Supplemental Material

Supplementary Figures:

Fig. S1 rRNA processing in *S. cerevisiae*

A) The 35S rRNA gene contains the sequences of 18S, 5.8S and 25S rRNAs separated by two internal transcribed spacer (ITS1, ITS2) regions and flanked by external transcribed spacer regions (5'-ETS, 3'-ETS). Processing sites of precursor rRNAs are indicated (A0, A1, etc.). Positions of antisense oligo probes (o205, etc.) used for Northern hybridisation and primer extension analyses are indicated with bars.

B) Canonical pre-rRNA processing pathways

Processing of the 35S pre-rRNA at sites A0 and A1 generates 32S rRNA, which contains the 5' end of the 18S rRNA. Subsequent cleavage at site A2 separates 20S pre-rRNA from 27SA2 pre-rRNA, which further on maturate independently. The 27SA2 pre-rRNA is processed via two mutually exclusive pathways. Processing at site A3 and subsequent 5'-> 3' exonucleolytic trimming to site $B1_s$ yields the 27SB_s pre-rRNA, having the 5' end of the major 5.8S_S rRNA. Alternatively, processing at site $B1_L$ yields 27SB_L pre-rRNA, having the 5' end of the minor $5.8S_l$ rRNA. Processing of $27SB_l/27SB_S$ pre-rRNAs at site C2 separates the precursors of 25S and $5.8S_L$ or $5.8S_S$, respectively, which are subsequently converted into the mature species by exonucleolytic trimming. Processing at site D converts the 20S precursor into 18S rRNA.

C) Alternative pre-rRNA processing pathways bypassing A2-site processing

In mutants, in which processing at site A2 is impaired, but also to a low extent in normal yeast cells, separation of the precursors of small subunit and large subunit components can occur by processing 35S or 32S pre-rRNAs at site A3, resulting in 27SA3 and 23S or 21S pre-rRNAs, respectively. Processing at sites A0 and A1 converts 23S into 21S pre-rRNA which can possibly be processed at site D to yield 18S rRNA.

Figure S₂

Fig. S2 Polysome gradients of *RRP5* **mutant strains**

A) An *RRP5* deletion strain (Y3762) was complemented with the indicated *RRP5* alleles (see panel B) expressed from the respective pJV314-ProtA plasmids (see Fig. S4) and grown in YPD at 30°C to OD 0.5 –0.8. Corresponding cell lysates were applied to 10-50% sucrose gradients, centrifuged for 16h at 27 000rpm and UV profiles were recorded at 254. The peaks of free 40S and 60S ribosomal subunits, 80S monosomes and polysomes are indicated. B) Overview of the *rrp5* alleles analysed in (A) and schematic presentation of the corresponding protein variants. Black bars illustrate the S1 RNA binding motifs, grey bars the tetratricopeptide repeats, respectively (adapted from (7)). Point mutations in the temperature sensitive rrp5-11 allele are indicated (*).

Fig. S3 Yeast strains used in this study

Fig. S4 Plasmids used in this study

Primer for cloning

Primer for qPCR:

Probes for Northern Blot:

Fig. S5 Oligonucleotides used in this study

Figure S6

Fig. S6: Comparison of co-transcriptional recruitment of ribosome biogenesis factors and Pol-I in the presence and absence of RNAse treatment using ChIP

A) ChIP experiments using the same primer pairs as described in Figure 7 with and without RNAse A and T1 treatment before immunoprecipitation were performed as described in the supplementary methods. The amounts of specific DNA fragments present in the input and retained on the beads were determined by qPCR. In each experiment the precipitation efficiencies (% IP (rDNA)) for the respective amplified DNA regions were calculated and normalised to the PDC1 precipitation efficiencies (% IP (rDNA) / % IP (PDC1)). The graph shows the average of two biological replicates including standard deviations. A black line depicts the internal background as a result of the normalisation to the precipitated PDC1 DNA.

B) Yield of immunoprecipitated Pol-I and biogenesis factors in ChIP experiments without and with RNase treatment using Western blotting. Relative amounts to the chromatin input per IP of the insoluble material after sonication (P), the soluble chromatin fraction (Chr) without (pre) or after incubation at 25°C with (+) or without (-) RNAses, and the precipitated material (IP) from RNAse treated (+) or untreated (-) chromatin are indicated. Upper panel: The TAPtag fusion proteins were detected with PAP detection reagent (Sigma, P1291) (left) or with anti-ProtA antibody (P3775, Sigma-Aldrich) and a fluorophor coupled secondary antibody (LICOR, 926-32211) (right; TY577). The bands of the TAP-tagged proteins are marked (x). Insets show a digitally enhanced view of the same blot. Lower panel: Tubulin was detected as a loading control. Rabbit IgG chains detected in the IP lanes by cross reaction of the secondary antibody are indicated (°).

Comments:

In two independent experiments, a significant amount of Pol-I was still associated with the Pol-I promoter and the 35S rDNA after RNase treatment of the chromatin fraction. In contrast, neither Noc1p, Noc2p, Rrp5p nor Utp4p were more enriched at the Pol-Itranscribed rDNA locus than at the 5S or PDC1 genes (background control) after RNase treatment, indicating that their rDNA association is mediated through interaction with RNA. Surprisingly, the absolute amount of rDNA associated Pol-I dropped also after RNase treatment. This could be due to formation of Pol-I - rDNA aggregates after RNase treatment, which are not accessible anymore for immunoprecipitation. We note that for unknown reasons also purification efficiencies of tagged Noc1p and Noc2p were reproducibly reduced after RNAse treatment of the chromatin fraction.

Proteome analysis of Pol-I associated chromatin (according to Fig. 6), which was isolated including or not treatment with RNAse, supported the assumption that a subgroup of ribosome biogenesis factors is associated with rDNA chromatin in an RNA dependent manner. The average iTRAQ ratio for Pol-I peptides was 1.13, for putative contaminants like translation factors, ribosomal proteins or heat shock proteins 1.23, and for peptides of SSU processome components and early LSU biogenesis factors 1.39, when Pol-I associated chromatin from mock treated and RNAse treated samples was compared.

 \overline{A}

Rrp5p Depletion, Noc1p-TAP

Figure S7 B

Rrp5p Depletion, Noc2p-TAP

Noc2p Depletion, Rrp5p-TAP

35S
32S

235

20S

35S
32S

255

18S

D

Noc1p Depletion, Rrp5p-TAP

Rrp5p-TAP IP Input WT pGAL-NOC1 WT pGAL-NOC1 (TY2499) (TY2501) $(TY2499)$ $(TY2501)$ $\overline{10}$ $\overline{18}$ $\overline{10}$ $\overline{18}$ $\overline{24}$ 18 24 10 18 10 $\frac{10}{b}$ $\frac{10}{a}$ \overline{a} \overline{a} $\mathbf b$ a b o1819 $\overline{11}$ $12\,$ $13\,$ $^{\rm 14}$ 15 $16 - 17$ 18 19

Rrp5p-TAP

IP Input

E

Noc1p Depletion, Utp22p-TAP

 $\mathsf X$

Utp22p-TAP

Utp22p-TAP

Utp22p-TAP

Fig. S7 Analysis of the binding hierarchy of biogenesis factors to pre-ribosomal particles

Shown are the whole Northern blots derived from agarose gels, from which the panels for the composite Figure 8 were excised. The probes used for hybridization are indicated in the lower left corners of the blots (see Fig. S1A for binding sites of the probes). Positions of major (pre-) rRNA species are marked, as are positions of aberrant pre-rRNA fragments resulting from depletion of biogenesis factors which are still co-purified with other factors (X). Labelling and numbering of the lanes correspond to the ones in Fig. 8 A-D. See legend to Fig. 8 for details. Unlabelled lanes are derived from unrelated experiments.

A) Noc1p-TAP purification after *in vivo* depletion of Rrp5p corresponding to Fig. 8A. B) Noc2p-TAP purification after *in vivo* depletion of Rrp5p corresponding to Fig. 8A. C) Rrp5p-TAP purification after *in vivo* depletion of Noc2p corresponding to Fig. 8B. D) Rrp5p-TAP purification after *in vivo* depletion of Noc1p corresponding to Fig. 8C. a, b) IPs were performed in duplicate from the same cell extract. E) Utp22p-TAP purification after *in vivo* depletion of Noc1p corresponding to Fig. 8C. a, b) IPs were performed in duplicate from the same cell extract.

Supplementary Methods:

Sucrose Density Gradient Centrifugation

Isolation of ribosomes under low salt conditions by sucrose gradient centrifugation was performed as described (1).

Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) and PCR based analysis of co-purified DNA after RNase treatment

ChIP experiments after RNase treatment of the chromatin fractions were performed according to (8). Briefly, yeast strains in which Noc1p (TY483), Noc2p (TY577), Rrp5p (TY615), Utp4p (TY1540) or Rpa135p (TY2423) are expressed as ProteinA or TAP-tag fusion proteins were grown in 120 ml rich medium at 30° C to exponential phase (OD600 = 0.5-0.7), subsequently cross linked using formaldehyde (final concentration 1%) for 15' at 30°C and harvested in two aliquots of 50 ml each. From each cell pellet, the chromatin fraction was prepared as described in the Material and Methods section. Chromatin fractions from the same strains were pooled, and two aliquots of each pooled fraction were treated either with 10 U of RNase A and 400 U of RNase T1 (RNase A/T1 cocktail, Ambion) or an equivalent volume of lysis buffer. After incubating at 25°C for 40 min, input samples of the RNase treated and untreated chromatin were taken and immunopurification of the remaining solution was performed as described in the Material and Methods section. After the last washing step, an aliquot of the precipitated material (10% or 20%) was prepared for Western blot analysis. Samples for Western blot analysis were incubated in 1x Laemmli protein sample buffer (9) at 95°C for 15' to reverse the formaldehyde cross link.

Supplementary references:

- 1. Bassler,J., Grandi,P., Gadal,O., Lessmann,T., Petfalski,E., Tollervey,D., Lechner,J. and Hurt,E. (2001) Identification of a 60S preribosomal particle that is closely linked to nuclear export. *Mol. Cell*, **8**, 517–529.
- 2. Milkereit,P., Gadal,O., Podtelejnikov,A., Trumtel,S., Gas,N., Petfalski,E., Tollervey,D., Mann,M., Hurt,E. and Tschochner,H. (2001) Maturation and intranuclear transport of pre-ribosomes requires Noc proteins. *Cell*, **105**, 499–509.
- 3. Cadwell,C., Yoon,H.J., Zebarjadian,Y. and Carbon,J. (1997) The yeast nucleolar protein Cbf5p is involved in rRNA biosynthesis and interacts genetically with the RNA polymerase I transcription factor RRN3. *Mol. Cell. Biol.*, **17**, 6175–6183.
- 4. Venema,J. and Tollervey,D. (1996) RRP5 is required for formation of both 18S and 5.8S rRNA in yeast. *EMBO J.*, **15**, 5701–5714.
- 5. Berger,I., Fitzgerald,D.J. and Richmond,T.J. (2004) Baculovirus expression system for heterologous multiprotein complexes. *Nat. Biotechnol.*, **22**, 1583–1587.
- 6. Fitzgerald,D.J., Berger,P., Schaffitzel,C., Yamada,K., Richmond,T.J. and Berger,I. (2006) Protein complex expression by using multigene baculoviral vectors. *Nat. Methods*, **3**, 1021–1032.
- 7. Eppens,N.A., Rensen,S., Granneman,S., Raué,H.A. and Venema,J. (1999) The roles of Rrp5p in the synthesis of yeast 18S and 5.8S rRNA can be functionally and physically separated. *RNA*, **5**, 779–793.
- 8. Abruzzi,K.C., Lacadie,S. and Rosbash,M. (2004) Biochemical analysis of TREX complex recruitment to intronless and intron-containing yeast genes. *EMBO J.*, **23**, 2620– 2631.
- 9. Laemmli,U.K. (1970) Cleavage of structural proteins during the assembly of the head of bacteriophage T4. *Nature*, **227**, 680–685.