 1
	GUG: 2	GCU: 3	GCC: 3	GCA:	4	GCG: 3	1 GAU	: 1	GAA: 2 GAG: 1
pos=14	UUU: 5	UUC: 1	UUA: 1	UUG:	2	UCA:	5 UAU	: 3	UAC: 1 UAA: 6
	UAG: 3	ŬĞŬ: Î	UGC: 2	UGA :	Ž	CUU:	2 CUC	: 2	CUA: 1 CUG: 1
	CCU: 1	CCC: 3	CCA: 1	CCG:	1	CAU:		: 1	CAA: 5 CAG: 1
	ACG: 3	AAU: 3	AAC: 8	AUU:	10	AGA: A	Z ACO 1 AGC	: 2	GUU: 1 GUA: 3
	GUG: 1	GCU: 2	GCC: 3	GCA:	ī	GCG:	Î GĂŬ	: 4	GAA: 8 GAG: 1
non-17	GGU: 1	GGA: 2	GGG: 1	1100	•	1104			TOTAL: 123
pos=17	UUU: 0 ΠΔΔ: 7	UGC: 8		CIIII	7	CUA: C	5 CUG	2	CCU: 1 CCC: 2
	CCA: 2	CAU: 2	CAC: 1	ČĂĂ:	5	CAG:	š čĞČ	: 2	CGA: 2 CGG: 1
	AUU: 2	AUC: 4	AUA: 1	AUG:	1	ACU:	1 ACC	: 1	ACA: 3 AAU: 4
	GCU: 1		AGC: 2 GCG: 1	GAU:	4	GAA: 2	2 600	· 1	GGC: 2 GGA: 1
	000.1				•			• •	TOTAL: 123
pos=20	UUU: 5	UUC: 1	UUA: 5	UUG:	4	UCU:	1 UCA	: 5	UCG: 2 UAU: 2
	UAA: 4 CCII· 1			CALL	3		3 CUU 6 CAG	: 3	CGC 2 AUC 1
	AUA: 1	AUG: 2	ACU: 2	ACC:	2	ACA:	5 ĂCG	2	AAU: 3 AAC: 2
	AAA: 6	AAG: 5	AGU: 2	AGC:	1	AGG: 3	3 GUA	: 4	GUG: 2 GCU: 1
	GCA: Z	GAU: 2	GAC: 4	GAA:	1	GAG: 4	2 660	: 2	TOTAL: 123
pos=23	UUC: 1	UUA: 1	UUG: 1	UCA:	2	UAU: 3	3 UAA	: 4	UAG: 3 UGU: 1
	UGC: 2	UGA: 2	UGG: 2	CUG:	2	CCG: 1		: 7	AUA: 2 ACC: 1
	GUC: 2	GUG: 1	GCU: 1	GCA:	1	GCG: 1	1 GAA	: 3	GAG: 12 GGU: 1
	GGC: 2	GGA: 18	GGG: 3		-			•••	TOTAL: 123
pos=26	000:1	UUA: 1	UCC: 1	UCA:	1	UCG: 1	1 UAC	: 2	UAA: 2 UGA: 1
	AUA: 4	AUG: 1	ACU: 2	ACA:	3	AAU: A	A AAC	: 1	AAA: 3 AAG: 2
	AGU: 5	AGC: 3	AGA: 4	AGG:	6	GUU: 3	3 GUC	: 2	GUA: 4 GCA: 1
	GCG: 1	GAU: 5	GAC: 6	GAA:	4	GAG: 1	11 GGU	: 3	GGA: 16 GGG: 6 TOTAL: 123
pos=29	UUU: 8	UUC: 1	UUA: 6	UUG:	2	UCU:	1 UCC	: 2	UCA: 3 UAU: 4
-	UAA: 8	UAG: 1	UGC: 1	UGA:	3	UGG:	2 CUÚ	: 3	CCU: 1 CCC: 1
		AUG: 2			3			: 1	AUU: 4 AUU: 1
	AGU: 2	AGA: 2	AGG: 1	GUU:	3	GUC:	I GUA	: 2	GCA: 1 GCG: 2
	GAU: 4	GAC: 3	GAA: 1	GAG:	1	GGU: 2	2 GGC	: 1	GGA: 4 GGG: 2
pos=32	UUU: 5	UUC: 3	UUA: 2	UCC :	3	UCA:	2 UCG	: 1	UAU: 1 UAA: 4
	ŬĞŬ: 1	ŬĞČ: 2	UGA: 1	ŬĞĞ :	ĭ	CUC:	Ĩ ČŬĂ	: î	CUG: 9 CCU: 3
		CCA: 1	CAU: 5	CAC:	2	CAA:	3 CGU	: 1	CGC: 1 AUU: 9
	AAA: 9	AAG: 5	AGU: 2	AGC:	1	AGA: S	S ACA S GUC	: 2	GUA: 6 GCU: 1
	GCC: 1	GCA: 1	GCG: 2	GAC:	ī	GAA:	2 ĞĞČ	: 1	GGA: 1
D00-2F	1016 - 1	AUG - 11	6 600.	e					TUTAL: 123
pos=38	ŬŬŬ: 3		UCU: 10	UCA:	1	UCG: 2	2 UAU	: 1	UAC: 5 UGU: 1
_	CCU: 2	CCA: 2	CCG: 1	CAA:	2	CAG:	1 CGU	: 1	CGA: 4 AUU: 1
	AUC: 3	AUA: 1 AAA: 10	AUG: 3	ACU:	4	AGC	I ACA 3 ∆GA	: 4	AGG: 1 GUU: 1
	GUA: 2	GCU: 19	GCC: 3	GCA:	3	GCG:	Ž GĂC	: î	GAA: 5 GAG: 1
	GGA: 3								TUTAL: 123

Table 2. Trinucleotide Distributions for Start Sequences

nc=-41	11111. 1	11114 - 1	inc · ·	,	11011	3	11411.	2	IIAC ·	1	
µus=41	CUG: 1	CCII: 1	CCA · 1	ĩ	CAC	1	CAA ·	5	CAG	3	CGU: 6 CGC: 2
	CGA: 2	ĂŬŬ: 5	AUC: 2	2	ĂŬĞ:	3	ACU	ž	ACC:	ž	ACA: 5 ACG: 4
	AAU: 7	AAC: 5	AAA: 1	10	AAG:	8	AGU:	3	AGC:	2	AGA: 3 GUU: 3
	GUA: 2	GUG: 1	GCU: 1	Ļ	GCC:	1	GCA:	1	GAU:	4	GAC: 5 GAA: 4
D08=44	GAG: 1	000: 3 UUC· 4	GGC: 1	ł	GGA:	2	UCU.	5	UCA ·	3	IUTAL: 123 IICG· 1 IIAII· 2
h09-44	UAC: 4	UGG: 2	CUU	í	CUC	ĩ	CUA	ĭ	CUG	2	CCC: 1 CCA: 1
	CAA: 4	CAG: 1	CGŬ: 1	Ĩ	ČĞĂ:	3	ĂŬU:	8	AŬČ:	3	AUA: 1 AUG: 3
	ACU: 6	ACC: 2	ACA: 6	5	AAU:	2	AAC:	4	AAA:	9	AAG: 2 AGC: 1
	AGG: 2	600: 2	GUC: 1	L	GUA:	4	GCU:	3	GCA:	1	UAU: 1 UAA: 6 Total: 122
D08=47		UUC: 2	UUA · 2	2	UUG ·	1	UCA ·	1	UAU	1	UAC: 2 CUU: 2
P.00 .11	CUC: 1	CUA: 2	CUG: 5	5	ČČĂ:	ī	ČČG:	ĩ	CAU:	î	CAC: 1 CAA: 3
	CAG: 3	CGU: 1	CGC: 3	3	CGA:	2	AUU:	8	AUC:	2	AUG: 1 ACU: 8
	ACC: 3	ACA: 3	AAU: 7	5	AAC:	8	AAA:	10	AAG:	4	AGU: 1 GUC: 1
	GAG: 1	GGI1: 2	GGC 2	2	GGA:	1	000:	1	GAU:	T	TOTAL: 123
pos=50	ŬŬŬ: 4	ŬŨČ: 3	ŬŬĞ: 5	5	ŪCU:	2	UCA:	1	UAU:	3	UGC: 1 UGA: 1
	UGG: 1	CUC: 1	CUA: 1	1	CUG:	4	CCU:	2	CCC:	3	CCA: 1 CCG: 2
-		CAG: 3	CGU: 2	2	CGC:	2	CGA:	1	AUU:	5	AUC: 7 AUG: 2
	AGU: 0	AGC: 1	GUII · 1	i	GUC	2	GUA ·	3	GUG	1	GCU: 5 GCC: 1
	GCA: 1	GCG: 1	ĞĂŬ: 4	4	GAA :	2	ĞĞÜ:	ž	ĞĞC:	ź	TOTAL: 123
pos=53	UUU: 3	UUC: 1	UUA: 2	5	UUG:	3	UCU:	5	UCC:	3	UCA: 1 UCG: 2
	UAU: 1	UAA: 1	UGG: 1	1	CUU:	1	CUC:	7	CUG:	4	CCC: 2 CCA: 2
	AUG: 3	ACII: 3	ACC · 2	2	ACA ·	2	ACG ·	1	ADU:	4	AAC: 6 AAA 8
	AAG: 1	AGA: 1	GŬŬ: 2	2	GUC :	ĩ	GUA:	î	GUG :	î	GCU: 3 GCC: 2
	GCA: 2	GCG: 3	GAU: 2	2	GAC:	2	GAA :	4	GAG:	3	GGU: 4 GGC: 5
	GGA: 1	une -		•	1000			~	110.4	•	TOTAL: 123
pos=56	UGA · 1	UUC: 4 UGG · 1	CUII · ·	32	CIIC	2	CIIA ·	3 1	CUG	2	CCG: 2 CAU: 1
	CAA: 5	CAG: 3	CGU:	5	ČGC:	3	ČGA:	2	CGG :	ī	AUU: 4 AUC: 2
	AUA: 1	AUG: 3	ACU: 2	2	ACC :	6	ACA :	Ĩ	AAU:	3	AAC: 4 AAA: 3
	AAG: 4	GUU: 2	GUC: 1	1	GUA:	4	GCU:	2	GCC:	3	GCA: 2 GCG: 1
	GAU: 8	UAC: 3	UAA:]	T	GAG :	2	660:	э	660:	2	TOTAL: 123
pos=59	UUU: 5	UUC: 1	UUA: 4	4	UUG:	1	UCG:	2	UAU:	1	UGG: 2 CUU: 2
	CUC: 1	CUA: 2	CUG: 4	4	CCU:	1	CCG:	1	CAU:	3	CAC: 2 CAA: 2
	CAG: 2	CGU: 5	CGC: 5	5	AUU:	4	AUC:	4	AUA:	2	AUG: 1 ACU: 1
	AGG: 2	GUIL 2	GUC S	2	GUA	10	GUG ·	1	GCU:	3	AGU: 1 AGU: 2 GCC: 4 GCA 2
	GCG: 2	GAU: 1	GAC:	ĩ	GAA:	î	GAG:	ź	ĞĞŬ:	3	GGC: 2 GGG: 1
								Ĩ		-	TOTAL: 123
pos=62	UUC: 2	UUA: 2	UUG: 1	1	UCU:	4	UCC :	1	UCA:	3	UCG: 1 UAU: 1
	CCA · 1	CCG 1		3	CAC:	5	CGI	5	CCC -	9	CGA: 1 CCC: 1
	ĂŬŨ: 3	ĂŬČ: 4	AUG:	ĭ	ĂCU:	ĭ	ĂČČ:	ž	ĂĂŬ:	2	AAC: 4 AAA: 5
	AAG: 1	AGU: 1	AGC:	1	AGA :	Ĩ	GUU :	2	GUC:	5	GUA: 2 GUG: 3
	GCU: 5	GCC: 2	GCA: 4	4	GCG:	6	GAC:	2	GAA:	6	GAG: 2 GGU: 2
D08=65		UUC: 7	י געון	1	บบดง	4	UCII+	5	UCC ·	2	UCA: 1 UCG 3
200-00	ŬĂŬ: Î	ŬĂČ: 2	čŬŪ:	ī	čŭč	ż	ČŬĂ:	ž	čŬĞ:	ĩ	CCU: 1 CCA: 1
	CCG: 4	CAU: 1	CAC	1	CAA:	1	CAG:	3	ÇQŲ:	3	CGC: 2 AUU: 3
	AUC: 1	AUG: 6	AUU:	3	ACC:	2 2	AAU:	1	AAC:	2	AAA: 6 AAG: 2
	GCG: 3	GAU: 4	GAC	6	GAA ·	4	GAG ·	3	GGII	2	GGC: 2 GGA · 1
	GGG: 1			-		•	-no.	~		~	TOTAL: 123
pos=68	<u>UUU: 2</u>	UUC: 7	UUA:	3	UUG:	3	UCU:	5	UCC:	1	UCG: 2 UAC: 1
	060: 2		CUC: 1	2	CUA:	2	CUG:	1	CCU:	2	UCC: 1 CCA: 1
	AUU: 3	AUC: 3	AUA	ĩ	ACU	5	ACC ·	1	ACG ·	2	AAU: 4 $\Delta\Delta C \cdot 3$
	AAA: 1	AAG: 1	AGC:	2	AGA :	2	AGG :	i	GŬŬ :	5	GUA: 3 GUG: 3
	GCU: 4	GCC: 4	GCA:	5	GCG:	2	GAU:	1	GAC:	4	GAA: 2 GAG: 1
	GGU: 1	GGC: 1	GGG:	1							TUTAL: 123

Base sequences surrounding initiator codons at 123 known protein start sites were compiled such that each sequence comprised 34 nucleotides prior to the start site and 34 nucleotides following the start codon. The 5' nucleotide of the start codon was considered as occupying position 35 for ordinal reference. For each trinucleotide position in-frame with the start codon, beginning with position 2 and ending with position 68, the trinucleotides found are listed together with the number of each.

at positions 65-71 is seen paired with positions 1-8; similarly, the T stem (bases 48-53 with 61-66), the anticodon stem (25-33 with 39-47), and the D stem (8-14 with 23-29) are all visible as areas of high density. (Apparent

overlaps between the D and anticodon stems at positions 25-29, and between the aminoacyl and T stems at 65-66, are artefacts produced by summation of the individual pairing maps, among which differences in stem length are expressed as differences in stem positions relative to the anticodon. The important point here is the detection of a common structure; clearly, this technique indicates only the average position of any given feature.)

The use of a minimum threshold of 50% of the maximum observed density clarified the image as shown in Figure 1B. The variable loop of this set of tRNAs also remained visible when the threshold was applied.

Start domains of 71 nucleotides from 123 prokaryotic genes, for each of which the 36 bases 3' to the start codon were known to correspond to the first twelve N-terminal amino acids of the protein, were then investigated along with a collection of 123 internal sequences flanking internal in-frame AUGs from the coding regions of 123 different prokaryote mRNAs. The nucleotide distributions at each position along the 71-base sequences are given in Table 1, and the codon distributions in-frame with the start codon appear in Table 2. Of the 123 start sequences, 116 had AUG as the initiator codon; 6 had GUG and one started at UUG. The mononucleotide compositions for start sequences deviate from the random, as reported for another set of prokaryotic genes (20). As noted (20), the differences are even more apparent if di- and trinucleotides of internal and start sequences are compared.

A prominent difference apparent upon simple inspection of the composition data of Table 1 is the enrichment for G and A at positions -9 to -11 in the start library, as well as the higher proportion of A at positions -12 to -20. This position-specific purine enrichment defines the well-known Shine-Dalgarno domain of prokaryotic genes (3).

Figures 2A and 3A show the folding patterns of the 117 representative prokaryotic mRNA start regions, and figures 2B and 3B show the folding potential of the mRNA coding regions. The smoothed density maps of the gene start domains are, overall, less intense by a factor of 1.98 than those from regions surrounding internal AUGs, reflecting a corresponding difference in pairing potential.

Differences between the start and internal sequences become more apparent when prominent densities are isolated by application of a 50% threshold (Figures 3A and 3B). Less pairing is observed within the regions 5' to the AUG as compared with the 123 internal sequences. The latter exhibit a uniform, almost-periodic pattern of pairing potential with intensities



Figure 2. Self-Pairing of Natural and Simulated Start and Internal Sequences Self-pairing matrices were formed for sequences in libraries of true protein start sequences (A), internal sequences (B), random-nucleotide simulated start sequences (C), random-nucleotide simulated internal sequences (D), random-trinucleotide simulated start sequences (E), and randomtrinucleotide simulated internal sequences (F), as described in Methods. The simulated sequences had, at each position within the sequence, the same nucleotide or trinucleotide distribution as was found in the corresponding real sequence library. For each group, the matrices were superimposed and summed and the composite matrix was smoothed. The results were plotted as described in the legend to Figure 1, with the maximum density (49 black dots) corresponding to 15 sequences capable of pairing at a cell.



Figure 3. Regions of Elevated Pairing Potential within Natural and Simulated Start and Internal Sequences

Composite density matrices were generated as described in Figure 2 for protein start sequences (A), internal sequences (B), random-nucleotide simulated start sequences (C), random-nucleotide simulated internal sequences (D), random-trinucleotide simulated start sequences (E), and randomtrinucleotide simulated internal sequences (F). All cells with densities beneath 50% of maximum for each matrix were then set to 0. The results were plotted as in Figure 1(B). This procedure has the effect of showing prominent densities (if any) in isolation. separated by approximately six nucleotides without apparent interruption (Figures 2B and 3B).

Randomization of the start sequences (Figures 2C and 3C), and of the internal sequences (Figures 2D and 3D), where the distributions shown in Table 1 were preserved during the randomization process, altered both the overall densities and their spatial distributions.

The relative effect of this randomization was to increase density from 0.400 pairings per nucleotide to 0.432, an increase of 8%. The density of the internal sequences was 0.791, which is reduced 12% by randomization, to 0.698. A t test shows that the effect of randomization on the internal sequences is significant at the 0.01 level; however, the increase in density of the randomized starts is not significant.

Randomizations with preservation of the codon distributions were also performed. Figures 2E and 3E show the effect on the start sequences, where the distributions shown in Table 2 were preserved during the randomization process, and Figures 2F and 3F show what happened to the internal sequences when a similar process was applied.

Preserving the codon distributions led to greater changes in overall density than did preserving the distributions of individual nucleotides. The randomized starts had average density 0.490, an significant 22% increase, while a decrease to 0.637 (19%) was noted for the internal sequences.

The internal sequences were 98% more dense than the start sequences in the initial analysis. This difference in density between the start and internal sequences is reduced to 62% after randomization of nucleotides and to only 56% after randomization of codons. It should be obvious from these data that the different nucleotide compositions of the start and internal regions do account in part for the overall differences in density; otherwise randomization should obliterate these differences and not merely reduce them. Nevertheless, the fact that randomization has a significant effect clearly indicates that sequence does play a role as well.

Figures 2C and 2D show that the prominent pairings of the two sets of sequences are differently distributed. A significant effect of the nucleotide composition can be seen in the randomized start sequences, where a definite lack of pairing between the purine-rich Shine-Dalgarno region and the area immediately surrounding the start codon shows as a notch on the hypotenuse of the matrix. The bias this produces against structure in this area is also evident in the non-randomized starts (Figure 3A), where the start codon and proximal 3' region (approximately 15 bases) remain relatively unpaired. In contrast, an almost-uniform, near-periodic pattern of increased pairing density, with a period of roughly six nucleotides, is clearly apparent among the internal sequences. This periodicity is obliterated by randomization.

DISCUSSION

Influence of mRNA secondary structure on translational initiation has been assumed for many years. Particularly clear are examples where the secondary structure masks initiation sites, e.g. AUG in the case of R17 or MS2 replicase, or Shine-Dalgarno signals as in the case of the lam B protein of <u>E. coli</u> (30,31,23). Various treatments that disrupt secondary structure tend to increase the ability of ribosomes to recognize correct (and a few incorrect) sites (32,33,34). Munson <u>et al</u>. (35) have observed that mutations that disrupt the secondary structure of the ribosome-binding site increase <u>lac</u> Z expression. The effects of many polar mutations on translation can be largely explained if the secondary structure of the mRNA masks the signals needed to initiate protein synthesis (30,32).

Casual inspection has failed to reveal a common secondary structure among the sequences (for review see 1). However, the density maps presented here reveal what appear to be some interesting common features.

The rules used in establishing local mRNA pairing potential (see Methods) were somewhat simplistic, but are adequate for enumeration, ranking and classification of local structures. Calculating more exact energetic data would only be justifiable in a recursive calculation of "best" secondary structure - a concept which is essentially meaningless when one considers local regions in isolation from the rest of the sequence. It is important to emphasize, too, that the measurement of "pairing potential" in a local region does not reflect the actual structure or structures to which that region is constrained in situ, but merely estimates roughly the degree to which the region is likely to be exposed or masked due to local interactions. When the pairing potential within a given region is high, the likelihood of one or more of the possible interactions actually participating in the biological structure is high also. Though the relationship is not linear as density increases, so that summing possible interactions carries a risk of overestimating the actual probability of interaction in areas of high potential, it should be noted that the present data yield a maximum of less than one pairing per nucleotide on average even in the densest map; the degree of overestimation should therefore be small.

The choice of a window of 71 nucleotides was justified by genetic and statistical evidence indicating that the most important 5' information lies within 35 nucleotides of the start codon (20; also see reviews 1,2). 1 n biochemical experiments, it has been shown that the 35 AUG-proximal nucleotides are needed to initiate synthesis of MS2 replicase (31). Similarly, in experiments where the λ <u>cro</u> gene was placed under the control of the lac promoter, marked differences in λ cro expression were observed as a result of sequence modifications about 25 bases from the start AUG (13). Fourfold better expression of gal E was observed from transcripts that had 31 rather than 28 bases 5' to AUG (36). In contrast, binding of ribosomes to the start of MS2 replicase is not affected by deletion of bases 3' to AUG (31). Mutations downstream from AUG have limited effects on initiation of the T4 rIIB protein (1). One notable exception is known to us: a mutation in the start codon of T7 protein 0.3 is suppressed by an alteration 64 bases 3' to the mutated start (6, and Dunn, personal communication). But in the bulk of cases the 71-nucleotide window should be long enough to detect broad structural features recognized by ribosomes on either side of the initiation triplet.

As mentioned in Results, significant differences in distribution and overall pairing potential were found when start sites and regions surrounding internal AUGs were compared. It seems reasonable to conjecture that the denser structure associated with internal AUGs may play a part in preventing false starts, and conversely that ribosomes readily gain access to the moreopen surroundings of the initiator codons. To confirm this, another study is needed in which the entire spectrum of translation-initiation efficiency (from untranslated internal AUGs through poor initiators to very efficient initiators) is examined for correlation with potential accessibility.

The results we obtained are likely to be due partly to the different base compositions of the start and coding regions (20) and partly to secondary or tertiary structural features of the different mRNAs. For the start sequences, randomization did reveal an interesting effect of base composition involving lack of pairing between the region of the Shine-Dalgarno domain and the bases 3'-proximal to the start codon. Except for this, randomization of both the start and the internal sequences sharply altered the density patterns, which would not be expected if the different statistical distributions of bases in starts and internals were solely responsible for producing the observed structures.

Analyses of individual sequences for potential base-pairing with the

updated pairing rules of Papanicolau <u>et al</u>. (37) confirmed that most start regions tend to have bases 5' to AUG that are free of strong secondarystructural constraints. Yet we observe that many of the start sequences have the Shine-Dalgarno region paired to the coding region 20 or more nucleotides 3' to the start codon. Pairing of these areas is not always deleterious to translational initiation, as has previously been documented for the coat and the replicase genes of MS2 (30,38). In addition, in the case of the <u>lam</u> B protein, mutations that altered the pairing of the Shine-Dalgarno region with bases 3' to AUG restored function and allowed maintenance of a weak hairpin in approximately the same location of the gene (23). Nevertheless, messengers that are well-expressed tend to have AUG and/or the Shine-Dalgarno region free of strong internal interactions (22,23,39,40,41,42). It may be significant that most of the pairings found are of low stability. Possibly an early event in translation may involve unfolding of weak secondary structure near the ribosome-binding site.

Genetic studies and statistical and biochemical data summarized here and elsewhere (1,2) indicate that the start codon and potential interaction of sequences 5' to it with 16S or 18S rRNA are probably insufficient to specify protein-synthesis start sites. In cases where the mRNA lacks an rRNA binding region, other signals may occur in the immediate vicinity of the initiation codon (43). The secondary-structure patterns we find could result in a spatial arrangement of these signals that is unique to start domains. Such features could suffice to specify the initial recognition by ribosomes of the message. Indeed it may be that the ribosome initially recognizes something as simple as the transition from the relaxed and unstructured region 5' to the start codon, to the more structured, flower-like 3' region. We note that self-complementarity is rather more likely in the coding sequences than in a random sequence of similar nucleotide distribution. This could itself explain in part the observed zone of transition.

Present addresses: *Department of Biology, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT 84112, USA and +Institut Pasteur, 25 et 28 rue du docteur Roux, F-75724 Paris, Cedex 15, France

REFERENCES

- Gold,L., Pribnow,D., Schneider,T., Shinedling,S., Singer,B.S. and Stormo,G. (1981) <u>Ann. Rev. Microbiol.</u> <u>35</u>, 365-403.
- Steitz, J.A. (1979) In Goldberger, R.F. (ed), <u>Biological Regulation and</u> Development, Plenum Press, New York, Vol. I, pp. 349-399.
- 3. Shine, J. and Dalgarno, L. (1975) Nature (London) 254, 34-38.
- 4. Steitz, J.A. and Jakes, K. (1975) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 72, 4734-4738.

358

Neilson, T., Kofoid, E.C. and Ganoza, M.C. (1980) Nucl. Acids Res. Symp. 5. <u>Ser. 7</u>, 313-323. Dunn, J.J., Buzash-Pollert, E. and Studier, F.W. (1978) Proc. Natl. Acad. 6. Sci. USA 75, 2741-2745. 7. Ptashne, M., Backman, K., Humayun, M.Z., Jeffrey, A., Maurer, R., Meyer, B. and Sauer, R.T. (1976) Science 194, 156-161. 8. Beck, E., Sommer, R., Auerswald, E.A., Kurz, C., Zink, B., Osterburg, G., Shalder, H., Sugimoto, K., Sugisaki, H., Okamoto, T. and Takanami, M. (1978) Nucl. Acids Res. 5, 4495-4503. Farabaugh, P.J. (1978) Nature 274, 765-769. 9. 10. Godson, G.N., Barrell, B.G., Staden, R. and Fiddes, J.C. (1978) Nature 276, 236-247. Pirrota, V. (1979) Nucl. Acids Res. 6, 1495-1508. 11. 12. Singleton, C.K., Roeder, W.D., Bogosian, G., Somerville, R.L. and Weith, H.L. (1980) Nucl. Acids Res. 8, 1551-1560. 13. Roberts, T.M., Kacich, R. and Ptashne, M. (1979) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 76, 760-764. 14. Ganoza, M.C., Fraser, A. and Neilson, T. (1978) Biochemistry 17, 2769-2775; Ganoza, M.C., Sullivan, P., Cunningham, C., Kofoid, E.C., Hader, P. and Neilson, T. (1982) J. Biol. Chem. 257, 8228-8232. Eckhardt, H. and Luhrmann, R. (1981) Biochemistry 20, 2075-2080. 15. Taniguchi, T. and Weissmann, C. (1978) J. Mol. Biol. 118, 533-565. 16. Schmitt, M., Manderscheid, U., Kyriatsoulis, A., Brinkmann, U. and 17. Gassen, H.G. (1980) Eur. J. Biochem. 109, 291-299. Ganoza, M.C. (1977) Can. J. Biochem. 55, 257-281. 18. 19. Atkins, J.F. (1979) Nucl. Acids Res. 7, 1035-1041. Stormo, G., Schneider, T.D. and Gold, L. (1982) Nucl. Acids Res. 10, 2791-20. 2996. 21. Bahramian, M.B. (1980) J. Theor. Biol. 84, 103-108. Iserentant,D. and Fiers,W. (1980) Gene 9, 1-12. 22 23. Hall, M., Gabay, J., Debarbouille, M. and Schwartz, M. (1982) Nature 295, 616-618. Trifonov, E.N. and Bolshoi, G. (1983) J. Mol. Biol. 169, 1-13. 24. 25. Tinoco, I., Jr., Borer, P.N., Dengler, B., Levine, M.D., Uhlenbeck, O.C., Crothers, D.H. and Gralla, J. (1973) Nature New Biol. 246, 40-41. 26. Ninio, J. (1979) Biochimie 61, 1133-1150. 27. Gauss, D.H. and Sprinzl, M. (1983) Nucl. Acids Res. 9, 41-53. 28. Bibb, M.J., Van Etton, R.A., Wright, C.T., Walberg, M.W. and Clayton, D.A. (1981) Cell 26, 167-180. 29. Gralla, J. and Delisi, C. (1974) <u>Nature</u> 276, 236-247. Fiers, W., Contreras, R., Duerinck, F., Haegeman, G., Iserentant, D., 30. Merregaert, J., Min Jou, W., Molemans, F., Raeymaekers, A., Van den Berghe, A., Volckaert, G. and Ysebaert, M. (1976) Nature (London) 260, 500-507. 31. Borisova, G.P., Volkova, T.M., Berzin, V., Rosenthal, G. and Gren, E.J. (1979) Nucl. Acids Res. 6, 1716-1774. 32. Lodish, H.F. (1970) J. Mol. Biol. 50, 689-702. 33. Kozak, M. and Nathans, D. (1972) Bact. Rev. 36, 109-134. Wahba,A., Iwasaki,K., Miller,M.J., Sabol,S., Sillero,M.A.G. and 34. Vasquez, C. (1969) Cold Spring Harbor Symp. Quant. Biol. 34, 291-299. 35. Munson,L.M., Stormo,G.D., Niece,R.L. and Reznokoff,W.S. (1984) J. Mol. Biol. 177, 663-683. Queen, C.L. and Rosenberg, M. (1980) Fed. Proc. 39, 810. 36. Papanicolaou, C., Gouy, M. and Ninio, J. (1984) Nucl. Acids Res. 12, 31-44. 37. Kastelein, R.A., Berkhout, B., Overbeek, G.P. and Van Duin, J. (1983) Gene 38. 23, 245-254. 39. Ray, P.N. and Pearson, M. (1975) Nature 253, 647-650. Gheyson, D., Iserentant, D., Derom, C. and Fiers, W. (1982) Gene 17, 55-63. 40. 359

- Wood, C.R., Boss, M.A., Patel, T.P. and Emtage, J.S. (1984) Nucl. Acids Res. 41. 12, 3937-3950.
- Cone,K.C. and Steege,D.A. (1985) J. Mol. Biol. 186, 733-742.
 Ganoza,M.C., Marlière,P., Kofoid,E.C. and Louis,B.G. (1985) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 82, 4587-4591.