Supporting Information

Andre et al. 10.1073/pnas.1218769110

SI Materials and Methods

Aldehyde Synthesis. When not commercially available, fatty aldehydes were synthesized by methylation, successive reduction of corresponding fatty acids to alcohol, and then chemoselective oxidation to the aldehyde. Briefly, fatty acids were methylated by dissolving fatty acid ($\sim 100 \text{ mg}$) in sulfuric acid in methanol [2% (vol/vol), 10 mL]. After 15 min of stirring at room temperature, essentially all of the fatty acid was converted to the corresponding fatty acid methyl ester (FAME) as determined by GC/MS. The FAME was isolated by biphasic extraction by adding water (10 mL) and hexane (20 mL) to the reaction mixture. The hexane layer was recovered, and the hexane was removed under a stream of nitrogen. The dried FAME was then reduced to the corresponding alcohol by first dissolving in tetrahydrofuran (THF, 3 mL) and adding lithium aluminum hydride (LAH, 30 mg) suspended in THF (1 mL). The reaction was stirred at 25 °C for 3 h at which time LAH (10 mg) was added and the reaction was stirred for 30 min. Then, the reaction was quenched by adding water (100 µL), aqueous sodium hydroxide solution [15% (wt/ vol), 300 µL], and then more water (100 µL). The resulting alcohol was then isolated by biphasic extraction by adding 4 mL water and 8 mL hexane. Conversion to the alcohol was essentially complete as determined by GC/MS. The hexane phase containing the alcohol was separated and evaporated under nitrogen The residual alcohol was dissolved in chloroform (3 mL) and oxidized to the aldehyde by adding pyridinum chlorochromate (50 mg). The reaction mixture was stirred at 25 °C for 3 h, and the solvent was removed in vacuo. The residue was suspended in hexane and filtered. The filtrate was finally purified by flash

chromatography using silica gel to give desired aldehyde. Purity of aldehydes was assessed by GC/MS.

Kinetic Calculations. The K_m and V_{max} values for O_2 and longchain aldehydes (C14–C18), which followed saturable Michaelis– Menten kinetics, were calculated with Eq. S1.

$$v = \frac{V_{\max} \times [S]}{K_m + [S]}.$$
[S1]

The IC₅₀ of H₂O₂ at three different O₂ concentrations was determined by fitting the data to Eq. **S1**, substituting H₂O₂ concentration for [S], % inhibition for V_{max}, and IC₅₀ for K_m. The K_i for H₂O₂ was then calculated for each IC₅₀ value using Eq. **S2**, where the K_m is that of O₂ and [S] the concentration of O₂ at which a particular IC₅₀ value was determined. The reported K_i for H₂O₂ is the average of the three values.

$$IC_{50} = K_{\rm i} \left(1 + \frac{[S]}{K_{\rm m}} \right).$$
[S2]

Kinetic constants for aldehydes that caused substrate inhibition (C8–C12) were calculated using Eq. **S3**:

$$v = \frac{V_{\max}}{1 + \frac{K_{\max}}{[S]} + \frac{[S]}{K_{i}}}.$$
 [S3]



Fig. S1. SDS/PAGE gel showing purified CAT–ADO and ADO. Each lane contains ~2 µg of purified protein. Shown are four independent preparations of CAT–ADO compared with a single preparation of ADO.







Fig. S3. Kinetic analysis of ADO with respect to various aldehyde substrates. ADO activity assays were performed with (*A*) 9-octadecenal or 18:1-ALD, (*B*) octadecanal or 18-ALD, (*C*) hexadecanal or 16-ALD, (*D*) tetradecanal or 14-ALD, (*E*) dodecanal or 12-ALD, (*F*) decanal or 10-ALD, (*G*) octanal or 8-ALD, and (*H*) tetracosanal or 24-ALD. For each curve, all data points are shown along with a best fit curve. Regression analysis was performed with Grafit software and either used Michaelis–Menton (*A*–*D*) or the substrate inhibition equation (*E*–*G*) described in *Materials and Methods*.



Fig. S4. ADO activity is not inhibited by 100 μ M of either octadecanol (18-OH), stearic acid (18-FFA), pentadecane (15-ALK), or nonane (9-ALK) when added as 10% (vol/vol) Triton X-100 solubilized solutions. Data are the mean \pm SD (n = 3).

DN AS