

Fig. S1. Structure-based alignment of m152, m153, and m157. Amino acid sequences (extracellular domain) of the indicated proteins were aligned with ClustalW as a first guide, and alignments were further arranged based on the secondary structures of m152 and m153 by ESpript 2.2 (secondary structures of m152 and m153 are indicated). Cysteine residues involved in the disulfide bond linkages are indicated by green or blue diamonds (m152) and by green, blue, or orange diamonds (m153). Predicted N-linked glycosylation sites of m152 are indicated by pink squares.

Fig. S2. Structure-based sequence alignment of RAE1 isoforms (A) and RAE1γ with H60 and murine UL16-binding protein-like transcript 1 (MULT1) (B). (A) The extracellular domains of RAE1 isoforms were first aligned with Clustal W, followed by secondary structural alignment with Espript 2.2. RAE1γ residues that contact or form hydrogen bonds to m152 are indicated by blue triangles. RAE1β residues that contact mNKG2D are indicated by yellow circles. Orange diamonds indicate potential N-asparaginyl-glycosylation sites. Green stars indicate cysteine residues involved in disulfide bonds. Indicated contact sites are from the first heterodimer in the asymmetric unit. (B) Sequence alignment of $RAE1\gamma$, H60, and MULT1.

~								
ٮ		$K_D(M)$	k_{off} (s ⁻¹	r.m.s.d.		$K_D(M)$	k_{off} (s ⁻¹	r.m.s.d.
	RAE1yWT	4.15e-07	9.42e-03	0.36	S77A/N78A	2.07e-06	2.91e-02	0.37
	W21A	4.30e-06	6.82e-02	0.26	Q151I	1.05e-05	4.62e-02	0.40
	N38A	4.56e-06	2.72e-02	0.32	E159A	1.41e-05	3.36e-03	0.26
	R73A	5.07e-06	8.40e-02	0.32	E159W	8.10e-06	2.02e-02	0.35
	S77A	.90e-06	2.90e-02	0.34	E159A/R161A	1.00e-05	5.33e-02	0.44
	S77E	$2.13e-06$	4.05e-02	0.30	RAE16	8.63e-07	7.43e-02	0.40
	S77L	.85e-06	3.46e-02	0.37	$RAE1\delta$	2.74e-05	3.56e-02	0.39
	R73A/N78A	$.35e-05$.06e-02	0.30	$RAE1\epsilon$	1.93e-04	5.13e-01	0.37

Fig. S3. Surface plasmon resonance (SPR) binding of RAE1 to the m152 surface. (A) Binding traces of indicated RAE1 mutants. (B) Binding isotherms of different RAE1 isoforms. m152 was coupled to CM5 biosensor chips, and RAE1γ, β, δ, or ε or RAE1γ mutants were sequentially injected over the surface. The zero time point corresponds to the start of the injection. Background binding to a mock-coupled surface was subtracted. Calculated K_d values were determined by EVILFIT or BIAevaluation 3.0 for poor binding. (For EVILFIT curve fits, residuals are plotted beneath the binding curves.) RAE1 mutants were injected at concentrations of 0.4, 0.8, 1.6, 3.2, and 6.5 μM. Wild-type RAE1γ, RAE1β, and RAE1δ were injected at five concentrations, 0.4, 0.8, 1.6, 3.2, and 6.5 μM, over the coupled m152 surface. RAE1ε was offered at concentrations of 1.6, 3.2, and 6.5 μM. (C) Values for K_d and k_{off} as determined in EVILFIT along with the rmsd of the global fits are tabulated.

Fig. S4. Molecular models of RAE1 isoforms. Homology models of RAE1α (C), δ (D), and ε (E) were made based on the structure of RAE1γ (A) from the m152/ RAE1γ complex using Coot (50). RAE1β (B) is chain A from RAE1β (PDB ID 1JFM). Ribbon diagrams and surface electrostatic representations were generated with PyMOL Molecular Graphics System, version 1.5.0.1 (Schrödinger, LLC, www.pymol.org).

Fig. S5. SPR binding of wild-type RAE1γ and mutants to the NKG2D surface. mNKG2D-Fc was coupled to CM5 biosensor chips, and wild-type RAE1γ and RAE1γ mutants were injected sequentially. Calculated K_d values were determined by steady-state evaluation of global curve fits using BIAevaluation 3.0. Insets show plots of binding isotherms. Wild-type RAE1γ and most RAE1γ mutants were injected at five concentrations: 0.4, 0.8, 1.6, 3.2, and 6.5 μM. K154A, R73A/K154A, and K154A/Y155A/E159A mutants were injected at graded concentrations of 1.6, 3.2, and 6.5 μM.

Fig. S6. SPR binding of wild-type RAE1γ and RAE1γ mutants to an anti-RAE1 surface. Anti-RAE1 antibody was coupled to CM5 biosensor chips, and wild-type RAE1γ or RAE1γ mutants were injected sequentially over the surface. The zero time point corresponds to the start of the injection, and buffer washout initiated the dissociation phase of the curves. Background binding to a mock-coupled surface was subtracted. Calculated K_d values were determined by global curve fits of the kinetics curves using BIAevaluation 3.0, because the high dissociation rate constant, makes these data unsuitable for EVILFIT. Wild-type RAE1γ and most RAE1y mutants were injected at five concentrations: 0.4, 0.8, 1.6, 3.2, and 6.5 µM. K154A, R73A/K154A, and K154A/Y155A/E159A mutants were injected at graded concentrations of 1.6, 3.2, and 6.5 μM.

Fig. S7. Structural comparison of the m152/RAE1 complex with other cytomegalovirus (CMV)/host complexes. (A) Structure of m152 with RAE1γ. Colors are as in Fig. 1. (B) Structure of human CMX (hCMV) encoded US2 with HLA-A2 (PDB ID 1IM3). US2 is shown in blue, HLA-A2 heavy chain in green, β2m in yellow, and peptide in pink. (C) Structure of UL18 with LIR-1 (PDB ID 3D2U). UL18 heavy chain is shown in lime green, peptide in violet, and LIR-1 in purple/blue. (D) Structure of UL16 with MICB (PDB ID 2WY3). UL16 is shown in slate and MICB in chartreuse. All structures are shown as ribbon illustrations in the same orientation. (E) Structural model of m152 with H2-D^d complex. m152 is shown in cyan, H2-D^d heavy chain in orange, β2m in lemon, and peptide in marine. The H2-D^d model was derived from PDB ID 3ECB, and the m152 model was derived from the 152/RAE1_Y structure.

Table S1. Data collection and refinement statistics for m152/ RAE1γ

Data collection

PNAS PNAS

The dataset was collected on a single crystal.

*Values in parentheses are for highest-resolution shell.

Table S2. Interactions between m152 and RAE1γ

Table S3. Contacts between m152 and RAE1γ

PNAS PNAS

Contacts between m152 and RAE1γ

Contacts between m152 and RAE1γ

Table S4. Comparison of amino acid contacts at the m152/RAE1γ and mNKG2D/RAE1β interfaces

