

Quality Assessment Checklist

Reference	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	TOTAL
Bourbonnais et al., 2005	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	8
Taxman & Gordon, 2009	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7
Summerlin et al., 2010	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	4
Neveu, 2007	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	8
Moon & Maxwell, 2004	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	6
Lavigne & Bourbonnais, 2010	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	8
Griffin, 2006	0	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	6
Castle & Martin, 2006	0	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	6
Bourbonnais et al., 2007	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	8
Armstrong & Griffin, 2004	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7
Castle, 2008	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7

Quality Assessment Criterion:

1 = Study's sample is representative of the population of corrections officers

2 = Methods of data collection are described

3 = Validated measures are used

4 = Confounders are mentioned and accounted for in the analysis

5 = The statistical method used is appropriate for the outcome studied

6 = Statistical significance of the association(s) are tested and relevant parameters are presented

7 = The author(s) answered their research question

8 = There is a discussion of study limitations, including biases, and the way in which the study may have been affected as a result