Supplementary material for Vahlsing/Smith

A. Comparison of Survey Respondents and Non-Respondents

The geographical distribution and selected demographics of the survey respondents and non-respondents are summarized in Table 1 and 2.

Table 1: Number of respondents & Non – respondents in each Geographical Region

Region	Respondents	Non – Respondents	Total
Asia	10	22	32
Africa	6	43	49
Central Europe	3	13	16
South America	2	30	32
Middle East	1	16	17
North America	1	0	1
Western Europe	1	2	3
Scandinavia	0	3	3
Total	24	129	153

Asian countries represented the largest percent of the respondents (40 %), followed by African countries (25 %), Central European countries (12 %) and South American countries (8 %). The remaining 8 % of the respondents were from the Middle East, North America, and Western Europe. A statistical significant difference between medians was not observed between respondents and the non-respondents for any of the variables shown in Table 2.

Table 2: Selected Median of Demographics for Survey Respondents & Non – Respondents

Demographic]	Median
	Respondents $(n = 24)$	Non – Respondents $(n = 129)$
Population (2008)	1,000,000	6,200,000
Urban Population (% of Total, 2008)	53	49
GDP per capita (current \$US, 2008)	3,400	2,800
Health expenditure per capita (current US\$ 2007)	130	150
Energy Use (kg of oil equivalent per capita, 2007)	850	910
PM10 (population weighted ug/m3 2006)	51	37
SO2 Emissions (thousand metric tons 2000)	96	59
General Government Final Consumption Expenditure (% of GDP, 2008)	14	13
DALYS (attributed to urban air pollution, 2009)	1,000,000	930,000

^{*}statistical significance difference (p>0.05) between respondent and non – respondents GDP(Gross Domestic Product), DALYs (Disability Adjusted Life Years)
Source – World Bank, World Development Indicators, 2010

Ambient Air Quality Standards & Selected Demographics

			AAQS Revised	Population ³ (2008)	population ⁴ total, 2008)	ita ⁵ (current 3, 2008)	Health diture/capita ⁶ nt US\$, 2006)	tergy use ⁷ kg of oil alent/capita, 2007)	PM10 ⁸ /m3, 2007)	eral government al consumption expenditure ⁹ of GDP, 2008)	of AAQS**	
Country Name (Source of AAQS ¹)	PM10	SO2	PM10	SO2	Pop (2	Urban	GDP/capita ⁵ US\$, 20	He expendit (current	Energy (kg of equivalent 2007	d (ngn)	General final co expe	Type o
Algeria (Kerbachi, 2006)	0	0	2007	2007	34,373,426	65	4,845	173	1,089	71	13	
Antigua & Barbuda ¹⁰ (Blacklane, 2011)	0	0	2007	2007	86,634	30	14,048	627		12	18	
Argentina (Maggioria, 2006)	0	70	2006		39,882,980	92	8,236	663	1,850	73	13	

¹ Secondary sources are listed. If no source is provided the AAQS data came from the survey results discussed in this paper.

² The most recent year each AAQS was revised or the year the AAQS was set, if has not been revised. For example, the AAQS or limit values in the EU were last considered for revision in 2008, despite the fact that they did not change from 1999 limit values set in 1999. If the country does not have AAQS then this is the date the most recent document was published stating that there are no AAQS.

³ Source: World Bank, World Development Indicators, 2010, <u>www.worldbank.org</u>

⁴ Source: World Bank, World Development Indicators, 2010, www.worldbank.org

⁵ Source: World Bank, World Development Indicators, 2010, <u>www.worldbank.org</u>

⁶ Source: World Bank, World Development Indicators, 2010, www.worldbank.org

⁷ Source: World Bank, World Development Indicators, 2010, <u>www.worldbank.org</u>

⁸ Particulate matter concentrations refer to fine suspended particulates less than 10 microns in diameter (PM10) that are capable of penetrating deep into the respiratory tract and causing significant health damage. Data for countries and aggregates for regions and income groups are urban-population weighted PM10 levels in residential areas of cities with more than 100,000 residents. The estimates represent the average annual exposure level of the average urban resident to outdoor particulate matter. The state of a country's technology and pollution controls is an important determinant of particulate matter concentrations (Source: World Bank, World Development Indicators, 2010, www.worldbank.org).

⁹ General government final consumption expenditure (formerly general government consumption) includes all government current expenditures for purchases of goods and services (including compensation of employees). It also includes most expenditure on national defense and security, but excludes government military expenditures that are part of government capital formation. Source: World Bank, World Development Indicators, 2010, www.worldbank.org

⁰ Although Antigua and Barbuda have no AAQS they use the WHO AQG when necessary

	AAQS Pollutant (ug/m3)		Year ² AAQS Set or Revised		Population ³ (2008)	Urban population ⁴ (% of total, 2008)	capita ⁵ (current US\$, 2008)	Health expenditure/capita ⁶ (current US\$, 2006)	Energy use ⁷ (kg of oil equivalent/capita, 2007)	PM10 ⁸ (ug/m3, 2007)	General government final consumption expenditure ⁹ (% of GDP, 2008)	Type of AAQS**
Country Name (Source of AAQS ¹)	PM10	SO2	PM10	SO2	Popu (2	Urban J	GDP/capita ⁵ US\$, 20	Expendit (current	Ener (kg equival	P]	General grant confinal confina	Type o
Armenia (survey respondent)	0	yes ¹¹	2007	2004	3,077,087	64	3,873	133	926	59	12	
Australia (Aus. Gov., 1998)	50	209 (0.08 ppm)	1998	1998	21,431,800	89	47,370	3,986	5,888	15	1	
Austria (Council of EU, 2008)	50	125	2008	2008	8,336,926	67	49,599	4,523	3,997	33	18	D
The Bahamas ¹² (Min, of Environment, 2006))	0	0	2008	2008	337,668	84		1,535				
Bangladesh (Govt. Bangladesh, 2005)	150	365	2005	2005	160,000,128	27	497	15	163	135	5	S
Barbados (EPD, 2004)	150		2004		255,203	40	14,426	932		40		S
Belarus (WHO, 2003)	0	200			9,680,850	73	6,230	302	2,891	6	17	M
Belgium (Council of EU, 2008)	50	125	2008	2008	10,708,433	97	47,085	4,056	5,366	22	23	D
Belize ¹³ (Law Rev. Com. 2003)	0	80	2003	2003	322,100	52	4,218	174		15	16	S
Benin (Min de l'Habitat, 2003)	0	0	2003	2003	8,662,086	41	771	32	343	46		

¹¹ If the survey respondent indicated that their country had AAQS for pollutant, but did not specify what the AAQS it was assumed that the country had the least stringent AAQS on the map, but the country was not included in average AAQA calculation.

¹² The Bahamas has drafted AAQS (The Environmental Planning and Protection Act of 2005. Pollution Control and Waste Management Regulations (preliminary draft May 18, 2005), but they have not been adopted.
¹³ Residential and rural SO_2 AAQS. The industrial and mixed use SO_2 AAQS is 120 ug/m^3 and the sensitive AAQS is 30 ug/m^3 .

	Pollu	AAQS Pollutant (ug/m3)		AAQS Revised	Population ³ (2008)	Urban population ⁴ (% of total, 2008)	GDP/capita ⁵ (current US\$, 2008)	Health expenditure/capita ⁶ (current US\$, 2006)	Energy use ⁷ (kg of oil equivalent/capita, 2007)	PM10 ⁸ (ug/m3, 2007)	General government final consumption expenditure 9 (% of GDP, 2008)	Type of AAQS**
Country Name (Source of AAQS ¹)	PM10	SO2	PM10	SO2	Pop (3	Urban J	GDP/cap US\$	H expendi (current	Energy (kg of equivalent/ 2007	P (ug/n	General final co expe	Type o
Bolivia (Maggioria, 2006)	150	365	1992	1992	9,694,113	66	1,720	69	571	94	13	S
Botswana (survey respondent)	0	300	2008	2001	1,921,122	60	6,982	372	1,068	67	20	S
Brazil* (Maggioria, 2006)	150	365	1990	1990	191,971,506	86	8,205	606	1,239	23	20	S
Brunei Darussalam* (survey respondent)	Yes	0	2007	2007	392,280	75		753	7,190	54		S
Bulgaria (Council of EU, 2008)	50	125	2008	2008	7,623,395	71	6,546	384	2,641	57	16	D
Cameroon (survey respondent)	260	125	2007	2007	19,088,385	57	1,226	54	391	62		S
Canada ¹⁴ (Health Canada, 2006)	0	301 (115 ppb)	2008	1998	33,311,400	80	45,070	4,409	8,169	17		
Chile (survey respondent)	150	250	2001		16,803,952	88	10,084	615	1,851	48	12	S
China (personal communication)	50	50	2000	2000	1,324,655,000	43	3,267	108	1,484	73	14	S
Colombia (Maggioria, 2006)	150	250	2006	2006	45,012,096	75	5,416	284	655	22	16	S
Costa Rica (Maggioria, 2006)	150	365	2002	2002	4,519,126	63	6,564	488	1,070	36	14	
Croatia (survey respondent)	50	125	2004	2004	4,434,000	57	15,637	1,009	2,101	30	19	S
Cyprus (Council of EU, 2008)	50	125	2008	2008	862,434	70	31,410	1,778	2,854	44	19	D

¹⁴ The maximum acceptable level was used in this research. The maximum tolerable level is 306 ppb.

	AAQS Pollutant (ug/m3)		Year ² AAQS Set or Revised		Population ³ (2008)	Urban population ⁴ (% of total, 2008)	capita ⁵ (current US\$, 2008)	Health expenditure/capita ⁶ (current US\$, 2006)	Energy use ⁷ (kg of oil equivalent/capita, 2007)	PM10 ⁸ (ug/m3, 2007)	General government final consumption expenditure ⁹ (% of GDP, 2008)	Type of AAQS**
Country Name (Source of AAQS ¹)	PM10	SO2	PM10	SO2	Pop.	Urban J (% of t	GDP/capita ⁵ US\$, 20	H expendi (current	Ene (kg equival 2	d (ng/n	General final co exper	Type o
Czech Republic (Council of EU, 2008)	50	125	2008	2008	10,424,336	74	20,673	1,141	4,428	21	20	D
Denmark (Council of EU, 2008)	50	125	2008	2008	5,493,621	87	62,118	5,551	3,598	19	27	D
Dominican Republic (Maggioria, 2006)	150	150	2002	2002	9,952,711	69	4,576	224	804	20	8	S
Ecuador (Maggioria, 2006)	150	350	2003	2003	13,481,424	66	4,056	200	885	25	11	
Egypt (survey respondent)	150	150	2005		81,527,172	43	1,991	101	840	119	11	S
El Salvador (Maggioria, 2006)	150	365	2003	2003	6,133,910	61	3,605	206	800	33	9	S
Estonia (Council of EU, 2008)	50	125	2008	2008	1,340,675	69	17,454	837	4,198	13	19	D
Ethiopia (Etyemezian V, 2005)	150	365 (0.14 ppm)	2003	2003	80,713,434	17	317	9	290	68	10	G
Finland (Council of EU, 2008)	50	125	2008	2008	5,313,399	63	51,323	3,809	6,895	18	22	D
France (Council of EU, 2008)	50	125	2008	2008	62,277,432	77	44,508	4,627	4,258	13	23	D
The Gambia (Sarr, 2008)	50	125	1999	1999	1,660,200	56	489	22		86	16	
Georgia (survey respondent)	0	yes	2007	2003	4,307,011	53	2,970	191	767	47	14	S
Germany (Council of EU, 2008)	50	125	2008	2008	82,110,097	74	44,446	4,209	4,027	19	18	D
Ghana (survey respondent)	70	100	2000	2000	23,350,927	50	713	54	415	34	20	G

	Pollu	QS utant /m3)		AAQS Revised	Population ³ (2008)	Urban population ⁴ (% of total, 2008)	capita ⁵ (current US\$, 2008)	Health expenditure/capita ⁶ (current US\$, 2006)	Energy use ⁷ (kg of oil equivalent/capita, 2007)	PM10 ⁸ (ug/m3, 2007)	General government final consumption expenditure 9 (% of GDP, 2008)	Type of AAQS**
Country Name (Source of AAQS ¹)	PM10	SO2	PM10	SO2	Pop (Urban (% of	GDP/capita ⁵ US\$, 20	Health expenditure/c (current US\$,	Energy (kg of equivalent 2007	P (ug/r	General final cc expe (% of (Type o
Greece (Council of EU, 2008)	50	125	2008	2008	11,237,094	61	31,670	2,679	2,875	36	17	D
Grenada (survey respondent)	0	0	2007	2007	103,538	31	6,162	416		20	16	
Guatemala (Maggioria, 2006)	0	0	2006	2006	13,686,128	49	2,848	186	620	62	9	
Honduras (Maggioria, 2006)	0	0	2006	2006	7,318,789	48	1,823	107	661	43	16	
Hungary (Council of EU, 2008)	50	125	2008	2008	10,038,188	68	15,408	1,019	2,658	19	9	D
India (Govt. of India, 2009)	100	80	2009	2009	1,139,964,932	30	1,017	40	529	65	12	S
Indonesia (Govt. of Indonesia, 1999)	150	365	1999	1999	227,345,082	51	2,246	42	849	83	8	S
Ireland (Council of EU, 2008)	50	125	2008	2008	4,425,675	61	60,460	4,556	3,457	16		D
Israel ¹⁵ⁱ (Govt. of Israel, 1992)	150	280	1992	1992	7,308,800	92	27,652	1,893	3,059	31	25	
Italy (Council of EU, 2008)	50	125	2008	2008	59,832,179	68	38,492	3,136	3,001	27	20	D

 $^{^{\}rm 15}~{\rm PM_{10}}$ is defined as respirable particulate matter.

	Pollu	AAQS Pollutant (ug/m3)		AAQS Revised	Population ³ (2008)	Urban population ⁴ (% of total, 2008)	capita ⁵ (current US\$, 2008)	Health expenditure/capita ⁶ (current US\$, 2006)	Energy use ⁷ (kg of oil equivalent/capita, 2007)	PM10 ⁸ (ug/m3, 2007)	General government final consumption expenditure ⁹ (% of GDP, 2008)	Type of AAQS**
Country Name (Source of AAQS ¹)	PM10	SO2	PM10	SO2	Popu (2	Urban p	GDP/capita ⁵ US\$, 20	H expendit (current	Ener (kg equival	I d	General grant confinal confina	Type o
Jamaica (Claude Davis, 2006)	150	365	2006	2006	2,687,200	53	5,438	224	1,852	43		S
Japan (Schwela, 2006)	100	105			127,704,000	66	38,455	2,751	4,019	30		S
Kenya ¹⁶ (Govt. of Kenya, 2009)	0	0	2004	2004	38,765,312	22	783	34	485	36	17	
Korea, Rep. (Schwela, 2006)	150				48,607,000	81	19,115	1,362	4,586	35	15	S
Latvia (Council of EU, 2008)	50	125	2008	2008	2,266,094	68	14,908	784	2,052	16	20	D
Lesotho (survey respondent)	0	0	2007	2007	2,049,429	25	791	51		41	27	
Lithuania (Council of EU, 2008)	50	125	2008	2008	3,358,115	67	14,098	717	2,740	19	18	D
Luxembourg (Council of EU, 2008)	50	125	2008	2008	488,650	82	109,903	7,439	8,790	15	16	D
Malta (Council of EU, 2008)	50	125	2008	2008	411,950	94		1,362	2,120			D
Mauritius (survey respondent)	100	200	1997	1997	1,268,854	42	7,345	247		18	13	S
Mexico (SEMARNAT, 2005) (Min. of Health., 1993)	120	341	2005	1994	106,350,434	77	10,232	564	1,750	36	10	S
Moldova (Cojocaru, 2008)	0	50	2008		3,633,369	42	1,694	127	910	36	21	M

 $^{^{\}rm 16}$ Draft AAQS were promulgated. See reference.

	Pollu	AAQS Pollutant (ug/m3)		AAQS Revised	Population ³ (2008)	Urban population ⁴ (% of total, 2008)	capita ⁵ (current US\$, 2008)	Health expenditure/capita ⁶ (current US\$, 2006)	Energy use ⁷ (kg of oil equivalent/capita, 2007)	PM10 ⁸ (ug/m3, 2007)	General government final consumption expenditure ⁹ (% of GDP, 2008)	Type of AAQS**
Country Name (Source of AAQS ¹)	PM10	SO2	PM10	SO2	Pop (,	Urban]	Urban popu (% of total, GDP/capita ⁵ US\$, 20		Ene (kg equival	d (ugu)	General final co expe	Type o
Myanmar (survey respondent)	0	0	2007	2007	49,563,019	33		7	319	58		
Nepal (survey respondent; Sah, 2003)	120	70	2003	2003	28,809,526	17	438	20	338	34	10	S
Netherlands (Council of EU, 2008)	50	125	2008	2008	16,445,593	82	52,963	4,243	4,909	34	25	D
New Zealand (Min. for the Envir, 2004)	50	0	2004	2004	4,268,900	87	30,439	2,790	3,966	14		
Nicaragua (Maggioria, 2006)	0	0	2006	2006	5,667,325	57	1,163	92	621	28		
Pakistan (survey respondent)	0	0	2007	2007	166,111,487	36	991	23	512	120	12	
Panama (Maggioria, 2006)	0	0	2006	2006	3,398,823	73	6,793	396	845	35	11	
Peru (Maggioria, 2006)	150	365	2001	2001	28,836,700	71	4,477	160	494	54	9	
Philippines (survey respondent)	150	180	1999	1999	90,348,437	65	1,847	63	451	23	10	G
Poland (Council of EU, 2008)	50	125	2008	2008	38,125,759	61	13,845	716	2,547	37	19	D
Portugal (Council of EU, 2008)	50	125	2008	2008	10,622,413	59	22,923	2,108	2,363	23	21	D
Romania (Council of EU, 2008)	50	125	2008	2008	21,513,622	54	9,300	369	1,806	14	16	D
Russian Federation (Sakhalin Energy, 2005)	0	50	2008		141,950,000	73	11,832	493	4,730	18	17	M
Senegal (Govt. of Senegal, 2003)	260	125	2003	2003	12,211,181	42	1,087	54	225	95	10	S

	AAQS Pollutant (ug/m3)		Pollutant Year ² AAQS		Population ³ (2008)	Urban population ⁴ (% of total, 2008)	capita ⁵ (current US\$, 2008)	Health expenditure/capita ⁶ (current US\$, 2006)	Energy use ⁷ (kg of oil equivalent/capita, 2007)	PM10 ⁸ (ug/m3, 2007)	General government final consumption expenditure ⁹ (% of GDP, 2008)	Type of AAQS**
Country Name (Source of AAQS ¹)	PM10	SO2	PM10	SO2	Pop.	Urban J (% of t	GDP/capita ⁵ US\$, 20	H expendi (current	Ene (kg equival	P. (ug/n)	General final co exper	Type o
Seychelles (survey respondent)	0	0	2007	2007	86,956	54	9,580	564			15	
Singapore (Schwela, 2006)	150	365			4,839,400	100	37,597	1,148	5,831	41	11	
Slovak Republic (Council of EU, 2008)	50	125	2008	2008	5,406,626	57	18,212	1,077	3,307	15	17	D
Slovenia (Council of EU, 2008)	50	125	2008	2008	2,021,316	49	27,019	1,836	3,632	30		D
South Africa (Rep. of South Africa, 2006)	75	125	2007	2004	48,687,000	61	5,678	497	2,807	21	20	G
Spain (Council of EU, 2008)	50	125	2008	2008	45,555,716	77	35,215	2,712	3,208	32	19	D
Sri Lanka (survey respondent)	0	Yes	2007	2003	20,156,204	15	2,013	68	464	82	16	S
Sweden (Council of EU, 2008)	50	125	2008	2008	9,219,637	85	51,950	4,495	5,512	12	26	D
Switzerland (survey respondent)	50	80	2007		7,647,675	73	64,327	6,108	3,406	26		S
Thailand (survey respondent)	120	300	1995	2001	67,386,383	33	4,043	136	1,553	71	12	S
Trinidad & Tobago (Rep. of Trinidad and Tobago, 2005; email communication, 2008)	75	125	2008	2008	1,333,388	13	18,108	785	11,506	101	11	G
Turkey (Rep. of Turkey, 1986)	150	150	1986	1986	73,914,260	69	9,942	465	1,370	40	13	
Uganda (Personal comm., 2003)	0	0	2003	2003	31,656,865	13	453	28		12	12	
United Kingdom (Council of EU, 2008)	50	125	2008	2008	61,414,062	90	43,541	3,867	3,464	15	22	D

	Pollu	QS utant /m3)	Year ² AAQS Set or Revised		Population ³ (2008)	Urban population ⁴ (% of total, 2008)	capita ⁵ (current US\$, 2008)	Health expenditure/capita ⁶ (current US\$, 2006)	Energy use ⁷ (kg of oil equivalent/capita, 2007)	PM10 ⁸ /m3, 2007)	final consumption expenditure 9 (% of GDP, 2008)	f AAQS**
Country Name (Source of AAQS ¹)	PM10	SO2	PM10	SO2	Pop (,	Urban (% of t	GDP/capita ⁵ US\$, 20	Hoexpendit (current	Ene (kg equiva)	PM10 (ug/m3, 2	General gover final consum expenditu (% of GDP,	Type of
United States (EPA, 2009)	150	365	2006	1996	304,060,000	82	46,350	7,285	7,766	21		S
Venezuela (Maggioria, 2006)	0	365	2006	1995	27,935,000	93	11,246	477	2,319	11	11	
Vietnam (Schwela, 2006)	150	125	2005	2005	86,210,781	28	1,051	58	655	55	6	
Serbia (survey respondent)	50	150	2000	2000	7,350,221	52	6,811	408	2,141		21	
Zambia (Govt. of Zambia, 1996)	70	125	1996	1996	12,620,219	35	1,134	57	604	40	9	G
Zimbabwe (Govt. of Zimbabwe, 2006)	0	0	2005	2005	12,462,879	37		79	759	27		
World (WHO, 2006)	50	20	2005	2005	6,697,254,041	50	9,042	809	1,819	50		G

^{*}Sub-national AQS

References:

Australian Government (1998) Air Quality: Ambient Air Quality Standards. Department of the Environment, Water, Heritage and the Arts. http://www.environment.gov.au/atmosphere/airquality/standards.html#air. Accessed 3 December 2009

Blacklane (2011) Personal communication with the Chief Environmental Officer of the Environment Division. Ministry of Agriculture. Antigua and Barbuda.

^{**} S = ambient air quality standard, G = ambient air quality guideline, M = maximum permissible concentration, D = EU air quality directive, blank values indicate the type of AAQS is unknown

Claude Davis & Associates. (2006) Natural Resources Conservation Authority Ambient Air Quality Guideline Document Hamilton, ON.

Cojocaru A (2008) Air Pollution in the Republic of Moldova: Current Status and Future Prospects in <u>Simulation and Assessment of Chemical Processes in a Multiphase Environment</u> eds. Barnes I & Kharytonov M.M., Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht

Council of the European Union (2008) DIRECTIVE 2008/50/EC OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL of 21 May 2008on ambient air quality and cleaner air for Europe. Official Journal of the European Communities. http://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ.do?uri=OJ:L:2008:152:0001:0044:EN:PDF. Accessed on 4 December 2009

Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). (2009) National Ambient Air Quality Standards. Air and Radiation http://www.epa.gov/air/criteria.html. Accessed 20 December 2009

Environmental Protection Department (2004) Ambient Air Quality. Ministry of the Environment, Water Resources and Drainage - Government of Barbados http://www.epd.gov.bb/articles.cfm?articlecategory=13&category=1. Accessed 4 December 2009

Etyemezian V, Tesfaye M, Yimer A, Chow JC, Mesfin D, Nega T, Nikolich G, Watson JG, Wondmagegn M (2005) Results from a pilot-scale air quality study in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. Atmos Environ 39:7849–7860

Government of the Peoples Republic of Bangladesh, Ministry of Environment and Forests (2005) S.R.O. No: 220-Law/2005: Schedule-2 Air Quality Standards (Unofficial English Version). Clean Air Initiative - Asia http://www.cleanairnet.org/caiasia/1412/articles-69642 standards.doc. Accessed 4 December 2009

Government of India, Central Pollution Control Board (2009) Ministry of Environment and Forests Notification: National Ambient Air Quality Standards. The Gazette of India: Extraordinary http://www.cpcb.nic.in/upload/Latest/Latest_49_MoEF_Notification.pdf. Accessed 6 December 2009

Government of Indonesia, Ministry of the Environment. (1999) Peraturan Pemerintah No. 41 Tahun 1999, tentang Pengendalian Pencemaran Udara, BPLHD JAWA BARAT Baden Pengeloaan Lingkungan Hidup http://www.bplhdjabar.go.id/index.php/component/docman/cat_view/86-perundang-undangan. Access 6 December 2009

Government of Israel (1992) 1992- איכות (אויר איכות ב"התשנ (ב"התשנ (ב"התשנ (אויר איכות ב"התשנ (ב"התשנ התשנה ב"התשנ (ב"התשנ התשנה ב"התשנה (ב"התשנה ב"התשנה ב"התשנה (ב"התשנה ב"התשנה ב"התשנה (ב"התשנה ב"התשנה ב"התשנ

Government of Kenya (2009) Highlights on Draft Air Quality Regulations, 2008. National Environmental Management Authority. http://www.nema.go.ke/index.php?option=com_content&task=view&id=52&Itemid=60 Accessed 29 December 2009

Government of Senegal (2003) Pollution atmosphérique - Norme de rejets NS-05-062. Edité et diffusé par l'Association Sénégalaise de Normalisation (ASN), Dakar

Government of Zambia (1996) The Air Pollution Control (Licencing and Emissions Standards) Regulations, 1996. Environmental Council of Zambia http://www.necz.org.zm/cap204/Regulations/air.html. Accessed 20 December 2009

Government of Zimbabwe (2006) Environmental Management Act [Chapter 20:27]. Veritas Trust Health Canada (2006) Regulations Related to Health and Air Quality. Environmental and Workplace Health. http://www.hc-sc.gc.ca/ewh-semt/air/out-ext/reg-eng.php#a2. Accessed 5 December 2009

Kerbachi R, Boughedaoui M, Bounoua L, Keddam M (2006) Ambient air pollution by aromatic hydrocarbons in Algiers. Atmos Environ 40(21): 3995-4003

Ministry of Environment (2006) Statement by Senator the Honourable Dr. Marcus Bethel, Minister of Energy and the Environment of the Commonwealth of the Bahamas at the High-Level Segment of the Fourteenth Session of the Commission on Sustainable Development. United Nations, New York. . http://www.un.org/esa/sustdev/csd/csd14/statements/bahamas_11may.pdf Accessed on 4 December 2009

Law Revision Commissioner (2003) Environmental Protection Act Chapter 328: Revised Edition 2003. Government of Belize, Belmopan

Maggioria CD, Lopez – Silva JA (2006) Vulnerability to Air Pollution in Latin America and the Caribbean Region. Latin America and Caribbean Region Sustainable Development Working Paper 28. The World Bank

Ministry for the Environment (2004) 5 Air Quality Criteria: National Ambient Air Quality Standards. http://www.mfe.govt.nz/publications/air/assessing-discharges-land-transport-jun08/html/page6.html. Accessed 8 December 2009

Ministre de l'Habitat, de l'Urbanisme et de l'Aménagement de l'Espace. (2003) Dahir n° 1-03-61 du 10 rabii I 1424 (12 mai 2003) portant promulgation de la loi n° 13-03 relative à la lutte contre la pollution de l'air. *Bulletin officiel* http://www.mhuae.gov.ma/NR/exeres/66386A00-F8F8-4E43-9E0B-538F28E56102.htm . Accessed 4 December 2009

Ministry of Health. (1993) NORMA OFICIAL MEXICANA NOM-022-SSA1-1993. "SALUD AMBIENTAL. CRITERIO PARA EVALUAR LA CALIDAD DEL AIRE AMBIENTE, CON RESPECTO AL BIOXIDO DE AZUFRE (SO₂). VALOR NORMADO PARA LA CONCENTRACION DE BIOXIDO DE AZUFRE (SO₂) EN EL AIRE AMBIENTE, COMO MEDIDA DE PROTECCION A LA SALUD DE LA POBLACION". Diario Oficial de la Federación, México, D.F., a 18 de agosto de 1994

Republic of South Africa (2006) The National Air Quality Management Programme (NAQMP) Output C.4 Initial Sate of Air Report. Department of Environmental Affairs and Tourism. http://soer.deat.gov.za/frontpage.aspx?m=2. Accessed 9 March 2008.

Republic of Trinidad and Tobago (2005) The Air Pollution Rules, 2005. Legal Notice No.

Republic of Turkey (1986) HAVA KALİTESİNİN KORUNMASI YÖNETMELİĞİ. Resmi Gazete : 02.11.1986 tarih ve 19269 sayı

Sah RA (2003) Advocating and Enforcing Air-Quality Standards in Nepal. Better Air Quality 2003 Conference. http://www.cleanairnet.org/baq2003/1496/articles-58046 resource 1.pdf. Accessed 8 December 2009

Sakhalin Energy. (2005) Environmental Impact Assessment Addendum (2005) Sakhalin Energy Investment Company 0000-S-90-04-P-7069-00-E http://www.sakhalinenergy.com/en/documents/doc_lender_eia_9.pdf. Accessed 18 December 2009.

Sarr MB (2008) Sulphur Oxide and Its Environmental Impacts. National Consultative Workshop on Sulphur Reduction in Diesel Fuel http://www.unep.org/pcfv/PDF/Gambia-SulphurEnvironImpacts.pdf. Accessed 5 December 2009

Schwela D, Haq G, Huizenga C, Wha – Jin H, Fabian H, Ajero M (2006) Urban Air Pollution in Asian Cities: Status, Challenges, and Management. Earthscan, London

SEMARNAT (2005) MODIFICACION A LA NORMA OFICIAL MEXICANA NOM-025-SSA1-1993, SALUD AMBIENTAL. CRITERIOS PARA EVALUAR LA CALIDAD DEL AIRE AMBIENTE, CON RESPECTO A MATERIAL PARTICULADO. VALOR DE CONCENTRACION MAXIMA DE MATERIAL

PARTICULADO PARA PARTICULAS SUSPENDIDAS TOTALES PST, PARTICULAS MENORES DE 10 MICROMETROS PM₁₀ Y PARTICULAS MENORES DE 2.5 MICROMETROS PM_{2.5} EN EL AIRE AMBIENTE COMO MEDIDA DE PROTECCION A LA SALUD DE LA POBLACION, PARA QUEDAR COMO NORMA OFICIAL MEXICANA NOM-025-SSA1-1993, SALUD AMBIENTAL.

http://www.semarnat.gob.mx/leyesynormas/Normas%20Oficiales%20Mexicanas%20vigentes/NOM_001_RECNAT.pdf . Accessed 8 December 2009

WHO (2003) Air Quality and Health in Eastern Europe, Caucasus and Central Asia. Report on the WHO Workshop St. Petersburg.

WHO (2006) Air Quality Guidelines: Global Update 2005. WHO Regional Office for Europe, Copenhagen

AAQS Survey

UC Berkeley Survey on AQGs

September 2007 - 1

School of Public Health, University of California, Berkeley, USA September 2007 Survey on Air Quality Guidelines and Standards Professor Kirk R. Smith krksmith@berkeley.edu Candace Vahlsing krksmith@berkeley.edu

Please complete the following questionnaire. The questions of the most importance to us are in **bold**, if you are not able to complete the entire questionnaire, any attempt to answer the questions in **bold** would be much appreciated.

Country
Name of respondent
Title and agency
Postal address for receiving final report of survey results and a complimentary copy of the WHO
Air Quality Guidelines, Global Update 2005
Section 1: Background Information on Air Quality Standards
1. Does your country have a law or act mandating the establishment of ambient air quality
standards (AAQSs)?
□ yes
a. What year did your country implement the law or act?
b. Please check the pollutants that your country has ambient air quality standards
(AAQSs) for □ carbon monoxide □ SO _x □ NO ₂ □ lead □ ozone
□ Total PM □ PM ₁₀ □ PM _{2.5} □ other
no
a. Does your country plan to establish AAQSs? uges uno
2. Is your country part of any regional air quality agreement, regulation, or standard? yes, please list no
3. Is the establishment of your countries ambient air quality standards (AAQS) tied to an international loan agreement or debt package with, for example, the World Bank? yes, please write the name of the development organization it is with no
 4. What type of AAQSs does your country have? (please check all that apply) ☐ AAQSs for different land use practices, for example residential or industrial ☐ national AAQS ☐ state/municipal/local AAQS
 Does your country use a Pollution Standards Index? □ yes
a. Which standards are used to calculate the Pollution Standard Index? (check all that apply)
WHO Air Quality Guidelines
Standards from another country, Please specify the country
the national AAQS
other
□ no

UC Berkeley Survey on AQGs		September 2	2007 - 2	
Section 2: WHO AQG 6. Before reading this questionnaire, were you aware that the World Health Organization (WHO) publishes				
 a. air quality guidelines for Europe? b. air quality guidelines that are global 	lly applicable?	□ yes □ no		
7. Does your country have ambient air quality for Particulate Matter (PM)?		lly binding) or	guidelines (voluntary)	
yes, we have (please circle) star a. What ye	ndards ear were they est vere they last up	guidelines ablished?	_	
no (please go to question 11)	ere they last up	uateu:		
8. What indicator of PM does your country hat the concentration of the standard)	ave standards fo	r? (please check	all that apply and write	
Pollutant	Standard (m Annual	ass concentration	on)	
☐ Total Suspended Particles (TSP) ☐ Thoracic particles	(u	g/m ³)	(ug/m ³) (ug/m ³)	
\square PM $_{10}$	(u	g/m³)	(ug/m^3)	
\square PM _{2.5}	(u	g/m³)	(ug/m ³)	
other	(u	g/m³)	(ug/m³)	
9. Please check all of the following documents				
for setting the AAQS for PM, and indicate h			1	
Document	Evidence in	Evidence in	Evidence in documen	
	document	document	evaluated, and	
	evaluated,	evaluated,	modified for local	
Air Ovality Cuidelines for France	and accepted	but not used	conditions	
☐ Air Quality Guidelines for Europe (published by the WHO in 1987)				
☐ Air Quality Guidelines for Europe 2 nd edition (published by the WHO in 2000)				
☐ Guidelines for Air Quality (published by the WHO in 2000, with a global focus)				
☐ Air Quality Guidelines: Global Update 2005 (published by the WHO in 2006)				
10. Have any of the documents checked in Question 9 been acknowledged in a legal act? □ yes □ no				

(see the following page)

below which there is no effect. The figures below represent three of these methods.

11. The WHO has promulgated PM AQGs using different approaches that try to reflect in varying degree the growing scientific recognition that there are no clear thresholds, i.e., levels of pollution

UC Berkeley Survey on AQGs

September 2007 - 3

Method A: Risk Coefficients with no specific recommended concentrations (WHO, 2000)

Table 11. Summary of relative risk estimates for effects of long-term exposure to particulate matter on the morbidity and mortality associated with a 10 $\mu g/m^3$ increase in the concentration of PM10 or PM2.5

Endpoint (reference)	Relative risk for PM2.5 (95% confidence interval)	Relative risk for PM10 (95% confidence interval.)
Mortality (26)	1.14 (1.04–1.24)	1.10 (1.03–1.18)
Mortality (115)	1.07 (1.04–1.11)	n.a.
Bronchitis (154)	1.34 (0.94, 1.99)	1.29 (0.96–1.83)
% change in FEV ₁ , children (155)	- 1.9% (- 3.1% to - 0.6%) °	- 1.2% (- 2.3% to - 0.1%)
% change in FEV ₁ , adults (151)		- 1.0% (n.a.)

Method B: Concentration Guidelines alone (WHO, 2006)

Guidelines	
PM _{2.5} :	10 μg/m³ annual mean 25 μg/m³ 24-hour mean
PM ₁₀ :	20 μg/m³ annual mean 50 μg/m³ 24-hour mean

Method C: Guidelines with Interim Targets and some risk information (WHO, 2006)

WHOair quality guidelines and interim targets for particulate matter: annual mean concentrations*			
	PM ₁₀ (μg/m ³)	PM _{2.5} (μg/m³)	Basis for the selected level
Iinterim target-1 (IT-1)	70	35	These levels are associated with about a 15% higher long-term mortality risk relative to the AQG level.
Interim target-2 (IT-2)	50	25	In addition to other health benefits, these levels lower the risk of premature mortality by approximately 6% [2–11%] relative to theIT-1 level.
Interim target-3 (IT-3)	30	15	In addition to other health benefits, these levels reduce the mortality risk by approximately 6% [2-11%] relative to the -IT-2 level.
Air quality guideline (AQG)	20	10	These are the lowest levels at which total, cardiopul- monary and lung cancer mortality have been shown to increase with more than 95% confidence in response to long-term exposure to PM,

Please indicate which approach provides the most useful information for setting the AAQSs for your country. Here, we are not asking you to judge the specific PM levels in the above examples, but rather to the judge the utility of the method used by WHO to present them

☐ Method A: Risk coefficients with no specific guidelines provided
☐ Method B: Guidelines with no risk coefficients provided
☐ Method C: Guidelines with Interim Targets (that provide a step-wise approach to air
pollution management) with some risk information
all three methods are necessary
none of the three methods are useful
another method, please explain

- 12. Please explain the reasons for your answer to the previous question. We urge you to take all the space you need as this is an important part of the survey. Please consider
 - scientific issues, for example whether a method better reflects physical reality in your opinion;
 - regulatory concerns, for example whether a method would be easier to implement in regulation;
 - policy issues, for example whether a method is easier explain to policy makers and the public.

UC Berkeley Survey on AQGs	September 2007 - 4			
13. Does your country have ambient air qualit □ yes, we have standards □ yes, we have guidelines a. What year were they establish b. When were they last updated □ no (please go to question 18)	hed?	guidelines for SC	O ₂ ?	
	(mass concentra ug/m ³ ug/m ³ ug/m ³ ug/m ³ ug/m ³	tion)		
for setting the AAQS for SO ₂ and indicate				
Document	Evidence in document evaluated, and accepted	Evidence in document evaluated, but not used	Evidence in documen evaluated, and modified for local conditions	
Air Quality Guidelines for Europe				
(published by the WHO in 1987) Air Quality Guidelines for Europe 2 nd edition (published by the WHO in 2000)				
☐ Guidelines for Air Quality (published by the WHO in 2000, with a global focus)				
☐ Air Quality Guidelines: Global Update 2005 (published by the WHO in 2006)				
16. Have any of the documents checked in Question 15 been acknowledged in a legal act? □ yes □ no 17. Did you consider the secondary formation of particles when setting the AAQS for SO ₂ ? □ yes □ no 18. Does your country plan to use the WHO AQG to set or update your AAQSs? □ yes □ a. We plan to use them to set AAQSs For which pollutants? (please check) □ PM □ SO ₂ □ NO ₂ □ O ₃ □ b. We plan to use them to update AAQSs				
For which pollutants? (please check) □PM □SO ₂ □NO ₂ □O ₃ □no				
19. What additional information do you want from the WHO in order to help set your AAQSs?				

UC Berkeley Survey on AQGs

September 2007 - 5

Section 3: The Evidence-base used to Determine 2 (If you answered "yes" to either question 7 or 13 ple 13 and have begun planning your AAQSs, please an a current priority, please skip to the comments section	ase continue. swer the follo	If you answered "no" to owing questions. If settin	question 7 and g AAQSs is not	
20. What types of studies are used to set the AAQ	ducted in a lags (studies ex effects) ettings udies in which ament) concentration izations (NGC	ab) camining the causation ch humans are exposed ns in your country Os)	between to air	
Please rate the importance of the studies you syour AAQSs.	selected in th	e previous question wh	en determining	
Type of Study	Important	Partially Important	Not important	
toxicology studies				
epidemiology studies				
controlled human exposure studies				
Reports from NGOs				
other				
 22. Do you require the epidemiology studies used to set your AAQSs to be conducted in your own country? □ yes □ no 23. Do epidemiology, toxicology and controlled human exposure studies have to be peer reviewed to be used to set the AAQSs in your country? □ yes □ no 				
24. Which of the following standards, if any, are your AAQSs based on (please check) European Union air quality directives the United States air quality standards California's air quality standards the standards of another country in the region If so, which country International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) designation				
25. Who are the participants involved in setting the AAQSs? (please check all that apply) government/parliament officials consultants development organizations academic scientists government/parliament scientists lawyers economists businesses environment or health NGOs community based organizations (CBOs) other				

UC Berkeley Survey on AQGs	September 2007 - 6		
26. Which of the following factors, if any, are considered when setting an AAQS? (please check all that apply)			
health effects			
27. Do you require a risk assessment to be conducted in your an AAQS is set? ☐ yes ☐ no	our country on the pollutant of interest, before		
28. Which official or agency makes the final decision about	ut each AAQS?		
29. Is an economic analysis done before or after the A. ☐ before ☐ after ☐ neither	AQSs are set?		
30. Do you plan to include an evaluation of the how PM or SO₂ interact with gases that affect the climate in your next revision of the AAQSs? ☐ yes ☐ no			
31. Comments/ Issues related to air quality standards (for example: Is there a source of information you find valuable that has not been mentioned above? What other information would be valuable, when setting your AAQS? What type of international assistance would you prefer? What other sources do you need? Any other suggestions that you want to share are welcome.)			
Please make sure your contact information is noted at the receive a copy of the final report and WHO publication.	beginning of the questionnaire so that you		
If not using the addressed envelope please return to:	Candace Vahlsing Division of Environmental Health Sciences UC Berkeley School of Public Health 50 University Hall, # 7360 Berkeley, CA 94720- 7360		
Thank you very much for taking the time to complete this participation.	questionnaire. We appreciate your		
References Air Quality Guidelines for Europe, 2 nd Edition. Copenhagen, WHO Regional Office for Europe, 2000 (WHO Regional Publications, European Series, No 91).			
Air Quality Guidelines for Europe: Global Update 2005. Copenhagen, WHO Regional Office for Europe, 2006.			

Submit by Email