

Supporting Information

Supporting Information Corrected November 28, 2012

Fang et al. 10.1073/pnas.1212247109




Fig. S1. The impact of research groups with multiple retractions. Thirty-eight laboratories with greater than or equal to five retractions accounted for 43.9% ($n = 390$) of retractions for fraud or suspected fraud ($n = 889$).




Fig. S2. Timeline of retracted articles from the laboratory of N. Mori. Each line represents one article and the length of the line is proportional to the time from publication to retraction.







Wakefield, *Lancet*, 1998**Fukuhara, *Science*, 2005****Chang, *Science*, 2001****Kugler, *Nat Med*, 2000****Gowen, *Science*, 1998****Makarova, *Nature*, 2001**

Fig. S3. Rates of citation following retraction of selected highly cited articles. Rates of citation were obtained from the Web of Science (1).

1. Thomson Reuters (2012) *Web of Science*. Available at <http://webofknowledge.com/WOS>. Accessed June 22, 2012.

Other Supporting Information Files

[Table S1 \(DOCX\)](#)

[Table S2 \(DOCX\)](#)