

Supporting Information Text S16:
BIS and CTMP comparison

Protein family	Method	Co-evolving pairs
MukB (49 seq)	BIS, <i>pos</i> , $d = 0$	C1: 4 6 9 C2: 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 22 23 26 C3: 36 37 39 40 41 42
	BIS, <i>pos</i> , $d = 1$	C1: 44 45 52 53 54 57 58 60 61 62 63 64 65 66 67 72 73 74 76 77 79 80 81 84 86 87 94 95 99 106 108 112 113 115 116 121 175 179 182 189 193 194 198 200 202 203 204 205 206 207 208 209 210 213 216 217 218 220 221 222 223 225 227 C2: 98 174 C3:107 111 117 214 219 C4:119 125 C5: 59 133 C6: 55 187 C7: 29 30 31 32 33
	CTMP	X:8 36 :96 14 :123 83:139 11: 86 3:135 159:180 149:171 29:32 55 :180 50:82 128:211 74 :191 57 :129 X: 54 75:226 17 :X 59 :129 21:X 68:181 128: 208 X:89 22 :X 26 :78 41 : 64 X: 45 134: 210 110:226 29 : 198 18 :X 70:166 X: 198 X:141 17 :X 109:191 X:173 154: 202 7: 133 72 :191 13:100 129:145 135:150 30 : 76 X:136 29 : 205 X:92 14 : 30 39 :150 73 :135
MukB (54 seq)	BIS, <i>pos</i> , $d = 0$	C1: 16 20 22 C2: 36 37 39 40
	BIS, <i>pos</i> , $d = 1$	C1: 45 61 64 66 77 200 202 203 C2: 44 60 62 76 84 204 210 222 C3: 54 74 81 99 179 182 207 217 221 C4: 80 205 C5: 52 193 220 C6: 31 33
	CTMP	42:83 47:X 42:192 25:X 74 :142 117:139 X:209 X: 205 X: 205 46:135 2:195 X:25 64 :144 X:91 X: 77 191:211 4:151 64 :122 25:X 104:127 98:191 20 :42 39 :144 X:156 3:46 25:X 48:129 83:108 41:176 X: 220 4:126 73:127 96:101 X:87 34 :65 3:212 X:196 X:78 80 :106 3:116 31 :48 71:128 X:78 156:159 126:145 14: 37 X:91 X:91 38:144
MukB (200 seq)	BIS, <i>pos</i> , $d = 0$	C1: 4 6 9 C2: 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 22 23 26 C3: 34 35 36 37 39 40 41 42
	BIS, <i>pos</i> , $d = 1$	C1:44 45 52 53 54 57 58 60 61 62 63 64 65 66 67 72 73 74 76 77 79 80 81 84 86 87 94 95 99 108 112 113 115 116 121 175 179 182 189 193 194 198 200 202 203 204 205 206 207 208 209 210 213 216 217 218 220 221 222 223 225 227 C2:98 174 C3:107 111 117 214 219 C4:119 125 C5:59 133 C6:49 145 170 C7:55 187 C8:29 30 31 32 33
	CTMP	NO CO-EVOLVING PAIRS
MukB (205 seq)	BIS, <i>pos</i> , $d = 0$	C1: 16 20 22 C2: 36 37 39 40
	BIS, <i>pos</i> , $d = 1$	C1: 45 61 64 66 77 200 202 203 C2: 44 60 62 76 84 204 210 222 C3: 54 74 81 99 179 182 207 217 221 C4: 80 205 C5: 52 193 220 C6: 31 33
	CTMP	NO CO-EVOLVING PAIRS

Table 1: MukB protein: comparing BIS to CTMP.

Comparison of CTMP and BIS (run on alignment positions - *pos*) on the MukB family. The CTMP experiment was run with the following parameters: penalty = 0.1, threshold on the fraction on gapped sequences = 1, threshold on the fraction of conserved sequences = 1, threshold on the fraction of sequences covarying between overlapping states = 0.0, threshold on the likelihood = 6.0. The 50 highest pairs of co-evolving residues (pdb positions) are reported. Bolds highlight residues in common with BIS.

Protein family	Method	Co-evolving pairs
PABD (20 seq)	BIS, <i>pos</i> , <i>d</i> = 0	C1: 22 23 27 29 C2: 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 42 46 48 49 C3: 41 44 C4: 51 52
	BIS, <i>pos</i> , <i>d</i> = 1	C1: 11 13 14 15 18 C2: 16 17
	CTMP	3:11 6:23 11:15 11:38 30:35 3:6 3:35 35:38 11:18 11:52 15:35 6:30 11:16 28:35 18:35 11:36 6:38 35:52 6:15 11:49 11:34 16:35 11:27 11:51 11:13 11:31 35:49 11:29 11:22 34:35 35:36 27:35 11:12 6:18 13:35 29:35 35:51 22:35 12:35 6:52 6:16 11:28 31:35 6:49 6:36 6:34 11:32 6:27 6:13
PABD (28 seq)	BIS, <i>pos</i> , <i>d</i> = 0	C1: 32 39 C2: 42 49
	BIS, <i>pos</i> , <i>d</i> = 1	C1: 11 13 14
	CTMP	25:30 37:48 5:13 13:28 25:51 14:33 30:33 5:32 43:46 8:34 24:32 16:25 8:20 37:50 21:37 32:38 37:45 17:31 8:38 13:35 14:18 8:19 19:32 15:17 8:28 20:32 32:44 28:32 31:54 17:51 25:43 15:54 31:33 8:44 15:33 40:54 17:29 13:46 17:30 8:26 23:25 25:38 32:50 33:40 25:34 17:36 3:37 30:40 29:54
PABD (452 seq)	BIS, <i>pos</i> , <i>d</i> = 0	C1: 22 23 27 29 C2: 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 42 46 48 49 C3: 41 44 C4: 51 52
	BIS, <i>pos</i> , <i>d</i> = 1	C1: 11 13 14 15 18 C2: 16 17
	CTMP	35:42 27:39 28:37 21:47 8:30 16:39 9:35 9:21 X:34 12:52 3:39 20:21 10:46 8:28 21:48 12:47 39:44 39:42 47:52 7:21 X:28 14:50 6:37 5:52 17:35 7:10 5:40 26:39 10:37 26:50 7:52 21:45 7:47 12:40 7:12 16:50 40:47 42:50 40:52 35:47 X:25 33:39 10:19 18:50 36:50 21:52 5:12 38:39 29:39
PABD (490 seq)	BIS, <i>pos</i> , <i>d</i> = 0	C1: 32 39
	BIS, <i>pos</i> , <i>d</i> = 1	C1: 11 13 14
	CTMP	23:50 26:37 11:53 20:39 4:27 14:37 19:39 10:52 5:21 X:20 36:37 X:14 21:52 4:19 X:19 45:50 21:40 7:35 18:50 35:47 4:20 39:46 X:23 3:8 39:43 X:36 8:23 7:52 39:45 3:50 47:52 31:39 4:14 25:39 40:52 30:53 44:50 4:44 4:26 37:44 4:16 4:18 8:37 10:35 X:44 8:25 10:39 19:37 31:50

Table 2: **Protein A-B domain: comparing BIS to CTMP.**

Comparison of CTMP and BIS (run on alignment positions) analyses of the Protein A-B domain family. See legend in Table 1-Text S16.

AATpase family	Method	Co-evolving pairs
DEAD-box	BIS, <i>pos</i> , <i>d</i> = 0	C1: 34 73 75 76 256 257 259 318 319 709 797 804
	BIS, <i>pos</i> , <i>d</i> = 1	C1: 133 213 C2: 136 801
	CTMP	528:529 528:856 153:528 153:826 528:826 528:851 155:528 154:528 470:528 108:528 828:829 528:530 112:528 528:626 528:857 93:528 528:612 161:528 826:828 498:528 288:528 528:621 529:530 472:528 490:528 61:528 111:528 52:528 501:528 528:858 528:702 492:528 46:528 500:528 528:624 528:860 528:609 486:528 525:528 485:528 528:623 528:854 59:528 1:528 528:611 528:614 7:528 289:528 528:618
DEAH-RHA	BIS, <i>pos</i> , <i>d</i> = 0	C1: 33 73 75 76 78 129 140 256 257 260 261 266 319 699 745 791 798 801 808
	BIS, <i>pos</i> , <i>d</i> = 1	C1: 70 127 C2: 72 130 174 213 215 259 317 318 700 708711 797 800 803 804 C3: 134 554 C4: 138 170 C5: 750 834
	CTMP	171:672 83:171 171: 801 171:175 83:672 325:814 814:860 83:818 161:171 171: 261 171:216 171:342 175:672 171:748 83:175 814:823 171:267 36:790 171: 804 171:558 79:171 171:835 171:701 790:814 202:860 83:161 171:312 83:89 672: 801 83: 801 36:89 566:814 36:90 89:790 325:790 814:858 672:790 171:695 171:805 83:748 34:171 672:748 89:672 36:325 558:672 36:860 36:163 141:171 33 :171
NS3/NPH-II	BIS, <i>pos</i> , <i>d</i> = 0	C1: 22 37 122 128 211 264 265 312 342 346 551 813 838 C2: 124 711 C3: 73 75 76 129 170 213 256 257 259 318 319 568 745 797 800 801 803 804 C4: 130 327 C5: 131 267 C6: 72 132 810 C7: 140 214 664 667 C8: 8 18 536 537 674 C9: 78 81 210 C10: 215 258 562 C11: 254 262 C12: 317 554 C13: 320 322 699 709 743 C14: 321 666 C15: 553 802 C16: 677 806 C17: 695 701
	BIS, <i>pos</i> , <i>d</i> = 1	C1: 125 698 C2: 136 217 271 657 751 862 C3: 16 137 C4: 139 831 844 C5: 20 23 328 C6: 28 763 C7: 333 746 C8: 39 794 C9: 544 661 C10: 79 759
	CTMP	1:2 1:3
Swi2/Snf2	BIS, <i>pos</i> , <i>d</i> = 0	C1: 73 75 76 77 136 256 257 320 804
	BIS, <i>pos</i> , <i>d</i> = 1	C1: 129 797 801 807 C2: 74 709
	CTMP	140:750 40:750 73 :750 137:140 334:750 750:816 74 :750 40:334 67:750 710:750 75 :750 256 :750 140:215 40:140 73 : 136 34:40 393:750 136 :750 641:750 74 : 75 72:750 40:393 750:806 34:393 40:170 40:747 40: 256 40: 73 393:433 40:337 73 : 74 750:817 712:750 215:750 750: 801 671:750 140:393 73 : 75 34:750 67:140 396:750 137:215 328:750 86:393 73 :140 74 :710 747:750 709 :750 75 :710

Table 3: **AATPase subfamilies: comparing BIS to CTMP.**

Comparison of CTMP and BIS (run on alignment positions) analyses of the AATPase subfamilies. BIS was run on the 11 subfamilies but only 4 of them output a list of residues. See legend in Table 1-Text S16. Note that these are the only AATPase subfamilies where CTMP provided some answer.