



Access to key maternal health services in north-central Liberia

Journal:	<i>BMJ Open</i>
Manuscript ID:	bmjopen-2012-001602
Article Type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	04-Jun-2012
Complete List of Authors:	Gartland, Matthew; Vanderbilt University, Vanderbilt Institute for Global Health Taryor, Victor; Ganta United Methodist Hospital, Ganta, Liberia, Norman, Andy; Vanderbilt University School of Medicine, Obstetrics and Gynecology Vermund, Sten; Vanderbilt University School of Medicine, Pediatrics; Vanderbilt University, Vanderbilt Institute for Global Health
Primary Subject Heading:	Global health
Secondary Subject Heading:	Reproductive medicine, obstetrics and gynaecology, Public health
Keywords:	Liberia, Maternal health, Health care utilization, Emergency obstetric care

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

STRUCTURED ABSTRACT

Objective: Rural north-central Liberia has one of the world's highest maternal mortality ratios. We studied health facility birthing service utilization and the motives of women seeking or not seeking facility-based care in north-central Liberia.

Design: Cross-sectional community-based structured interviews and health facility medical record review.

Setting: A regional hospital and the surrounding communities in rural north-central Liberia.

Participants: A convenience sample of 307 women between 15-49 years participated in structured interviews. 1031 deliveries performed in the regional hospital were included in the record review.

Primary outcomes: Delivery within a health facility and cesarean delivery rates were used as indicators of direct utilization of care and as markers of availability of maternal health services.

Results: Of 280 interview respondents with a prior childbirth, only 47 (16.8%) delivered their last child in a health facility. Women who did not use formal services cited cost, sudden labor, and family tradition or religion as their principal reasons for home delivery. At the regional hospital, the cesarean delivery rate was 35.5%. Major indications included cephalopelvic disproportion (50.0%) and antepartum hemorrhage (10.2%).

Conclusions: There is an enormous unmet need for maternal health services in north-central Liberia. Greater outreach and referral services as well as community-based education among women, family members, and traditional birth attendants are vital to improve the timely utilization of care.

INTRODUCTION

1
2
3 In 2008 an estimated 342,900 women died in childbirth worldwide.[1] A vast global disparity
4 exists, with more than 99% of maternal deaths taking place in low and middle-income countries
5 (LMIC). The maternal mortality ratio (MMR) represents the number of maternal deaths per
6 100,000 live births. MMR has decreased in Asia and Latin America in the past two decades, but
7 has remained near-constant in sub-Saharan Africa.[1,2] According to the World Health
8 Organization (WHO), most maternal deaths could be prevented with ready access to adequate
9 obstetric services.[3,4] Millennium Development Goal 5 calls for a three-quarters reduction in
10 MMR globally by 2015. Strides have been made toward this target; however, large-scale
11 international programs have not reduced maternal mortality significantly in areas of the world
12 that bear the greatest burden.[1,5,6]

13
14
15 Programs to reduce maternal mortality must consider the local context of maternal mortality
16 and access to maternal health services if they are to be effective.[6-8] Recent efforts to improve
17 the measurement of maternal mortality globally have yielded more accurate and complete
18 data.[1] Still, there is a paucity of primary community information in many countries with the
19 highest MMR, such as Liberia.[1,9-11] In addition, a high degree of variability in MMR and access
20 to maternal care exists within communities.[12,13]

21
22
23 In areas with high maternal mortality and poor information systems, process indicators can be
24 highly informative to evaluate the unmet need for maternal health care. Direct measurement of
25 service delivery includes the proportion of women who deliver in a health facility under skilled
26 attendance and the rate of cesarean delivery.[14-16] These indicators are easier to capture than
27 MMR partly because maternal death is difficult to ascertain, especially in rural areas, or may
28 occur in small catchment areas with low numbers over a short evaluation timespan.[17]

1
2
3 Liberia was the setting for our study and is among the poorest countries in the world; it has one
4
5 of the ten highest national MMR of any nation.[18] Hogan and colleagues estimated MMR in
6
7 Liberia to be 859 (uncertainty interval, 547-1287) maternal deaths per 100,000 live births in
8
9 2008.[1] By comparison, the MMR in Ghana, among the lowest in the region, was 409 (248-633),
10
11 and in neighbor Sierra Leone, it was 1033 (635-1627), the region's highest. The wide uncertainty
12
13 intervals are reflective of the relative lack of data captured by poor health information systems
14
15 in Liberia, which is still recovering from a 14-year civil war that ended in 2003. Health
16
17 infrastructure and human resources were decimated during that span. In 2009, the country was
18
19 estimated to have a deficit of 10,000 health care workers.[19] The 2009 World Health Statistics
20
21 report confirms a corresponding gap in access to essential services, citing only 46% of women in
22
23 Liberia deliver under skilled attendance in health facilities.[20] In addition, the national
24
25 cesarean delivery rate in 2007 was 3.5%, and <1.0% in rural parts of the country.[12,20] As
26
27 Liberia rebuilds its health infrastructure and targets maternal mortality, community-level
28
29 research is needed to appropriately direct maternal health interventions.[21]
30
31
32
33
34
35

36 The objective of this study was to characterize utilization of maternal health services, including
37
38 facility delivery and cesarean delivery, in rural north-central Liberia and describe the motives of
39
40 women seeking or not seeking facility-based care.
41
42
43

44 **METHODS**

45
46
47 We conducted a cross-sectional study employing a structured interview in a community setting,
48
49 as well as a review of medical records at the local hospital. The individual structured interview
50
51 comprised of questions on the behavior and attitudes of women regarding maternal health care.
52
53

54 Eight communities were chosen based on the presence of existing outreach programs for a
55
56 regional hospital in Nimba County, located in north-central Liberia. All communities were within
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 15 km of the hospital. More than 95% of the county's 462,000 residents live in a rural
4
5 setting.[12]
6
7

8 All women between the ages of 15-49 years were eligible, consonant with age sampling of the
9
10 2007 Liberia Demographic and Health Survey.[12] Women were approached for participation in
11
12 their homes. All households in each village were visited once, resulting in a convenience sample
13
14 given absenteeism. Community health workers were trained to conduct 25-minute standardized
15
16 interviews. Questions in English were designed in collaboration with clinicians, public health
17
18 technicians, and community health workers in Liberia. Interviews were conducted orally in
19
20 English or Mano, a language spoken in the region, as per a participant's preference. The
21
22 interviewers were trained to record responses including multiple answers given to open-ended
23
24 questions. Responses were coded by a member of the research team. Verbal informed consent
25
26 was obtained from all participants. Women received a small non-monetary compensation
27
28 (value=US\$2) for their time.
29
30
31
32
33

34
35 The review of medical records involved a retrospective evaluation of all deliveries at a regional
36
37 hospital in Nimba County using general medical and operating room records. Data were
38
39 extracted on method of delivery, incidence of stillbirth, and maternal and fetal indications for
40
41 cesarean delivery. Extraction was performed by a hospital data officer and a medical student.
42
43
44

45 **Statistical analysis**

46
47 Participant responses were analyzed for frequencies, means, and standard deviations as
48
49 indicated. Participant characteristics were correlated with their interview responses. Nulliparous
50
51 women were excluded from analysis of questions related to prior pregnancies. Fisher's exact
52
53 test was used to evaluate categorical variables and Student's T-test was used for continuous
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 variables. Our primary outcomes of interest were facility delivery rate assessed in the
4
5 community and Cesarean delivery rate in a regional hospital.
6
7

8
9 Data were kept anonymous at all times by removing all identifiers. Ethical approval was granted
10
11 by the Vanderbilt University Institutional Review Board, and the Medical Director of the Liberian
12
13 hospital.
14

15 16 17 **RESULTS**

18
19 Between May 2009 and August 2009, 316 women were approached to participate in community
20
21 interviews. Of these 307 (97.2%) met eligibility criteria, consented, and completed the interview.
22
23 Participants had a mean age of 30.5 (SD±7.5)(Table 1). The median parity was 4.8 (SD±3.0).
24
25

26
27 More than half (n=174, 57.1%) of women reported having no formal education. Of 280 women
28
29 who reported previous parity, 210 (75.0%) reported delivering their previous child at home with
30
31 a traditional birth attendant (TBA) and 23 (8.2%) at home without a TBA. Interviewers then
32
33 asked, "Why did you choose to deliver your child in this place?" Responses for those delivering
34
35 at home included, 'cost' or 'difficulty with transport' (31.5%), 'quick onset of labor' (30.6%),
36
37 'family tradition' or 'religion' (16.9%), 'TBA is safe' (16.9%), and 'conflict' or 'flooding' (3.7%).
38
39

40
41 Women who reported delivering in a hospital responded, 'labor complications at home' (57.8%),
42
43 'hospital nearby' (13.3%), 'antenatal referral' (11.1%) 'safety' (11.1%), and 'previous cesarean
44
45 delivery' (6.7%).
46
47

48
49 In women who reported delivering at home, and those who reported delivering in a hospital,
50
51 there was no statistical evidence to suggest a difference in age, parity, relationship status, or
52
53 whether a woman reporting losing a child during a previous pregnancy (Table 2). Women who
54
55 reported delivering at home were less likely to have education at or beyond the primary level, a
56
57 result that had borderline statistical significance (p=0.057).
58
59
60

1
2
3 In a 1-year period between April 2008-March 2009, 949 live births occurred in the study
4 hospital. In the same period, 82 stillbirths were recorded, 8.0% of total births (n=1031). The rate
5 of cesarean delivery at the hospital was 35.5% (n=337). The stillbirth rate in the cesarean subset
6 was 12.4%. Common indications for cesarean delivery included cephalopelvic disproportion
7 (50.0%), previous cesarean delivery (12.7%), antepartum hemorrhage due to placenta previa or
8 placental abruption (10.2%), eclampsia (8.5%), breech presentation (5.0%), and ruptured uterus
9 (4.2%).
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19

20 DISCUSSION

21
22
23 In structured interviews conducted in villages proximate to a hospital in Nimba County, Liberia,
24 only one in six women reported delivering their last child in a hospital, i.e., 83.2% of women
25 reported their last delivery to have been at home. Of women delivering at a regional hospital,
26 35.5% were by cesarean section and 8.0% were stillborn. These metrics indicate severe
27 underutilization of timely, supervised, institutional birthing services among women in north-
28 central Liberia. Participants reported financial and transportation barriers to seeking care, as
29 well as cultural traditions including the practice of delivery under the care of TBA. Further, more
30 than half of women who delivered their last child in a hospital did so only after experiencing
31 complications in labor at home. This was validated by the hospital's high cesarean section rate.
32 These findings are similar to those of a 2011 study in the same region of Liberia that highlighted
33 the impact of geographic and financial barriers, perceptions of quality in the formal and informal
34 health care systems, and prevailing care by TBAs on the health care utilization.[22]
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50

51
52 The rates of hospital delivery in our study were significantly lower than the national (46.3%) and
53 county (32.6%) statistics reported in 2007 for skilled attendance at delivery, a related metric
54 used by the WHO and Liberia Ministry of Health to assess women's access to safe maternal
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 health services.[3,12] Skilled attendance differs from facility delivery in the requirement of
4 supervision of childbirth by a physician, nurse, trained midwife or physician's assistant.[10] This
5 is deemed vital to the early recognition of life-threatening intrapartum complications, and their
6 effective management.[4,14] While hospital delivery is a prerequisite for skilled attendance in
7 Liberia where home deliveries are rarely supervised by a professional in the above cadres, it is
8 not necessarily true that all hospital deliveries have the appropriate personnel present.
9
10 Therefore, our measured rates of facility delivery potentially overestimate the true figure for
11 safe delivery under skilled attendance among the participants.
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21

22 The definition for skilled attendants notably excludes TBAs because they do not have access to
23 resources for medical or surgical management of labor.[3] Women under TBA care who have
24 conditions such as dystocia, eclampsia, or bleeding are exceedingly vulnerable. In addition, TBAs
25 have limited training in the recognition of complications, and in the study community there
26 were no formal mechanisms for timely referral if complications arose.[9] Actually, only 16.9%
27 believed that a delivery with a TBA was safe, a finding similar to a previous study in east
28 Africa.[23]
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38

39 When we compared women who delivered their last child at home to those who delivered in a
40 hospital, only education differed; home-delivered women were more likely to report having no
41 formal education. Previous studies have demonstrated the alarming inequalities in access to
42 maternal health care based on education levels, as well as wealth, rurality, and religion.[6,13,24]
43
44
45
46
47
48

49 In our review of hospital medical and operating room records we found that cesarean delivery
50 accounted for more than a third of all deliveries, though there were relatively few births at the
51 facility in the study period. The hospital serves a region of approximately 250,000 people where
52 nearly 10,000 births were expected annually.[12] While smaller health facilities may provide
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 services in a portion of these births, the delivery number at the study site is still very low and
4
5 reflects the trend toward home delivery found in interviews.
6
7

8
9 In addition, the high rate of cesarean delivery may stem from referral of complicated cases from
10
11 other facilities and late presentation after attempted home delivery.[25,26] Prolonged labor,
12
13 antepartum hemorrhage, and ruptured uterus were the common antecedents to cesarean
14
15 delivery.[27] Unfortunately, we were not able to ascertain the duration of labor, location where
16
17 labor was initiated, and delays in accessing care. Prior research in the region using the “three-
18
19 delays” model found significant delays at all three stages — deciding to seek care, reaching a
20
21 health facility, and receiving needed care at the facility.[9,25] These prior studies similarly
22
23 highlighted the importance of family and TBA influence on timely access to care.
24
25
26

27
28 Cesarean delivery is cited as a life-saving component of Comprehensive Emergency Obstetric
29
30 Care (EmOC).[16] Criteria for Comprehensive EmOC include 24-hour cesarean delivery and blood
31
32 transfusion availability, standards not met by this regional hospital.[28] Obstacles to the delivery
33
34 of Comprehensive EmOC included human resource shortages, intermittent electricity supplied
35
36 exclusively by generator, and scarcity of donated blood that often necessitated donations from
37
38 the patient’s family at the time of the operation.
39
40
41

42
43 Limitations of our study included non-randomized sampling of participants in community
44
45 interviews. In fact, convenience recruitment in proximate communities with active hospital
46
47 outreach programs may have over-represented “health-seekers” and women with access to
48
49 hospital services, or skewed the sample population in unknown ways by excluding women who
50
51 were not at home when interviewers visited. Additionally, the hospital-based portion of our
52
53 study was limited by poor data quality, particularly pertaining to “history of the present illness,”
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 a key timeline necessary to evaluate the dynamic causes of birth complications in the context of
4
5 home- and facility-delivery.
6
7

8
9 The combination of community and facility data enabled us to report on deficiencies in the
10
11 utilization of maternal health services in north-central Liberia. Many women in need of such
12
13 services did not access them, even if care was available locally. Additionally, there was a high
14
15 rate of serious complications among women presenting to the hospital for cesarean delivery.
16
17 Greater outreach and referral services as well as education among women and traditional birth
18
19 attendants are needed to improve timely utilization of care. Our study also highlights the
20
21 importance of performing local assessments of maternal health services to inform policy and
22
23 programmatic interventions at the community level.
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Table 1. Interview responses of women (n=307)* interviewed in their homes in rural northern Liberia in 2010

Characteristic	Value
Age, mean years (SD)	30.5 (7.5)
Parity, mean no. (SD)	4.8 (3.0)
Education level, no. (%)	
No formal education	174 (57.1)
Some primary	114 (37.4)
Completed primary or greater	17 (5.6)
Relationship status, no. (%)	
Married	223 (72.6)
Unmarried	84 (27.4)
Lost a child during pregnancy, no. (%)	
Yes	113 (37.0)
No	192 (63.0)
Last child delivered, no. (%)[†]	
In hospital	47 (16.8)
At home with traditional midwife (TM)	210 (75.0)
At home without TM	23 (8.2)
Why did you choose to deliver your child in this place?[†]	
Home, no. (%)	
'Cost' or 'Difficulty with transport'	69 (31.5)
'Quick onset of labor'	67 (30.6)

	'Family tradition' or 'Religion'	37 (16.9)
	'TM is safe'	37 (16.9)
	'Conflict' or 'Flooding'	8 (3.7)
	Hospital, no. (%)	
	'Labor complications at home'	26 (57.8)
	'Hospital nearby'	6 (13.3)
	'Antenatal referral'	5 (11.1)
	'Hospital is safer'	5 (11.1)
	'Previous Cesarean delivery'	3 (6.7)

* Totals may not add to 307 due to missing values.

† N=280 excluding nulliparous women

Table 2. Comparison of characteristics of rural Liberian women who reported delivering their last child at home or in hospital, excluding nulliparous women (n=280)

Characteristic	HOME	HOSPITAL	P-value
Age, mean years (SD)	30.9 (7.3)	29.6 (8.3)	0.29
Parity, mean no. (SD)	4.9 (2.9)	4.5 (3.2)	0.32
Education level, no. (%)			
None	139 (59.9)	20 (43.5)	0.057
Some primary	84 (36.2)	22 (47.8)	
Completed primary or greater	9 (3.9)	4 (8.7)	
Relationship status, no. (%)			
Married	168 (72.1)	33 (70.2)	0.86
Unmarried	65 (27.9)	14 (29.8)	
Lost a child during pregnancy or childbirth, no. (%)			
Yes	86 (36.9)	18 (38.3)	0.87
No	147 (63.1)	29 (61.7)	

References

- 1 Hogan MC, Foreman KJ, Naghavi M, *et al.* Maternal mortality for 181 countries, 1980-2008: a systematic analysis of progress towards Millennium Development Goal 5. *Lancet* 2010;**375**:1609–23.
- 2 Hill K, Thomas K, AbouZahr C, *et al.* Estimates of maternal mortality worldwide between 1990 and 2005: an assessment of available data. *Lancet* 2007;**370**:1311–9.

- 1
- 2
- 3 Farooqi S. The World Health Report 2005: Make Every Mother and Child Count. *World Health Organization* 2005.
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7 4 Campbell OMR, Graham WJ, Lancet Maternal Survival Series steering group. Strategies for reducing maternal mortality: getting on with what works. *Lancet* 2006;**368**:1284–99.
- 8
- 9
- 10 5 *The Millennium Development Goals Report*. New York, 2006: : United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs 2006.
- 11
- 12
- 13 6 Freedman LP, Graham WJ, Brazier E, *et al*. Practical lessons from global safe motherhood initiatives: time for a new focus on implementation. *Lancet* 2007;**370**:1383–91.
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17 7 Friberg IK, Kinney MV, Lawn JE, *et al*. Sub-Saharan Africa's Mothers, Newborns, and Children: How Many Lives Could Be Saved with Targeted Health Interventions? *PLoS Med* 2010;**7**:e1000295.
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21 8 Kinney MV, Kerber KJ, Black RE, *et al*. Sub-Saharan Africa's Mothers, Newborns, and Children: Where and Why Do They Die? *PLoS Med* 2010;**7**:e1000294.
- 22
- 23
- 24 9 Lori JR, Starke AE. A critical analysis of maternal morbidity and mortality in Liberia, West Africa. *Midwifery* 2012;**28**:67–72.
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28 10 AbouZahr C. New estimates of maternal mortality and how to interpret them: choice or confusion? *Reprod Health Matters* 2011;**19**:117–28.
- 29
- 30
- 31 11 WHO, UNFPA, UNICEF, *et al*. *UNFPA, The World Bank: Trends in Maternal Mortality: 1990 to 2008*. Geneva: : World Health Organization 2010.
- 32
- 33
- 34 12 Liberia: Demographic and Health Survey 2007. *Ministry of Health and Social Welfare* 2007.
- 35
- 36 13 Wylie BJ, Mirza FG. Cesarean delivery in the developing world. *Clin Perinatol* 2008;**35**:571–82, xii.
- 37
- 38
- 39 14 Urassa D, Carlstedt A, Nyström L. Are Process Indicators Adequate to Assess Essential Obstetric Care at District Level? — A Case Study from Rufiji District, Tanzania. *African Journal of Reproductive Health* 2005;**9**:100–11.
- 40
- 41 15 Hatt L, Stanton C, Makowiecka K. Did the strategy of skilled attendance at birth reach the poor in Indonesia? *Bull World Health Organ* 2007;**85**:774–82.
- 42
- 43 16 Shah A, Fawole B, M'Imunya JM, *et al*. Cesarean delivery outcomes from the WHO global survey on maternal and perinatal health in Africa. *Int J Gynecol Obstet* 2009;**107**:191–7.
- 44
- 45 17 Kidney E, Winter H, Khan K. Systematic review of effect of community-level interventions to reduce maternal mortality. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth* 2009;**9**.
- 46
- 47 18 *Human Development Report:2010*. New York: : United Nation Development Programme 2010.
- 48
- 49
- 50
- 51
- 52
- 53
- 54
- 55
- 56
- 57
- 58
- 59
- 60

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
- 19 Cheng MH. Reviving health care in Liberia. *Lancet* 2009;**373**:1239–40.
- 20 *World health statistics: 2009*. Geneva: : World Health Organization 2009.
- 21 Kruk ME, Rockers PC, Williams EH, *et al*. Availability of essential health services in post-conflict Liberia. *Bull World Health Organ* 2010;**88**:527–34.
- 22 Kruk ME, Rockers PC, Varpilah ST, *et al*. Which doctor?: Determinants of utilization of formal and informal health care in postconflict liberia. *Med Care* 2011;**49**:585–91.
- 23 Kruk ME, Rockers PC, Mbaruku G, *et al*. Community and health system factors associated with facility delivery in rural Tanzania: a multilevel analysis. *Health policy* 2010;**97**:209–16.
- 24 Stanton C, Holtz S. Levels and trends in cesarean birth in the developing world. *Studies in Family Planning* 2011;**37**:41–8.
- 25 Thaddeus S, Maine D. Too far to walk: maternal mortality in context. *Soc Sci Med* 1994;**38**:1091–110.
- 26 Mbaruku G, van Roosmalen J, Kimondo I, *et al*. Perinatal audit using the 3-delays model in western Tanzania. *International Journal of Gynecology and Obstetrics* 2009;**106**:85–8.
- 27 Stanton C, Ronsmans C, Baltimore Group on Cesarean. Recommendations for routine reporting on indications for cesarean delivery in developing countries. *Birth* 2008;**35**:204–11.
- 28 Bailey P, Paxton A, Lobis S, *et al*. The availability of life-saving obstetric services in developing countries: An in-depth look at the signal functions for emergency obstetric care. *Int J Gynecol Obstet* 2006;**93**:285–91.



Access to facility delivery and caesarean section in north-central Liberia: a cross-sectional community-based study

Journal:	<i>BMJ Open</i>
Manuscript ID:	bmjopen-2012-001602.R1
Article Type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	27-Aug-2012
Complete List of Authors:	Gartland, Matthew; Vanderbilt University School of Medicine, Vanderbilt Institute for Global Health Taryor, Victor; Ganta United Methodist Hospital, Ganta, Liberia, Norman, Andy; Vanderbilt University School of Medicine, Obstetrics and Gynecology Vermund, Sten; Vanderbilt University School of Medicine, Pediatrics; Vanderbilt University, Vanderbilt Institute for Global Health
Primary Subject Heading:	Global health
Secondary Subject Heading:	Obstetrics and gynaecology, Public health, Reproductive medicine
Keywords:	Liberia, Maternal health, Health care utilization, Emergency obstetric care

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

Abstract:

Objective: Rural north-central Liberia has one of the world's highest maternal mortality ratios. We studied health facility birthing service utilization and the motives of women seeking or not seeking facility-based care in north-central Liberia.

Design: Cross-sectional community-based structured interviews and health facility medical record review.

Setting: A regional hospital and the surrounding communities in rural north-central Liberia.

Participants: A convenience sample of 307 women between 15-49 years participated in structured interviews. 1031 deliveries performed in the regional hospital were included in the record review.

Primary outcomes: Delivery within a health facility and cesarean delivery rates were used as indicators of direct utilization of care and as markers of availability of maternal health services.

Results: Of 280 interview respondents with a prior childbirth, only 47 (16.8%) delivered their last child in a health facility. Women who did not use formal services cited cost, sudden labor, and family tradition or religion as their principal reasons for home delivery. At the regional hospital, the cesarean delivery rate was 35.5%.

Conclusions: There is an enormous unmet need for maternal health services in north-central Liberia. Greater outreach and referral services as well as community-based education among women, family members, and traditional midwives are vital to improve the timely utilization of care.

INTRODUCTION

In 2008 an estimated 342,900 women died in childbirth worldwide.[1] A vast global disparity exists, with more than 99% of maternal deaths taking place in low and middle-income countries (LMIC). The maternal mortality ratio (MMR) represents the number of maternal deaths per 100,000 live births. MMR has decreased in Asia and Latin America in the past two decades, but has remained near-constant in sub-Saharan Africa.[1-3] According to the World Health Organization (WHO), most maternal deaths could be prevented with ready access to adequate obstetric services.[4,5] Millennium Development Goal 5 calls for a three-quarters reduction in MMR globally by 2015. Strides have been made toward this target; however, large-scale international programs have not reduced maternal mortality significantly in areas of the world that bear the greatest burden.[1,6,7]

Programs to reduce maternal mortality must consider the local context of maternal mortality and access to maternal health services if they are to be effective.[7-9] Recent efforts to improve the measurement of maternal mortality globally have yielded more accurate and complete data.[1] Still, there is a paucity of primary community information in many countries with the highest MMR, such as Liberia.[1,3,10,11] In addition, a high degree of variability in MMR and access to maternal care exists within communities.[12,13]

In areas with high maternal mortality and poor information systems where data on maternal mortality are not available, process indicators related to this outcome can be highly informative to evaluate the unmet need for maternal health care. Process indicators for maternal mortality include the proportion of women who deliver in a health facility under skilled attendance and the rate of cesarean delivery.[14-16] These indicators are easier to capture partly because

1
2
3 maternal death is difficult to ascertain, especially in rural areas, or may occur in small catchment
4
5 areas with low numbers over a short evaluation timespan.[17]
6
7

8
9 Liberia is among the poorest countries in the world; it has one of the ten highest national MMR
10
11 of any nation.[18] Hogan and colleagues estimated MMR in Liberia to be 859 (uncertainty
12
13 interval, 547-1287) maternal deaths per 100,000 live births in 2008.[1] By comparison, the MMR
14
15 in Ghana, among the lowest in the region, was 409 (248-633), and in neighbor Sierra Leone, it
16
17 was 1033 (635-1627), the region's highest. The wide uncertainty intervals are reflective of the
18
19 relative lack of data captured by poor health information systems in Liberia, which is still
20
21 recovering from a 14-year civil war that ended in 2003. Health infrastructure and human
22
23 resources were decimated during that span. In 2009, the country was estimated to have a deficit
24
25 of 10,000 health care workers.[19] The 2007 Liberia Demographic and Health Survey confirms a
26
27 corresponding gap in access to essential services, citing only 46% of women in Liberia deliver
28
29 under skilled attendance in health facilities.[12] In addition, the national cesarean delivery rate
30
31 in 2007 was 3.5%, and <1.0% in rural parts of the country.[12,20] As Liberia rebuilds its health
32
33 infrastructure and targets maternal mortality, community-level research is needed to
34
35 appropriately direct maternal health interventions.
36
37
38
39

40
41 The objective of this study was to characterize utilization of maternal health services, including
42
43 facility delivery and cesarean delivery, in rural north-central Liberia and describe the motives of
44
45 women seeking or not seeking facility-based care.
46
47
48

49 **METHODS**

50
51
52 We conducted a cross-sectional study employing a structured interview in a community setting,
53
54 as well as a review of medical records at the local hospital. The individual structured interview
55
56 comprised of questions on the behavior and attitudes of women regarding maternal health care.
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Eight communities were chosen based on the presence of existing outreach programs for
4 antenatal education and childhood immunizations for a regional hospital in Nimba County,
5 located in north-central Liberia. All communities were within 15 km of the hospital. More than
6
7
8
9
10 95% of the county's 462,000 residents live in a rural setting.[12]
11

12
13 All women between the ages of 15-49 years were eligible, consonant with age sampling of the
14 2007 Liberia Demographic and Health Survey.[12] Women were approached for participation in
15 their homes or in other public spaces such as community meeting places. All households and
16 public locations in each village were visited once, resulting in a convenience sample given
17 absenteeism. Community health workers were trained to conduct 25-minute standardized
18 interviews. Questions in English were designed in collaboration with clinicians, public health
19 technicians, and community health workers in Liberia. Interviews were conducted orally in
20 English or Mano, a language spoken in the region, as per a participant's preference. The
21 interviewers were trained to record responses including multiple answers given to open-ended
22 questions. Responses were coded by a member of the research team. Verbal informed consent
23 was obtained from all participants. Women received a small non-monetary compensation
24 (value≈US\$2) for their time.
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41

42 The review of medical records involved a retrospective evaluation of all deliveries at a regional
43 hospital in Nimba County using general medical and operating room records. Data were
44 extracted on method of delivery, incidence of stillbirth, and maternal and fetal indications for
45 cesarean delivery. Extraction was performed by a hospital data officer and a medical student.
46
47
48
49
50

51 **Statistical analysis**

52
53
54
55 Participant responses were analyzed for frequencies, means, and standard deviations as
56 indicated. Participant characteristics were correlated with their interview responses. Nulliparous
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 women were excluded from analysis of questions related to prior deliveries. Respondents with
4
5 missing data were dropped from all analyses. Fisher's exact test was used to evaluate
6
7
8 categorical variables and Student's T-test was used for continuous variables. All analyses were
9
10 performed with SAS version 9.1.3 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC). Our primary outcomes of interest
11
12 were facility delivery rate assessed in the community and cesarean delivery rate in a regional
13
14 hospital.

15
16
17
18 Data were kept anonymous at all times by removing all identifiers. Ethical approval was granted
19
20 by the Vanderbilt University Institutional Review Board, and the Medical Director of the Liberian
21
22 hospital.
23

24 25 26 **RESULTS**

27
28
29 Between May 2009 and August 2009, 316 women were approached to participate in community
30
31 interviews. Of these 307 (97.2%) met eligibility criteria, consented, and completed the interview.
32
33 There was 1 (0.3%) documented refusal. Participants had a mean age of 30.5 (SD±7.5)(Table 1).
34
35 The mean parity was 4.8 (SD±3.0). More than half (n=174, 57.1%) of women reported having no
36
37 formal education. There were 6 (2.0%) nulliparous women and 21 (6.8%) who chose not to
38
39 answer questions regarding the site of delivery in the immediate prior pregnancy. Of the
40
41 remaining 280 women, 210 (75.0%) reported delivering their previous child at home with a
42
43 traditional midwife (TM) and 23 (8.2%) at home without a TM. Interviewers then asked the
44
45 open-ended question, "Why did you choose to deliver your child in this place?" for which
46
47 multiple responses were accepted. Responses for those delivering at home included, 'cost' or
48
49 'difficulty with transport' (31.5%), 'quick onset of labor' (30.6%), 'family tradition' or 'religion'
50
51 (16.9%), 'TM is safe' (16.9%), and 'conflict' or 'flooding' (3.7%). Women who reported delivering
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 in a hospital responded, 'labor complications at home' (57.8%), 'hospital nearby' (13.3%),
4
5 'antenatal referral' (11.1%) 'safety' (11.1%), and 'previous cesarean delivery' (6.7%).
6
7

8
9 In women who reported delivering at home, and those who reported delivering in a hospital,
10
11 there was no statistical evidence to suggest a difference in age, parity, relationship status, or
12
13 whether a woman reporting losing a child during a prior pregnancy (Table 2). Women who
14
15 reported delivering at home were less likely to have education at or beyond the primary level, a
16
17 result that had borderline statistical significance ($p=0.057$).
18
19

20
21 In a 1-year period between April 2008-March 2009, 949 live births occurred in the study
22
23 hospital. In the same period, 82 stillbirths were recorded, 8.0% of total births ($n=1031$). The rate
24
25 of cesarean delivery at the hospital was 35.5% ($n=337$). The stillbirth rate in the cesarean subset
26
27 was 12.4%. Common indications for cesarean delivery included cephalopelvic disproportion
28
29 (50.0%), previous cesarean delivery (12.7%), antepartum hemorrhage due to placenta previa or
30
31 placental abruption (10.2%), eclampsia (8.5%), breech presentation (5.0%), and ruptured uterus
32
33 (4.2%).
34
35
36
37

38 **DISCUSSION**

39
40
41 In structured interviews conducted in villages proximate to a hospital in Nimba County, Liberia,
42
43 only one in six women reported delivering their last child in a hospital, i.e., 83.2% of women
44
45 reported their last delivery to have been at home. Of women delivering at a regional hospital,
46
47 35.5% were by cesarean section and 8.0% were stillborn. These metrics indicate severe
48
49 underutilization of timely, supervised, institutional birthing services among women in north-
50
51 central Liberia. Participants reported financial and transportation barriers to seeking care, as
52
53 well as cultural traditions including the practice of delivery under the care of a TM. (Traditional
54
55 midwife is the term used in Liberia, analogous to the traditional birth attendant in other
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 nations.) Further, more than half of women who delivered their last child in a hospital did so
4
5 only after experiencing complications in labor at home. This was validated by the hospital's high
6
7 cesarean section rate. These findings of underutilization of the formal health sector are similar
8
9 to those of a 2011 study in the same region of Liberia that highlighted the impact of geographic
10
11 and financial barriers, perceptions of quality in the formal and informal health care systems, and
12
13 prevailing care by informal health workers, including TM, on the health care utilization.[21]
14
15

16
17
18 The rates of hospital delivery in our study were significantly lower than the national (46.3%) and
19
20 county (32.6%) statistics reported in 2007 for skilled attendance at delivery, a related metric
21
22 used by the WHO and Liberia Ministry of Health to assess women's access to safe maternal
23
24 health services.[4,12] Skilled attendance differs from facility delivery in the requirement of
25
26 supervision of childbirth by a physician, nurse, trained midwife or physician's assistant.[11] This
27
28 is deemed vital to the early recognition of life-threatening intrapartum complications, and their
29
30 effective management.[5,14] While hospital delivery is a prerequisite for skilled attendance in
31
32 Liberia where home deliveries are rarely supervised by a professional in the above cadres, it is
33
34 not necessarily true that all hospital deliveries have the appropriate personnel present.
35
36 Therefore, our measured rates of facility delivery potentially overestimate the true figure for
37
38 safe delivery under skilled attendance among the participants.
39
40
41
42

43
44 The definition for skilled attendants notably excludes TM because they do not have access to
45
46 resources for medical or surgical management of labor.[4] Women under TM care who have
47
48 conditions such as dystocia, eclampsia, or bleeding are exceedingly vulnerable. In addition, TM
49
50 have limited training in the recognition of complications, and in the study community there
51
52 were no formal mechanisms for timely referral if complications arose.[10]
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 When we compared women who delivered their last child at home to those who delivered in a
4 hospital, only education differed; home-delivered women were more likely to report having no
5 formal education. Previous studies have demonstrated the alarming inequalities in access to
6 maternal health care based on education levels, as well as wealth, rural venue, and
7 religion.[7,13,22]
8
9

10
11
12 In our review of hospital medical and operating room records we found that cesarean delivery
13 accounted for more than a third of all deliveries, though there were relatively few births at the
14 facility in the study period. The hospital serves a region of approximately 250,000 people where
15 nearly 10,000 births were expected annually.[12] Additionally it is the only facility within a 35km
16 radius with capacity to provide cesarean delivery service. While smaller health facilities in the
17 region equipped to offer assisted vaginal delivery may provide services in a portion of births in
18 the catchment area, the delivery number at the study site is still very low and reflects the trend
19 toward home delivery found in interviews.
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33

34
35 In addition, the high rate of cesarean delivery may stem from referral of complicated cases from
36 other facilities and late presentation after attempted home delivery.[23,24] Prolonged labor,
37 antepartum hemorrhage, and ruptured uterus were the common antecedents to cesarean
38 delivery.[25] Unfortunately, we were not able to ascertain the duration of labor, location where
39 labor was initiated, and delays in accessing care. Prior research in the region using the “three-
40 delays” model found significant delays at all three stages — deciding to seek care, reaching a
41 health facility, and receiving needed care at the facility.[10,23] These prior studies similarly
42 highlighted the importance of family and TM influence on timely access to care.
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52

53
54 Cesarean delivery is cited as a life-saving component of Comprehensive Emergency Obstetric
55 Care (EmOC).[16] Criteria for Comprehensive EmOC include 24-hour cesarean delivery and blood
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 transfusion availability.[26] Notably, these standards were not met by this regional hospital.

4
5 Typical obstacles to the delivery of Comprehensive EmOC in the region include human resource
6
7 shortages, intermittent electricity supplied exclusively by generator, and scarcity of donated
8
9 blood that often necessitates donations from the patient's family at the time of the operation.

10
11
12
13 Limitations of our study included non-randomized sampling of participants in community
14
15 interviews. In fact, convenience recruitment in proximate communities with active hospital
16
17 outreach programs in maternal and child health may have over-represented "health-seekers"
18
19 and women with access to hospital services, or skewed the sample population in unknown ways
20
21 by excluding women who were not at home when interviewers visited. Unfortunately we do not
22
23 have data from communities without hospital outreach programs for comparison. In addition,
24
25 refusal to participate among women approached was not documented consistently by survey
26
27 administrators so we are unable to accurately report on this figure. Finally, the hospital-based
28
29 portion of our study was limited by poor medical record keeping and organization, and missing
30
31 data, particularly pertaining to "history of present illness," a key timeline necessary to evaluate
32
33 the dynamic causes of birth complications in the context of home- and facility-delivery.
34
35
36
37

38
39 In summary, the combination of community and facility data enabled us to identify deficiencies
40
41 in the utilization of maternal health services in north-central Liberia. Many women in need of
42
43 such services did not access them, even if care was available locally. Additionally, there was a
44
45 high rate of serious complications among women presenting to the hospital for cesarean
46
47 delivery. Greater outreach and referral services as well as education among women and TM are
48
49 needed to improve timely utilization of care. Our study also highlights the importance of
50
51 performing local assessments of maternal health services in order to accurately measure
52
53 community needs that otherwise may be underestimated by national surveys. These
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 geographically focused evaluations are critical to inform policy and programmatic interventions
4
5 at the community level.
6
7

8
9 **FUNDING:**

10
11 Funded by Office of the Dean, Vanderbilt University School of Medicine (Emphasis
12
13 Program) and the Vanderbilt Institute for Global Health
14
15

16
17
18 **CONTRIBUTORSHIP:**

19
20 All authors were involved in the design of the study, the analysis of data, and the writing
21
22 and editing of this paper. MGG conducted interviews with participants. All authors had
23
24 full access to all the data in the study and can take responsibility for the integrity of the
25
26 data and the accuracy of the data analysis. MGG wrote the first draft of the paper, and all
27
28 authors made important intellectual contributions to the content and approved the final
29
30 version.
31
32
33
34
35
36

37 **COMPETING INTERESTS:**

38
39 There are no competing interests .
40
41
42
43

44 **DATA SHARING STATEMENT:**

45
46 There is no additional unpublished data available.
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Table 1. Interview responses of women (n=307)^{*} interviewed in their homes in rural northern Liberia in 2010

Characteristic	Value
Age[*] , mean years (SD)	30.5 (7.5)
Parity[*] , mean no. (SD)	4.8 (3.0)
Education level , no. (%)	
No formal education	174 (57.1)
Some primary	114 (37.4)
Completed primary or greater	17 (5.6)
Relationship status , no. (%)	
Married	223 (72.6)
Unmarried	84 (27.4)
Lost a child during prior pregnancy , no. (%)	
Yes	113 (37.0)
No	192 (63.0)
Site of delivery in immediate prior pregnancy , no. (%) [†]	
Hospital	47 (16.8)
Home with traditional midwife (TM)	210 (75.0)
Home without TM	23 (8.2)
Why did you choose to deliver your child in this place? [†]	
Home , no. (%)	
'Cost' or 'Difficulty with transport'	69 (31.5)
'Quick onset of labor'	67 (30.6)

'Family tradition' or 'Religion'	37 (16.9)
'TM is safe'	37 (16.9)
'Conflict' or 'Flooding'	8 (3.7)
Hospital, no. (%)	
'Labor complications at home'	26 (57.8)
'Hospital nearby'	6 (13.3)
'Antenatal referral'	5 (11.1)
'Hospital is safer'	5 (11.1)
'Previous Cesarean delivery'	3 (6.7)

* Totals may not add to 307 due to missing values. 280 respondents reported age. 305 respondents reported parity.

† N=280 excluding nulliparous women

Table 2. Comparison of characteristics of rural Liberian women who reported delivering their last child at home or in hospital, excluding nulliparous women (n=280)

Characteristic	HOME	HOSPITAL	P-value
Age, mean years (SD)	30.9 (7.3)	29.6 (8.3)	0.29
Parity, mean no. (SD)	4.9 (2.9)	4.5 (3.2)	0.32
Education level, no. (%)			
None	139 (59.9)	20 (43.5)	0.057
Some primary	84 (36.2)	22 (47.8)	
Completed primary or greater	9 (3.9)	4 (8.7)	
Relationship status, no. (%)			
Married	168 (72.1)	33 (70.2)	0.86
Unmarried	65 (27.9)	14 (29.8)	
Lost a child during prior pregnancy, no. (%)			
Yes	86 (36.9)	18 (38.3)	0.87
No	147 (63.1)	29 (61.7)	

References

- 1 Hogan MC, Foreman KJ, Naghavi M, *et al.* Maternal mortality for 181 countries, 1980-2008: a systematic analysis of progress towards Millennium Development Goal 5. *Lancet* 2010;**375**:1609–23.
- 2 Hill K, Thomas K, AbouZahr C, *et al.* Estimates of maternal mortality worldwide between 1990 and 2005: an assessment of available data. *Lancet* 2007;**370**:1311–9.

- 3 WHO, UNICEF, UNFPA, *et al.* Trends in Maternal Mortality: 1990 to 2010: WHO, UNICEF, UNFPA and The World Bank estimates. 2012;;1–70.
- 4 Farooqi S. The World Health Report 2005: Make Every Mother and Child Count. *World Health Organization* 2005.
- 5 Campbell OMR, Graham WJ, Lancet Maternal Survival Series steering group. Strategies for reducing maternal mortality: getting on with what works. *Lancet* 2006;**368**:1284–99.
- 6 *The Millennium Development Goals Report*. New York, 2006: : United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs 2006.
- 7 Freedman LP, Graham WJ, Brazier E, *et al.* Practical lessons from global safe motherhood initiatives: time for a new focus on implementation. *Lancet* 2007;**370**:1383–91.
- 8 Friberg IK, Kinney MV, Lawn JE, *et al.* Sub-Saharan Africa's Mothers, Newborns, and Children: How Many Lives Could Be Saved with Targeted Health Interventions? *PLoS Med* 2010;**7**:e1000295.
- 9 Kinney MV, Kerber KJ, Black RE, *et al.* Sub-Saharan Africa's Mothers, Newborns, and Children: Where and Why Do They Die? *PLoS Med* 2010;**7**:e1000294.
- 10 Lori JR, Starke AE. A critical analysis of maternal morbidity and mortality in Liberia, West Africa. *Midwifery* 2012;**28**:67–72.
- 11 AbouZahr C. New estimates of maternal mortality and how to interpret them: choice or confusion? *Reprod Health Matters* 2011;**19**:117–28.
- 12 Liberia: Demographic and Health Survey 2007. *Ministry of Health and Social Welfare* 2007.
- 13 Wylie BJ, Mirza FG. Cesarean delivery in the developing world. *Clin Perinatol* 2008;**35**:571–82, xii.
- 14 Urassa D, Carlstedt A, Nyström L. Are Process Indicators Adequate to Assess Essential Obstetric Care at District Level? — A Case Study from Rufiji District, Tanzania. *African Journal of Reproductive Health* 2005;**9**:100–11.
- 15 Hatt L, Stanton C, Makowiecka K. Did the strategy of skilled attendance at birth reach the poor in Indonesia? *Bull World Health Organ* 2007;**85**:774–82.
- 16 Shah A, Fawole B, M'Imunya JM, *et al.* Cesarean delivery outcomes from the WHO global survey on maternal and perinatal health in Africa. *Int J Gynecol Obstet* 2009;**107**:191–7.
- 17 Kidney E, Winter H, Khan K. Systematic review of effect of community-level interventions to reduce maternal mortality. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth* 2009;**9**.
- 18 *Human Development Report:2010*. New York: : United Nation Development Programme 2010.

- 1
2
3 19 Cheng MH. Reviving health care in Liberia. *Lancet* 2009;**373**:1239–40.
4
5
6 20 *World health statistics: 2009*. Geneva: : World Health Organization 2009.
7
8 21 Kruk ME, Rockers PC, Varpilah ST, *et al*. Which doctor?: Determinants of utilization of
9 formal and informal health care in postconflict Liberia. *Med Care* 2011;**49**:585–91.
10
11 22 Stanton C, Holtz S. Levels and trends in cesarean birth in the developing world. *Studies in*
12 *Family Planning* 2011;**37**:41–8.
13
14 23 Thaddeus S, Maine D. Too far to walk: maternal mortality in context. *Soc Sci Med*
15 1994;**38**:1091–110.
16
17
18 24 Mbaruku G, van Roosmalen J, Kimondo I, *et al*. Perinatal audit using the 3-delays model in
19 western Tanzania. *International Journal of Gynecology and Obstetrics* 2009;**106**:85–8.
20
21 25 Stanton C, Ronsmans C, Baltimore Group on Cesarean. Recommendations for routine
22 reporting on indications for cesarean delivery in developing countries. *Birth* 2008;**35**:204–
23 11.
24
25 26 Bailey P, Paxton A, Lobis S, *et al*. The availability of life-saving obstetric services in
26 developing countries: An in-depth look at the signal functions for emergency obstetric
27 care. *Int J Gynecol Obstet* 2006;**93**:285–91.
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

INTRODUCTION

In 2008 an estimated 342,900 women died in childbirth worldwide.[1] A vast global disparity exists, with more than 99% of maternal deaths taking place in low and middle-income countries (LMIC). The maternal mortality ratio (MMR) represents the number of maternal deaths per 100,000 live births. MMR has decreased in Asia and Latin America in the past two decades, but has remained near-constant in sub-Saharan Africa.[1-3] According to the World Health Organization (WHO), most maternal deaths could be prevented with ready access to adequate obstetric services.[4,5] Millennium Development Goal 5 calls for a three-quarters reduction in MMR globally by 2015. Strides have been made toward this target; however, large-scale international programs have not reduced maternal mortality significantly in areas of the world that bear the greatest burden.[1,6,7]

Programs to reduce maternal mortality must consider the local context of maternal mortality and access to maternal health services if they are to be effective.[7-9] Recent efforts to improve the measurement of maternal mortality globally have yielded more accurate and complete data.[1] Still, there is a paucity of primary community information in many countries with the highest MMR, such as Liberia.[1,3,10,11] In addition, a high degree of variability in MMR and access to maternal care exists within communities.[12,13]

In areas with high maternal mortality and poor information systems [where data on maternal mortality are not available](#), process indicators [related to this outcome](#) can be highly informative to evaluate the unmet need for maternal health care. [Process indicators for maternal mortality include the proportion of women who deliver in a health facility under skilled attendance and the rate of cesarean delivery.](#)[14-16] [These indicators are easier to capture partly because maternal death is difficult to ascertain, especially in rural areas, or may occur in small catchment](#)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 ~~areas with low numbers over a short evaluation timespan.~~^[17] ~~Direct measurement of service~~
9 ~~delivery includes the proportion of women who deliver in a health facility under skilled~~
10 ~~attendance and the rate of cesarean delivery.~~^[15-17] ~~These indicators are easier to capture than~~
11 ~~MMR partly because maternal death is difficult to ascertain, especially in rural areas, or may~~
12 ~~occur in small catchment areas with low numbers over a short evaluation timespan.~~^[17]
13
14
15
16
17

18 Liberia ~~was the setting for our study and~~ is among the poorest countries in the world; it has one
19 of the ten highest national MMR of any nation.^[18] Hogan and colleagues estimated MMR in
20 Liberia to be 859 (uncertainty interval, 547-1287) maternal deaths per 100,000 live births in
21 2008.^[1] By comparison, the MMR in Ghana, among the lowest in the region, was 409 (248-633),
22 and in neighbor Sierra Leone, it was 1033 (635-1627), the region's highest. The wide uncertainty
23 intervals are reflective of the relative lack of data captured by poor health information systems
24 in Liberia, which is still recovering from a 14-year civil war that ended in 2003. Health
25 infrastructure and human resources were decimated during that span. In 2009, the country was
26 estimated to have a deficit of 10,000 health care workers.^[19] The ~~2007 Liberia Demographic~~
27 ~~and Health Survey 2009 World Health Statistics report~~ confirms a corresponding gap in access to
28 essential services, citing only 46% of women in Liberia deliver under skilled attendance in health
29 facilities.^[12] ~~[20]~~ In addition, the national cesarean delivery rate in 2007 was 3.5%, and <1.0% in
30 rural parts of the country.^[12,20] As Liberia rebuilds its health infrastructure and targets
31 maternal mortality, community-level research is needed to appropriately direct maternal health
32 interventions. ~~[21]~~
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46

47 The objective of this study was to characterize utilization of maternal health services, including
48 facility delivery and cesarean delivery, in rural north-central Liberia and describe the motives of
49 women seeking or not seeking facility-based care.
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

METHODS

We conducted a cross-sectional study employing a structured interview in a community setting, as well as a review of medical records at the local hospital. The individual structured interview comprised of questions on the behavior and attitudes of women regarding maternal health care.

Eight communities were chosen based on the presence of existing outreach programs [for antenatal education and childhood immunizations](#) for a regional hospital in Nimba County, located in north-central Liberia. All communities were within 15 km of the hospital. More than 95% of the county's 462,000 residents live in a rural setting.[12]

All women between the ages of 15-49 years were eligible, consonant with age sampling of the 2007 Liberia Demographic and Health Survey.[12] Women were approached for participation in their homes [or in other public spaces such as community meeting places](#). All households [and public locations](#) in each village were visited once, resulting in a convenience sample given absenteeism. Community health workers were trained to conduct 25-minute standardized interviews. Questions in English were designed in collaboration with clinicians, public health technicians, and community health workers in Liberia. Interviews were conducted orally in English or Mano, a language spoken in the region, as per a participant's preference. The interviewers were trained to record responses including multiple answers given to open-ended questions. Responses were coded by a member of the research team. Verbal informed consent was obtained from all participants. Women received a small non-monetary compensation (value≈US\$2) for their time.

The review of medical records involved a retrospective evaluation of all deliveries at a regional hospital in Nimba County using general medical and operating room records. Data were

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 extracted on method of delivery, incidence of stillbirth, and maternal and fetal indications for
9 cesarean delivery. Extraction was performed by a hospital data officer and a medical student.

12 **Statistical analysis**

14
15 Participant responses were analyzed for frequencies, means, and standard deviations as
16 indicated. Participant characteristics were correlated with their interview responses. Nulliparous
17 women were excluded from analysis of questions related to prior ~~pregnancies~~deliveries.
18 Respondents with missing data were dropped from all analyses. Fisher's exact test was used to
19 evaluate categorical variables and Student's T-test was used for continuous variables. All
20 analyses were performed with SAS version 9.1.3 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC). Our primary outcomes
21 of interest were facility delivery rate assessed in the community and ~~c~~Cesarean delivery rate in a
22 regional hospital.
23
24
25
26
27
28
29

30
31 Data were kept anonymous at all times by removing all identifiers. Ethical approval was granted
32 by the Vanderbilt University Institutional Review Board, and the Medical Director of the Liberian
33 hospital.
34
35

37 **RESULTS**

38
39
40 Between May 2009 and August 2009, 316 women were approached to participate in community
41 interviews. Of these 307 (97.2%) met eligibility criteria, consented, and completed the interview.

42
43 There was 1 (0.3%) documented refusal. Participants had a mean age of 30.5 (SD±7.5)(Table 1).
44
45 The ~~median-mean~~ parity was 4.8 (SD±3.0). More than half (n=174, 57.1%) of women reported
46 having no formal education. There were 6 (2.0%) nulliparous women and 21 (6.8%) who chose
47 not to answer questions regarding the site of delivery in the immediate prior pregnancy. Of the
48 remaining 280 women, ~~who reported previous parity~~, 210 (75.0%) reported delivering their
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 previous child at home with a traditional birth attendant/midwife (FBATM) and 23 (8.2%) at
9 home without a FBATM. Interviewers then asked the open-ended question, “Why did you
10 choose to deliver your child in this place?” for which multiple responses were accepted.
11
12 Responses for those delivering at home included, ‘cost’ or ‘difficulty with transport’ (31.5%),
13
14 ‘quick onset of labor’ (30.6%), ‘family tradition’ or ‘religion’ (16.9%), ‘FBATM is safe’ (16.9%),
15
16 and ‘conflict’ or ‘flooding’ (3.7%). Women who reported delivering in a hospital responded,
17
18 ‘labor complications at home’ (57.8%), ‘hospital nearby’ (13.3%), ‘antenatal referral’ (11.1%)
19
20 ‘safety’ (11.1%), and ‘previous cesarean delivery’ (6.7%).
21
22

23
24 In women who reported delivering at home, and those who reported delivering in a hospital,
25
26 there was no statistical evidence to suggest a difference in age, parity, relationship status, or
27
28 whether a woman reporting losing a child during a previous prior pregnancy (Table 2). Women
29
30 who reported delivering at home were less likely to have education at or beyond the primary
31
32 level, a result that had borderline statistical significance ($p=0.057$).
33

34 In a 1-year period between April 2008-March 2009, 949 live births occurred in the study
35
36 hospital. In the same period, 82 stillbirths were recorded, 8.0% of total births ($n=1031$). The rate
37
38 of cesarean delivery at the hospital was 35.5% ($n=337$). The stillbirth rate in the cesarean subset
39
40 was 12.4%. Common indications for cesarean delivery included cephalopelvic disproportion
41
42 (50.0%), previous cesarean delivery (12.7%), antepartum hemorrhage due to placenta previa or
43
44 placental abruption (10.2%), eclampsia (8.5%), breech presentation (5.0%), and ruptured uterus
45
46 (4.2%).
47

48 DISCUSSION

49
50 In structured interviews conducted in villages proximate to a hospital in Nimba County, Liberia,
51
52 only one in six women reported delivering their last child in a hospital, i.e., 83.2% of women
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 reported their last delivery to have been at home. Of women delivering at a regional hospital,
9
10 35.5% were by cesarean section and 8.0% were stillborn. These metrics indicate severe
11
12 underutilization of timely, supervised, institutional birthing services among women in north-
13
14 central Liberia. Participants reported financial and transportation barriers to seeking care, as
15
16 well as cultural traditions including the practice of delivery under the care of [a a-TBATM](#).
17
18 [\(Traditional midwife is the term used in Liberia, analogous to the traditional birth attendant in](#)
19
20 [other nations.\)](#) Further, more than half of women who delivered their last child in a hospital did
21
22 do so only after experiencing complications in labor at home. This was validated by the
23
24 hospital's high cesarean section rate. These findings [of underutilization of the formal health](#)
25
26 [sector](#) are similar to those of a 2011 study in the same region of Liberia that highlighted the
27
28 impact of geographic and financial barriers, perceptions of quality in the formal and informal
29
30 health care systems, and prevailing care by [TBAs-informal health workers, including TM](#), on the
31
32 health care utilization.[21]

33
34 The rates of hospital delivery in our study were significantly lower than the national (46.3%) and
35
36 county (32.6%) statistics reported in 2007 for skilled attendance at delivery, a related metric
37
38 used by the WHO and Liberia Ministry of Health to assess women's access to safe maternal
39
40 health services.[4,12] Skilled attendance differs from facility delivery in the requirement of
41
42 supervision of childbirth by a physician, nurse, trained midwife or physician's assistant.[11] This
43
44 is deemed vital to the early recognition of life-threatening intrapartum complications, and their
45
46 effective management.[5,14] While hospital delivery is a prerequisite for skilled attendance in
47
48 Liberia where home deliveries are rarely supervised by a professional in the above cadres, it is
49
50 not necessarily true that all hospital deliveries have the appropriate personnel present.
51
52 Therefore, our measured rates of facility delivery potentially overestimate the true figure for
53
54 safe delivery under skilled attendance among the participants.
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

The definition for skilled attendants notably excludes ~~TBAs-TM~~ because they do not have access to resources for medical or surgical management of labor.[4] Women under ~~TBA-TM~~ care who have conditions such as dystocia, eclampsia, or bleeding are exceedingly vulnerable. In addition, ~~TBAs-TM~~ have limited training in the recognition of complications, and in the study community there were no formal mechanisms for timely referral if complications arose.[10] ~~Actually, only 16.9% believed that a delivery with a TBA was safe, a finding similar to a previous study in east Africa.[22]~~

When we compared women who delivered their last child at home to those who delivered in a hospital, only education differed; home-delivered women were more likely to report having no formal education. Previous studies have demonstrated the alarming inequalities in access to maternal health care based on education levels, as well as wealth, rural ~~ityvenue~~, and religion.[7,13,22]

In our review of hospital medical and operating room records we found that cesarean delivery accounted for more than a third of all deliveries, though there were relatively few births at the facility in the study period. The hospital serves a region of approximately 250,000 people where nearly 10,000 births were expected annually.[12] ~~Additionally it is the only facility within a 35km radius with capacity to provide cesarean delivery service.~~ While smaller health facilities ~~in the region equipped to offer assisted vaginal delivery~~ may provide services in a portion of ~~births in these the catchment area~~births, the delivery number at the study site is still very low and reflects the trend toward home delivery found in interviews.

In addition, the high rate of cesarean delivery may stem from referral of complicated cases from other facilities and late presentation after attempted home delivery.[23,24] Prolonged labor, antepartum hemorrhage, and ruptured uterus were the common antecedents to cesarean

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 delivery.[25] Unfortunately, we were not able to ascertain the duration of labor, location where
9 labor was initiated, and delays in accessing care. Prior research in the region using the “three-
10 delays” model found significant delays at all three stages — deciding to seek care, reaching a
11 health facility, and receiving needed care at the facility.[10,23] These prior studies similarly
12 highlighted the importance of family and ~~TBA-TM~~ influence on timely access to care.

13
14
15
16
17
18 Cesarean delivery is cited as a life-saving component of Comprehensive Emergency Obstetric
19 Care (EmOC).[16] Criteria for Comprehensive EmOC include 24-hour cesarean delivery and blood
20 transfusion availability.[26] ~~Notably, these~~ standards ~~were~~ not met by this regional hospital.~~{27}~~
21
22 ~~Typical~~ ~~Obstacles~~ to the delivery of Comprehensive EmOC ~~in the region~~ included human
23 resource shortages, intermittent electricity supplied exclusively by generator, and scarcity of
24 donated blood that often necessitates ~~sd~~ donations from the patient’s family at the time of the
25 operation.

26
27
28
29
30
31
32 Limitations of our study included non-randomized sampling of participants in community
33 interviews. In fact, convenience recruitment in proximate communities with active hospital
34 outreach programs ~~in maternal and child health~~ may have over-represented “health-seekers”
35 and women with access to hospital services, or skewed the sample population in unknown ways
36 by excluding women who were not at home when interviewers visited. ~~Unfortunately we do not~~
37 ~~have data from communities without hospital outreach programs for comparison. In addition,~~
38 ~~refusal to participate among women approached was not documented consistently by survey~~
39 ~~administrators so we are unable to accurately report on this figure.- Finally, the hospital-based~~
40 ~~portion of our study was limited by poor medical record keeping and organization, and missing~~
41 ~~data~~ Additionally, the hospital-based portion of our study was limited by poor data quality,
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 particularly pertaining to “history of ~~the~~ present illness,” a key timeline necessary to evaluate
9 the dynamic causes of birth complications in the context of home- and facility-delivery.
10
11

12 ~~In summary, in summary, it~~ the combination of community and facility data enabled us to
13 ~~identify report on~~ deficiencies in the utilization of maternal health services in north-central
14 Liberia. Many women in need of such services did not access them, even if care was available
15 locally. Additionally, there was a high rate of serious complications among women presenting to
16 the hospital for cesarean delivery. Greater outreach and referral services as well as education
17 among women and ~~TM traditional birth attendants~~ are needed to improve timely utilization of
18 care. Our study also highlights the importance of performing local assessments of maternal
19 health services in order to accurately measure community needs that otherwise may be
20 underestimated by national surveys. These geographically focused evaluations are critical to
21 inform policy and programmatic interventions at the community level.
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Table 1. Interview responses of women (n=307)* interviewed in their homes in rural northern Liberia in 2010

Characteristic	Value
Age [‡] , mean years (SD)	30.5 (7.5)
Parity [‡] , mean no. (SD)	4.8 (3.0)
Education level , no. (%)	
No formal education	174 (57.1)
Some primary	114 (37.4)
Completed primary or greater	17 (5.6)
Relationship status , no. (%)	
Married	223 (72.6)
Unmarried	84 (27.4)
Lost a child during prior pregnancy , no. (%)	
Yes	113 (37.0)
No	192 (63.0)
Site of delivery in immediate prior pregnancy , no. (%) [†]	
Hospital	47 (16.8)
Home with traditional midwife (TM)	210 (75.0)
Home without TM	23 (8.2)
Why did you choose to deliver your child in this place? [†]	
Home, no. (%)	
'Cost' or 'Difficulty with transport'	69 (31.5)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

'Quick onset of labor'	67 (30.6)
'Family tradition' or 'Religion'	37 (16.9)
'TM is safe'	37 (16.9)
'Conflict' or 'Flooding'	8 (3.7)
Hospital, no. (%)	
'Labor complications at home'	26 (57.8)
'Hospital nearby'	6 (13.3)
'Antenatal referral'	5 (11.1)
'Hospital is safer'	5 (11.1)
'Previous Cesarean delivery'	3 (6.7)

* Totals may not add to 307 due to missing values. [280 respondents reported age. 305 respondents reported parity.](#)

[†]N=280 excluding nulliparous women

Formatted: Line spacing: single

review only

Table 2. Comparison of characteristics of rural Liberian women who reported delivering their last child at home or in hospital, excluding nulliparous women (n=280)

Characteristic	HOME	HOSPITAL	P-value
Age , mean years (SD)	30.9 (7.3)	29.6 (8.3)	0.29
Parity , mean no. (SD)	4.9 (2.9)	4.5 (3.2)	0.32
Education level , no. (%)			
None	139 (59.9)	20 (43.5)	0.057
Some primary	84 (36.2)	22 (47.8)	
Completed primary or greater	9 (3.9)	4 (8.7)	
Relationship status , no. (%)			
Married	168 (72.1)	33 (70.2)	0.86
Unmarried	65 (27.9)	14 (29.8)	
Lost a child during <u>prior pregnancy-or-childbirth</u> , no. (%)			
Yes	86 (36.9)	18 (38.3)	0.87
No	147 (63.1)	29 (61.7)	

References

- Hogan MC, Foreman KJ, Naghavi M, *et al.* Maternal mortality for 181 countries, 1980-2008: a systematic analysis of progress towards Millennium Development Goal 5. *Lancet* 2010;**375**:1609–23.
- Hill K, Thomas K, AbouZahr C, *et al.* Estimates of maternal mortality worldwide between

- 1990 and 2005: an assessment of available data. *Lancet* 2007;**370**:1311–9.
- 3 WHO, UNICEF, UNFPA, *et al.* Trends in Maternal Mortality: 1990 to 2010: WHO, UNICEF, UNFPA and The World Bank estimates. 2012;:1–70.
- 4 Farooqi S. The World Health Report 2005: Make Every Mother and Child Count. *World Health Organization* 2005.
- 5 Campbell OMR, Graham WJ, Lancet Maternal Survival Series steering group. Strategies for reducing maternal mortality: getting on with what works. *Lancet* 2006;**368**:1284–99.
- 6 *The Millennium Development Goals Report*. New York, 2006: : United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs 2006.
- 7 Freedman LP, Graham WJ, Brazier E, *et al.* Practical lessons from global safe motherhood initiatives: time for a new focus on implementation. *Lancet* 2007;**370**:1383–91.
- 8 Friberg IK, Kinney MV, Lawn JE, *et al.* Sub-Saharan Africa's Mothers, Newborns, and Children: How Many Lives Could Be Saved with Targeted Health Interventions? *PLoS Med* 2010;**7**:e1000295.
- 9 Kinney MV, Kerber KJ, Black RE, *et al.* Sub-Saharan Africa's Mothers, Newborns, and Children: Where and Why Do They Die? *PLoS Med* 2010;**7**:e1000294.
- 10 Lori JR, Starke AE. A critical analysis of maternal morbidity and mortality in Liberia, West Africa. *Midwifery* 2012;**28**:67–72.
- 11 AbouZahr C. New estimates of maternal mortality and how to interpret them: choice or confusion? *Reprod Health Matters* 2011;**19**:117–28.
- 12 Liberia: Demographic and Health Survey 2007. *Ministry of Health and Social Welfare* 2007.
- 13 Wylie BJ, Mirza FG. Cesarean delivery in the developing world. *Clin Perinatol* 2008;**35**:571–82, xii.
- 14 Urassa D, Carlstedt A, Nyström L. Are Process Indicators Adequate to Assess Essential Obstetric Care at District Level? — A Case Study from Rufiji District, Tanzania. *African Journal of Reproductive Health* 2005;**9**:100–11.
- 15 Hatt L, Stanton C, Makowiecka K. Did the strategy of skilled attendance at birth reach the poor in Indonesia? *Bull World Health Organ* 2007;**85**:774–82.
- 16 Shah A, Fawole B, M'Imunya JM, *et al.* Cesarean delivery outcomes from the WHO global survey on maternal and perinatal health in Africa. *Int J Gynecol Obstet* 2009;**107**:191–7.
- 17 Kidney E, Winter H, Khan K. Systematic review of effect of community-level interventions to reduce maternal mortality. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth* 2009;**9**.
- 18 *Human Development Report:2010*. New York: : United Nation Development Programme

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 2010.
9
10 19 Cheng MH. Reviving health care in Liberia. *Lancet* 2009;**373**:1239–40.
11
12 20 *World health statistics: 2009*. Geneva: : World Health Organization 2009.
13
14 21 Kruk ME, Rockers PC, Varpilah ST, *et al*. Which doctor?: Determinants of utilization of
15 formal and informal health care in postconflict Liberia. *Med Care* 2011;**49**:585–91.
16
17 22 Stanton C, Holtz S. Levels and trends in cesarean birth in the developing world. *Studies in*
18 *Family Planning* 2011;**37**:41–8.
19
20 23 Thaddeus S, Maine D. Too far to walk: maternal mortality in context. *Soc Sci Med*
21 1994;**38**:1091–110.
22
23 24 Mbaruku G, van Roosmalen J, Kimondo I, *et al*. Perinatal audit using the 3-delays model in
24 western Tanzania. *International Journal of Gynecology and Obstetrics* 2009;**106**:85–8.
25
26 25 Stanton C, Ronsmans C, Baltimore Group on Cesarean. Recommendations for routine
27 reporting on indications for cesarean delivery in developing countries. *Birth* 2008;**35**:204–
28 11.
29
30 26 Bailey P, Paxton A, Lobis S, *et al*. The availability of life-saving obstetric services in
31 developing countries: An in-depth look at the signal functions for emergency obstetric
32 care. *Int J Gynecol Obstet* 2006;**93**:285–91.
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60