# In Vitro Activity and $\beta$ -Lactamase Stability of Cefazaflur Compared with Those of $\beta$ -Lactamase-Stable Cephalosporins

NALINEE ASWAPOKEE AND HAROLD C. NEU\*

Division of Infectious Diseases, Departments of Medicine\* and Pharmacology, College of Physicians and Surgeons, Columbia University, New York, New York 10032

**Received for publication 4 January 1979** 

The in vitro activity of cefazaflur, a parenteral cephalosporin, was determined against 590 clinical isolates. Cefazaflur inhibited the majority of gram-positive cocci at concentrations below 1  $\mu$ g/ml except for enterococci. The agent was as active as cefamandole or cefoxitin against most *Escherichia coli, Klebsiella pneumoniae*, and *Proteus mirabilis*. Although it inhibited a number of strains of *Enterobacter*, indole-positive *Proteus*, and *Serratia* resistant to cephalothin, it was much less active against these organisms than were cefamandole or cefoxitin.

Cephalosporin antibiotics have become increasingly important to the clinician as the spectrum of hospital infections has changed. New cephalosporins such as cefamandole and cefuroxime, and cefoxitin, a cephamycin, have significantly extended the spectrum of usefulness of agents in this class (6–9). Cefazaflur has been reported to be an agent with greater in vitro activity than cephalothin and cefazolin (1). We wished to compare the activity of this agent to these new compounds and to determine its  $\beta$ lactamase stability.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cefazaflur was supplied by Smith, Kline, and French Laboratories. The other agents were gifts of their respective manufacturers. Fresh antibiotic dilutions were made daily in sterile broth. Bacterial strains tested were recent clinical isolates from patients hospitalized at the Columbia-Presbyterian Medical Center. Antimicrobial activity was measured by agar dilution using Mueller-Hinton agar (Baltimore Biological Laboratory [BBL]). Serial twofold dilutions of antibiotic were prepared, and an overnight culture of bacteria (inoculum 10<sup>5</sup> colony-forming units [CFU]) was applied as a drop with an inoculating device. Organisms were incubated at 35°C for 18 h. The minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) of an antibiotic was defined as the lowest concentration that inhibited development of visible growth on agar. Activity against anaerobic bacteria was determined on Mueller-Hinton agar supplemented with sheep blood and with vitamin K. Plates were incubated at 35°C for 48 h in GasPak jars (BBL). Haemophilus and Neisseria were tested by using chocolate agar, which was incubated in the presence of 5% CO<sub>2</sub>.

**\beta-Lactamase assays.** The enzymes were classified by the schema of Richmond (11). The  $\beta$ -lactamase assay procedures used were either the microiodometric method of Novick (9) or the spectrophotometric method (4).  $\beta$ -Lactamase was detected in clinical isolates by the chromogenic technique, using the Glaxo cephalosporin 87/132 (8).

 $\beta$ -Lactamase assays were performed by using purified (3) or partially purified enzymes which had been prepared by sonic disruption of bacteria followed by centrifugation to remove debris and chromatography on Sephadex G50.

### RESULTS

The overall activity of cefazaflur against 590 gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria is given in Table 1. It inhibited the majority of gram-positive cocci at concentrations below 1  $\mu g/ml$ , with the exception of S. faecalis. Some methicillin-resistant S. epidermidis were resistant to cefazaflur. Neisseria and Haemophilus were inhibited at concentrations below 1  $\mu$ g/ml. This included three  $\beta$ -lactamase-producing isolates of both species. Overall activity against the members of the Enterobacteriaceae was good with more than 85% of the E. coli, K. pneumoniae, and P. mirabilis inhibited by concentrations of 12.5  $\mu$ g or less per ml. The activity of the compound against other organisms such as Enterobacter, Citrobacter, and the indole-positive Proteus covered a wide range, with the mode inhibitory concentration nearly  $100 \,\mu g/ml$ . Cefazaflur did not inhibit Pseudomonas, and high concentrations were required to inhibit Bacteroides fragilis.

The activity of cefazaflur was compared to that of cephalothin, cefamandole and cefoxitin (Table 2). Cefazaflur had activity against S. *aureus* comparable to that of cefamandole and superior to that of cefoxitin. Against E. *coli*, cefazaflur was as active as cefamandole and cefoxitin and superior to cephalothin. Klebsiella

were more susceptible to the cefazaflur than to the other agents, but it was less active against *Enterobacter* and *Citrobacter* than was cefamandole. Cefoxitin was more active than cefazaflur against indole-positive *Proteus*, *Providencia*, *Serratia*, and *Bacteroides*. Cefamandole was more active against these organisms than was cefazaflur. Cefazaflur had activity comparable to cefamandole and cefoxitin against *Salmonella*.

TABLE	1.	<b>Overall</b>	in	vitro	activity	0	f ce	faza	flur
-------	----	----------------	----	-------	----------	---	------	------	------

<b>o</b> ·	<b>N</b>	MIC (µg/ml)			
Organism	No. tested	Range	Mode		
S. aureus	29	0.1-12.5	0.2		
S. epidermidis	30	0.2 - 200	0.6		
S. pyogenes	12	0.1-0.6	0.2		
S. agalactiae	22	<0.1-3.1	0.1		
S. viridans	11	<0.1-1.6	0.6		
S. pneumoniae	7	<0.1-0.6	0.1		
S. faecalis	16	25-50	50		
H. influenzae	9	0.2	0.2		
N. gonorrhoeae	12	0.6-3.1	1.6		
E. coli	59	0.2-200	0.8		
K. pneumoniae	32	0.6-50	3.1		
E. aerogenes	21	0.8-50	25		
E. cloacae	11	12.5->400	100		
P. mirabilis	42	0.8-125	3.1		
P. morganii	20	12.5->400	100		
P. vulgaris	15	1.6-200	100		
P. rettgeri	7	1.6-25	25		
C. freundii	34	0.1->400	3.1		
Providencia	28	50->400	100		
S. sonnei	32	0.1->400	1.6		
Salmonella	32	0.1-12.5	0.6		
Serratia	26	6.2->400	>400		
Acinetobacter	13	3.1->400	200		
Pseudomonas	13	>400	>400		
Bacteroides	29	25->400	100		

The activity of cefazaflur compared to that of cefoxitin, cefamandole, and cefuroxime against  $\beta$ -lactamase-producing, cephalothin-resistant isolates as is given in Table 3. Cefazaflur had inhibitory values similar to those of cefoxitin against *E. coli* and to all three agents against *Klebsiella*. Against the other organisms it was less active than the other three new agents. The activity of one of the new  $\beta$ -lactamase-resistant cephalosporins could not be used to predict the activity of cefazaflur.

Table 4 demonstrates the stability of cefazaflur to the  $\beta$ -lactamases of different bacteria. It is less stable than cefamandole against some type 1, induced  $\beta$ -lactamases, and it lacks the general  $\beta$ -lactamase stability of cefoxitin.

## DISCUSSION

These studies confirm earlier data on the broad in vitro activity of cefazaflur against grampositive cocci and many of the members of the *Enterobacteriaceae*. The activity of this agent against gram-positive species is similar to that which we have reported for cefamandole and cefuroxime (4, 6). In contrast to some of the earlier studies (1, 2, 4), we did not find this agent to be as active against *Enterobacter* species, *Serratia*, or indole-positive *Proteus*, such as *P. morganii* and *P. rettgeri*, as was cefamandole or cefoxitin. Cefazaflur is more stable to  $\beta$ -lactamase hydrolysis than cephalothin, but does not have the stability of cefoxitin.

Whether this agent would offer any advantages over cefamandole, cefuroxime, or cefoxitin is not apparent from the in vitro data, and animal protection studies comparing this agent to these new compounds are not available. Counts et al. (3) observed a marked inoculum and medium effect on this agent. Further studies

TABLE 2. Comparative activity of cefazaflur and other  $\beta$ -lactamase agents

	MIC ( $\mu$ g/ml) required for: <sup><i>a</i></sup>								
Organism (no. of strains)	50% of strains				90% of strains				
	CFZ	CET	CFM	CFX	CFZ	CET	CFM	CFX	
S. aureus (20)	0.4	0.1	0.1	1.6	0.8	0.2	0.8	3.1	
E. coli (25)	1.6	6.2	0.8	3.1	3.1	25	6.2	6.2	
K. pneumoniae (35)	3.1	3.1	0.8	3.1	12.5	50	25	25	
E. cloacae (20)	6.2	50	1.6	200	200	>400	12.5	>400	
C. freundii (20)	6.2	50	1.6	100	>400	>400	6.2	>400	
Salmonella (22)	0.2	6.3	0.8	1.6	6.2	50	12.5	3.1	
Proteus, indole posi- tive (20)	100	>400	12.5	6.2	>400	>400	100	12.5	
Providencia (20)	100	>400	25	3.1	>400	>400	>400	6.2	
Serratia (20)	200	>400	25	12.5	>400	>400	>400	>400	
Bacteroides (20)	50	50	50	6.2	>400	>400	>400	25	

<sup>a</sup>CFZ, Cefazaflur; CET, cephalothin; CFM, cefamandole; CFX, cefoxitin.

# 446 ASWAPOKEE AND NEU

ANTIMICROB. AGENTS CHEMOTHER.

Organian	No. of isolates –	MIC ( $\mu$ g/ml) of the following antibiotics:"						
Organism	NO. OI ISOIATES	CET	CFZ	CFM	CFX	CFU		
E. coli	1	100	12.5	100	12.5	12.5		
E. coli	2	50	6.2	6.2	6.2	12.5		
E. coli	3	50	6.2	25	6.2	50		
E. coli	4	100	3.1	6.2	6.2	25		
K. pneumoniae	1	200	6.2	200	1.6	3.1		
K. pneumoniae	2	50	12.5	25	1.6	1.6		
K. pneumoniae	3	100	1.6	25	3.1	3.1		
E. cloacae	1	50	12.5	1.6	100	3.1		
E. cloacae	2	>400	>400	400	100	200		
E. cloacae	3	>400	>400	50	400	50		
E. c <b>loacae</b>	4	100	>400	1.6	200	6.2		
C. freundii	1	>400	>400	>400	>400	>400		
C. freundii	4	100	100	0.4	200	1.6		
P. vulgaris		400	200	6.2	12.5	25		
P. morganii		400	200	3.1	12.5	6.2		
P. morganii		400	400	6.2	100	100		
P. rettgeri		400	1.6	3.1	12.5	3.1		
P. rettgeri		400	25	3.1	3.1	3.1		

TABLE 3. Activity of cefazaflur compared with that of other  $\beta$ -lactamase-stable penicillins against cephalothin-resistant isolates

"CET, Cephalothin; CFZ, cefazaflur; CFM, cefamandole; CFX, cefoxitin; CFU, cefuroxime.

Source of en-	Type of $\beta$ -	Relative rate of hydrolysi of:"				
zyme	lactamase*	CFZ	CFM	CFX		
Citrobacter	I	64	0	0		
Enterobacter	I	0	0	0		
Acinetobacter	I	100	91	0		
Providencia	I	80	71	0		
E. coli	III	10	20	0		
Klebsiella	IV	100	100	0		
Shigella	v	205	0	0		

TABLE 4.  $\beta$ -Lactamase stability of cefazaflur

compared with those of cefoxitin and cefamandole<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>  $\beta$ -Lactamase activity was determined by the spectrophotometric method, using change in absorbance at 255 nm. Reaction contained 0.4 mM substrate, and hydrolysis of cephalothin was set at 100.

<sup>\*</sup> Richmond classification.

 $^{\rm c}$  CFZ, Cefazaflur; CFM, cefamandole; CFX, cefoxitin.

are needed to ascertain the role that this agent might play in the chemotherapy of infections.

#### LITERATURE CITED

- Actor, P., J. R. Guariani, J. Uri, H. F. Bartus, I. Zajac, and J. A. Weisbach. 1977. In vitro studies with cefazaflur and other parenteral cephalosporins. J. Antibiot. 30:730-735.
- 2. Actor, P., J. V. Uri, R. Guariani, I. Zajac, I. Phillips,

C. S. Sacks, R. M. DeMarinis, J. R. E. Hoover, and J. A. Weisbach. 1975. A new parenteral cephalosporin, SKF-59962: *in vitro* and *in vivo* antibacterial activity and serum levels in experimental animals. J. Antibiot. 28:271-476.

- Counts, G. W., D. Gregory, D. Zeleznik, and M. Turck. 1977. Cefazaflur, a new parenteral cephalosporin: in vitro studies. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 11: 708-711.
- DeMarinis, R. M., J. V. Uri, and J. A. Weisbach. 1977. Synthesis and *in vitro* antibacterial activity of 7-trifluoromethylacetamido cephamycins related to SKF-59962 (cefazaflur). J. Antibiot. 29:973-975.
- Neu, H. C. 1971. β-Lactamase production by Pseudomonas aeruginosa, p. 534-537. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 1970.
- Neu, H. C. 1974. Cefamandole, a cephalosporin antibiotic with an unusually wide spectrum of activity. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 6:77-182.
- 7. Neu, H. C. 1974. Cefoxitin, a semisynthetic cephamycin antibiotic: antibacterial spectrum and resistance to hydrolysis by gram-negative  $\beta$ -lactamases. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 6:170-176.
- Neu, H. C., and K. P. Fu. 1978. Cefuroxime, a betalactamase-resistant cephalosporin with a broad spectrum of gram-positive and -negative activity. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 13:657-664.
- Novick, R. B. 1962. Microiodometric assay of penicillinase. Biochem. J. 82:236-240.
- O'Callaghan, C. H., R. B. Sykes, A. Griffiths, and J. E. Thorton. 1976. Cefuroxime, a new cephalosporin antibiotic: activity in vitro. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 9:511-519.
- Richmond, M. H., and R. B. Sykes. The β-lactamases of gram-negative bacteria and their possible physiological role. Adv. Microb. Physiol. 9:31-88, 1973.