Supplementary Information

Synthesis of Enantiopure, Trisubstituted Cryptophane-A Derivatives

Olena Taratula, Michael P. Kim, Yubin Bai, John P. Philbin, Brittany A. Riggle, Danniebelle

Haase and Ivan J. Dmochowski*

Department of Chemistry, the University of Pennsylvania, 231 South 34th St., Philadelphia, PA 19104

*Corresponding author: Dmochowski, Ivan J.

Email: ivandmo@sas.upenn.edu

Content:

General methods	S1
Materials	S2
Synthetic procedures and analytical data	S3
¹ H NMR and ¹³ C NMR spectra	S8
Electronic circular dichroism (ECD) spectra	S 11
¹²⁹ Xe NMR spectra	.S13
References	S14

General Methods

¹H NMR (360 and 500 MHz) and ¹³C NMR (90 and 125 MHz) spectra were obtained on Bruker DMX 360 and AMX 500 spectrometers at the University of Pennsylvania NMR facility and were recorded at room temperature in deuterated chloroform (CDCl₃) or dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO- d_6) unless otherwise noted. The ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were referenced to the central line of the residual solvent. ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR chemical shifts (δ) are given in parts per million (ppm) and reported to a precision of ± 0.01 and ± 0.1 ppm, respectively. Proton coupling constants (*J*) are given in Hz and reported to a precision of ± 0.1 Hz. Column chromatography was performed using silica gel (60 Å pore size, 40-75 μ m particle size) from Sorbent Technologies. Thin layer chromatography (TLC) was performed using silica gel plates (60 Å pore size, Whatman) with UV light at 254 nm as the detection method. High resolution mass spectrometry (HRMS) data were obtained using electrospray ionization (ESI) mass spectrometry on a Micromass Autospec at the Mass Spectrometry Center in the Chemistry Department at the University of Pennsylvania. Electronic circular dichroism (ECD) spectra were recorded at room temperature on a ChirascanTM Circular Dichroism Spectrometer using cells with a pathlength of 0.1 cm. UV-visible spectra were measured using a diode-array Agilent 89090A spectrophotometer.

Hyperpolarized ¹²⁹Xe NMR spectroscopy

We utilized an in-house ¹²⁹Xe hyperpolarizer based on the IGI.Xe.2000 system made by the former Nycomed-Amersham (now GE). Hyperpolarized gas supply (Concorde Gases) was a mixture of 89% N₂, 10% He, and 1% natural abundance Xe (29.4% ¹²⁹Xe). ¹²⁹Xe nuclei were hyperpolarized to 10-15% after being cryogenically separated, accumulated, thawed, and collected in degassed airtight NMR tubes (CAV5, New Era).¹ This traps ~2 atm of hyperpolarized Xe in the tube. After hyperpolarized Xe was retrieved, NMR tubes were shaken vigorously to mix Xe with cryptophane solutions.

All ¹²⁹Xe NMR measurements were made on a 500 MHz Bruker BioDRX NMR spectrometer at the University of Pennsylvania NMR Facility. RF pulse frequency for ¹²⁹Xe was

138.12 MHz. Samples were observed using a Bruker 5 mm PABBO NMR probe. ¹²⁹Xe spectra were processed using standard protocols, and ¹²⁹Xe NMR spectral calibration was performed as previously reported for cryptophane solutions in $C_2D_2Cl_4$.² All air- and moisture-sensitive reactions were performed under inert atmosphere in glassware flamed under vacuum, and using anhydrous solvents. Standard workup procedures involved multiple (~3) extractions with the indicated organic solvent, followed by washing of the combined organic extracts with water or brine, drying over Na₂SO₄ and removal of solvents *in vacuo*. All yields are reported after purification by column chromatography or crystallization.

Materials

Organic reagents and solvents were used as purchased from the following commercial sources: *Sigma-Aldrich*: dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO, anhydrous, 99.9%), (*S*)-(+)- α -methoxy- α -trifluoromethylphenylacetyl chloride (Mosher's acid chloride, 98%, Aldrich); *Fisher*: acetone (HPLC grade), sodium hydroxide, potassium hydroxide, hydrochloric acid, sodium sulfate (anhydrous), sodium chloride, sea sand (washed), potassium carbonate (K₂CO₃, anhydrous), methyl iodide, toluene (HPLC grade), ethyl acetate (EtOAc, HPLC grade), hexanes (HPLC grade), chloroform (CHCl₃, HPLC grade), dichloromethane (CH₂Cl₂, HPLC grade), methyl alcohol (MeOH, HPLC grade), ethyl ether (Et₂O, anhydrous); *Acros Organics*: 4-dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP), *N*,*N*-dimethylformamide (DMF, anhydrous, 99.8%), sodium hydride (NaH, 60% dispersion in mineral oil), allyl bromide (99%), benzyl bromide (98%), propargyl bromide (80% solution in toluene), 3,4-dihydroxybenzaldehyde (97%), fluorobenzene (99%), dichloromethane (99.8%, extra dry, over molecular sieves), cesium carbonate (Cs₂CO₃, 99.5%), anhydrous dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO), anhydrous dimethylformamide (DMF), methyl

sulfoxide-*d*₆, chloroform-*d* (CDCl₃), acetone-*d*₆, 4-hydroxy-3-methoxybenzyl alcohol (99%), 1,2-dibromoethane, sodium borohydride (NaHB₄, powder, 98%), scandium(III) trifluoromethanesulfonate (Sc(OTf)₃, 95%); methyl alcohol (MeOH, extra dry, over molecular sieves), tetrahydrofuran (THF, extra dry, over molecular sieves), acetonitrile (CH₃CN, anhydrous); *Concord Specialty Gases*: xenon gas (scientific grade). Triethylamine (Et₃N, *Acros*) was distilled from KOH under nitrogen prior to use.

Synthetic Procedures and Analytical Data

Trihydroxy cryptophane (1) was obtained in six steps with an overall yield of 9.5%.²

Cryptophane (2, diastereomeric mixture): An oven-dried flask was charged with trihydroxy cryptophane 1 (0.201 g, 0.236 mmol, 1.0 equiv), DMAP (0.010 g, 0.078 mmol, 0.3 equiv), and triethylamine (2 mL) in DMF (12 mL). Finally, Mosher's acid chloride (0.197 g, 0.778 mmol, 3.3 equiv) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred at 70 °C for 2 days. The reaction mixture was cooled to rt followed by standard workup procedure using dichloromethane for extraction. The diastereomers were purified and separated by silica gel column chromatography (Et₂O:CH₂Cl₂, 0.5:99.5, v/v) to yield 0.12 g (0.081 mmol, yield: 34%) **2a** and of 0.12 g (0.081 mmol, yield: 34%) **2b** as white solids. Typically 20-30% of the trihydroxy cryptophane starting material was recovered from the chromatography column.

Diastereomer **2a**-(*S*)-(-): mp >130 °C dec; TLC (silica gel, Et₂O: CH₂Cl₂, 1:99, v/v): $R_{f(2a)} = 0.63$; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 7.73-7.55 (m, 15H), 6.78 (s, 3H), 6.67 (s, 3H), 6.65 (s, 3H), 6.59 (s, 3H), 4.67 (d, *J* = 13.8 Hz, 3H), 4.54 (d, *J* = 13.7 Hz, 3H), 4.37-3.91 (m, 12H), 3.82 (s, 9H), 3.50 (d, *J* = 12.6 Hz, 3H), 3.43 (s, 9H), 3.37 (d, *J* = 13.9 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 164.6, 149.0, 148.9, 144.6, 138.8, 138.2, 133.2, 132.3, 132.0, 131.2, 130.0, 128.5, 127.6,

122.6, 122.4, 117.0, 114.9, 68.8, 68.5, 56.0, 55.4, 36.2, 36.0; HRMS (m/z): $[M+Na]^+$ calcd for $C_{81}H_{69}F_9O_{18}Na$, 1523.4238; found, 1523.4221.

Diastereomer **2b**-(**S**)-(+): mp >220 °C dec; TLC (silica gel, Et₂O: CH₂Cl₂, 1:99, v/v): $R_{f(2b)} = 0.60$; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 7.75-7.55 (m, 15H), 6.87 (s, 3H), 6.76 (s, 3H), 6.55 (s, 3H), 6.44 (s, 3H), 4.68 (d, J = 13.9 Hz, 3H), 4.51 (d, J = 13.7 Hz, 3H), 4.26-3.88 (m, 12H), 3.77 (s, 9H), 3.53 (d, J = 13.9 Hz, 3H), 3.48 (s, 9H), 3.31 (d, J = 13.9 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 164.5, 149.8, 149.5, 146.0, 139.1, 139.0, 133.9, 133.2, 132.2, 131.3, 130.0, 128.7, 128.1, 123.3, 122.4, 119.2, 114.7, 69.3, 69.2, 55.9, 36.6, 36.3; HRMS (*m*/*z*): [M+Na]⁺ calcd for $C_{81}H_{69}F_9O_{18}Na, 1523.4238$; found, 1523.4202.

Trihydroxy cryptophane **3a-(-)**: A solution of 2 M KOH (4 mL) was added to the solution of cryptophane **2a-(S)-(-)** (0.051 g, 0.034 mmol) in THF (6 mL). The solution was stirred overnight at 70 °C. THF was removed under vacuum. Water was then added and the resulting solution was acidified with concentrated HCl and extracted with CH₂Cl₂. The solution was washed with water and the organic layer was dried over Na₂SO₄. The solvent was removed *in vacuo* and the crude mixture was purified by silica gel column chromatography (MeOH: CH₂Cl₂, 1:99, v/v) to yield 0.022 g (0.026 mmol, yield: 77%). TLC (silica gel, MeOH/CH₂Cl₂, 5:95, v/v): $R_{f(3a)} = 0.33$; mp >200 °C dec; ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectra for **3a** are identical to the spectra of the racemic (±) trihydroxy cryptophane previously reported in our lab;^{2,3} HRMS (m/z): [M+Na]⁺ calcd for C₅₁H₄₈O₁₂Na, 875.3043; found, 875.3047.

Trihydroxy cryptophane **3b**-(+): Following the procedure for the synthesis of **3a**, compound **2b**-(**5**)-(+) (0.049 g, 0.033 mmol) in the presence of 2 M KOH (4 mL) in THF (6 mL) afforded 0.023 g (0.027 mmol, 81% yield) of **3b** as a white solid. TLC (silica gel, MeOH/CH₂Cl₂, 5:95, v/v): $R_{f(3b)} = 0.33$. mp >200 °C dec; ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectra for **3b** are identical to the

spectra of **3a** and the racemic (\pm) trihydroxy cryptophane previously reported in our lab,^{2,3} for confirmation, HRMS (m/z): [M+Na]⁺ calcd for C₅₁H₄₈O₁₂Na 875.3043; found, 875.3041.

Tripropargyl cryptophane **4a-(-)**: Compound **3a** (0.041 g, 0.048 mmol, 1 equiv) and K₂CO₃ (0.033 g, 0.24 mmol, 5 equiv) were added into dry acetone (10 mL) under nitrogen. The mixture was stirred at rt for 30 min. The reaction mixture was cooled to 0°C and propargyl bromide (0.05 mL, 0.48 mmol, 10 equiv) was then added dropwise followed by stirring for 30 min at rt. Finally, the reaction mixture was refluxed for 2 days with stirring. The solvent was removed *in vacuo* and the crude mixture was purified by silica gel column chromatography (CH₂Cl₂-) Acetone: CH₂Cl₂ 5:95, v/v) to yield 0.024 g (0.025 mmol, 52% yield) of **4a** as a white powder. mp >200 °C dec; TLC (silica gel, acetone: CH₂Cl₂, 1:9, v/v): R_{f(4a)} = 0.73; ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectra for **4a** are identical to the spectra of the racemic (±) tripropargyl cryptophane previously reported in our lab; ² HRMS (m/z): [M+Na]⁺ calcd for C₆₀H₅₄O₁₂Na, 989.3513; found, 989.3514.

Tripropargyl cryptophane **4b**-(+): Following the procedure for the synthesis of **4a**, compound **3b** (0.045 g, 0.053 mmol, 1 equiv) in the presence of K₂CO₃ (0.037 g, 0.27 mmol, 5 equiv) and propargyl bromide (0.06 mL, 0.53 mmol, 10 equiv) in dry acetone (10 mL) afforded 0.028 g (0.029 mmol, 55% yield) of **4b** as a white solid, mp >200 °C dec; TLC (silica gel, acetone: CH₂Cl₂, 1:9, v/v): $R_{f(4b)} = 0.73$. ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectra for **4b** are identical to the spectra of **4a** and the racemic (±) tripropargyl cryptophane previously reported in our lab;² HRMS (*m/z*): [M+Na]⁺ calcd for C₆₀H₅₄O₁₂Na, 989.3513; found, 989.3533.



Cryptophane-A-(+): An excess of methyl iodide (0.035 g, 0.25 mmol, 10 equiv) was added to **3b**-(+) (0.021 g, 0.025 mmol, 1 equiv) and K₂CO₃ (0.017 g, 0.13 mmol, 5 equiv) in dry acetone (5 mL) under nitrogen. The reaction mixture was refluxed for 2 days with stirring. The solvent was removed *in vacuo* and the crude was purified by silica gel column chromatography (CH₂Cl₂→Acetone:CH₂Cl₂ 5:95, v/v) to yield 0.018 g (0.021 mmol, 85% yield) of **5** as a white powder. TLC (silica gel, acetone:CH₂Cl₂, 1:9, v/v): $R_{f(5)} = 0.82$; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 6.77 (s, 6H), 6.68 (s, 6H), 4.61 (d, *J* = 13. Hz, 6H), 4.17 (m, 12H), 3.81 (s, 9H), 3.42 (d, *J* = 13.8 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 149.9, 146.9, 134.4, 131.8, 121.0, 113.9, 69.6, 55.9, 36.4. HRMS (*m*/*z*): [M+Na]⁺ calcd for C₅₄H₅₄O₁₂Na, 917.3513; found, 917.3517.



NMR Data (¹H and ¹³C NMR Spectra):











Figure S1. ECD spectra of (a) enantiomers 3a-(-) and 3b-(+), (b) enantiomers 4a-(-) and 4b-(+), and (c) (+)-cryptophane-A in 1,4-dioxane. $\Delta \varepsilon_{282}$ is 10,000 ± 1000 M⁻¹cm⁻¹ for all reported cryptophanes (2a, 2b, 3a, 3b, 4a, and 4b) in 1,4-dioxane. (d) UV-Vis spectrum of tri-propargyl cryptophane-A (compound 4, 7×10^{-5} M solution in 1,4-dioxane).

¹²⁹Xe NMR Spectra:



Figure S2. ¹²⁹Xe NMR spectra of (**a**) diastereomer **2a**, and (**b**) diastereomer **2b**. Both diastereomers (~ 10 mM) were dissolved in $C_2D_2Cl_4$ and spectra were recorded at room temperature. Spectra are not temperature corrected, thus absolute and relative chemical shift values differ somewhat from spectrum of the mixture of diastereomers shown in the main text. Apart from the Xe@cryptophane peaks described in the main text, the peak of dissolved Xe in solvent (223.3 ppm) and the mirror image of this peak (around 10 ppm) are also present. Spectra are scaled to optimize the view of the cryptophane peaks.

References:

(1) Chambers, J. M.; Hill, P. A.; Aaron, J. A.; Han, Z.; Christianson, D. W.; Kuzma, N. N.; Dmochowski, I. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2008**, *131*, 563.

(2) Taratula, O.; Hill, P. A.; Bai, Y. B.; Khan, N. S.; Dmochowski, I. J. Org. Lett. **2011**, *13*, 1414.

(3) Hill, P. A.; Wei, Q.; Troxler, T.; Dmochowski, I. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 3069.