

Additional file 3

Figure 1 Funnel plots with pseudo 95% confidence intervals for rs1800469 analysed according to different genotype contrasts. A. Comparison of the homozygous TT genotype with the wild type CC genotype (fixed-effect model, p for Egger's regression test = 0.05); B. Comparison of the heterozygous CT genotype with the wild type CC genotype (fixed-effect model, p for Egger's regression test = 0.61); C. Comparison of the TT+CT genotype with the wild type CC genotype (fixed-effect model, p for Egger's regression test = 0.22).




Figure 2 Funnel plots with pseudo 95% confidence intervals for rs1982073 analysed according to different genotype contrasts. A. Comparison of the homozygous CC genotype with the wild type TT genotype (random-effect model, p for Egger's regression test = 0.94); B. Comparison of the heterozygous TC genotype with the wild type TT genotype (random-effect model, p for Egger's regression test = 0.50); C. Comparison of the variant genotype of CC+TC with the wild type TT genotype (random-effect model, p for Egger's regression test = 0.71).




Figure 3 Funnel plots with pseudo 95% confidence intervals for rs1800471 analysed according to different genotype contrasts. A. Comparison of the homozygous CC genotype with the wild type GG genotype (fixed-effect model, p for Egger's regression test = 0.75); B. Comparison of the heterozygous GC genotype with the wild type GG genotype (fixed-effect model, p for Egger's regression test = 0.89); C. Comparison of the variant genotype of CC+GC with the wild type GG genotype (fixed-effect model, p for Egger's regression test = 0.83).

