Table S2 Comparison with Kristensen et al gene lists

	Number of probe	Correspon-	Expressed in	Inb	Inb	Inb same	<i>Inb</i> same	Dep	Dep	Dep same	Dep same
	sets in the	dences in our	this	effects	effects	dir. OBS	dir. EXP	effects	effects	dir. OBS	dir. EXP
	Kristensen et al. list	platform	experiment	OBS	EXP			OBS	EXP		
Fast+	60	38	35	14	14.70	13	9.8	4	5.60	2	2.80
Fast-	13	15	11	4	4.62	3	1.2	4	1.76	2	1.20
Slow+	113	76	69	42	28.98	32	29.4	12	11.4	5	8.40
Slow-	41	20	19	7	7.98	4	2.1	4	3.04	3	1.20
Joint+	9	9	8	5	3.36	4	3.5	3	1.28	2	2.10
Joint-	12	12	12	9	5.04	8	2.7	2	1.92	1	0.60
25º+	5	5	5	3	2.10	2	2.1	2	0.80	1	1.40
25º-	7	7	7	5	2.94	1	1.5	1	1.12	0	0.3
Total	260	182	166	89	69.72	67	52.3	32	26.92	16	18
$\chi^2$ (7 d.f.)				5.09, P = 0.649		9.53, P = 0.217		5.73, P = 0.572		5.96, P = 0.544	

Inb and Dep effects for the probe sets listed as SAM-selected in the Fast and Slow inbreeding treatments and the Joint analysis in Kristensen et al. [2005] and in the 25°C treatment in Kristensen et al. [2006]. Plus and minus signs indicate over and down regulated probe sets in the inbred samples, respectively. Because their results correspond to the older Affymetrix Drosophila Genome Array Version 1, we show the number of equivalent probe sets in our Version 2 Array (note that the equivalences were not one to one); of these, the number expressed in the current experiment; the number of Inb or Dep significant, and the number showing these effects in the same direction. The expected numbers of probe sets showing Inb and Dep effects were calculated as: number of Kristensen et al.'s SAM-selected probe sets expressed in our experiment × our overall proportion of probe sets showing Inb or Dep effects (0.42 and 0.16). The expected counts in the same direction were calculated as: number of expressed probe sets showing Inb or Dep effects x overall proportion of up or down regulated genes in our experiment (0.7 and 0.3 for Inb and 0.41 and 0.59 for Dep).

C. Garcia et al. 7 SI