

Table S7. Results of multiple binary logistic regression analyses involving the candidates IAT in Study 2, including a second indicator of explicit attitudes ($\text{Explicit}_{\text{party-based}}$, see main manuscript for details). This table corresponds to Table 7 in the main manuscript.

Step	Variable	B	SE	Wald	<i>p</i>	Exp(B)	Nagel- kerke's R ²	% CCC
1	Constant	.036	.092	.149	.700	1.036	.310	74.0
	IAT _{candidates}	1.219	.111	120.220	< .001	3.385		
2	Constant	-.054	.147	.136	.713	.947	.329	74.7
	IAT _{candidates}	.739	.165	19.989	< .001	2.093		
	Decidedness	.121	.190	.403	.525	1.128		
	IAT _{candidates} *	.786	.226	12.134	< .001	2.194		
	Decidedness							
3	Constant	-.321	.189	2.899	.089	.725	.758	89.4
	IAT _{candidates}	.058	.207	.079	.778	1.060		
	Decidedness	.481	.284	2.874	.090	1.618		
	IAT _{candidates} *	.294	.309	.908	.341	1.342		
	Decidedness							
	Explicit _{candidates}	.479	.201	5.673	.017	1.615		
	Explicit _{party-based}	3.612	.387	87.166	< .001	37.040		
4	Constant	-.301	.191	2.488	.115	.740	.760	89.5
	IAT _{candidates}	.124	.210	.348	.556	1.132		
	Decidedness	.502	.288	3.044	.081	1.652		
	IAT _{candidates} *	.177	.324	.298	.585	1.193		
	Decidedness							

Explicit _{candidates}	.185	.289	.410	.522	1.203
Explicit _{party-based}	3.590	.386	86.443	< .001	36.224
Explicit _{candidates} *	.561	.396	2.010	.156	1.752
Decidedness					
IAT _{candidates} *	.071	.215	.108	.742	1.073
Explicit _{candidates}					

Note. $N = 620$. B: regression weight B; *SE*: standard error of the regression weight B; Wald: Wald criterion; Exp(B): Odds ratio. Relative amount by which the odds increase ($\text{Exp}(B) > 1.0$) or decrease ($\text{Exp}(B) < 1.0$) when the value of the predictor is increased by 1 unit; CCC: correctly classified cases; DV: voting behavior (0 = right political camp, 1 = left political camp). All continuous variables were z-standardized prior to the analyses.
