## Additional file 2 - Supplementary Figures

## Supplementary Figure 1 – DT degree plot.

The plot highlights the line corresponding to the 95<sup>th</sup> percentile, showing that most DTs have lower degree.

Supplementary Figure 2 – Comparative histogram of DTs and non-DTs over a degree rank.

Supplementary Figure 3 - Over-representation of drug targets over a BC ranking of nodes in the full spoke PIN, Binary (B), N-ary (N), Spoke-represented (S), BioGRID and Rual+Stelzl data sets. Proteins were grouped into bins according to their BC. The width of each bin represents the number of proteins in that bin while the height (-log of the p-value of the hypergeometric test) represents how over-represented drug targets are in that bin. Each bin contains at least 200 proteins. Over-represented bins (p-value < 0.05) are highlighted in red. Drug targets are over-represented in high-degree bins and some middle-degree bins for the full PIN (a) and the B data set (b), while this trend is largely lost in the N (c), S (d), BioGRID (e) and the Rual-Stelzl (f) data sets.

**Supplementary Figure 4 – ROC curve for BC as DT predictor (full PIN and reliable subsets).** Plot of False Positive Rate versus True Positive Rate for a BC rank of the full PIN and five subsets considered as containing higher-confidence interactions: non-predicted interactions include all interactions except those coming from orthologous transfer; LTP includes interactions with an lpr score < 22; MI-IntAct includes interactions with MI-IntAct scores > 0.6; MI-psicquic includes interactions with MI-psicquic scores > 0.7; and B includes the true binary interactions (i.e., potential spoke-represented n-ary data is removed).

## Supplementary Figure 5 - Over-representation of drug targets along a degree rank and a BC rank for the full PIN with a matrix representation of protein complexes.

Proteins were grouped into bins according to their degree (a) and their BC (b), for a matrix model of the full PIN. The width of each bin represents the number of proteins in that bin while the height (-log of the p-value of the hypergeometric test) represents how over-represented drug targets are in that bin. Each bin contains at least 200 proteins. Over-represented bins (p-value < 0.05) are highlighted in red. Drug targets are over-represented in high-degree bins and some middle-degree bins, just as in the spoke representation.

**Supplementary Figure 6 – ROC curve for degree (spoke and matrix), and BC (matrix).** Plot of False Positive Rate versus True Positive Rate for a degree rank of the full PIN following a spoke and a matrix representation of protein complexes, and a BC rank of the full PIN following a matrix representation of protein complexes.

**Supplementary Figure 7 - Over-representation of drug targets according to pathway centrality.**Proteins were grouped into bins according to their pathway centrality. The width of each bin represents

the number of proteins in that bin while the height (-log of the p-value of the hypergeometric test) represents how over-represented drug targets are in that bin. Each bin contains at least 200 proteins. Over-represented bins (p-value < 0.05) are highlighted in red. Drug targets are highly over-represented in all pathway centrality bins greater than zero and are not over-represented in proteins outside the pathway databases (pathway centrality = 0 – not shown). This observation is valid for all databases: PID (a), Reactome (b) and KEGG (c). A positive correlation occurs between increasing pathway centrality and over-representation only for the PID database.

Supplementary Figure 8 – ROC curve for pathway centrality over different pathway databases. Plot of False Positive Rate versus True Positive Rate for a pathway centrality rank of the Reactome, PID and KEGG pathway databases.















