# Integrating mitochondrial energetics, redox and ROS metabolic networks: A two-compartment model\*

 $\bf{Jackelyn M. Kembro}^*, Miguel A. Aon^*, Raimond L. Winslow^\dagger, Brian O'Rourke^* and Sonia$ 

**Cortassa**\*, †

\* Division of Cardiology, and † Institute for Computational Medicine

Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore MD 21205

## **Supporting Material**

#### **Section S1. Redox potential and Redox environment**

The Nernst equation was used to estimate the redox potential of each redox couple. The Nernst equation relates the change in free energy of the transfer of electrons to the voltage of an electrochemical cell, and can be expressed as:

$$
\Delta E = \Delta E^{\circ} - \frac{RT}{nF} \ln Q \tag{S1}
$$

where n is the number of electrons exchanged in the chemical process, F is the Faraday constant, and  $\Delta E^{\circ}$  is the electromotive force under standard conditions, that is, the difference in the standard reduction potentials of the two half-cells involved in the process. The superscript  $\circ$ implies the thermodynamic standard state. Q stands for the ratio of the concentration of products over the concentration of substrates of the redox reaction. When  $\Delta E$  is zero, thus  $\Delta G$  is zero, there is no net electron flow. Since, pH in the mitochondrial is not 7, Eq. 2 must be corrected for pH (Eq. 3) (for further explanation refer to (1)). The Nernst equation for 37°C (310°K), using 2.303 as the conversion factor for *ln* into *log10* is:

$$
E = E^{\circ} + ((pH - 7)^{*}(-61.51 \text{mV})) - \frac{61.51 \text{mV}}{n} \log \frac{[\text{Red}_1]}{[\text{Ox}_1]}
$$
(S2)

The standard redox potentials used were  $-320$  mV NADH/NAD<sup>+</sup>,  $-324$  mV for NADPH/NADP<sup>+</sup> ( $E^{\circ}$  = -324) mV, -292 mV for Trx(SH)<sub>2</sub>/TrxSS, and -240 mV for (2) GSH)/GSSG.

The *redox environment* was calculated from the summation of the products of the reduction potential and reducing capacity of the linked redox couples present in the system (1):

$$
Redox environment = \sum_{i=1}^{n(couple)} E_i \text{ [reduced species]}_i \tag{S3}
$$

being  $E_i$  is the half-cell reduction potential (Nernst potential, Eq. 3) for a given redox pair and [reduced species] is the concentration of the reduced species in that redox pair (conceptually developed under Results section "*Steady state behavior of the redox environment").*

#### **Section S2**

**A** B



**Fig. S1. Steady state respiratory flux and ATP synthase activity** 

Steady state A) respiration from both complex I and II (VO<sub>2</sub>) and C) ATP synthase rate *versus* proton motive force, obtained by departing from a state 4 respiratory state ( $[ADP]_i = 0.01$  mM) and increasing the extra-mitochondrial ADP concentration towards a state 3 respiratory state  $([ADP]<sub>i</sub>=1$  mM).  $(C)$  and  $(D)$  Steady state flux of respiration and ATP synthase rate, respectively, as related with proton motive force, obtained by departing from a de-energized steady state and increasing the parameter [AcCoA] from  $1\times10^{-6}$  to 1 mM, at a fixed GLU concentration of  $1.3 \times 10^{-4}$  mM, and  $[ADP]_i = 0.01$  mM.

#### **Section S3. Oscillatory dynamics in the MER model**

Stability analysis of the model was performed as a function of the fraction of electrons channeled from the respiratory chain into  $O_2$  production versus respiration at different levels of matrix superoxide dismutase (MnSOD) activity. The model simulates the experimentally determined oscillatory period,  $\Delta \Psi_m/NADH$  phase relationship, and the typical waveform exhibited by relaxation oscillators (Fig. S2) (2, 3).

The underlying oscillatory mechanism involves ROS imbalance determined by the interplay between ROS production and scavenging (SOD) as the main trigger. During an oscillatory cycle,

accumulation of  $O_2$  in the mitochondrial matrix augments the leak through the inner membrane anion channel (IMAC). When the level of extra-matrix  $O_2$  (dashed line in Fig. S2) exceeds a threshold it triggers the opening of IMAC. As a result,  $\Delta\Psi_m$  depolarizes concomitant with oxidation of the NADH pool (Fig. S2). The cycle terminates when  $O_2$  drops below the threshold, subsequent to scavenger pathway activation and decreased  $O_2$  efflux. The ability of the ME-R model to simulate the mitochondrial oscillations is remarkable, given that the levels of  $O_2$  attained during an oscillatory cycle are much lower than in previous simulations (3). The bicompartmental nature of the new model which accounts for both ROS scavenging in the matrix and extra-matrix space (see Fig. 1), also testifies to the robustness of the oscillatory mechanism.



**B** 



#### **Fig. S2. Simulation of sustained mitochondrial oscillations under oxidative stress**

A) 3D representation of bifurcation diagrams of NADH as a function of the rate of ROS production by the respiratory chain (shunt) and ROS scavenging given by various levels of Mn SOD ( $Et_{MnSOD}$ ). Thick lines indicate domains of stable steady-state behavior, whereas thin lines denote either unstable or oscillatory states. The stable oscillatory domain in the upper branch widens as MnSOD concentration decreases. Unstable regions are characterized by, at least, one pair of complex conjugate eigenvalues with positive real parts. From left to right, the MnSOD concentration was (in mM):  $1\times10^{-6}$ ,  $1\times10^{-5}$ ,  $5\times10^{-5}$ ,  $1\times10^{-4}$  and  $3\times10^{-4}$ . A Cu,ZnSOD concentration of  $3.2\times10^{-4}$  mM was used in all (4) but one of the curves where a concentration of  $4\times10^{-4}$  was utilized (grey trace). Notice that the appearance of sustained mitochondrial oscillations is nonlinearally dependent on both the rate of ROS production by the respiratory chain and scavenging of  $O_2$  by MnSOD and Cu, ZnSOD.

B) Simulation of sustained mitochondrial oscillations with a period of  $\sim$  100s. Parameters used were Shunt= 0.25;  $Et_{MnSOD}$ =1×10<sup>-6</sup>;  $Et_{CuZnSOD}$ =4×10<sup>-4</sup> (indicated with a grey arrow in panel A). Simulations were performed with following parameter set:  $\rho^{r_1} = 0.02$ ,  $\rho^{res} = 0.018$ ,  $\rho^{res(SDH)} = 0.007$ ,  $[Pi]_I = 0.5$ ,  $[AccCoA] = 0.01$ ,  $[GLU] = 10$ ,  $g_H = 3 \times 10^{-8}$ ,  $k_{cat}^{CS} = 7.84 \times 10^{-6}$ ,  $k_f^{aco} = 3.896 \times 10^{-6}$ ,  $k_{cat}^{IDH} = 2.64 \times 10^{-6}$ <sup>2</sup>,  $k_{cat}^{KDOH} = 8.84 \times 10^{-4}$ ,  $k_f^{SL} = 1.40 \times 10^{-3}$ ,  $k_f^{FH} = 4.15 \times 10^{-4}$ ,  $k_{cat}^{MDH} = 6.21 \times 10^{-3}$ ,  $k_f^{AAT} = 1.07 \times 10^{-3}$ ,  $C_{PIC} = 1.69$ ,  $V_{\text{max}} = 5$ ,  $V_{\text{max}}^{\text{uni}} = 2.46 \times 10^{-3}$ ,  $V_{\text{max}}^{\text{NaCa}} = 9.33 \times 10^{-5}$ ,  $V_{\text{maxANT}} = 0.4354$ ,  $E_{\text{min}}^{\text{TruR2m}} = 8.7 \times 10^{-5}$ ,  $E_{\text{min}}^{\text{TruR1}} = 8.7 \times 10^{-5}$ ,  $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{T}}^{\text{Prx3m}} = 8 \times 10^{-3}, \ \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{T}}^{\text{Prw1}} = 5 \times 10^{-3}, \ \ \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{T}}^{\text{QPKm}} = 5 \times 10^{-6}, \ \ \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{T}}^{\text{QPK1}} = 0.001, \ \ \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{T}}^{\text{QRM}} = 1.6 \times 10^{-4}, \ \ \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{T}}^{\text{RRI}} = 1.6 \times 10^{-4},$  $F_{\text{diff}} = 1 \times 10^{-6}$ .

**Section S4.** 



#### **Fig. S3. Reduction potential of main mitochondrial redox couples**

From this representation it can be clearly seen that the NADP<sup>+</sup>/NADPH couple is the provider of reducing equivalents needed by GSH/Trx scavenging systems to reduce  $H_2O_2$  to water, in a thermodynamically highly favorable reaction. Percent oxidized form  $=$  ([oxidized]/total)\*100. Considering the basic redox reaction:  $\text{Red}_1 + \text{Ox}_2 \leftrightarrow \text{Ox}_1 + \text{Red}_2$ , for each redox couple  $\text{Red}_1$ varied from  $1\times10^{-4}$  to 1 mM while Ox<sub>1</sub> was estimated as 1 - Red<sub>1</sub>. The standard redox potentials used in this graph were -324 mV for NADPH/NADP<sup>+</sup>; -292 mV for  $Trx(SH)<sub>2</sub>/TrxSS; -240$  mV for 2 GSH/GSSG; -160 mV for  $O_2$  /O<sub>2</sub>; 45 mV for Ubiquinol/Ubiquinone; 254 mV for Cytochrome c (Fe<sup>3+</sup>)/ Cytochrome c (Fe<sup>2+</sup>) and 1349 mV for H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (1, 5, 6). Concentrations were varied between  $1x10^{-4}$  and 1. Conditions correspond to pH 7.2 and 37 $^{\circ}$ C.

### **Section S5. Relationship between NADH concentration and membrane potential**  $(\Delta \Psi_m)$  **at different level of substrates**



### Fig. S4. Lineal relationship between NADH and  $\Delta\Psi_m$  at different concentrations of Acetyl **CoA (AcCoA) and ADP**

A) Steady state values of NADH and  $\Delta \Psi_m$  were obtained at increasing concentrations of AcCoA (inset). B) Steady state values of NADH and  $\Delta \Psi_m$  were obtained at increasing concentrations of ADPi (inset). From this representation it can be clearly seen that increasing concentrations of extra-matrix ADP (simulating state 4 to sate 3 transition) results in decrease of both NADH and  $\Delta\Psi_m$ , while a lineal relationship is maintained between these variables. The parameter set used is the same as in the simulations presented in the main text.

## **Section S6**

## **Table S1. System of differential and algebraic equations used in the ME-R model**

$$
\frac{d[Ca^{2+}]_{m}}{dt} = \delta_{Ga}(V_{mi} - V_{NaCa})
$$
\n
$$
\frac{d[ADP]_{m}}{dt} = V_{AVT} - V_{ATPase} - V_{SL}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{d\Delta\Psi_{m}}{dt} = \frac{V_{He} + V_{He(SDH)} - V_{Bu} - V_{Au} - V_{NaCa} - V_{NaC} - V_{mi} - V_{MMC}}{C_{min}}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{d[NADH]_{m}}{dt} = V_{O_{2}} + V_{IDH} + V_{KCDH} + V_{MDH} - V_{THD}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{d[H^{+}]_{m}}{dt} = \delta_{M}(-V_{He} - V_{He(SDH)} + V_{Hu} + V_{NaH} + V_{Pic} + V_{Hhex})
$$
\n
$$
\frac{d[Pi]_{m}}{dt} = -V_{ATPase} + V_{PIC} - V_{SL}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{d[ISOC]}{dt} = V_{ACO} - V_{IDH} - V_{IDH\_NADP}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{d[ASCO]}{dt} = V_{KDDH} - V_{KCDH}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{d[SCoA]}{dt} = V_{KDDH} - V_{SL}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{d[SUCl]}{dt} = V_{SL} - V_{O_2SDH}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{d[SUQ]}{dt} = V_{KIDH} - V_{HH}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{d[SUQ]}{dt} = V_{HH} - V_{MDH}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{d[SUQ]}{dt} = V_{HH} - V_{MDH}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{d[OMA]}{dt} = V_{HH} - V_{MDH}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{d[OMA]}{dt} = V_{HHH} - V_{CHH}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{d[NADPH]}{dt} = V_{HHH} - V_{CHH}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{d[NADH]}{dt} =
$$

 2 2 <sup>2</sup> [ ] *<sup>m</sup> Tr O O SDH MnSOD ROS d O shunt V V V V dt* (S18) <sup>2</sup> [ ]*i m Tr ROS CuZnSOD i dO v V V dt v* (S19) 2 2 2 2 [ ]*<sup>m</sup> MnSOD difH O GPXm TxPXm dHO V V VV dt* (S20) 2 2 2 2 [ ]*i m CuZnSOD difH O GPXi TxPXi CAT i dHO v V V VV V dt v* (S21) [ ]*<sup>m</sup> GRm GPXm GRXm GST PSSGm d GSH VV V VV dt* (S22) [ ]*i m GRi GPXi GRXi GST PSSGi i d GSH v VV V V V dt v* (S23) [ ] 0.5 *<sup>m</sup> GPXm GRm GRXm d GSSG VVV dt* (S24) [ ]*<sup>m</sup> TxRm TxPXm d TxR V V dt* (S25) [ ]*<sup>i</sup> TxRi TxPXi d TxR V V dt* (S26) [ ]*<sup>m</sup> PSSGm GRXm d PSSG V V dt* (S27) [ ]*<sup>i</sup> PSSGi GRXi d PSSG V V dt* (S28)

The values of the ratio  $v_m/v_i$  used, representing the volume of the mitochondrial matrix  $(v_m)$  over the extra-matrix compartment (*vi*), were 0.00256 to represent the cuvette situation or 0.25 for the intracellular condition.

#### **Section S7. Computational modeling of Reactive Oxygen Species scavenging systems**

The upgraded two-compartment computational model of mitochondrial energetics-redox (ME-R) includes: (i) a complete array of antioxidant defenses in two compartments: mitochondrial matrix and extra-matrix (e.g. intermembrane space, cytoplasm); and (ii) two of the three main NADPH providers in mitochondria:  $NADP^+$ -dependent isocitrate dehydrogenase (IDH2) in the TCA cycle, and transhydrogenase (THD). In this section we will provide a detailed description of the antioxidant defenses and mitochondrial NADPH handling.

#### **7.1. Modeling superoxide dismutase**

$$
V_{MnSOD} = \frac{2 k_{SOD}^1 k_{SOD}^5 \left(k_{SOD}^1 + k_{SOD}^3 \left(1 + \frac{[H_2O_2]_m}{K_1^{H_2O_2}}\right)\right) E_{MnSOD}^T [O_2^{\bullet-}]_m}{k_{SOD}^5 \left(2 k_{SOD}^1 + k_{SOD}^3 \left(1 + \frac{[H_2O_2]_m}{K_1^{H_2O_2}}\right)\right) + [O_2^{\bullet-}]_m k_{SOD}^1 k_{SOD}^3 \left(1 + \frac{[H_2O_2]_m}{K_1^{H_2O_2}}\right)}
$$
(S29)

$$
V_{\text{CuZnSOD}} = \frac{2 k_{\text{SOD}}^1 k_{\text{SOD}}^5 \left( k_{\text{SOD}}^1 + k_{\text{SOD}}^3 \left( 1 + \frac{[H_2 O_2]_i}{K_i^{H_2 O_2}} \right) \right) E_{\text{CuZnSOD}}^T [O_2^{\bullet}]_i}{k_{\text{SOD}}^5 \left( 2 k_{\text{SOD}}^1 + k_{\text{SOD}}^3 \left( 1 + \frac{[H_2 O_2]_i}{K_i^{H_2 O_2}} \right) \right) + [O_2^{\bullet}]_i k_{\text{SOD}}^1 k_{\text{SOD}}^3 \left( 1 + \frac{[H_2 O_2]_i}{K_i^{H_2 O_2}} \right)}
$$
(S30)

### $7.2. H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>$  transport

 $H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>$  can diffuse freely between the two compartments, following the equation:

$$
V_{diff_{H_2O_2}} = C_{diff_{H_2O_2}} \left( \left[ H_2O_2 \right]_m - \left[ H_2O_2 \right]_i \right) \tag{S31}
$$

#### **7.3. Gluthathione and glutaredoxin systems**

The gluthathione system was present in both mitochondrial matrix and extra-matrix compartments and expressed as a system of equations comprising glutathione peroxidase ( $V_{GPx}$ ) and reductase ( $V_{GR}$ ) activities. The rate expressions for  $V_{GR}$  and  $V_{GR}$  used in the model were formulated as described in our mitochondrial model of ROS metabolism (3).

$$
V_{GPX_m} = \frac{E_T^{GPXm} [H_2O_2]_m [GSH]_m}{\Phi_1 [GSH]_m + \Phi_2 [H_2O_2]_m}
$$
(S32)

$$
V_{GPX_i} = \frac{E_T^{GPXi}[H_2O_2]_i [GSH]_i}{\Phi_1 [GSH]_i + \Phi_2 [H_2O_2]_i}
$$
(S33)

$$
V_{GR_m} = \frac{k_{GR}^1 E_T^{GRm}}{1 + \frac{K_M^{GSSG}}{[GSSG]} + \frac{K_M^{NADPH}}{[NADPH]_m} + \frac{K_M^{GSSG}}{[GSSG]_m} \frac{K_M^{NADPH}}{[NADPH]_m}}
$$
(S34)

$$
V_{GR_i} = \frac{k_{GR}^1}{1 + \frac{K_M^{\text{GSSG}}}{V_{GSS}} + \frac{K_M^{\text{NADPH}}}{\text{[NADPH]}_i} + \frac{K_M^{\text{GSSG}}}{\text{[GSSG]}_i} \frac{K_M^{\text{NADPH}}}{\text{[NADPH]}_i}}
$$
(S35)

The glutaredoxin system can then detoxify the glutathionylated proteins and uses GSH as  $cofactor (7, 8).$ 

$$
V_{GRX_{m}} = \frac{k_{gr_{m}} K_{eq}^{GRX} (\left[ GSH \right]_{m})^{2} GrxT \left[ PSSG \right]_{m}}{\left( \left[ GSSG \right]_{m} + K_{eq}^{GRX} \left( \left[ GSH \right]_{m} \right)^{2} \right) \left( \frac{K_{eq}^{GRX} \left( \left[ GSH \right]_{m} \right)^{2} GrxT}{\left[ GSSG \right]_{m} + K_{eq}^{GRX} \left( \left[ GSH \right]_{m} \right)^{2} + K_{m}^{GrX}} \right) \left( \left[ PSSG \right]_{m} + K_{m}^{PSSG} \right)}
$$
(S36)

$$
V_{GRX_{i}} = \frac{k_{grX_{i}} K_{eq}^{GRX} (\left[ GSH \right]_{i})^{2} GrxT \left[ PSSG \right]_{i}}{\left( V_{GSS} + K_{eq}^{GRX} (\left[ GSH \right]_{i})^{2} \right) \left( \frac{K_{eq}^{GRX} (\left[ GSH \right]_{i})^{2} GrxT}{\left[ GSSG \right]_{i} + K_{eq}^{GRX} (\left[ GSH \right]_{i})^{2}} + K_{m}^{GrX} \right) (\left[ PSSG \right]_{i} + K_{m}^{PSSG} )}
$$
(S37)

$$
V_{PSSG_m} = \frac{k_{PSH}^1}{\left(1 + \frac{K_M^{GSH}}{[GSH]_m}\right)\left(1 + \frac{[H_2O_2]_m}{K_{act}^{H2O2}}\right)}
$$
(S38)  

$$
V_{PSSG_i} = \frac{k_{PSH}^1}{\left(1 + \frac{F_2^{PSH}}{[GSH]_i}\right)\left(1 + \frac{[H_2O_2]_m}{K_{act}^{H2O2}}\right)}
$$
(S39)

We have assumed that the total pool of glutathione,  $G_T$ , is conserved, as indicated by equation S40, and from there the GSSG concentration in the extra-matrix compartment can be estimated (Eq. S41).

$$
G_T = G_T - [GSH]_m - [GSH]_i - 2 [GSSG] - [PSSG]_m - [PSSG]_i - 2 [GSSG]_i
$$
\n
$$
[GSSG]_i = 0.5 (G_T - [GSH]_m - [GSH]_i - 2 [GSSG]_m - [PSSG]_m - [PSSG]_i)
$$
\n
$$
(S41)
$$

In addition we have included passive GSH transport  $(V_{\text{GST}})$  across the inner mitochondrial membrane.

$$
V_{GST} = c_{GST} \frac{([GSH]_i - [GSH]_m)}{[GSH]_i + k_{0.5}^{GST}}
$$
(S42)

#### **7.4. Thioredoxin system**

The Trx system includes peroxiredoxin ( $V_{TxPX}$ ) and thioredoxin reductase ( $V_{TxR}$ ) (9). The rate expression for  $V_{TPrx3}$  was derived on the basis of the experimental studies performed by (10), from which we also obtained the rate constants.  $V_{TxR}$  represents a Michaelis-Menten rate expression with two substrates (NADPH and Trx(SS) with kinetic parameters derived from refs. (11) and (12).

$$
V_{TxPX_m} = \frac{E_T^{Prx3m} [H_2O_2]_m [TrxSH_2]_m}{\Phi_{1Prx}[TrxSH_2]_m + \Phi_{2Prx}[H_2O_2]_m}
$$
(S43)

$$
V_{TxPX_i} = \frac{E_T^{Prxi} [H_2O_2]_i [TrxSH_2]_i}{\Phi_{1Prx}[TrxSH_2]_i + \Phi_{2Prx}[H_2O_2]_i}
$$
(S44)

$$
V_{TxR_m} = \frac{k_{TxR}^1 E_T^{TxxR2m}}{1 + \frac{K_M^{TxxSS}}{[TrxSS]_m} + \frac{K_{Mtx}^{NADPH}}{[NADPH]_m} + \frac{K_M^{TxSS}}{[TrxSS]_m} \frac{K_{Mtx}^{NADPH}}{[NADPH]_m}
$$
(S45)

$$
V_{TxR_i} = \frac{k_{\text{Trx}}^1 E_T^{\text{TrxRi}}}{1 + \frac{K_M^{\text{TrxSS}}}{[TrxSS]_i} + \frac{K_{Mtx}^{\text{NADPH}}}{[NADPH]_i} + \frac{K_M^{\text{TrxSS}}}{[TrxSS]_i} \frac{K_{Mtx}^{\text{NADPH}}}{[NADPH]_i}
$$
(S46)

$$
[\text{Tr}\text{XSS}]_{\text{m}} = \text{Tr}\text{X}\text{T}_{\text{m}} - [\text{Tr}\text{X}\text{S}\text{H}_{2}]_{\text{m}} \tag{S47}
$$

 $[TrxSS]_{i} = TrxT_{i} - [TrxSH_{2}]_{i}$  (S48)

#### **7.5. Extra-matrix Catalase**

$$
V_{CAT} = 2k_{CAT}^{1} E_{CAT}^{T} [H_2 O_2]_i e^{-fr[H_2 O_2]_i}
$$
\n(S49)

<b>Symbol</b>	<b>Value</b>	<b>Units</b>	<b>Description</b>	Eq	Reference
$k_{SOD}^1$	$1.2 \times 10^3$	$mM^{-1}ms^{-1}$	Second-order rate constant of SOD	S29, S30	
$k_{SOD}^3$	24	$mM^{-1}ms^{-1}$	Second-order rate constant of SOD	S29, S30	
$k_{SOD}^5$	$2.4 \times 10^{-4}$	$\text{ms}^{-1}$	First-order rate constant of SOD	S29, S30	
$K_i^{H_2O_2}$	0.5	mM	Inhibition constant for $H_2O_2$	S29, S30	$\mathbf f$
$E_{MnSOD}^{T}$	T. 1	$\rm{mM}$	Mitochondrial matrix concentration of MnSOD	S29	Adjusted
$E_{\texttt{CuZnSOD}}^{\scriptscriptstyle\mathsf{T}}$	T.1	$\rm{mM}$	Concentration of Cu,ZnSOD	S30	Adjusted
$C_{diff_{H_2O_2}}$	$2 \times 10^{-4}$	$ms^{-1}$	Diffusion constant for $H_2O_2$	S31	Adjusted
$\Phi_1$	$5.0 \times 10^{-3}$	$\rm{mM}\,\rm{ms}$	Constant for GPX activity	S32, S33	a
$\Phi$ <sub>2</sub>	0.75	$mM$ ms	Constant for GPX activity	S32, S33	$\rm{a}$
$E_{T}^{GPXm}$	T.1	$\rm{mM}$	Mitochondrial matrix concentration of GPX	S32	Adjusted
$E_T^{GPXi}$	T.1	$\rm{mM}$	Extra-matrix concentration of GPX	S33	Adjusted
$k_{GR}^1$	$2.5 \times 10^{-3}$	$\text{ms}^{-1}$	Catalytic constant of GR	S34, S35	a
$E_T^{GRm}$	T. 1	$\rm{mM}$	Mitochondrial matrix concentration of GR	S34	Adjusted
$E_T^{GRi}$	T. 1	$\rm{mM}$	Extra-matrix concentration of GR	S35	Adjusted
$K_{\scriptscriptstyle M}^{\scriptscriptstyle\, NADPH}$	0.015	$\rm{mM}$	Michaelis constant for NADPH of GR	S34, S35	a
$K_{M}^{\text{GSSG}}$	0.06	$\rm{mM}$	Michaelis constant for GSSG of GR	S34, S35	a
$[NADPH]_i$	$7.5 \times 10^{-2}$	$\rm{mM}$	Extra-matrix NADPH concentration	S34, S35	
$G_T$	6	$\ensuremath{\mathrm{m}}\ensuremath{\mathrm{M}}$	Total pool of glutathione	S40, S41	$\rm{a}$
$k_{grx_m}$	$3.6 \times 10^{-4}$	$mM s^{-1}$	Rate constant of mitochondrial matrix glutaredoxin reaction	S36	Adjusted

**Table S2. Parameter values used in the simulations: ROS production and scavenging** 





## **Section S8. Mitochondrial NADPH handling**

In this section we will provide a detailed description of the mitochondrial NADPH handling.

$$
NADPm = C_{NADPm} - [NADPH]_m
$$
\n
$$
V_{IDP\_NADP} = \left(1 + \frac{[H^+]_m}{k_{m\_IDP}^{H^+}}\right) \frac{\left[1 + \frac{[ISOC]}{k_{m\_IDP}^{SOC}} + \frac{NADP_m}{k_{m\_IDP}^{NADP}}\right] + \frac{[aKG]}{k_{m\_IDP}^{NADP}} + \frac{[NADPH_m]}{k_{m\_IDP}^{NADP}} + \dots}{k_{m\_IDP}^{NADP}} = \left(1 + \frac{[H^+]_m}{k_{m\_IDP}^{H^+}}\right) \frac{\left[ISOC\right] NADP_m}{k_{m\_IDP}^{SOC}} \frac{NADP_m}{k_{m\_IDP}^{NADP}} \left(1 + \frac{k_{i\_IDP}^{NADP}}{NADP_m}\right) + \frac{[aKG\right] [NADPH_m]}{k_{m\_IDP}^{NADP}} + \dots}{k_{m\_IDP}^{NADP}} = \frac{\left[ISOC\right] [NADPH_m]}{k_{m\_IDP}^{NADP}} + \frac{[aKG\right] NADP_m}{k_{m\_IDP}^{NADP}} \left(1 + \frac{k_{i\_IDP}^{NADP}}{NADP_m}\right)}{k_{m\_IDP}^{NADP}} \frac{\left[1 + \frac{k_{i\_IDP}^{NADP}}{k_{m\_IDP}} + \frac{[aKG\right] [NADP_m]}{k_{m\_IDP}^{NADP}}}{k_{m\_IDP}^{NADP}}\right]} \tag{S52}
$$

$$
V_{THDen} = 1 + \frac{[NADH_{m}]}{k_{m\_THD}^{NADHm}} + \frac{NAD}{k_{m\_THD}^{NADP}} + \frac{[NADPH_{m}]}{k_{m\_THD}^{NADPH}} + \frac{[NADH_{m}]}{k_{m\_THD}^{NADHm}} + \frac{[
$$







### **Section S9. Mitochondrial Model of Energy Metabolism and ion dynamics**

The detailed explanation of the mathematical expressions and parameters of the model were previously presented in Wei et al. (2011).

## **9.1. Computational modeling of Na<sup>+</sup>/H<sup>+</sup> exchanger (NHE) and phosphate carrier (PiC)**

$$
J_{NHE} = c_{NHE} \frac{\beta_1^+ \beta_2^+ - \beta_1^- \beta_2^-}{\beta_1^+ + \beta_1^- + \beta_2^+ + \beta_2^-} \frac{1 + 10^{n_i (pH_i - pK_i)}}{1 + 10^{n_i (pH_i - pK_i)}}.
$$

where

$$
\beta_{1}^{+} = \frac{k_{1}^{+} K_{H_{\_NHE}}[Na^{+}]_{m}}{K_{H_{\_NHE}}[Na^{+}]_{m} + K_{H_{\_NHE}}K_{Na_{\_NHE}} + K_{Na_{\_NHE}}[H^{+}]_{m}}
$$
\n
$$
\beta_{2}^{+} = \frac{k_{4}^{+} K_{Na_{\_NHE}}[H^{+}]_{i}}{K_{H_{\_NHE}}[Na^{+}]_{i} + K_{H_{\_NHE}}K_{Na_{\_NHE}} + K_{Na_{\_NHE}}[H^{+}]_{i}}
$$
\n
$$
\beta_{1}^{-} = \frac{k_{1}^{-} K_{H_{\_NHE}}[Na^{+}]_{i}}{K_{H_{\_NHE}}[Na^{+}]_{i} + K_{H_{\_NHE}}K_{Na_{\_NHE}} + K_{Na_{\_NHE}}[H^{+}]_{i}}
$$
\n
$$
\beta_{2}^{-} = \frac{k_{4}^{-} K_{Na_{\_NHE}}[H^{+}]_{m}}{K_{H_{\_NHE}}[Na^{+}]_{m} + K_{H_{\_NHE}}K_{Na_{\_NHE}} + K_{Na_{\_NHE}}[H^{+}]_{m}}
$$
\n
$$
V_{PIC, \frac{[H_{2}PO_{4}^{2-}]_{i}[OH^{-}]_{m}}{K_{Pi,i}K_{OH,m}} - V_{PIC,b} \frac{[H_{2}PO_{4}^{2-}]_{m}[OH^{-}]_{i}}{K_{Pi,m}K_{OH,i}}
$$
\n
$$
J_{PIC} = c_{PIC} \frac{1 + \frac{[H_{2}PO_{4}^{2-}]_{r}}{K_{Pi,i}} + \frac{[OH^{-}]_{m}}{K_{Pi,m}} + \frac{[OH^{-}]_{l}}{K_{OH,i}} + \frac{[H_{2}PO_{4}^{2-}]_{m}[OH^{-}]_{l}}{K_{Pi,m}K_{OH,i}} + \frac{[H_{2}PO_{4}^{2-}]_{m}[OH^{-}]_{l}}{K_{Pi,i}K_{OH,m}}}
$$

 $_2PO_{4-i_{-i_{-i_{-i_{-i}}}}^{2-i_{-i_{-i_{-i}}}}[OH^{-}]_{m_{-i_{-i_{-i}}}}[OH^{-}]_{i_{-i_{-i_{-i}}}}[H_2PO_4^{2-}]_{m}[OH^{-}]_{i_{-i_{-i_{-i}}}}[H_2PO_4^{2-}]_{m}$ 

 $1 + \frac{[H_2PO_4^{2-}]}{[II]}\leftarrow \frac{[OH^-]}{[III]_m} + \frac{[H_2PO_4^{2-}]_{m}}{[III]}\leftarrow \frac{[OH^-]}{[II]_m} + \frac{[H_2PO_4^{2-}]_{m}[OH^-]}{[III]}\leftarrow \frac{[H_2PO_4^{2-}]_m[OH^-]}{[III]}\leftarrow \frac{[H_2PO_4^{2-}]_m[OH^-]}{[III]}\leftarrow \frac{[H_2PO_4^{2-}]_m[OH^-]}{[III]}\leftarrow \frac{[H_2PO_4^{2-}]_m[OH^-]}{[III]}\leftarrow \frac{[H_2PO$ 

 $H_2PO_4^{2-}$   $[OH^-]_{m}$   $[H_2PO_4^{2-}]_{m}$   $[OH^-]_{i}$   $[H_2PO_4^{2-}]_{m}$  $[OH^-]_{i}$   $[H_2PO_4^{2-}]_{i}$  $[OH^-]_{i}$  $K_{p_{i,i}}$   $K_{\text{off }m}$   $K_{p_{i,m}}$   $K_{\text{off }i}$   $K_{p_{i,m}}$   $K_{\text{off }j}$   $K_{p_{i,j}}$ 

 $\mathbf{A}$  ,  $\mathbf{B}$  ,

 $i$  [*OII* ]<sub>*m* [*II*<sub>2</sub>*I O<sub>4</sub>*]<sub>*m*</sup> [*OII* ]<sub>*i*</sub> [*II*<sub>2</sub>*I*</sub> *O<sub>4</sub>*]<sub>*m*</sub>[*OII* ]<sub>*i*</sub> [*II*<sub>2</sub>*I*</sub> *O<sub>4</sub>*]<sub>*i*</sub>[*OII*</sup> ]*<sub><i>m*</sub>  $P_{i,i}$   $\mathbf{A}_{\text{OH},m}$   $\mathbf{A}_{\text{Pl},m}$   $\mathbf{A}_{\text{OH},i}$   $\mathbf{A}_{\text{Pl},m}$   $\mathbf{A}_{\text{OH},m}$   $\mathbf{A}_{\text{Pl},i}$   $\mathbf{A}_{\text{Pl},i}$   $\mathbf{A}_{\text{OH},m}$ 

<b>Symbol</b>	<b>Value</b>	<b>Units</b>	<b>Description</b>
$k_1^+$	0.0252	$ms^{-1}$	NHE forward rate constant
$k_1^-$	0.0429	$\text{ms}^{-1}$	NHE backward rate constant
$k_4^+$	0.16	$\text{ms}^{-1}$	NHE forward rate constant
$k_4^-$	0.0939	$\text{ms}^{-1}$	NHE backward rate constant
$K_{Na \ NHE}$	24	$\rm{mM}$	Na+Dissociation constant
$K_{H NHE}$	$1.585 \times 10^{-4}$	mM	H+Dissociation constant
$pK_i$	8.52		Proton inhibitory constant
$n_i$ NHE	3		Hill coefficient for H+ binding
$c_{NHE}$	0.00785 (mitochondria)	mM	NHE concentration
$K_{Pi,i}$	11.06	mM	Extra-matrix Pi binding constant
$K_{Pi,m}$	11.06	mM	Mitochondrial matrix Pi binding constant
$K_{OH,i}$	$4.08 \times 10^{-5}$	$\rm{mM}$	Extra-matrix OH- binding constant
$K_{OH,m}$	$4.08 \times 10^{-5}$	mM	Mitochondrial matrix OH- binding constant
$V_{\text{PIC},f}$	90	$\mu$ mol min <sup>-1</sup> mg protein <sup>-1</sup>	Forward V <sub>max</sub> of phosphate carrier
$V_{\text{PIC},b}$	90	$\mu$ mol min <sup>-1</sup> mg protein <sup>-1</sup>	Backward V <sub>max</sub> of phosphate carrier
$c_{\textit{Pic}}$	1.6915 (mitochondria)	$mg$ protein ml <sup>-1</sup>	PiC concentration

Table S4. Parameter values for the mitochondrial Na<sup>+</sup>/H<sup>+</sup> proton exchanger and phosphate **carrier**

## **9.2. TCA cycle rate equations**

$$
V_{CS} = \frac{k_{est}^{CS} E_T^{CS}}{\left(1 + \frac{K_M^{ACOA}}{[ACOA]}\right)\left(1 + \frac{K_M^{OAA}}{[OAA]}\right)}
$$
  

$$
V_{ACO} = k_f^{ACO}\left(\left[CIT\right] - \frac{\left[ISOC\right]}{K_E^{ACO}}\right)
$$
  

$$
V_{DDH} = k_{cat}^{DBH} E_T^{DBH} \begin{bmatrix} \left(1 + \frac{[H^{\dagger}]_m}{k_{h,1}} + \frac{k_{h,2}}{[H^{\dagger}]_m}\right) + f_i^{IDH}\left(\frac{K_{M,ab}^{NAD}}{[NAD]}\right) + ... \\ f_a^{IDH} \left(\frac{K_{M}^{BOC}}{[ISOC]}\right)^{ni} + f_a^{IDH} f_i^{IDH}\left(\frac{K_{M}^{SOC}}{[ISOC]}\right)^{ni}\left(\frac{K_{M,ab}^{NAD}}{[NAD]}\right) \end{bmatrix}
$$
  

$$
f_a^{IDH} = \left[\left(1 + \frac{\left[ADP^3\right]_m}{K_{ADP}^a}\right)\left(1 + \frac{\left[Ca^{2+1}\right]_m}{K_{CA}^a}\right)\right]^{-1}
$$
  

$$
f_i^{IDH} = \left(1 + \frac{\left[NADH\right]}{K_{i,NADH}}\right)
$$
  

$$
V_{KGDH} = \frac{k_{cat}^{KGDH} E_T^{KGDH}}{1 + \left[H^{\dagger}\right]_m + \left[\frac{K_{h,2a}}{K_{cat} + \left[\frac{K}{I}K_{CA}^{C}\right]^{n_{\text{d}}}}\right]^{-n_{\text{d}}\pi_{\text{d}} + \left[\frac{K_{M,2a}}{K_{cat} + \left[\frac{K}{I}K_{CA}^{C}\right]^{n_{\text{d}}}}\right]^{-n_{\text{d}}\pi_{\text{d}} + \left[\frac{K_{M,2a}}{K_{cat}^{C}}\right]^{-n_{\text{d}}\pi_{\text{d}}}
$$

$$
1 + \frac{[H^+]_m}{k_{h,1a}} + \frac{k_{h,2a}}{[H^+]_m} + f_a^{KGDH} \left(\frac{k_M^{\alpha KG}}{[\alpha KG]}\right)^{n_{\alpha KG}} + f_a^{KGDH} \frac{k_{M\_kgdh}^{NAD}}{[NAD]}
$$
  

$$
f_a^{KGDH} = \left[ \left(1 + \frac{[Mg^{2+}]}{K_D^{Mg^{2+}}} \right) \left(1 + \frac{[Ca^{2+}]}{K_D^{Ca^{2+}}} \right) \right]^{-1}
$$
  

$$
V_{SL} = k_f^{SL} \left( [SCoA][ADP]_m [Pi]_m - \frac{[Suc][ATP]_m [CoA]}{K_{E,app}^{SL}} \right)
$$
  

$$
K_{E,app}^{SL} = K_{Eq}^{SL} \frac{P_{SUC}P_{ATP}}{P_{pi}P_{ADP}}
$$

Succinate dehydrogenase is included in the Table comprising the respiratory complexes

$$
V_{FH} = k_f^{FH} \left( [FUM] - \frac{[MAL]}{K_E^{FH}} \right)
$$
  

$$
V_{MDH} = \frac{k_{cat}^{MML} E_T^{MDH} f_{h,a} f_{h,i}}{1 + \frac{K_M^{MAL}}{[MAL]} \left( 1 + \frac{[OAA]}{K_i^{OM}} \right) + \frac{K_M^{MAD}}{[NAD]} + \frac{K_M^{MAL}}{[MAL]} \left( 1 + \frac{[OAA]}{K_i^{OM}} \right) \frac{K_M^{NAD}}{[NAD]}
$$
  

$$
f_{h,a} = \left( 1 + \frac{[H^+]}{k_{h1}} + \frac{[H^+]^2}{k_{h1}k_{h2}} \right)^{-1} + k_{offset}
$$
  

$$
f_{h,i} = \left( 1 + \frac{k_{h3}}{[H^+]} + \frac{k_{h3}k_{h4}}{[H^+]} \right)
$$
  

$$
V_{AAT} = k_f^{AAT} [OAA][GLU] \frac{k_{ASP} K_E^{ATT}}{\left( k_{ASP} K_E^{AAT} + [aKG]k_f^{AAT} \right)}
$$

**Table S5. Parameter values used in the simulations: Tricarboxylic acid cycle** 

<b>Symbol</b>	<b>Value</b>	<b>Units</b>	<b>Description</b>
[ACCoA]	$1 \times 10^{-6} - 1$	mM	Acetyl CoA concentration
$k_{cat}^{CS}$	$7.841\times10^{-6}$	$\text{ms}^{-1}$	Catalytic constant of CS
$E_T^{CS}$	0.4	mM	Concentration of CS
$K_M^{AccoA}$	0.0126	mM	Michaelis constant for AcCoA
$K_M^{OAA}$	$6.4 \times 10^{-4}$	mM	Michaelis constant for OAA
$C_{\boldsymbol{k} \, \text{int}}$	1.3	mM	Sum of TCA cycle intermediates
$k_f^{ACO}$	$3.896 \times 10^{-6}$	$ms^{-1}$	Forward rate constant of ACO
$K_{E}^{ACO}$	2.22		Equilibrium constant of ACO
$K_{i, NADH}$	0.19	mM	Inhibition constant by NADH
$k_{cat}^{IDH}$	0.0264	$ms^{-1}$	Rate constant of IDH





## **9.3. Oxidative Phosphorylation rate equations**

$$
V_{O_2} = 0.5 \rho^{res} \frac{\left(r_a + r_{c1} e^{\left(\frac{6F\Delta\Psi_B}{RT}\right)}\right) e^{\left(\frac{FA_{res}}{RT}\right)} - r_a e^{\left(\frac{g6F\Delta\mu_H}{RT}\right)} + r_{c2} e^{\left(\frac{FA_{res}}{RT}\right)} e^{\left(\frac{g6F\Delta\mu_H}{RT}\right)} \frac{\left(\frac{g6F\Delta\mu_H}{RT}\right)}{\left(1 + r_i e^{\left(\frac{FA_{res}}{RT}\right)}\right) e^{\left(\frac{6F\Delta\Psi_B}{RT}\right)} + \left(r_2 + r_3 e^{\left(\frac{FA_{res}}{RT}\right)}\right) e^{\left(\frac{g6F\Delta\mu_H}{RT}\right)}}
$$

$$
V_{He} = 6\rho^{res} \frac{\left(r_a e^{\left(\frac{A_{res}F}{RT}\right)} - (r_a + r_b)e^{\left(\frac{g6F\Delta\mu_H}{RT}\right)}\right)}{\left(1 + r_1 e^{\left(\frac{FA_{res}}{RT}\right)}\right)e^{\left(\frac{6F\Delta\Psi_B}{RT}\right)} + \left(r_2 + r_3 e^{\left(\frac{FA_{res}}{RT}\right)}\right)e^{\left(\frac{g6F\Delta\mu_H}{RT}\right)}}
$$

$$
A_{res} = \frac{RT}{F} \ln\left(K_{res} \sqrt{\frac{[NADH]}{[NAD^+]}}\right)
$$

$$
V_{O_2SDH} = 0.5 \rho^{res(SDH)} \frac{\left(r_a + r_{c1}e^{\left(\frac{4F\Delta\Psi_B}{RT}\right)}\right)e^{\left(\frac{FA_{RSDH}}{RT}\right)} - r_a e^{\left(\frac{84F\Delta\mu_H}{RT}\right)} + r_{c2}e^{\left(\frac{FA_{RSDH}}{RT}\right)}e^{\left(\frac{84F\Delta\mu_H}{RT}\right)}\right)}{\left(1 + r_i e^{\left(\frac{FA_{RSDH}}{RT}\right)}\right)e^{\left(\frac{4F\Delta\Psi_B}{RT}\right)} + \left(r_2 + r_3 e^{\left(\frac{FA_{RSDH}}{RT}\right)}\right)e^{\left(\frac{84F\Delta\mu_H}{RT}\right)} - \left(1 + \frac{[OAA]}{K_i^{OAA}}\right)}
$$

$$
V_{He(SDH)} = 4\rho^{res(SDH)} \frac{\left(r_a e^{\left(\frac{A_{RSDH}F}{RT}\right)} - (r_a + r_b)e^{\left(\frac{g4F\Delta\mu_H}{RT}\right)}\right)}{\left(1 + r_1 e^{\left(\frac{FA_{RSDH}}{RT}\right)}\right)e^{\left(\frac{4F\Delta\Psi_B}{RT}\right)} + \left(r_2 + r_3 e^{\left(\frac{FA_{RSDH}}{RT}\right)}\right)e^{\left(\frac{g4F\Delta\mu_H}{RT}\right)}} \left(\frac{1}{1 + \frac{[OAA]}{K_i^{OAA}}}\right)
$$

$$
A_{RSDH} = \frac{RT}{F} \ln\left(K_{RSDH,app} \sqrt{\frac{[SUC]}{[FUM]}}\right)
$$

$$
K_{RSDH,app} = \frac{K_{res(SDH)}}{P_{SUC}}
$$

$$
V_{ATPase} = -\rho^{F1} \frac{(100p_{a} + p_{c1} \exp(3F\Delta\Psi_{B} / RT)) \exp(A_{F1}F / RT) - \left(\frac{p_{a} \exp(3F\Delta\mu_{H} / RT)}{\cdots + p_{c2} \exp(A_{F1}F / RT) \exp(3F\Delta\mu_{H} / RT)}\right)}{(1 + p_{1} \exp(A_{F1}F / RT)) \exp(3F\Delta\Psi_{B} / RT) + (p_{2} + p_{3} \exp(A_{F1}F / RT)) \exp(3F\Delta\mu_{H} / RT)}
$$

$$
V_{_{Hu}} = -3\rho^{r_1} \frac{p_a (1 + \exp(A_{_{F1}}F/RT)) - (p_a + p_b) \exp(3F\Delta\mu_{_H}/RT)}{(1 + p_{_1} \exp(A_{_{F1}}F/RT)) \exp(3F\Delta\Psi_{_B}/RT) + (p_{_2} + p_{_3} \exp(A_{_{F1}}F/RT)) \exp(3F\Delta\mu_{_H}/RT)}
$$

$$
A_{F1} = \frac{RT}{F} \ln(K_{app}^{ATPase} \frac{[MgATP^{2-}]}{[ADP_{free}][Pi_{total}]} )
$$

$$
K_{app}^{ATPase} = K_{eq}^{ATPase} [H^+]^1 \frac{P_{ATP} P_{H_2O}}{P_{ADP} P_{Pi}}
$$

<b>Symbol</b>	<b>Value</b>	<b>Units</b>	<b>Description</b>
$r_{\boldsymbol{a}}$	$6.394\times10^{-13}$	$\overline{\text{ms}^{-1}}$	Sum of products of rate constants
$r_{\!{}_b}$	$1.762\times10^{-16}$	$\text{ms}^{-1}$	Sum of products of rate constants
$r_{c1}$	$2.656 \times 10^{-22}$	$\text{ms}^{-1}$	Sum of products of rate constants
$r_{c2}$	$8.632\times10^{-30}$	$\text{ms}^{-1}$	Sum of products of rate constants
$r_{1}$	$2.077\times10^{-18}$		Sum of products of rate constants
$r_{2}$	$1.728 \times 10^{-9}$		Sum of products of rate constants
r <sub>3</sub>	$1.059\times10^{-26}$		Sum of products of rate constants
$\rho^{\text{res}}$	T.1	mM	Concentration of electron carriers (respiratory complexes I-III-IV)
$K_{res}$	$1.35\times10^{18}$		Equilibrium constant of respiration
$\rho$ <sup>res(SDH)</sup>	T.1	mM	Concentration of electron carriers (respiratory complexes II-III-IV)
$\Delta\Psi_B$	50	mV	Phase boundary potential
$\boldsymbol{g}$	0.85		Correction factor for voltage
KOAA	0.15		Inhibition constant for OAA
$K_{res(SDH)}$	$5.765 \times 10^{13}$		Equilibrium constant of SDH
$p_a$	$1.656 \times 10^{-8}$	$ms^{-1}$	Sum of products of rate constants
$p_{b}$	$3.373\times10^{-10}$	$\text{ms}^{-1}$	Sum of products of rate constants
$p_{c1}$	$9.651\times10^{-17}$	$\text{ms}^{-1}$	Sum of products of rate constants
$p_{c2}$	$4.585 \times 10^{-17}$	$ms^{-1}$	Sum of products of rate constants

**Table S6. Parameter values used in the simulations: Oxidative phosphorylation** 



### **9.4. Acid-base equilibria of adenine nucleotides and phosphate**

Chemical species in the biochemical reactions exist in mixed ionic forms such as protonated, deprotonated or bound to different cations (14-17). In general, the total concentration of ligand is the sum of free ligand, ligand bound to proton and to metals (17).

$$
[L_{total}] = [L] + \sum_{P=1}^{N_P} [LH_P] + \sum_{m=1}^{N_m} [LM^m]
$$

where L is the ligand, H is the proton and  $M<sup>m</sup>$  is the m<sup>th</sup> metal ion. The second term on the right hand side of the eqn. refers to the sum of the protonated moieties, and the third term represents the sum of the metal-bound moieties. Therefore,  $N_p$  is the total number of protonation sites, and  $N<sub>m</sub>$  is the total number of metal-binding sites in the ligand.

Here, only the most abundant and physiological significant forms of ATP, ADP, and phosphate in the pH range from 5.0 to 9.0 were considered:  $ATP<sup>4</sup>$ ,  $HATP<sup>3</sup>$ ,  $MgATP$ ,  $ADP<sup>3</sup>$ ,  $HADP<sup>2</sup>$ ,  $MgADP$ ,  $HPO<sub>4</sub><sup>2</sup>$ , and  $H<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>$ .

$$
[ATP^{4-}]_{m} = \frac{[ATP_{total}]_{m}}{\left(1 + \frac{[H^{+}]_{m}}{K_{a,ATP}} + \frac{[Mg^{2+}]_{m}}{K_{Mg,ATP}}\right)}
$$
  
\n
$$
[HATP^{3-}]_{m} = \frac{[ATP^{4-}]_{m}[H^{+}]_{m}}{K_{a,ATP}}
$$
  
\n
$$
[MgATP^{2-}]_{m} = \frac{[ATP^{4-}]_{m}[Mg^{2+}]_{m}}{K_{Mg,ATP}}
$$
  
\n
$$
[ADP^{3-}]_{m} = \frac{[ADP_{total}]_{m}}{\left(1 + \frac{[H^{+}]_{m}}{K_{a,ADP}} + \frac{[Mg^{2+}]_{m}}{K_{Mg,ADP}}\right)}
$$
  
\n
$$
[HADP^{2-}]_{m} = \frac{[ADP^{3-}]_{m}[H^{+}]_{m}}{K_{a,ADP}}
$$
  
\n
$$
[MgADP^{-}]_{m} = \frac{[ADP^{3-}]_{m}[Mg^{2+}]_{m}}{K_{Mg,ADP}}
$$

$$
[H_2PO_4^-]_m = \frac{[Pi]_{total}}{1 + \frac{[H^+]_m}{K_{a, Pi}}}
$$

$$
[HPO_4^{2-}]_m = \frac{[H_2PO_4^-]_m K_{a, Pi}}{[H^+]_m}
$$

$$
[ATP^{4-}]_{i} = \frac{[ATP_{total}]_{i}}{\left(1 + \frac{[H^{+}]_{i}}{K_{a,ATP}} + \frac{[Mg^{2+}]_{i}}{K_{Mg,ATP}}\right)}
$$

$$
[ADP^{3-}]_{i} = \frac{[ADP_{total}]_{i}}{\left(1 + \frac{[H^{+}]_{i}}{K_{a,ADP}} + \frac{[Mg^{2+}]_{i}}{K_{Mg,ADP}}\right)}
$$

$$
[ATP_{total}] = [ATP^{4-}] + [HATP^{3-}] + [MgATP^{-}]
$$
  
\n
$$
[ATP_{free}] = [ATP^{4-}] + [HATP^{3-}]
$$
  
\n
$$
[ADP_{free}] = [ADP^{3-}] + [HADP^{2-}]
$$
  
\n
$$
[Pi_{total}] = [H_2Pi^-] + [H\ Pi^{2-}]
$$

**9.5. Polynomials for species undergoing acid-base equilibrium, ionic gradients, and conservation relations** 

$$
P_{ATP} = 1 + \frac{[H^+]_m}{K_{a,ATP}} + \frac{[Mg^{2+}]_m}{K_{Mg,ATP}}
$$
  
\n
$$
P_{ADP} = 1 + \frac{[H^+]_m}{K_{a,ADP}} + \frac{[Mg^{2+}]_m}{K_{Mg,ADP}}
$$
  
\n
$$
P_{Pi} = 1 + \frac{[H^+]_m}{K_{a,PI}}
$$
  
\n
$$
P_{SUC} = 1 + \frac{[H^+]_m}{K_{a,SUC}}
$$
  
\n
$$
P_{H_2O} = 1 + \frac{[H^+]_m}{K_{a,\mu_{2O}}}
$$
  
\n
$$
\Delta\mu_H = -2.303 \frac{RT}{F} \Delta pH + \Delta\Psi_m
$$
  
\n
$$
\Delta pH = pH_i - pH_m
$$

$$
[NAD^+] = C_{PN} - [NADH]
$$

$$
[ATP_{total}] = C_A - [ADP_{total}]
$$

 $\Delta \Psi_m = \Psi_i - \Psi_m$ 

**9.6. Adenine Nucleotide translocator (ANT)** 

$$
V_{ANT} = V_{\text{maxANT}} \frac{\left(1 - \frac{[ATP^{4-}]_{i} \times [ADP^{3-}]_{m}}{[ADP^{3-}]_{i} \times [ATP^{4-}]_{m}}\right) \exp(-F\Delta\Psi_{m}/RT)}{\left(1 + \frac{[ATP^{4-}]_{i}}{[ADP^{3-}]_{i}} \exp(-hF\Delta\Psi/RT)\right)\left(1 + \frac{[ADP^{3-}]_{m}}{[ATP^{4-}]_{m}}\right)}
$$

## **9.7. Ionic fluxes rate equations**

$$
V_{uni} = V_{max}^{uni} \frac{\frac{[Ca^{2+}]}{K_{trans}} \left(1 + \frac{[Ca^{2+}]}{K_{trans}}\right)^3 \frac{2F\left(\Delta \Psi_m - \Delta \Psi^{\circ}\right)}{RT}}{\left(1 + \frac{[Ca^{2+}]}{K_{trans}}\right)^4 + \frac{L}{\left(1 + \frac{[Ca^{2+}]}{K_{act}}\right)^{n_a}} \left(1 - e^{\left(\frac{-2F\left(\Delta \Psi_m - \Delta \Psi^{\circ}\right)}{RT}}\right)\right)}
$$

$$
V_{NaCa} = V_{\text{max}}^{NaCa} \frac{e^{\left(\frac{bF\left(\Delta\Psi_m - \Delta\Psi^{\circ}\right)}{RT}\right)}e^{\left(\ln\frac{\left[Ca^{2+1}\right]_m}{\left[Ca^{2+1}\right]_m}\right)}}{\left(1 + \frac{K_{Na}}{\left[Na^{+}\right]_i}\right)^n\left(1 + \frac{K_{Ca}}{\left[Ca^{2+1}\right]_m}\right)}
$$

$$
J_{NHE} = c_{NHE} \frac{\beta_1^+ \beta_2^+ - \beta_1^- \beta_2^-}{\beta_1^+ + \beta_1^- + \beta_2^+ + \beta_2^-}
$$

$$
\beta_{1}^{+} = \frac{k_{1}^{+}K_{H_{\perp NHE}}[Na^{+}]_{m}}{K_{H_{\perp NHE}}[Na^{+}]_{m} + K_{H_{\perp NHE}}K_{Na_{\perp NHE}} + K_{Na_{\perp NHE}}[H^{+}]_{m}}
$$
\n
$$
\beta_{2}^{+} = \frac{k_{2}^{+}K_{Na_{\perp NHE}}[H^{+}]_{i}}{K_{H_{\perp NHE}}[Na^{+}]_{i} + K_{H_{\perp NHE}}K_{Na_{\perp NHE}} + K_{Na_{\perp NHE}}[H^{+}]_{i}}
$$
\n
$$
\beta_{1}^{-} = \frac{k_{1}^{-}K_{H_{\perp NHE}}[Na^{+}]_{i}}{K_{H_{\perp NHE}}[Na^{+}]_{i} + K_{H_{\perp NHE}}K_{Na_{\perp NHE}} + K_{Na_{\perp NHE}}[H^{+}]_{i}}
$$
\n
$$
\beta_{2}^{-}
$$

$$
\beta_2^{-} = \frac{1}{K_{H_{\text{NHE}}}[Na^+]_{m} + K_{H_{\text{NHE}}}K_{\text{Na}_{\text{NHE}}} + K_{\text{Na}_{\text{NHE}}}[H^+]_{m}}
$$

$$
J_{_{\text{PIC}}} = c_{_{\text{PIC}}}\frac{[HPO_4^{2-}]_i[OH^-]_m}{K_{\text{Pi},i}K_{OH,m}} - V_{_{\text{PIC},b}}\frac{[HPO_4^{2-}]_m[OH^-]_i}{K_{\text{Pi},m}K_{OH,i}} \\ \frac{1 + \frac{[HPO_4^{2-}]_i}{K_{\text{Pi},i}} + \frac{[OH^-]_m}{K_{OH,m}} + \frac{[HHO_4^{2-}]_m}{K_{\text{Pi},m}} + \frac{[HPO_4^{2-}]_m[OH^-]_i}{K_{\text{Pi},m}K_{OH,i}} + \frac{[HPO_4^{2-}]_i[OH^-]_i}{K_{\text{Pi},i}K_{OH,m}} \\
$$

 $V_{H$ leak =  $g_H \Delta \mu_H$ 

## **9.8. pH regulation in the mitochondria**

Apparent equilibrium constant is defined in terms of the species concentration at equilibrium and as a function of pH (17).

$$
K' = [H^+]^n \xrightarrow{K_{\text{ref}} \prod P_{\text{product}}}
$$

where n is proton stoichiometry of the reference reaction and P is the binding polynomial

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\text{(P=1+}\sum_{\text{P=1}}^{\text{N}_{\text{P}}}\frac{\text{[H]}^{\text{P}}}{\prod_{\text{i=1}}^{\text{P}}\text{K}_{\text{a},\text{i}}}\n&\sum_{\text{m=1}}^{\text{N}_{\text{m}}}\frac{\text{[M}^{\text{m}}\text{]}}{\text{K}_{\text{M}^{\text{m}}}}\text{)}. \text{ K}_{\text{ref}}\text{ is the equilibrium constant for the reference reaction} \\
\text{(K}_{\text{ref}} &= e^{-\Delta_{\text{r}}G^0/\text{RT}}\text{)}. \n\end{aligned}
$$

### **9.9. pH-dependence of TCA cycle enzyme activities**

$$
V_{KGDH} = \frac{k_{cat}^{KGDH} k_T^{KGDH}}{1 + \frac{[H^+]_m}{k_{h,1a}} + \frac{k_{h,2a}}{[H^+]_m} + f_a^{KGDH} \left(\frac{k_{ak}^{KGD}}{[\alpha K G]}\right)^{n_{\alpha KG}} + f_a^{KGDH} \frac{k_{\alpha}^{NAD}}{[NAD]}
$$

$$
f_a^{KGDH} = \left[ \left(1 + \frac{[Mg^{2+}]}{K_D^{Mg^{2+}}} \right) \left(1 + \frac{[Ca^{2+}]_m}{K_D^{Ca^{2+}}} \right) \right]^{-1}
$$

**9.10. Parameter values used in the simulations of ion handling** 

Table S7. Parameter values used in the simulations: Mitochondrial Ca<sup>2+</sup> handling

<b>Symbol</b>	<b>Value</b>	<b>Units</b>	<b>Description</b>
$V_{\rm max}^{uni}$	T.1	$mM$ ms <sup>-1</sup>	$V_{\text{max}}$ uniporter Ca <sup>2+</sup> transport
$\Lambda \Psi$ °	91	mV	Offset membrane potential
$K_{\scriptscriptstyle act}$	$3.8 \times 10^{-4}$ mM		<b>Activation constant</b>
trans	0.019	mM	$K_d$ for translocated $Ca^{2+}$

L	110.0		$K_{eq}$ for conformational transitions in uniporter
$n_a$	2.8		Uniporter activation cooperativity
$V_{\text{max}}^{NaCa}$	T.1	$mM$ ms <sup>-1</sup>	$V_{\text{max}}$ of Na <sup>+</sup> /Ca <sup>2+</sup> exchanger
$\boldsymbol{b}$	0.5		$\Delta \Psi_{\mu}$ dependence on Na <sup>+</sup> /Ca <sup>2+</sup> exchanger
$K_{\scriptscriptstyle{Na}}$	9.4	mM	Exchanger $Na^{2+}$ constant
$K_{Ca}$	$3.75 \times 10^{-4}$ mM		Exchanger $Ca^{2+}$ constant
n	3.0		$Na^{\dagger}/Ca^{2+}$ exchanger cooperativity
$\delta_{\scriptscriptstyle{Ca}}$	$3 \times 10^{-4}$		Fraction of free $\lceil Ca^{2+} \rceil_m$

**Table S8. Parameter values used in the simulations: Mitochondrial H<sup>+</sup> and Na+ handling** 



\*from Nyguyen (18) and Vaughan-Jones (19)

## **Section S10. ROS transport**

$$
V_{MAC} = \left( a + \frac{b}{1 + \frac{K_{cc}}{\left[O_{2}^{*}\right]_{i}}}\right) \left( GL + \frac{G_{max}}{1 + e^{\left(\kappa\left(\Delta \Psi_{m}^{b}\right) + \Delta \Psi_{m}\right)}}\right) \Delta \Psi_{m}
$$
\n
$$
V_{ROS}^{Tr} = j \frac{V_{MAC}}{\Delta \Psi_{m}} \left( -\Delta \Psi_{m} - \frac{RT}{F} \log \left(\frac{\left[O_{2}^{*}\right]_{m}}{\left[O_{2}^{*}\right]_{i}}\right) \right)
$$

## **Table S9. Parameter values used in the simulations: ROS transport**





Section **11. State variables initial conditions** 



## **Section S12. Glossary**









### **References**

- 1. Schafer, F. Q., and G. R. Buettner. 2001. Redox environment of the cell as viewed through the redox state of the glutathione disulfide/glutathione couple. Free Radic Biol Med 30:1191-1212.
- 2. Aon, M. A., S. Cortassa, E. Marban, and B. O'Rourke. 2003. Synchronized whole cell oscillations in mitochondrial metabolism triggered by a local release of reactive oxygen species in cardiac myocytes. J Biol Chem 278:44735-44744.
- 3. Cortassa, S., M. A. Aon, R. L. Winslow, and B. O'Rourke. 2004. A mitochondrial oscillator dependent on reactive oxygen species. Biophys J 87:2060-2073.
- 4. Manoli, I., S. Alesci, M. R. Blackman, Y. A. Su, O. M. Rennert, and G. P. Chrousos. 2007. Mitochondria as key components of the stress response. Trends Endocrinol Metab 18:190-198.
- 5. Nelson, D. L. 2008. Lehninger principles of biochemistry. W.H. Freeman, New York :.
- 6. Stanley, B. A., V. Sivakumaran, S. Shi, I. McDonald, D. Lloyd, W. H. Watson, M. A. Aon, and N. Paolocci. 2011. Thioredoxin reductase-2 is essential for keeping low levels of H(2)O(2) emission from isolated heart mitochondria. J Biol Chem 286:33669-33677.
- 7. Fernandes, A. P., and A. Holmgren. 2004. Glutaredoxins: glutathione-dependent redox enzymes with functions far beyond a simple thioredoxin backup system. Antioxidants & redox signaling 6:63-74.
- 8. Holmgren, A. 1989. Thioredoxin and glutaredoxin systems. J Biol Chem 264:13963- 13966.
- 9. Cox, A. G., C. C. Winterbourn, and M. B. Hampton. 2010. Mitochondrial peroxiredoxin involvement in antioxidant defence and redox signalling. Biochem J 425:313-325.
- 10. Sztajer, H., B. Gamain, K. D. Aumann, C. Slomianny, K. Becker, R. Brigelius-Flohe, and L. Flohe. 2001. The putative glutathione peroxidase gene of Plasmodium falciparum codes for a thioredoxin peroxidase. J Biol Chem 276:7397-7403.
- 11. Pillay, C. S., J. H. Hofmeyr, B. G. Olivier, J. L. Snoep, and J. M. Rohwer. 2009. Enzymes or redox couples? The kinetics of thioredoxin and glutaredoxin reactions in a systems biology context. Biochem J 417:269-275.
- 12. Eckenroth, B., K. Harris, A. A. Turanov, V. N. Gladyshev, R. T. Raines, and R. J. Hondal. 2006. Semisynthesis and characterization of mammalian thioredoxin reductase. Biochemistry 45:5158-5170.
- 13. McAdam, M. E., F. Levelle, R. A. Fox, and E. M. Fielden. 1977. A pulse-radiolysis study of the manganese-containing superoxide dismutase from Bacillus stearothermophilus. Biochem J 165:81-87.
- 14. Alberty, R. A. 2003. Thermodynamics of biochemical reactions. Wiley-Interscience, Hoboken, N.J.
- 15. Kushmerick, M. J. 1997. Multiple equilibria of cations with metabolites in muscle bioenergetics. Am J Physiol 272:C1739-1747.
- 16. Alberty, R. A. 2006. Biochemical Thermodynamics: Applications of Mathematica.
- 17. Vinnakota, K., M. L. Kemp, and M. J. Kushmerick. 2006. Dynamics of muscle glycogenolysis modeled with pH time course computation and pH-dependent reaction equilibria and enzyme kinetics. Biophysical journal 91:1264-1287.
- 18. Nguyen, M. H., S. J. Dudycha, and M. S. Jafri. 2007. Effect of Ca2+ on cardiac mitochondrial energy production is modulated by Na+ and H+ dynamics. Am J Physiol Cell Physiol 292:C2004-2020.
- 19. Vaughan-Jones, R. D., B. E. Peercy, J. P. Keener, and K. W. Spitzer. 2002. Intrinsic H(+) ion mobility in the rabbit ventricular myocyte. J Physiol 541:139-158.