# SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

# ASSOCIATION BETWEEN CORONARY VASCULAR DYSFUNCTION AND CARDIAC MORTALITY IN PATIENTS WITH AND WITHOUT DIABETES MELLITUS

Venkatesh L. Murthy, M.D., Ph.D.; Masanao Naya, M.D., Ph.D.; Courtney R. Foster, B.S., C.N.M.T; Mariya Gaber, M.L.A.; Jon Hainer, B.Sc.; Josh Klein, B.A., Sharmila Dorbala, M.D., M.P.H.; Ron Blankstein, M.D.; Marcelo F. Di Carli, M.D.

From the (1) Noninvasive Cardiovascular Imaging Program (VLM, MN, SD, RB, MDC), Departments of Medicine and Radiology; (2) Division of Cardiovascular Medicine (VLM, RB, MDC), (3) Division of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging (CRF, MG, JH, JK, SD, MDC), Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, MA

Short Title: Murthy, et al. Coronary vascular function in diabetes

Correspondence to: Marcelo F. Di Carli, M.D. Brigham & Women's Hospital ASB-L1 037C 75 Francis St Boston, MA 02115 Email: mdicarli@partners.org Phone: (617) 732-6291 Fax: (617) 582-6056

## SUPPLEMENTAL TABLE 1. MULTIVARIABLE SURVIVAL ANALYSIS (NON-DIABETICS)

	Diabetics (N=1172)	Non-Diabetics (N=1611)
All-Cause Mortality		/
Annualized Mortality	7.5%	5.4%
HR for CFR	2.03 (1.34-3.08)	3.42 (2.17-5.38)
C-Index	0.778 (0.736-0.819)	0.775 (0.736-0.814)
Continuous NRI	0.503 (0.312-0.701)	0.678 (0.519-0.826)
NRI (2 and 6%/yr)	0.126 (0.052-0.207)	0.197 (0.110-0.288)
NRI (2 and 6%/yr), Intermediate Risk Stratum	0.535 (0.263-0.822)	0.406 (0.185-0.628)
IDI	0.012 (0.004-0.020)	0.029 (0.021-0.038)
Relative IDI	0.089 (0.028-0.146)	0.286 (0.197-0.387)

Comparison of prognostic performance of CFR. Estimates for HR, c-index, NRI and IDI are adjusted for Duke clinical risk score, BMI, nephropathy/retinopathy (diabetics only), rest LVEF, combined extent and severity of scar and ischemia and LVEF reserve. CFR=coronary flow reserve. HR=hazard ratio. NRI=net reclassification improvement. IDI=integrated discrimination improvement.



#### SUPPLEMENT FIGURE 1. RISK RECLASSIFICATION FOR DIABETICS

Illustration of risk reclassification by addition of coronary flow reserve (CFR) to a model containing clinical risk factors, left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF), LVEF reserve and combined extent of myocardial ischemia and scar. The height of each bar is proportional to the number of patients in each pre-CFR risk category (<1, 1-3 and >3% per year risk of cardiac death) as estimated by a model containing clinical risk factors, rest LVEF, LVEF reserve and extent of myocardial ischemia and scar (Model 5, Table 3A, Main Text). Each of these bars is subdivided proportionate to the number of patients reclassified as <1 (green), 1-3 (blue) and >3% (red) per year risk of cardiac death categories after the addition of CFR to the risk model (Model 6, Table 3A, Main Text). The horizontal bar charts at right represent the observed annualized rates of cardiac mortality in each of the post-CFR risk categories.



### SUPPLEMENT FIGURE 2. RISK RECLASSIFICATION FOR NON-DIABETICS

Illustration of risk reclassification by addition of coronary flow reserve (CFR) to a model containing clinical risk factors, left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF), LVEF reserve and combined extent of myocardial ischemia and scar. The height of each bar is proportional to the number of patients in each pre-CFR risk category (<1, 1-3 and >3% per year risk of cardiac death) as estimated by a model containing clinical risk factors, rest LVEF, LVEF reserve and extent of myocardial ischemia and scar (Model 4, Table 3B, Main Text). Each of these bars is subdivided proportionate to the number of patients reclassified as <1 (green), 1-3 (blue) and >3% (red) per year risk of cardiac death categories after the addition of CFR to the risk model (Model 5, Table 3B, Main Text). The horizontal bar charts at right represent the observed annualized rates of cardiac mortality in each of the post-CFR risk categories.