

Additional file 4

These tables provide the individual data points of the measured target protein concentration for the Figures 2, 3 and 4. For the explanation of the different abbreviations please refer to the original Figure legends.

Table 1: Measured protein concentrations during fed-batch cultivations of *TrCBH2* variants, optimized by different gene optimization methods; data as represented in Figure 2A.

Time (h)	P(AOX1)- <i>TrCBH2</i> - CP-CN7±1 (g/l)	P(De)- <i>TrCBH2</i> - CP-CN25±7 (g/l)	P(AOX1)- <i>TrCBH2</i> - HM-CN3 (g/l)	P(De)- <i>TrCBH2</i> - HM-CN7±1 (g/l)	P(De)- <i>TrCBH2</i> - HM-CN1 (g/l)	P(GAP)- <i>TrCBH2</i> - HM-CN4 (g/l)
0	0	0,01	0	0	0	0
18	0	0,22	0,05	0,14	0,01	0,35
47	0,18	0,73	0,81	1,20	0,05	1,11
74	0,69	2,42	1,70	2,74	0,35	1,70
90	1,26	3,81	2,78	4,37	0,43	2,43

Table 2: Measured protein concentrations during fed-batch cultivations of *TrCBH2* variants, optimized by different gene optimization methods; and normalized to regarding copy numbers, as represented in Figure 2B.

Time (h)	P(AOX1)- <i>TrCBH2</i> - CP-CN7±1 (g/l)	P(De)- <i>TrCBH2</i> - CP-CN25±7 (g/l)	P(AOX1)- <i>TrCBH2</i> - HM-CN3 (g/l)	P(De)- <i>TrCBH2</i> - HM-CN7±1 (g/l)	P(De)- <i>TrCBH2</i> - HM-CN1 (g/l)	P(GAP)- <i>TrCBH2</i> - HM-CN4 (g/l)
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
18	0	0,01	0,02	0,02	0,01	0,09
47	0,03	0,03	0,27	0,17	0,05	0,28
74	0,10	0,10	0,57	0,39	0,35	0,42
90	0,18	0,15	0,93	0,63	0,43	0,61

Table 3: Measured protein concentrations during fed-batch cultivations of *TrbMan* and *TXynA*; data as represented in Figure 2A.

Time (h)	P(En)- <i>TXynA</i> -HM- CN10±3 (g/l)	P(En)- <i>TXynA</i> -HM- CN18±4 (g/l)	Time (h)	P(En)- <i>TXynA</i> -HM- CN6±1 (g/l)	Time (h)	P(En)- <i>TrbMan</i> -HM- CN1 (g/l)
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
13	0,20	0	21	0,26	20	0,05
20	0,35	0,10	30	0,37	26	0,08
40	0,40	0,20	52	0,61	52	0,25
66,5	0,60	0,20	75,5	0,86	72	0,68
			92	0,85	91	0,71

Table 4: Individual data points of the fed- batch cultivation of selected strains; data points at 90 h and the respective copy numbers and expression levels normalized to copy number; data as represented in Figure 3.

Strain	<i>TrCBH2</i> _{t90} (g/l)	CN	<i>TrCBH2</i> _{t90} /CN (g/l)
P(AOX1)-CP-CN7±1	1,26	7	0,18
P(De)-CP-CN25±7	3,81	25	0,15
P(AOX1)-HM-CN3	2,78	3	0,93
P(De)-HM-CN7±1	4,37	7	0,63
P(De)-HM-CN1	0,43	1	0,43
P(GAP)-HM-CN4	2,43	4	0,61

Table 5: Relative ratios of the normalized expression levels at 90 h of the different gene optimization variants (HM/CP) under the control of P(AOX1) and P(De), data as represented in Figure 3B:

Promoter	<i>HM</i> _{t90, norm} (g/l)		<i>CP</i> _{t90, norm} (g/l)	<i>HM</i> _{t90, norm} / <i>CP</i> _{t90, norm}
P(De)	0,63	0,43	0,15	3,46 ±0,92
P(AOX1)	0,93		0,18	5,14

Table 6: Relative ratios of the normalized expression levels at 90 h of the different methanol inducible promoters (P(AOX1)/P(De)) expressing either *TrCBH2*-CP or *TrCBH2*-HM, data as represented in Figure 3C:

Gene optimization method	P(AOX1) (g/l)	P(De) (g/l)		P(AOX1)/P(De)
<i>CP</i> _{t90, norm}	0,18	0,15		1,18
<i>HM</i> _{t90, norm}	0,93	0,63	0,43	1,76

Table 7: Measured protein concentrations during fed-batch cultivations of *TrCBH2* variants expressed under the control of strong methanol inducible promoters (P(AOX1), P(En)) compared to the weak methanol inducible promoter P(De), data as represented in Figure 4A

Time (h)	P(AOX1)- <i>TrCBH2</i> -HM-CN5±1 (g/l)	Time (h)	P(En)- <i>TrCBH2</i> -HM-CN6±1 (g/l)	Time (h)	P(De)- <i>TrCBH2</i> -HM-CN7±1 (g/l)
0	0	0	0,05	0	0
20,5	0,34	19	0,31	18	0,14
28,5	0,90	27,5	2,08	47	1,20
41,5	2,63	44	5,11	74	2,74
55,5	4,19	51,5	4,90	90	4,37
70	5,00	67,5	6,55		
91,5	5,98	90,5	5,55		

Table 8: Measured protein concentrations during fed-batch cultivation of a *TrbMan* variant compared to previously obtained results, data as represented in Figure 4B:

Time (h)	P(De)- TrbMan- HM-CN5±1 (g/l)	P(En)- TrbMan- HM-CN1 (g/l)
0	0	0
20	0,10	0,05
26	0,40	0,08
52	0,60	0,25
72	0,90	0,68
91	1,14	0,72