

# Supplementary Material to Augmented GEE for improving efficiency and validity of estimation in cluster randomized trials by leveraging cluster- and individual-level covariates

Alisa J. Stephens\*, Eric J. Tchetgen Tchetgen, and Victor De Gruttola

## Appendix A: $\hat{\beta}$ Solutions for Standard and Augmented Logistic GEE in cluster randomized designs

Let  $Y_{ij}$  denote the response (0 or 1) for the  $j_{th}$  individual in the  $i_{th}$  cluster.  $\mathbf{Y}_i = (Y_{i1}, Y_{i2}, \dots, Y_{in_i})^T$ , where  $n_i$  is the number of subjects within the  $i_{th}$  cluster. A typical model for binary data is  $E(Y_{ij}|A_i) = g(A_i; \beta) = g(\beta_0 + \beta_1 A_i)$ , where  $g$  is the inverse logit link function. The standard GEE for the marginal treatment effect are given by

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \psi_i(\mathbf{Y}, A; \beta) = \sum_{i=1}^m \mathbf{D}_i^T \mathbf{V}_i^{-1} \{\mathbf{Y}_i - \mathbf{g}(A_i; \beta)\} = \mathbf{0}, \quad (1)$$

where bold  $\mathbf{g}(A_i; \beta)$  denotes the  $n_i$ -dimensional link function for the outcome vector  $\mathbf{Y}_i$ ,  $\mathbf{D}_i$  is the  $n_i \times p$  matrix defined by  $\frac{\partial \mathbf{g}(A_i; \beta)}{\partial \beta^T}$ , and  $\mathbf{V}_i$  is a  $n_i \times n_i$  working covariance matrix for  $\mathbf{Y}_i$ .

$\mathbf{D}_i$  is composed of the  $n_i$ -dimensional columns  $\vec{D}_{i,0} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{g}(A_i; \beta)}{\partial \beta_0}$  and  $\vec{D}_{i,1} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{g}(A_i; \beta)}{\partial \beta_1}$ . Because of the cluster-randomized design,  $\vec{D}_{i,0}$  and  $\vec{D}_{i,1}$  are vectors of the form  $\vec{D}_{i,p} = (D_{i,p}, D_{i,p}, \dots, D_{i,p})^T$  for  $p = 0, 1$  (intercept and treatment effect), with

$$D_{i,0} = \frac{\partial g(A_i; \beta)}{\partial \beta_0} = \frac{\exp(\beta_0 + \beta_1 A_i)}{(1 + \exp(\beta_0 + \beta_1 A_i))} \left( 1 - \frac{\exp(\beta_0 + \beta_1 A_i)}{(1 + \exp(\beta_0 + \beta_1 A_i))} \right) = \pi(A_i) \{1 - \pi(A_i)\}$$

$$D_{i,1} = \frac{\partial g(A_i; \beta)}{\partial \beta_1} = \frac{\exp(\beta_0 + \beta_1 A_i) A_i}{(1 + \exp(\beta_0 + \beta_1 A_i))} \left( 1 - \frac{\exp(\beta_0 + \beta_1 A_i) A_i}{(1 + \exp(\beta_0 + \beta_1 A_i))} \right) = \pi(A_i) \{1 - \pi(A_i)\} A_i,$$

where  $\pi(A_i) = E(Y_{ij}|A_i)$ . We recall that  $\mathbf{D}_i$  is evaluated using an initial estimator  $\hat{\beta}_{init}$ , usually obtained from standard logistic regression that does not account for clustering.

The inverse working covariance matrix  $\mathbf{V}_i^{-1}$  can be broken down into its columns and scalar elements. Let  $\mathbf{V}_i^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \vec{V}_{i,1}^{-1} & \vec{V}_{i,2}^{-1} & \dots & \vec{V}_{i,n_i}^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$ , where  $\vec{V}_{i,j}^{-1}$  is the  $j_{th}$  column of  $\mathbf{V}_i^{-1}$ , and  $V_{i,q,j}^{-1}$  represents the scalar element in the  $q_{th}$  row,  $j_{th}$  column. Using this construction, after some matrix algebra, a closed form solution for  $\beta$  under a cluster randomized

design is given by

$$\hat{\beta}_0 = \text{logit} \left( \left[ \sum_{i=1}^m \left\{ I(A_i = 0) D_{i_0} \sum_{q,j \leq n_i} V_{i_{q,j}}^{-1} \right\} \right]^{-1} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^m \left\{ (\bar{D}_{i_0} - \bar{D}_{i_1})^T \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} (\bar{V}_{i,j}^{-1} Y_{ij}) \right\} \right] \right)$$

$$\hat{\beta}_1 = \text{logit} \left( \left[ \sum_{i=1}^m \left\{ I(A_i = 1) D_{i_1} \sum_{q,j \leq n_i} V_{i_{q,j}}^{-1} \right\} \right]^{-1} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^m \left\{ \bar{D}_{i_1}^T \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} (\bar{V}_{i,j}^{-1} Y_{ij}) \right\} \right] \right) - \hat{\beta}_0$$

This solution can be simplified using the working covariance structure. Under exchangeable correlation,  $V_{i_{q,q}} = \phi$  and  $V_{i_{q,j}} = \rho$  for  $q \neq j$ . We note working independence as a special case with off-diagonal elements  $\rho = 0$ . Proceeding, let  $\phi^{-1}$  and  $\rho^{-1}$  denote the diagonal and off-diagonal elements of  $\mathbf{V}_i^{-1}$ , respectively. The above simplifies to

$$\hat{\beta}_0 = \text{logit} \left( \left[ \sum_{i=1}^m D_{i_0} I(A_i = 0) \{n_i \phi^{-1} + n_i(n_i - 1) \rho^{-1}\} \right]^{-1} \times \left[ \sum_{i=1}^m \left\{ (D_{i_0} - D_{i_1}) \{ (n_i - 1) \rho_i^{-1} + \phi^{-1} \} \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} Y_{ij} \right\} \right] \right)$$

$$\hat{\beta}_1 = \text{logit} \left( \left[ \sum_{i=1}^m I(A_i = 1) D_{i_1} \{n_i \phi^{-1} + n_i(n_i - 1) \rho_1^{-1}\} \right]^{-1} \times \left[ \sum_{i=1}^m \left\{ D_{i_1} \{ (n_i - 1) \rho^{-1} + \phi^{-1} \} \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} Y_{ij} \right\} \right] \right) - \hat{\beta}_0$$

In the case of the augmented GEE, we estimate  $\hat{\beta}$  using the augmented estimating equations

$$\sum_{i=1}^m [\mathbf{D}_i^T \mathbf{V}_i^{-1} \{ \mathbf{Y}_i - \mathbf{g}(A_i; \beta) \} - (A_i - \pi) \hat{\gamma}(\mathbf{X}_i)] = \mathbf{0},$$

where  $\hat{\gamma}(X_i) = [\mathbf{D}_i(1)^T \mathbf{V}_i(1)^{-1} \{ f_1(\mathbf{X}_i; \hat{\eta}_1) - \mathbf{g}(1; \beta) \} - \mathbf{D}_i(0)^T \mathbf{V}_i(0)^{-1} \{ f_0(\mathbf{X}_i; \hat{\eta}_0) - \mathbf{g}(0; \beta) \}]$ .

Above, we take  $\mathbf{D}_i(k) = \frac{\partial \mathbf{g}(k; \beta)}{\partial \beta^T}$ ,  $\mathbf{V}_i(k) = \mathbf{V}_i$  evaluated under treatment  $k$ , and  $f_k(\mathbf{X}_i; \hat{\eta}_k) = \hat{E}[\mathbf{Y}_i | A_i = k, \mathbf{X}_i]$  for  $k = 0, 1$ . Vectors  $\bar{D}_{i_p}(k)$ ,  $\bar{V}_{i,j}^{-1}(k)$ , and scalars  $D_{i_p}(k)$ ,  $V_{i_{q,j}}^{-1}(k)$  are defined similarly as above, evaluated under treatment  $k$ . For brevity, we write  $\mathbf{F}_{k_i}$  below, where  $\mathbf{F}_{k_i} = f_k(\mathbf{X}_i; \hat{\eta}_k)$ . Solutions for  $\hat{\beta}_0$  and  $\hat{\beta}_1$  are given by

$$\hat{\beta}_0 = \text{logit} \left( \left[ (1 - \pi) \sum_{i=1}^m \left\{ D_{i_0}(0) \sum_{q,j \leq n_i} V_{i_{q,j}}^{-1}(0) \right\} \right]^{-1} \times \sum_{i=1}^m \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} \left\{ (\bar{D}_{i_0} - \bar{D}_{i_1})^T V_{i,j}^{-1} Y_{ij} - (A_i - \pi) \left( -\bar{D}_{i_0}(0) \bar{V}_{i,j}^{-1}(0) F_{0_{ij}} \right) \right\} \right] \right)$$

$$\hat{\beta}_1 = \text{logit} \left( \left[ \pi \sum_{i=1}^m \left\{ D_{i_1}(1) \sum_{q,j \leq n_i} V_{i_{q,j}}^{-1}(1) \right\} \right]^{-1} \times \sum_{i=1}^m \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} \left\{ (\bar{D}_{i_1}^T V_{i,j}^{-1} Y_{ij}) - (A_i - \pi) \left( \bar{D}_{i_1}(1)^T \bar{V}_{i,j}^{-1}(1) F_{1_{ij}} \right) \right\} \right] \right) - \hat{\beta}_0,$$

The simplified expression in case of exchangeable structure is

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\beta}_0 &= \text{logit} \left( \left[ (1 - \pi) \sum_{i=1}^m D_{i_0}(0) \{n_i \phi^{-1} + n_i(n_i - 1) \rho_0^{-1}\} \right]^{-1} \times \right. \\ &\quad \left. \sum_{i=1}^m \left[ (D_{i_0} - D_{i_1}) \{ (n_i - 1) \rho_i^{-1} + \phi_i^{-1} \} \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} Y_{ij} - (A_i - \pi) \left\{ -D_{i_1}(0) \{ (n_i - 1) \rho_0^{-1} + \phi_0^{-1} \} \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} F_{0ij} \right\} \right] \right) \\ \hat{\beta}_1 &= \text{logit} \left( \left[ \pi \sum_{i=1}^m \left\{ D_{i_1}(1) \{ n_i \phi_1^{-1} + n_i(n_i - 1) \rho_1^{-1} \} \right\} \right]^{-1} \times \right. \\ &\quad \left. \sum_{i=1}^m \left[ D_{i_1} \{ (n_i - 1) \rho_i^{-1} + \phi_i^{-1} \} \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} Y_{ij} - (A_i - \pi) \left\{ D_{i_1}(1) \{ (n_i - 1) \rho_1^{-1} + \phi_1^{-1} \} \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} F_{1ij} \right\} \right] \right) - \hat{\beta}_0, \end{aligned}$$

where we maintain the index  $i$  in  $D_{i_p}(k)$  to be consistent with the unsimplified expressions above, in which the index  $i$  on  $\vec{D}_{i_p}(k)$  is retained to be mindful of varying cluster size. The quantity  $D_{i_p}(k)$ , however, is a fixed function of  $E(Y_{ij}|A_i = k)$ .

## Appendix B: Variance Estimators

Let  $\mathbf{Y}_i$  be the  $n_i$ -dimensional response vector,  $A_i$  the scalar treatment variable, and  $\mathbf{X}_i$  a collection of baseline covariates potentially at the cluster and individual level. The model  $E(\mathbf{Y}_i|A_i) = \mathbf{g}(A_i; \beta)$  is assumed, and the estimator  $\hat{\beta}$  is obtained by solving the augmented estimating equations detailed in Section 2. Recall that  $\mathbf{V}_i$  is a working covariance matrix as typically used in GEE for estimating coefficients in restricted moment models and  $\pi = P(A_i = 1)$ . Formulas for the variance estimators discussed in section 3 are presented below. The asymptotic variability of  $\hat{\beta}_{aug}$  is shown to be  $\text{var}(\hat{\beta}_{aug}) = \Gamma^{-1} \Delta \Gamma^{-1T}$ , where  $\Gamma = E \left[ \frac{\partial \psi_{i_{opt}}(\mathbf{Y}, A, \mathbf{X}; \beta)}{\partial \beta^T} \right]$ , and  $\Delta = E \left[ \psi_{i_{opt}}(\mathbf{Y}, A, \mathbf{X}; \beta) \otimes^2 \right]$ , with  $U \otimes^2 = U U^T$ . In each of the below,  $\hat{\Gamma} = m^{-1} \sum_i \mathbf{D}_i^T \mathbf{V}_i^{-1} \mathbf{D}_i$ . The four variance estimators considered are:

1.  $\hat{var}_1(\hat{\beta}_{aug}) = \hat{\Gamma}^{-1} \hat{\Delta} \hat{\Gamma}^{-1T}$ , where  $\hat{\Delta} = m^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^m \hat{\psi}_{i_{opt}} \otimes^2$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\psi}_{i_{opt}}(\mathbf{Y}, A, \mathbf{X}; \beta) &= \mathbf{D}_i^T \mathbf{V}_i^{-1} \{ \mathbf{Y}_i - \mathbf{g}(A_i; \hat{\beta}_{aug}) \} \\ &\quad - (A_i - \pi) \left[ \mathbf{D}_i(1)^T \mathbf{V}_i(1)^{-1} \{ f_1(\mathbf{X}_i; \hat{\eta}_1) - \mathbf{g}(1; \hat{\beta}_{aug}) \} - \mathbf{D}_i(0)^T \mathbf{V}_i(0)^{-1} \{ f_0(\mathbf{X}_i; \hat{\eta}_0) - \mathbf{g}(0; \hat{\beta}_{aug}) \} \right] \end{aligned}$$

2.  $\hat{var}_2(\hat{\beta}_{aug}) = \hat{\Gamma}^{-1} \hat{\Delta}^* \hat{\Gamma}^{-1T}$ , where  $\hat{\Delta}^* = m^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^m (\mathbf{H}_i \hat{\psi}_i) \otimes^2$ , and  $\mathbf{H}_i$  is a diagonal matrix with  $H_{ijj} = \left[ 1 - \min\{q, (\frac{\partial \psi_i(\mathbf{Y}_i, A, \mathbf{X}_i; \beta)}{\partial \beta^T} \times \hat{\Gamma})_{jj}\} \right]^{-1/2}$  [1], and  $\hat{\psi}_i = \hat{\psi}_i(\mathbf{Y}, A, \mathbf{X}; \beta)$  is as defined in 1).

3.  $\hat{var}_3(\hat{\beta}_{aug}) = \hat{\Gamma}^{-1} \tilde{\Delta} \hat{\Gamma}^{-1T}$ , where  $\tilde{\Delta} = m^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^m \tilde{\psi}_{i_{opt}} \otimes^2$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\psi}_{i_{opt}} &= \mathbf{D}_i^T \mathbf{V}_i^{-1} \{ \mathbf{Y}_i - \mathbf{g}(A_i; \hat{\beta}_{aug}) \} \\ &\quad - (A_i - \pi) \left[ \mathbf{D}_i(1)^T \mathbf{V}_i(1)^{-1} \{ f_1(\mathbf{X}_i; \hat{\eta}_1) - \mathbf{g}(1; \hat{\beta}_{aug}) \} - \mathbf{D}_i(0)^T \mathbf{V}_i(0)^{-1} \{ f_0(\mathbf{X}_i; \hat{\eta}_0) - \mathbf{g}(0; \hat{\beta}_{aug}) \} \right] \\ &\quad - (A_i - \pi) \left[ \mathbf{D}_i(1)^T \mathbf{V}_i(1)^{-1} \{ f'_1(\mathbf{X}_i; \hat{\eta}_1) \} \hat{\zeta}_1(\mathbf{Y}_i, \mathbf{X}_i; \hat{\eta}_1) - \mathbf{D}_i(0)^T \mathbf{V}_i(0)^{-1} \{ f'_0(\mathbf{X}_i; \hat{\eta}_0) \} \hat{\zeta}_0(\mathbf{Y}_i, \mathbf{X}_i; \hat{\eta}_0) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

$\hat{\zeta}_k(\mathbf{Y}_i, \mathbf{X}_i; \hat{\eta})$  is the first order approximation of the term  $(\hat{\eta}_k - \eta^*)$  that results from estimation of  $\eta_k$  in  $E(\mathbf{Y}_i|\mathbf{X}_i, A_i)$ . If  $E(\mathbf{Y}_i|\mathbf{X}_i, A_i)$  is estimated by linear regression,  $\hat{\zeta}_k(\mathbf{Y}_i, \mathbf{X}_i; \hat{\eta}) = \left( \sum_{i=1}^m \mathbf{X}_i^T \mathbf{X}_i \right)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^m \mathbf{X}_i^T (\mathbf{Y}_i -$

$\mathbf{X}_i \hat{\eta}_k$ ). For nonlinear models, in which  $E(\mathbf{Y}_i | \mathbf{X}_i, A_i) = \mu(\mathbf{X}_i; \eta_k)$ ,  $(\hat{\eta}_k - \eta_k^*)$  may be approximated by  $\left( \sum_{i=1}^m \mathbf{F}_i^T \mathbf{W}_i^{-1} \mathbf{F}_i \right)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^m \mathbf{F}_i^T \mathbf{W}_i^{-1} (\mathbf{Y}_i - \mu(\mathbf{X}_i; \hat{\eta}_k))$ , where  $\mathbf{F}_i = \left. \frac{\partial \mu_k(\mathbf{X}_i; \eta)}{\partial \eta} \right|_{\eta_k = \hat{\eta}_k}$ , and  $\mathbf{W}_i$  is a diagonal matrix with  $W_{i,jj} = \phi_\mu \nu(\mu)$ , following from generalized linear model theory. The function  $\nu(\mu)$  denotes the variance function and  $\phi_\mu$  the dispersion parameter. We include the subscript  $\mu$  in  $\phi_\mu$  to distinguish from  $\phi$  involved in characterizing  $V(Y_{ij} | A_i)$  in the main text.

4.  $\hat{v}ar_4(\hat{\beta}_{aug}) = \hat{\Gamma}^{-1} \tilde{\Delta}^* \hat{\Gamma}^{-1T}$ , where  $\tilde{\Delta}^* = m^{-1} \sum_i (\mathbf{H}_i \tilde{\psi}_i) \otimes^2$ , with  $\tilde{\psi}$  and  $\mathbf{H}_i$  are as defined above. In 2) and 4), the lower bound  $q$  is typically set to 0.75 to prevent gross inflation [1].

## Appendix C: Additional Simulations

**Table 1. Standard vs. Augmented GEE, Binary Outcome: 250 clusters, low and high association,  $\rho = 0.05$ .** *Std: unaugmented. Correlation is exchangeable for all estimators. C,F,O,W: augmentation with 'Correct', 'Forward' selected, 'One-variable', or 'Wrong' model. ML, OLS: augmentation fit with maximum likelihood or ordinary least squares. SE: average unadjusted sandwich. MC RE: square of the Monte Carlo SE of the Std(Exch) estimator divided by the Monte Carlo SE for the indicated estimator. Coverage: coverage based on unadjusted sandwich SE.*

	Estimator	$\hat{\beta}_1$	Bias	SE	MC SE	MC RE	Coverage
m=250, low	Std	-0.3036	0.0077	0.0739	0.0778	1.0000	0.936
	C - ML	-0.3029	0.0069	0.0705	0.0744	1.0951	0.935
	C - OLS	-0.3032	0.0072	0.0710	0.0750	1.0778	0.937
	F - ML	-0.3023	0.0064	0.0701	0.0753	1.0683	0.935
	F - OLS	-0.3026	0.0066	0.0703	0.0757	1.0571	0.937
	O - ML	-0.3033	0.0073	0.0717	0.0752	1.0704	0.937
	O - OLS	-0.3033	0.0073	0.0717	0.0754	1.0658	0.935
	W - ML	-0.3034	0.0075	0.0728	0.0768	1.0271	0.938
W - OLS	-0.3035	0.0075	0.0728	0.0768	1.0258	0.938	
m=250, high	Std	1.1310	0.0052	0.0567	0.0576	1.0000	0.943
	C - ML	1.1314	0.0047	0.0489	0.0497	1.3429	0.940
	C - OLS	1.1313	0.0049	0.0496	0.0505	1.2989	0.943
	F - ML	1.1314	0.0047	0.0485	0.0502	1.3156	0.937
	F - OLS	1.1314	0.0048	0.0491	0.0510	1.2719	0.941
	O - ML	1.1312	0.0050	0.0501	0.0509	1.2799	0.934
	O - OLS	1.1313	0.0048	0.0506	0.0512	1.2651	0.941
	W - ML	1.1310	0.0051	0.0531	0.0542	1.1301	0.938
W - OLS	1.1310	0.0051	0.0533	0.0542	1.1266	0.937	

**Table 2. Standard vs. Augmented GEE, Binary Outcome: 250 clusters, low and high association,  $\rho = 0.05$ .** *Std: unaugmented. Correlation is exchangeable for all estimators. C,F,O,W: augmentation with 'Correct', 'Forward' selected, 'One-variable', or 'Wrong' model. ML, OLS: augmentation fit with maximum likelihood or ordinary least squares. SE: average unadjusted sandwich. MC RE: square of the Monte Carlo SE of the Std(Exch) estimator divided by the Monte Carlo SE for the indicated estimator. Coverage: coverage based on unadjusted sandwich SE.*

	Estimator	$\hat{\beta}_1$	Bias	SE	MC SE	MC RE	Coverage
m=250, low	Std	-0.2299	0.0135	0.1164	0.1190	1.0000	0.938
	C - ML	-0.2290	0.0126	0.1144	0.1173	1.0293	0.936
	C - OLS	-0.2293	0.0129	0.1146	0.1175	1.0256	0.935
	F - ML	-0.2276	0.0112	0.1135	0.1185	1.0077	0.932
	F - OLS	-0.2280	0.0116	0.1135	0.1187	1.0041	0.933
	O - ML	-0.2294	0.0130	0.1150	0.1175	1.0253	0.935
	O - OLS	-0.2295	0.0130	0.1150	0.1176	1.0234	0.935
	W - ML	-0.2296	0.0131	0.1155	0.1188	1.0020	0.931
	W - OLS	-0.2297	0.0132	0.1155	0.1188	1.0021	0.932
m=250, high	Std	1.0429	0.0072	0.0883	0.0887	1.0000	0.944
	C - ML	1.0436	0.0065	0.0835	0.0848	1.0936	0.949
	C - OLS	1.0435	0.0066	0.0839	0.0851	1.0871	0.948
	F - ML	1.0442	0.0059	0.0828	0.0858	1.0694	0.938
	F - OLS	1.0444	0.0058	0.0831	0.0860	1.0643	0.941
	O - ML	1.0433	0.0068	0.0842	0.0851	1.0863	0.951
	O - OLS	1.0435	0.0067	0.0844	0.0851	1.0861	0.949
	W - ML	1.0431	0.0070	0.0859	0.0869	1.0409	0.951
	W - OLS	1.0431	0.0070	0.0860	0.0869	1.0406	0.950

## References

1. Fay MP, Graubard BI. Small-sample adjustments for wald-type tests using sandwich estimators. *Biometrics* 2001; **57**:1198–1206. DOI: 10.1111/j.0006-341X.2001.01198.x.