



Frequent alcohol, nicotine or cannabis use is common in young persons presenting for mental health care: a cross-sectional study

Journal:	<i>BMJ Open</i>
Manuscript ID:	bmjopen-2012-002229
Article Type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	16-Oct-2012
Complete List of Authors:	Hermens, Daniel; Brain & Mind Research Institute , Scott, Elizabeth; Brain & Mind Research Institute, White, Django; Brain & Mind Research Institute, Lynch, Marta; Brain & Mind Research Institute, Lagopoulos, Jim; Brain & Mind Research Institute, Whitwell, Bradley; Brain & Mind Research Institute, Naismith, Sharon; Brain & Mind Research Institute, Hickie, Ian; Brain & Mind Research Institute,
Primary Subject Heading:	Mental health
Secondary Subject Heading:	Addiction, Smoking and tobacco
Keywords:	ALCOHOL, DEPRESSION, MENTAL HEALTH, PSYCHIATRY, Substance misuse < PSYCHIATRY, Schizophrenia & psychotic disorders < PSYCHIATRY

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

only

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Frequent alcohol, nicotine or cannabis use is common in young persons presenting for mental health care: a cross-sectional study

Daniel F. Hermens^{1*}, Elizabeth M. Scott¹, Django White¹, Marta Lynch¹, Jim Lagopoulos¹, Bradley
G. Whitwell¹, Sharon L. Naismith¹, Ian B. Hickie¹

¹. Clinical Research Unit, Brain and Mind Research Institute, University of Sydney, Australia.

*Correspondence

Address: Brain & Mind Research Institute, University of Sydney, 100 Mallett Street, Camperdown,
NSW 2050, Australia.

Email: daniel.hermens@sydney.edu.au

Telephone: +61 2 93510529

Facsimile: +61 2 93510652

Abstract

Objectives: To determine the prevalence of recent alcohol, nicotine or cannabis use in young persons presenting for mental health care.

Design: A cross-sectional study of young people seeking mental health care completed self-report questionnaires regarding their use of alcohol, nicotine or cannabis.

Setting: Data were collected from two sites as part of the national *headspace* services program.

Participants: 2,122 young people age 12 to 30 years provided information as part of a patient register; a subset of N=522 participants also provided more detailed information about their patterns of alcohol use.

Outcome measures: Prevalence of levels of recent alcohol, nicotine or cannabis use within relevant age bands (12-17, 18-19 and 20-30) or primary diagnostic categories.

Results: The rates for use *at least weekly* of alcohol for the three age bands were 12%, 39% and 45%, and for cannabis 7%, 14% and 18%, respectively. The rates of *daily* nicotine use for the three age bands were 23%, 36% and 41%. The pattern of alcohol use was characterised by few abstainers as well as many risky drinkers. Age of onset across all three substances was approximately 15 years. Individuals who used any of the three substances more frequently were likely to be older, male or have psychotic or bipolar disorders.

Conclusions: Frequent use of alcohol, nicotine or cannabis use in young people seeking mental health care is common. Given restricted legal access, the patterns of use in those aged 12-17 years are particularly notable. Reductions in substance use needs to be prioritised within services for at-risk young people.

Article summary

Article focus:

- Previous studies indicate that early substance misuse increases the risk of developing a mental illness;
- Early onset mental disorders are associated with increased risk of alcohol or other substance misuse;
- In this study, we determined the rates of alcohol, nicotine or cannabis use in young persons (aged 12 to 30 years) entering mental health care.

Key messages:

- Alcohol, nicotine or cannabis uses in young people who present for mental health care are common and frequent;
- Given the comorbidity with significant mental health problems, these patterns of frequent substance use are likely to contribute to increased risk of poor physical and/or mental health outcomes;
- Reductions in use of these substances needs to be prioritised within services provided to these at-risk young people.

Strengths and limitations of this study:

- A cross-sectional study of a large number of young persons seeking mental health care;
- Findings in the 12 to 17 year old age group are particularly novel;
- Key substance use measures were self-report only and not confirmed by interview;
- Participants were not asked about the amount of nicotine and cannabis use.

Introduction

Health prevention priorities in Australia include cessation of nicotine or cannabis use and reduction in alcohol-related harm[1-3]. Among those with mental illness, reductions in substance use-related harm and improved cardiovascular health are key clinical objectives. Epidemiological and longitudinal studies indicate that early substance misuse increases the risk of developing a mental illness and, conversely, early onset mental disorders are associated with increased risk of alcohol or other substance misuse[4-6].

Neurobiological studies increasingly demonstrate the adverse effects of alcohol or cannabis on brain development in teenagers and young adults[7]. Young people with mental disorders are at increased risk of later cardiovascular disease[8]. One of the most significant modifiable risk factors in this population appears to be cigarette smoking.

Within the new primary-care based mental health initiative, *headspace*: the national youth mental health foundation, a strong policy emphasis is placed on active management of alcohol or other substance-misuse problems. While it is clear that these new centres have the capacity to engage young people, the extent to which concurrent alcohol, nicotine or other substance misuse is being actively managed is yet to be identified.

From a physical health perspective, there is an urgent need to establish an early intervention agenda for these individuals who are at high risk of premature death or physical health morbidity from a range of medical conditions. Of particular note are the high rates of premature death due to cardiovascular disease in persons with depression and the prevalence of metabolic syndrome in those receiving treatments for major mood disorders. Additionally, the high rate of nicotine and cannabis use in young people with major mental health disorders puts them at risk of other smoking-related conditions. In this study, we determined the rates of alcohol, nicotine or cannabis

1
2 use in young persons entering mental health care. Additionally, we sought to assess the patterns of
3
4 comorbidity with specific mental disorders.
5
6

7 8 **Methods** 9

10
11 Participants aged 16 years or older provided their own written informed consent and
12 parental/guardian consent was obtained for those under 16 years. The University of Sydney Human
13
14 Research Ethics Committee approved the study.
15
16

17
18
19 Sample(s): Participants were recruited from two headspace[9] sites: (i) the Brain & Mind Research
20
21 Institute, Camperdown; and (ii) Campbelltown (outer suburban, south-western Sydney). These
22
23 services specialise in the assessment and early intervention of mental health problems in young
24
25 people[10, 11].
26
27

28
29
30 This study utilised data obtained from 2,122 young people (12 to 30 years) who entered our patient
31
32 register. A subset of 522 participants also took part in specialised neurobiological research related
33
34 to clinical outcomes. Participants were excluded if they did not have sufficient English-language
35
36 skills. Hereafter, the entire sample (N=2,112) is referred to as the 'Youth Mental Health' (YMH)
37
38 cohort. Referring clinicians were asked to determine primary and secondary diagnoses based on
39
40 DSM-IV criteria. For the purposes of this study, patients were then grouped into the relevant broad
41
42 categories (primary diagnoses) of 'depression', 'bipolar', 'anxiety', 'psychosis',
43
44 'behavioural/developmental', 'other' (which included autistic spectrum, learning disorder,
45
46 substance use disorders, and personality disorders), and 'unclear', as described in our previous
47
48 study[11].
49
50

51
52
53 Assessment: Clinical information was obtained via: (i) brief self-report questionnaire; and (ii)
54
55 clinical assessment (detailed methods have been described previously[10, 11]).
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Self-report: The questionnaire comprised basic demographic data as well as standardised questionnaires to measure common symptoms, psychosocial functioning, disability and vocational status upon entry to the service (findings reported previously[10, 11]). Participants were asked about their lifetime and current substance use using the first two items of the World Health Organization's 'alcohol, smoking and substance involvement screening test' (WHO-ASSIST)[12]. In item 1, participants were asked whether they ever used: (a) tobacco (nicotine) products; (b) alcoholic beverages; or, (c) cannabis. Subsequently, item 2 asked whether their use of each substance in the *past 3 months* was: (a) 'never'; (b) 'once or twice'; (c) 'monthly'; (d) 'weekly'; (e) 'daily or almost daily'.

Data Reduction: As a means to compare recent alcohol, nicotine or cannabis use in the YMH cohort with that of the general population the WHO-ASSIST item 2 data were re-categorised to align with the age bands and use categories of the 2010 National Drug Strategy Household Survey (NDSHS)[13]. Thus, responses were re-categorised as: (i) 'daily or almost daily'; (ii) 'weekly'; (iii) 'less than weekly' or (iv) 'never'. That is, responses of 'once or twice' and 'monthly' were collapsed to form the 'less than weekly' category. Furthermore, the YMH cohort was stratified into three age groups: (i) 12 to 17 years; (ii) 18 to 19 years; and (iii) 20 to 30 years.

Clinical assessment: Undertaken by psychiatrists, psychologists, mental health nurses or general practitioners with training in mental health. The assessing clinician verified key aspects such as primary and secondary diagnoses/comorbidities, vocational status, medical comorbidity and general functioning via the social and occupational function assessment scale (SOFAS)[14].

Detailed assessment of alcohol use: For the sub-sample (N=522) only, the Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test (AUDIT) was used to assess each participant's level of risky drinking in the past year, as well as their lifetime familiarity[15, 16]. Total scores range of from 0-40, with a higher score indicating more problematic drinking. A total score of 1 to 7 is indicative of low risk drinking;

total scores 8-15 indicate 'risky drinking' with a moderate risk of harm; 16-19 indicating a high-risk or 'harmful' level of alcohol consumption, and 20-40 indicates a 'high-risk' level.

Statistical Analyses: Statistics were performed using SPSS for Windows 20.0. Group differences in demographic and clinical variables were assessed via ANOVA or chi-square tests. To determine whether age was a contributing factor to observed differences in prevalence of recent substance use across diagnostic groups, logistic regressions were conducted with a dichotomous dependent variable of 'at least weekly' versus 'less than weekly' use.

Results

Lifetime use

Among males, three quarters (75.9%; 506/667) of respondents (12 to 30 yrs) reported a lifetime use of alcohol, 63.3% (401/633) a lifetime use of nicotine and more than half (57.9%; 390/673) a lifetime use of cannabis. Similarly, for females, the lifetime rates were 75.6% (573/758), 60.3% (290/440) and 51.3% (391/762), respectively.

Age of first use

For males, the self-reported 'age of first use' for each substance was as follows: (i) alcohol = 14.6 ± 2.4 yrs (N=456); (ii) nicotine = 14.0 ± 2.6 yrs (N=385); and (iii) cannabis = 14.8 ± 2.9 yrs (N=127). For females, the age of first use for each substance was: (i) alcohol = 14.6 ± 2.3 yrs (N=531); (ii) nicotine = 14.2 ± 2.4 yrs (N=414); and (iii) cannabis = 15.6 ± 3.0 yrs (N=126). The age of first use of cannabis was significantly earlier in males [$F(1, 252)=5.7, p<.05$].

Recent use

1
2 The prevalence of each category of alcohol use increased with age (see Table 1a). The prevalence
3
4 of daily and weekly drinking in the youngest (12-17 yrs) group was notable (almost 13%). In terms
5
6 of sex differences, the most substantial differences were the increased rates of daily alcohol use in
7
8 males in the 18-19 yrs and 20-29 yrs age groups (9.3% vs. 3.6% and 15.4% vs. 8.4%, respectively).
9

10
11
12 Almost one quarter (23.1%) of the younger group (12-17 yrs) used nicotine daily (see Table 1b).
13
14 For the older groups the rates were 36 and 41%, respectively. While there were no substantial
15
16 differences between younger (12-17 yr old) females and males in recent nicotine use, in the older
17
18 groups, the proportion of daily nicotine use was higher in males (40.9% vs. 32.0% for 18-19 yrs;
19
20 48.0% vs. 34.6% for 20-30 yrs).
21
22
23

24
25 Notably, for the two younger groups (12-17 yrs and 18-19 yrs), the prevalence of daily cannabis use
26
27 (see Table 1c) was higher than that for daily alcohol use (3.6% vs. 1.5% and 8.8% vs. 6.0%).
28

29
30 Almost 20% of the 12-17 yrs group used cannabis at least once in past 3 months compared to more
31
32 than 30% of the two older groups (33.1% and 36.0%, respectively). As with alcohol and nicotine,
33
34 the males in two the older age groups were more likely to report using cannabis daily.
35
36

37
38 The relationships between substance and primary diagnostic categories are shown in Tables 2a, 2b
39
40 and 2c. There was a significant main effect of age for the 'at least weekly' alcohol drinkers in each
41
42 diagnostic group [$F(6,570)=9.9, p<.001$]. Those with a primary diagnosis of a bipolar spectrum
43
44 disorder were the most likely to report at least weekly use of alcohol (at 39.4%). Within the
45
46 depression and psychosis groups, young males report frequent use of alcohol.
47
48

49
50 There was a significant main effect of age across the diagnostic groups for 'at least weekly' users of
51
52 nicotine [$F(6,749)=18.2, p<.001$]. The rate of 'at least weekly' use of nicotine was above 40% for
53
54 four of the seven diagnostic categories (see Table 2b), with psychosis having the highest rate at
55
56 48.7%. The greatest difference between the sexes in terms of rates of 'at least weekly' nicotine use
57
58 was seen in the psychosis group (37.5% vs. 53.8%).
59
60

1
2 There was a significant main effect of age across the diagnostic groups for ‘at least weekly’
3
4 cannabis use [$F(6,240)=6.4$, $p<.001$]. Across all but one of the diagnostic groups males were more
5
6 likely to be weekly cannabis users. The group with the highest prevalence of weekly cannabis use
7
8 was males with ‘other’ diagnoses at 24.0%, but this was also notable in males with psychotic
9
10 (17.5%) or bipolar (15.4%) disorders.
11

12 13 14 *Logistic Regression Models* 15

16
17
18 In females, for nicotine, the significant model [$\chi^2(13)=45.2$, $p<.001$] included only age as a
19
20 predictor ($p<.01$). Similarly, for cannabis, the model [$\chi^2(13)=33.2$, $p<.001$] only included age
21
22 ($p<.01$). For alcohol in females no model was acceptable. In males, for nicotine, the highly
23
24 significant model [$\chi^2(13)=107.9$, $p<.001$] included age ($p<.001$), diagnosis ($p<.01$) and age-by-
25
26 diagnosis ($p<.01$) as predictors. Similarly, for cannabis the model [$\chi^2(13)=59.5$, $p<.001$] only
27
28 included age ($p<.01$). For alcohol in males there was no acceptable model.
29
30
31

32 33 *Detailed alcohol use* 34

35
36
37 Table 3 displays the demographics and social-occupational functioning of the subset (N=522)
38
39 sample. As predicted, abstainers (N=159) were the youngest group; ANOVA comparing age across
40
41 the five drinking categories was highly significant [$F(4,521)=27.1$, $p<.001$]. Scheffé’s post-hoc tests
42
43 confirmed that only the abstainers group significantly ($p<.001$) differed (in age) from any other
44
45 group. After omitting the abstainers, there were no significant differences across the four drinking
46
47 categories in terms of distribution of gender or age, however there was a difference in age of
48
49 psychiatric onset [$F(3,289)=3.2$, $p<.05$].
50
51

52
53
54 Table 4 displays the proportions of individuals across the alcohol use categories by each primary
55
56 diagnosis group. As observed in the larger sample, the diagnosis groups were found to differ in
57
58 mean age [$F(6,521)=18.4$, $p<.001$]. In terms of ‘risky’ drinking (i.e. hazardous or harmful or high-
59
60

1
2 risk) the diagnostic groups differed with the bipolar groups having the largest proportion (46.7%)
3
4 whereas the behavioural/developmental and ‘unclear’ groups had the lowest proportions of ‘risky’
5
6 drinkers (24.0% and 16.7%, respectively). A logistic regression with the dependent variable being
7
8 ‘no or low-risk’ drinking (i.e. abstainers and low-risk) versus ‘risky’ (the remaining three
9
10 categories) was found to show acceptable goodness-of-fit and a significant model [$\chi^2(20)=6.3$,
11
12 $p<.001$] with only age as a predictor ($p<.01$).
13
14

15 16 17 **Discussion**

18
19
20 Despite adolescence being the peak period for the onset of both mental and substance misuse
21
22 disorders, primary care based studies indicate these problems are not being effectively managed in
23
24 young people. National community-based surveys have indicated the extent to which young people
25
26 do not access care for either mental disorders or alcohol/substance misuse, and this lack of care is
27
28 most evident for young men and for those with alcohol/substance misuse[17].
29
30

31
32
33 This study demonstrates that among young people who present for mental health care through the
34
35 *headspace* network, alcohol, nicotine or cannabis uses are common. Given the comorbidity with
36
37 significant mental health problems, these patterns of substance use are likely to contribute to
38
39 increased risk of poor physical and/or mental health outcomes. The prevalence of any lifetime use
40
41 of both nicotine and cannabis was higher in this YMH cohort compared to general population[13]
42
43 rates observed in individuals aged 14 or higher (61.8% vs. 42.2%; and 47.8% vs. 35.4%,
44
45 respectively).
46
47

48
49
50 The youngest patient group (12-17 yrs) was twice as likely to report weekly alcohol use compared
51
52 to their general population peers (10.3% vs. 5.3%)¹³. Daily alcohol use in this YMH cohort was
53
54 more than three times greater than that observed in the general population (across age groups)[13].
55
56 Similarly, daily nicotine use in the YMH cohort is twice as high as the general population
57
58
59
60

1
2 estimates[13]. These comparisons should be treated somewhat cautiously as the NDSHS[13]
3
4 determined frequency use over the past 12 months whereas this study assessed the past 3 months.
5
6

7
8 The relationships between recent substance use and diagnosis were complex, and were mainly
9
10 affected by both age and gender. The logistic regressions models for nicotine or cannabis use were
11
12 acceptable and in three of these models, only age was a significant predictor of weekly use; the
13
14 exception being nicotine use in males where age and diagnosis both contributed. The difference in
15
16 the prevalences of weekly nicotine use among males with an anxiety disorder as compared to males
17
18 with a psychotic disorder is notable. In general, weekly substance use appeared to be more likely if
19
20 an individual was an older male and diagnosed with psychosis or bipolar disorder.
21
22

23
24
25 Information regarding the prevalence of frequent substance use among younger people seeking
26
27 mental health care is limited. There is a general consensus that substance misuse in individuals with
28
29 a mental disorder is common[6, 18, 19]; with evidence to suggest that ‘problematic substance use is
30
31 the most common comorbid condition among people with a major mental illness and is associated
32
33 with poorer patient outcomes’[20]. In a large cross-sectional study of over 45,000 Australians
34
35 attending primary care, 12% of respondents were identified as having any mental disorder with
36
37 concurrent substance misuse[18].
38
39

40
41
42 Of considerable relevance to preventing later poor physical health, at least weekly nicotine use was
43
44 highly prevalent in those with psychosis (almost 50%). In an Australian study[21] of 1,812
45
46 individuals with severe psychotic disorders, for those aged 18-24 years the rate of nicotine use was
47
48 70.6%. When adopting the same parameters, albeit over the past 3 months, the current study yields
49
50 a rate of 62.6% among young people who are early in the course of their disorder.
51
52

53
54 The presence of an anxiety or mood disorder has been shown to be the largest determinant of
55
56 treatment seeking in cannabis users, regardless of the level of use[22]. A recent study[23] utilising a
57
58 cohort of Australian secondary school students, reported that by the time participants reached 29
59
60

1
2 years their daily cannabis use was significantly associated with an anxiety disorder. In the current
3
4 study, frequent cannabis use was particularly common in females with an affective disorder,
5
6 whereas for males other diagnoses (including psychosis and bipolar disorder) tended to be
7
8 associated with increased rates of frequent use.
9

10
11
12 Among the subset of YMH patients (N=522) the prevalence of low-risk drinkers (34%) is the same
13
14 as that predicted in the population[13]. However, the rates of risky (i.e. hazardous: 20%, harmful:
15
16 7% plus high-risk: 9%) YMH drinkers are substantially higher than in the general population
17
18 (23%)[13]. Notably, other research[24, 25] has found that hazardous levels of alcohol use tends to
19
20 peak in the 20-25 year age range suggesting that, if left untreated, there may be an escalation in
21
22 problematic use in the risky drinking groups. With regards to risky drinking categories, key
23
24 diagnostic groups (i.e. bipolar and psychosis) tended to have the higher rates of risky drinkers.
25
26
27
28

29
30 This study is limited by several factors. Firstly, the key substance use measures were self-report
31
32 only and not confirmed by interview. Furthermore, participants were not asked about the amount of
33
34 nicotine and cannabis use only the recent (past three month) frequency of use. Follow-up
35
36 longitudinal studies of these patients would be important to determine the long-term patterns of
37
38 such substance use. Despite these limitations, this study shows that frequent use of alcohol, nicotine
39
40 or cannabis use in young people seeking mental health care is common. Given restricted legal
41
42 access, and in comparison to their peers in the general population, the patterns of use in the YMH
43
44 patients aged 12-17 years are particularly notable. Reductions in use of these substances needs to be
45
46 prioritised within services provided to these at-risk young people.
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

References

1. National Health & Hospitals Reform Commission. A healthier future for all Australians: final report June 2009. Australian Government, Canberra, 2009.
2. Loxley W, Toumbourou JW, Stockwell T, et al. The prevention of substance use, risk and harm in Australia: A review of the evidence. The National Drug Research Institute and the Centre for Adolescent Health. Australian Government, Canberra, 2004.
3. Calabria B, Swift W, Slade T, et al. The perceived health risks of cannabis use in an Australian household survey. *Drug Alc Rev* 2012; **31**: 809-12.
4. Merikangas KR, Mehta RL, Molnar BE, et al. Comorbidity of substance use disorders with mood and anxiety disorders: results of the International Consortium in Psychiatric Epidemiology. *Addict Behav* 1998; **23**: 893-907.
5. Grant BF. Comorbidity between DSM-IV drug use disorders and major depression: results of a national survey of adults. *J Subst Abuse* 1995; **7**: 481-97.
6. Grant BF, Stinson FS, Dawson DA, et al. Prevalence and co-occurrence of substance use disorders and independent mood and anxiety disorders: results from the National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 2004; **61**: 807-16.
7. Squeglia LM, Jacobus J, Tapert SF. The influence of substance use on adolescent brain development. *Clin EEG Neurosci*. 2009; **40**: 31-8.
8. Wyman L, Crum RM, Celentano D. Depressed mood and cause-specific mortality: a 40-year general community assessment. *Ann Epidemiol* 2012; **22**: 638-43.
9. McGorry PD, Tanti C, Stokes R, et al. headspace: Australia's National Youth Mental Health Foundation - where young minds come first. *Med J Aust* 2007; **187** (7 Suppl): S68-70.
10. Hamilton BA, Naismith SL, Scott EM, et al. Disability is already pronounced in young people with early stages of affective disorders: Data from an early intervention service. *J Affect Disord* 2011; **131**: 84-91.
11. Scott EM, Hermens DF, Glozier N, et al. Targeted primary care-based mental health services for young Australians. *Med J Aust* 2012; **196**: 136-40.
12. Humeniuk R, Ali R, Babor TF, et al. Validation of the Alcohol, Smoking And Substance Involvement Screening Test (ASSIST). *Addiction* 2008; **103**: 1039-47.

- 1
2
3 13. Australian Institute of Health & Wellbeing. 2010 National Drug Strategy Household Survey
4 report. Canberra: Australian Institute of Health and Welfare, 2011.
- 5
6 14. Goldman HH, Skodol AE, Lave TR. Revising axis V for DSM-IV: a review of measures of
7 social functioning. *Am J Psychiatry* 1992; **149**: 1148-156.
- 8
9 15. Saunders JB, Aasland OG, Babor TF, et al. Development of the Alcohol Use Disorders
10 Identification Test (AUDIT): WHO Collaborative Project on Early Detection of Persons with
11 Harmful Alcohol Consumption-II. *Addiction* 1993; **88**: 791-804.
- 12
13 16. Allen JP, Litten RZ, Fertig JB, et al. A Review of Research on the Alcohol Use Disorders
14 Identification Test (AUDIT). *Alc Clin Exp Res* 1997; **21**: 613-9.
- 15
16 17. Burgess PM, Pirkis JE, Slade TN, et al. Service use for mental health problems: findings
17 from the 2007 National Survey of Mental Health and Wellbeing. *Aust N Z J Psychiatry* 2009; **43**:
18 615-23.
- 19
20 18. Hickie IB, Koschera A, Davenport TA, et al. Comorbidity of common mental disorders and
21 alcohol or other substance misuse in Australian general practice. *Med J Aust* 2001; **175** (Suppl):
22 S31-6.
- 23
24 19. Merikangas KR, He J-P, Burstein M, et al. Lifetime prevalence of mental disorders in U.S.
25 adolescents: Results from the national comorbidity survey replication adolescent supplement (NCS-
26 A). *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry* 2010; **49**: 980-89.
- 27
28 20. Siegfried N. A review of comorbidity: major mental illness and problematic substance use.
29 *Aust N Z J Psychiatry* 1998; **32**: 707-17.
- 30
31 21. Cooper J, Mancuso SG, Borland R, et al. Tobacco smoking among people living with a
32 psychotic illness: The second Australian survey of psychosis. *Aust N Z J Psychiatry* 2012; **46**: 851-
33 63.
- 34
35 22. Degenhardt L, Hall W, Lynskey M. The relationship between cannabis use, depression and
36 anxiety among Australian adults: Findings from the National Survey of Mental Health and Well-
37 Being. *Soc Psychiatry Psychiatric Epidem* 2001; **36**: 219-27.
- 38
39 23. Degenhardt L, Coffey C, Romaniuk H, et al. The persistence of the association between
40 adolescent cannabis use and common mental disorders into young adulthood. *Addiction* 2012:
41 DOI: 10.1111/j.1360-0443.2012.04015.x.
- 42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 24. Poelen EAP, Scholte RHJ, Engels RCME, et al. Prevalence and trends of alcohol use and
4 misuse among adolescents and young adults in the Netherlands from 1993 to 2000. *Drug Alc*
5 *Depend* 2005; **79**: 413-21.
6
7

8 25. Harford TC, Grant BF, Yi H-y, et al. Patterns of DSM-IV Alcohol Abuse and Dependence
9 Criteria Among Adolescents and Adults: Results From the 2001 National Household Survey on
10 Drug Abuse. *Alc Clin Exp Res* 2005; **29**: 810-28.
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

For peer review only

Table 1a: Recent (past 3 months) alcohol use in young (12 to 30 years) female (N=1116) and male (N=961) patients

	% [n/N]		
	12 to 17 yrs	18 to 19 yrs	20 to 30 yrs
	Female		
Daily or almost daily	1.6% [9/553]	3.6% [7/196]	8.4% [31/367]
Weekly	11.2% [62/553]	33.7% [66/196]	31.3% [115/367]
Less than weekly	36.2% [200/553]	41.3% [81/196]	42.2% [155/367]
Never	51.0% [282/553]	21.4% [42/196]	18.0% [66/367]
	Males		
Daily or almost daily	1.4% [7/496]	9.3% [13/140]	15.4% [50/325]
Weekly	9.3% [46/496]	32.1% [45/140]	36.9% [120/325]
Less than weekly	32.7% [162/496]	43.6% [61/140]	35.4% [115/325]
Never	56.7% [281/496]	15.0% [21/140]	12.3% [40/325]

Note: Age-group categories were used to be consistent with 2010 National Drug Strategy Household Survey[13]

Table 1b: Recent (past 3 months) nicotine use in young (12 to 30 years) female (N=1119) and male (N=965) patients

	% [n/N]		
	12 to 17 yrs	18 to 19 yrs	20 to 30 yrs
	Females		
Daily or almost daily	23.0% [127/552]	32.0% [62/194]	34.6% [129/373]
Weekly	4.2% [23/552]	4.1% [8/194]	5.6% [21/373]
Less than weekly	12.9% [71/552]	17.0% [33/194]	12.9% [48/373]
Never	60.0% [331/552]	46.9% [91/194]	46.9% [175/373]
	Males		
Daily or almost daily	23.1% [115/497]	40.9% [56/137]	48.0% [159/331]
Weekly	5.0% [25/497]	4.4% [6/137]	5.7% [19/331]
Less than weekly	9.7% [48/497]	5.8% [8/137]	10.6% [35/331]
Never	62.2% [309/497]	48.9% [67/137]	35.6% [118/331]

Table 1c: Recent (past 3 months) cannabis use in young (12 to 30 years) female (N=1096) and male (N=945) patients

	% [n/N]		
	12 to 17 yrs	18 to 19 yrs	20 to 30 yrs
	Females		
Daily or almost daily	3.5% [19/540]	6.8% [13/190]	7.9% [29/366]
Weekly	2.2% [12/540]	3.7% [7/190]	6.3% [23/366]
Less than weekly	12.4% [67/540]	22.1% [42/190]	18.6% [68/366]
Never	81.9% [442/540]	67.4% [128/190]	67.2% [246/366]
	Males		
Daily or almost daily	3.7% [18/486]	11.5% [16/139]	14.4% [46/320]
Weekly	4.1% [20/486]	7.9% [11/139]	8.4% [27/320]
Less than weekly	13.8% [67/486]	14.4% [20/139]	16.9% [54/320]
Never	78.4% [381/486]	66.2% [92/139]	60.3% [193/320]

Table 2a: Proportion of ‘at least weekly’ use of alcohol across each diagnostic category in N=2077 young (12 to 30 years) female and male patients

	Females [N=290/1116]		Males [N=281/961]	
	Age	% at least weekly	Age	% at least weekly
Depression	20.3 ± 3.5	24.0% [115/480]	20.3 ± 3.2	31.2% [97/311]
Bipolar	21.8 ± 3.2	39.4% [43/109]	22.1 ± 2.6	39.2% [20/51]
Anxiety	19.1 ± 3.0	22.9% [50/218]	20.3 ± 2.3	20.1% [31/154]
Psychosis	20.6 ± 4.1	20.8% [10/48]	22.0 ± 3.8	40.8% [42/103]
Beh/Dev	16.5 ± 2.4	17.8% [13/73]	18.7 ± 3.6	18.3% [32/175]
Other	19.0 ± 2.9	34.9% [37/106]	19.7 ± 2.8	39.4% [39/99]
Unclear	18.7 ± 2.6	26.8% [22/82]	19.9 ± 3.3	29.4% [20/68]

Note: “Other” includes autistic spectrum, learning disorder, substance use disorders, and personality disorders.

Table 2b: Proportion of ‘at least weekly’ use of nicotine across each diagnostic category in N=2084 young (12 to 30 years) female and male patients

	Females [N=370/1119]		Males [N=380/965]	
	Age	% at least weekly	Age	% at least weekly
Depression	18.9 ± 3.1	30.5% [147/482]	19.2 ± 3.6	39.2% [122/311]
Bipolar	20.7 ± 3.2	44.5% [49/110]	20.6 ± 3.6	40.4% [21/52]
Anxiety	18.3 ± 3.1	24.9% [54/217]	19.6 ± 3.0	24.8% [38/153]
Psychosis	21.3 ± 4.6	37.5% [18/48]	21.7 ± 3.5	53.8% [56/104]
Beh/Dev	16.7 ± 3.2	41.1% [30/73]	17.0 ± 3.2	37.6% [67/178]
Other	18.9 ± 3.1	36.8% [39/106]	19.4 ± 3.0	45.9% [45/98]
Unclear	17.5 ± 2.7	39.8% [33/83]	18.6 ± 3.5	44.9% [31/69]

Note: “Other” includes autistic spectrum, learning disorder, substance use disorders, and personality disorders.

Table 2c: Proportion of ‘at least weekly’ use of cannabis across each diagnostic category in N=2041 young (12 to 30 years) female and male patients

	Females [N=103/1096]		Males [N=138/945]	
	Age	% at least weekly	Age	% at least weekly
Depression	19.7 ± 3.0	8.5% [40/471]	20.2 ± 3.4	14.3% [44/307]
Bipolar	22.3 ± 3.4	11.9% [13/109]	20.9 ± 2.6	15.4% [8/52]
Anxiety	18.6 ± 3.2	6.6% [14/211]	21.0 ± 2.5	7.3% [11/150]
Psychosis	21.0 ± 3.6	6.1% [3/49]	22.1 ± 3.9	17.5% [18/103]
Beh/Dev	18.4 ± 3.1	9.9% [7/71]	17.0 ± 2.6	11.6% [20/172]
Other	19.4 ± 3.0	17.1% [18/105]	19.2 ± 2.7	24.0% [23/96]
Unclear	18.1 ± 2.2	10.0% [8/80]	18.9 ± 3.4	21.5% [14/65]

Note: “Other” includes autistic spectrum, learning disorder, substance use disorders, and personality disorders.

Table 3: Demographics and level of functioning according to AUDIT drinking category in N = 522 young (12 to 30 years) patients

	Abstainers [N=159]	Low-risk [N=177]	Hazardous [N=104]	Harmful [N=36]	High-risk [N=46]
% females	46.5	53.7	54.8	47.2	39.1
Age	17.1 ± 4.1	21.1 ± 4.2	20.7 ± 3.8	21.6 ± 3.6	20.8 ± 4.2
Age, psychiatric onset	13.6 ± 4.3	16.3 ± 4.3	15.7 ± 3.9	16.3 ± 5.2	13.8 ± 3.5
SOFAS	58.9 ± 11.1	59.9 ± 11.9	62.7 ± 11.9	62.4 ± 12.2	57.6 ± 11.0

Table 4: Prevalence of AUDIT drinking categories within each psychiatric syndrome for N = 522 young (12 to 30 years) patients

	Age	% females	Abstainers [N=159]	Low-risk [N=177]	Hazardous [N=104]	Harmful [N=36]	High-risk [N=46]
Depression [N=192]	19.0 ± 4.1	62.0	29.7% (57)	33.9% (65)	21.4% (41)	6.2% (12)	8.9% (17)
Bipolar [N=105]	21.1 ± 3.7	68.6	15.2% (16)	38.1% (40)	26.7% (28)	8.6% (9)	11.4% (12)
Anxiety [N=45]	18.2 ± 4.3	42.2	44.4% (20)	26.7% (12)	15.6% (7)	8.9% (4)	4.4% (2)
Psychosis [N=108]	22.5 ± 4.0	28.7	31.5% (34)	36.1% (39)	18.5% (20)	7.4% (8)	6.5% (7)
Beh/Dev [N=46]	16.5 ± 4.1	23.9	50.0% (23)	26.1% (12)	10.9% (5)	2.2% (1)	10.9% (5)
Other [N=20]	18.5 ± 4.2	35.0	30.0% (6)	35.0% (7)	10.0% (2)	10.0% (2)	15.0% (3)
Unclear [N=6]	16.8 ± 4.0	33.3	50.0% (3)	33.3% (2)	16.7% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)

Funding statement

Funding for the headspace project comes from the Australian Government. The evaluation of these services is supported by an NHMRC Australian Fellowship to IBH (No. 464914). DFH was supported by a grant from the NSW Ministry of Health, Mental Health and Drug & Alcohol Office. SLN was funded by an NHMRC Clinical Research Fellowship (No. 402864). This research was further supported by NHMRC Program Grant (No. 566529) and Centres of Clinical Research Excellence Grant (No. 264611). These funding agencies had no further role in study design; in the collection, analysis and interpretation of data; in the writing of the report; and in the decision to submit the paper for publication.

Competing interests statement

Dr Daniel Hermens is currently supported by a grant from the NSW Ministry of Health, Mental Health and Drug & Alcohol Office as well as an NHMRC Australia Fellowship (awarded to Professor Hickie). In 2007, he received honoraria for educational seminars from Janssen-Cilag.

Dr Elizabeth Scott is the (unpaid) Clinical Director of Headspace Services at the BMRI, the (unpaid) Co-ordinator of the Youth Mental Health Research Program at the BMRI, and Deputy Director of St Vincent's Private Hospital Young Adult Mental Health Unit. She has received honoraria for educational seminars related to the clinical management of depressive disorders supported by Servier and Eli-Lilly pharmaceuticals. She has participated in a national advisory board for the antidepressant compound Pristiq, manufactured by Pfizer.

Professor Hickie is a member of the Medical Advisory Panel for BUPA Health Insurance (Australia) and also a Board Member of Psychosis Australia Trust. From 2012, he is a Commissioner in Australia's new National Mental Health Commission. He was until January 2012 a director of headspace: the national youth mental health foundation. Prof Hickie was previously chief executive officer (till 2003) and clinical adviser (till 2006) of beyondblue, an Australian National Depression Initiative. He is supported principally for clinical research in depression and health services and population health initiatives related to anxiety and depression by a NHMRC Australian Medical Research Fellowship (2007-12). He has led projects for health professionals and the community supported by governmental, community agency and pharmaceutical industry partners (Wyeth, Eli Lilly, Servier, Pfizer, AstraZeneca) for the identification and management of depression and anxiety. He has received honoraria for presentations of his own work at educational seminars supported by a number of non-government organisations and the pharmaceutical industry (including Pfizer, Servier and Astra Zeneca). He has served on advisory boards convened by the pharmaceutical industry in relation to specific antidepressants, including nefazodone, duloxetine, and desvenlafaxine. He leads a new investigator-initiated study of the effects of agomelatine on

1
2 circadian parameters (supported in part by Servier but also by other NHMRC funding) and has
3
4 participated in a multicentre clinical trial of agomelatine effects on sleep architecture in depression
5
6 and a Servier-supported study of major depression and sleep disturbance in primary care settings. In
7
8 addition to national and international Government-based grant bodies, investigator-initiated mental
9
10 health research at the BMRI he has been supported by various pharmaceutical manufacturers
11
12 (including Servier and Pfizer) and not-for-profit entities (including the Heart Foundation,
13
14 beyondblue and the BUPA Foundation).
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Author contributions

DFH, EMS, SLN & IBH designed the study and wrote the protocol. DFH and ML reviewed the literature; DH, DW & ML conducted the statistical analyses. DFH, ML, DW & IBH drafted the manuscript. DH, DW, BW and SN were involved in study coordination, administration of neuropsychological and data analyses. JL & BW contributed to the study interpretation and drafts of the manuscript. All authors contributed to and have approved the final manuscript.

Data Sharing:

There are no additional data available.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49

For peer review only



Frequent alcohol, nicotine or cannabis use is common in young persons presenting for mental health care: a cross-sectional study

Journal:	<i>BMJ Open</i>
Manuscript ID:	bmjopen-2012-002229.R1
Article Type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	07-Dec-2012
Complete List of Authors:	Hermens, Daniel; Brain & Mind Research Institute , Scott, Elizabeth; Brain & Mind Research Institute, White, Django; Brain & Mind Research Institute, Lynch, Marta; Brain & Mind Research Institute, Lagopoulos, Jim; Brain & Mind Research Institute, Whitwell, Bradley; Brain & Mind Research Institute, Naismith, Sharon; Brain & Mind Research Institute, Hickie, Ian; Brain & Mind Research Institute,
Primary Subject Heading:	Mental health
Secondary Subject Heading:	Addiction, Smoking and tobacco
Keywords:	ALCOHOL, DEPRESSION, MENTAL HEALTH, PSYCHIATRY, Substance misuse < PSYCHIATRY, Schizophrenia & psychotic disorders < PSYCHIATRY

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

only

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Frequent alcohol, nicotine or cannabis use is common in young persons presenting for mental health care: a cross-sectional study

Daniel F. Hermens^{1*}, Elizabeth M. Scott¹, Django White¹, Marta Lynch¹, Jim Lagopoulos¹, Bradley
G. Whitwell¹, Sharon L. Naismith¹, Ian B. Hickie¹

¹ Clinical Research Unit, Brain and Mind Research Institute, University of Sydney, Australia.

*Correspondence

Address: Brain & Mind Research Institute, University of Sydney, 100 Mallett Street, Camperdown,
NSW 2050, Australia.

Email: daniel.hermens@sydney.edu.au

Telephone: +61 2 93510529

Facsimile: +61 2 93510652

Abstract

Objectives: To determine the prevalence of recent alcohol, nicotine or cannabis use in young persons presenting for mental health care.

Design: A cross-sectional study of young people seeking mental health care completed self-report questionnaires regarding their use of alcohol, nicotine or cannabis.

Setting: Data were collected from two sites as part of the national *headspace* services program.

Participants: 2,122 young people age 12 to 30 years provided information as part of a patient register; a subset of N=522 participants also provided more detailed information about their patterns of alcohol use.

Outcome measures: Prevalence levels of recent alcohol, nicotine or cannabis use within relevant age bands (12-17, 18-19 and 20-30) or primary diagnostic categories.

Results: The rates for use *at least weekly* of alcohol for the three age bands were 12%, 39% and 45%, and for cannabis 7%, 14% and 18%, respectively. The rates of *daily* nicotine use for the three age bands were 23%, 36% and 41%. The pattern of alcohol use was characterised by few abstainers as well as many risky drinkers. Age of onset across all three substances was approximately 15 years. Individuals who used any of the three substances more frequently were likely to be older, male or have psychotic or bipolar disorders.

Conclusions: Frequent use of alcohol, nicotine or cannabis use in young people seeking mental health care is common. Given restricted legal access, the patterns of use in those aged 12-17 years are particularly notable. Reductions in substance use needs to be prioritised within services for at-risk young people.

Article summary

Article focus:

- Previous studies indicate that early substance misuse increases the risk of developing a mental illness;
- Early onset mental disorders are associated with increased risk of alcohol or other substance misuse;
- In this study, we determined the rates of alcohol, nicotine or cannabis use in young persons (aged 12 to 30 years) entering mental health care.

Key messages:

- Alcohol, nicotine or cannabis uses in young people who present for mental health care are common and frequent;
- Given the comorbidity with significant mental health problems, these patterns of frequent substance use are likely to contribute to increased risk of poor physical and/or mental health outcomes;
- Reductions in use of these substances needs to be prioritised within services provided to these at-risk young people.

Strengths and limitations of this study:

- A cross-sectional study of a large number of young persons seeking mental health care;
- Findings in the 12 to 17 year old age group are particularly novel;
- Key substance use measures were self-report only and not confirmed by interview;
- Participants were not asked about the amount of nicotine and cannabis use.

Introduction

Health prevention priorities in Australia include cessation of nicotine or cannabis use and reduction in alcohol-related harm[1-3]. Among those with mental illness, reductions in substance use-related harm and improved cardiovascular health are key clinical objectives. Epidemiological and longitudinal studies indicate that early substance misuse increases the risk of developing a mental illness and, conversely, early onset mental disorders are associated with increased risk of alcohol or other substance misuse[4-6].

Neurobiological studies increasingly demonstrate the adverse effects of alcohol or cannabis on brain development in teenagers and young adults[7]. Young people with mental disorders are at increased risk of later cardiovascular disease[8]. One of the most significant modifiable risk factors in this population appears to be cigarette smoking.

Within the new primary-care based mental health initiative, *headspace*: the national youth mental health foundation, a strong policy emphasis is placed on active management of alcohol or other substance-misuse problems. While it is clear that these new centres have the capacity to engage young people, the extent to which concurrent alcohol, nicotine or other substance misuse is being actively managed is yet to be identified.

From a physical health perspective, there is an urgent need to establish an early intervention agenda for these individuals who are at high risk of premature death or physical health morbidity from a range of medical conditions. Of particular note are the high rates of premature death due to cardiovascular disease in persons with depression and the prevalence of metabolic syndrome in those receiving treatments for major mood disorders. Additionally, the high rate of nicotine and cannabis use in young people with major mental health disorders puts them at risk of other smoking-related conditions.

1
2 From a mental health perspective, there is a need to better understand the early stages of substance
3 misuse in young people with emerging mental disorders. The identification of substance use onset
4 and subsequent patterns of use in such young people would help early intervention approaches. In
5 this study, we determined the rates of alcohol, nicotine or cannabis use in young persons entering
6 mental health care. Additionally, we sought to assess the patterns of comorbidity with specific
7 mental disorders.
8
9
10
11
12
13
14

15 16 17 **Methods**

18
19
20 Participants aged 16 years or older provided their own written informed consent and
21 parental/guardian consent was obtained for those under 16 years. The University of Sydney Human
22 Research Ethics Committee approved the study.
23
24
25
26
27

28
29 *Sample:* Participants were recruited from two *headspace*[9] sites: (i) the Brain & Mind Research
30 Institute, Camperdown; and (ii) Campbelltown (outer suburban, south-western Sydney). These
31 services specialise in the assessment and early intervention of mental health problems in young
32 people[10, 11]. Subjects in this study were included if they were: (i) accessing services at one of the
33 above-mentioned *headspace* sites; (ii) between the ages of 12 and 30 years; (iii) and consented to be
34 enrolled on a patient register. Participants were excluded if they did not have sufficient English-
35 language skills. Thus, this study utilised data obtained from 2,122 young people (12 to 30 years)
36 who consecutively volunteered to enter the patient register between October 2007 and June 2012. A
37 subset of 522 participants also took part in specialised neurobiological research related to clinical
38 outcomes. Hereafter, the entire sample (N=2,112) is referred to as the 'Youth Mental Health'
39 (YMH) cohort. Referring clinicians were asked to determine primary and secondary diagnoses
40 based on DSM-IV criteria. For the purposes of categorisation, clinicians were asked to select one of
41 the following 'primary diagnosis' disorders: (i) 'depression'; (ii) 'bipolar'; (iii) 'anxiety' (including
42 obsessive compulsive, generalised anxiety, agoraphobia/panic and social anxiety disorders); (iv)
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2 'psychosis' (including first episode and schizophrenia); (v) 'behavioural/developmental' (including
3 attention-deficit hyperactivity, conduct, oppositional defiance and impulse control disorders); (vi)
4 'substance use'; (vii) 'personality'; (viii) 'eating disorder'; (ix) 'autism spectrum'; (x) 'other'; and
5
6
7
8
9 (xi) 'unclear, still assessing'.

10
11
12 Assessment: Clinical information was obtained via: (i) brief self-report questionnaire; and (ii)
13 clinical assessment (detailed methods have been described previously[10, 11]).

14
15
16
17
18 *Self-report*: The questionnaire comprised basic demographic data as well as standardised
19 questionnaires to measure common symptoms, psychosocial functioning, disability and vocational
20 status upon entry to the service (findings reported previously[10, 11]). Participants were asked
21 about their lifetime and current substance use using the first two items of the World Health
22 Organization's 'alcohol, smoking and substance involvement screening test' (WHO-ASSIST)[12].
23 In item 1, participants were asked whether they ever used: (a) tobacco (nicotine) products; (b)
24 alcoholic beverages; or, (c) cannabis. Subsequently, item 2 asked whether their use of each
25 substance in the *past 3 months* was: (a) 'never'; (b) 'once or twice'; (c) 'monthly'; (d) 'weekly'; (e)
26 'daily or almost daily'.
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39

40 *Data Reduction*: As a means to compare recent alcohol, nicotine or cannabis use in the YMH cohort
41 with that of the general population the WHO-ASSIST item 2 data were re-categorised to align with
42 the age bands and use categories of the 2010 National Drug Strategy Household Survey
43 (NDSHS)[13]. Thus, responses were re-categorised as: (i) 'daily or almost daily'; (ii) 'weekly'; (iii)
44 'less than weekly' or (iv) 'never'. That is, responses of 'once or twice' and 'monthly' were
45 collapsed to form the 'less than weekly' category. Furthermore, the YMH cohort was stratified into
46 three age groups: (i) 12 to 17 years; (ii) 18 to 19 years; and (iii) 20 to 30 years.
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56

57 *Clinical assessment*: Undertaken by psychiatrists, psychologists, mental health nurses or general
58 practitioners with training in mental health. The assessing clinician verified key aspects such as
59
60

1
2 primary and secondary diagnoses/comorbidities, vocational status, medical comorbidity and general
3
4 functioning via the social and occupational function assessment scale (SOFAS)[14].
5
6

7
8 *Detailed assessment of alcohol use:* For the sub-sample (N=522) only, the Alcohol Use Disorders
9
10 Identification Test (AUDIT) was used to assess each participant's level of risky drinking in the past
11
12 year, as well as their lifetime familiarity[15, 16]. Total scores range of from 0-40, with a higher
13
14 score indicating more problematic drinking. A total score of 1 to 7 is indicative of low risk
15
16 drinking; total scores 8-15 indicate 'risky drinking' with a moderate risk of harm; 16-19 indicating a
17
18 high-risk or 'harmful' level of alcohol consumption, and 20-40 indicates a 'high-risk' level.
19
20

21
22
23 *Statistical Analyses:* Statistics were performed using SPSS for Windows 20.0. Group differences in
24
25 demographic and clinical variables were assessed via analysis of variance (ANOVA) or chi-square
26
27 tests. To determine whether age was a contributing factor to any observed differences in the
28
29 prevalences of recent substance use, logistic regressions were conducted with a dichotomous
30
31 dependent variable of substance use (e.g. 'at least weekly' versus 'less than weekly') and with
32
33 diagnosis and age (and their interaction) entered as predictor variables. The forced entry method
34
35 was employed and significant regression models were only accepted if the Hosmer-Lemeshow
36
37 goodness-of-fit statistic was non-significant.
38
39
40

41 **Results**

42 *Lifetime use*

43
44
45
46
47
48
49 Among males, three quarters (75.9%; 506/667) of respondents (12 to 30 yrs) reported a lifetime use
50
51 of alcohol, 63.3% (401/633) a lifetime use of nicotine and more than half (57.9%; 390/673) a
52
53 lifetime use of cannabis. Similarly, for females, the lifetime rates were 75.6% (573/758), 60.3%
54
55 (290/440) and 51.3% (391/762), respectively.
56
57
58
59
60

Age of first use

For males, the self-reported 'age of first use' for each substance was as follows: (i) alcohol = 14.6 ± 2.4 yrs (N=456); (ii) nicotine = 14.0 ± 2.6 yrs (N=385); and (iii) cannabis = 14.8 ± 2.9 yrs (N=127).

For females, the age of first use for each substance was: (i) alcohol = 14.6 ± 2.3 yrs (N=531); (ii) nicotine = 14.2 ± 2.4 yrs (N=414); and (iii) cannabis = 15.6 ± 3.0 yrs (N=126). The age of first use of cannabis was significantly earlier in males [$F(1, 252)=5.7, p<.05$].

Recent use

The prevalence of each category of alcohol use increased with age (see Table 1a). The prevalence of daily and weekly drinking in the youngest (12-17 yrs) group was notable (almost 13%). In terms of sex differences, the most substantial differences were the increased rates of daily alcohol use in males in the 18-19 yrs and 20-29 yrs age groups (9.3% vs. 3.6% and 15.4% vs. 8.4%, respectively).

Almost one quarter (23.1%) of the younger group (12-17 yrs) used nicotine daily (see Table 1b).

For the older groups the rates were 36 and 41%, respectively. While there were no substantial differences between younger (12-17 yr old) females and males in recent nicotine use, in the older groups, the proportion of daily nicotine use was higher in males (40.9% vs. 32.0% for 18-19 yrs; 48.0% vs. 34.6% for 20-30 yrs).

Notably, for the two younger groups (12-17 yrs and 18-19 yrs), the prevalence of daily cannabis use (see Table 1c) was higher than that for daily alcohol use (3.6% vs. 1.5% and 8.8% vs. 6.0%).

Almost 20% of the 12-17 yrs group used cannabis at least once in past 3 months compared to more than 30% of the two older groups (33.1% and 36.0%, respectively). As with alcohol and nicotine, the males in two the older age groups were more likely to report using cannabis daily.

1
2 In terms of combined substance use, across the entire sample, 17.8% (367/2063) of cases reported
3
4 'at least weekly' use of both alcohol and tobacco; this combination was more common in males (at
5
6 20.5%; 196/954) as compared to females (15.4%; 171/1109). Similarly, the 'at least weekly' use of:
7
8 (i) alcohol and cannabis; and (ii) nicotine and cannabis was higher in males (8.5% and 12.9%,
9
10 respectively) compared to females (5.7% and 8.8%, respectively). Notably, the combination of all
11
12 three substances used 'at least weekly' was at 5.4% in females (59/1096) and at 7.9% in males
13
14 (75/945).
15
16
17
18

19 The relationships between substance and primary diagnostic categories are shown in Tables 2a, 2b
20
21 and 2c. Due to some limitations (e.g. unequal sample sizes) and to facilitate interpretation, ANOVA
22
23 and regression analyses included only the first five diagnostic categories (i.e. depression, bipolar,
24
25 anxiety, psychosis and behavioural/developmental). Across the five diagnostic groups, there were
26
27 significant main effects of age for the 'at least weekly' alcohol drinkers in both females
28
29 [F(4,229)=7.9, p<.001] and males [F(4,221)=5.9, p<.001]; the behavioural/developmental group
30
31 was the youngest in both. Despite sex, those with a primary diagnosis of a bipolar spectrum
32
33 disorder were the most likely to report at least weekly use of alcohol (at 39.4%). Compared to
34
35 females, within the depression and psychosis groups, young males reported more frequent use of
36
37 alcohol.
38
39
40
41
42

43 There were significant main effects of age across the five diagnostic groups for both female
44
45 [F(4,297)=10.0, p<.001] and male [F(4,297)=14.7, p<.001] 'at least weekly' users of nicotine.
46
47 Despite sex, the rate of 'at least weekly' use of nicotine was above 30% for four of the five main
48
49 diagnostic categories, with psychosis having the highest rate at 48.7%. The greatest difference
50
51 between the sexes in terms of rates of 'at least weekly' nicotine use was seen in the psychosis group
52
53 (37.5% of females vs. 53.8% of males).
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2 There were significant main effects of age across the five diagnostic groups for both female
3
4 [F(4,76)=3.0, p<.001] and male [F(4,99)=5.9, p<.001] 'at least weekly' cannabis users. Across all
5
6 of the diagnostic groups males were more likely to be weekly cannabis users. The group with the
7
8 highest prevalence of weekly cannabis use was males with 'psychosis', at 17.5%.
9

10
11
12 Among the remaining six diagnostic groups (see Tables 2a, 2b and 2c) the 'substance use' group, as
13
14 expected, showed the highest levels of 'at least weekly' use of alcohol, nicotine and cannabis. Of
15
16 note, over 63% of males with a personality disorder reported at least weekly nicotine use, compared
17
18 to 46% of females. Interestingly, those with 'unclear' diagnoses showed moderately high
19
20 prevalences of 'at least weekly' use across all three substances.
21
22

23 24 25 *Logistic Regression Models* 26

27
28 In females, for nicotine, the significant model [χ^2 (9)=40.9, p<.001] included only age as a
29
30 significant predictor (p<.01). Similarly, for cannabis, the model [χ^2 (9)=21.7, p=.01] only included
31
32 age (p<.05). In both models, as indicated by the beta coefficients for age (0.334 and 0.308,
33
34 respectively), 'at least weekly' users were older. The regression model for current alcohol use in
35
36 females was not acceptable (at p<.01). In males, for nicotine, the highly significant model [χ^2
37
38 (9)=83.8, p<.001] included age (p<.001), diagnosis (p<.01) and age-by-diagnosis (p<.01) as
39
40 predictors. For cannabis the model [χ^2 (13)=59.5, p<.001] only included age (p<.01). As seen in
41
42 females, the beta coefficients for age were positive in both models (0.265 and 0.162, respectively)
43
44 indicating that 'at least weekly' users were older and, in the case of tobacco, more likely to be of a
45
46 particular diagnosis (i.e. psychosis; see Table 2b). The regression model for current alcohol use in
47
48 males was not acceptable (at p<.01).
49
50
51

52 53 54 55 *Detailed alcohol use* 56 57 58 59 60

1
2 Table 3 displays the demographics and social-occupational functioning of the subset (N=522)
3
4 sample. As predicted, abstainers (N=159) were the youngest group; ANOVA comparing age across
5
6 the five drinking categories was highly significant [$F(4,521)=27.1, p<.001$]. Scheffe's post-hoc tests
7
8 confirmed that only the abstainers group significantly ($p<.001$) differed (in age) from any other
9
10 group. After omitting the abstainers, there were no significant differences across the four drinking
11
12 categories in terms of distribution of gender or age, however there was a difference in age of
13
14 psychiatric onset [$F(3,289)=3.2, p<.05$].
15
16

17
18
19 Table 4 displays the proportions of individuals across the alcohol use categories by each primary
20
21 diagnosis group. As above, ANOVA and regression analyses only included the first five diagnostic
22
23 groups (see Table 4). As observed in the larger sample, the five groups were found to differ in mean
24
25 age [$F(4,493)=25.4, p<.001$]. In terms of 'risky' drinking (i.e. hazardous or harmful or high-risk)
26
27 the five diagnostic groups differed with the bipolar group having the largest proportion (46.7%) and
28
29 the behavioural/developmental group having the lowest proportions of 'risky' drinkers (25.1%). A
30
31 logistic regression with the dependent variable being 'no or low-risk' drinking (i.e. abstainers and
32
33 low-risk) versus 'risky' (the remaining three categories) was found to show acceptable goodness-of-
34
35 fit and a significant model [$\chi^2(9)=27.0, p<.001$] however there were no significant predictors (age,
36
37 diagnosis, age by diagnosis).
38
39
40
41
42

43 Discussion

44
45
46 Despite adolescence being the peak period for the onset of both mental and substance misuse
47
48 disorders, primary care based studies indicate these problems are not being effectively managed in
49
50 young people. National community-based surveys have indicated the extent to which young people
51
52 do not access care for either mental disorders or alcohol/substance misuse, and this lack of care is
53
54 most evident for young men and for those with alcohol/substance misuse[17].
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2 This is the first study to examine substance use patterns in a large sample (2000+) of young people
3
4 accessing *headspace* services (albeit across two sites within the metropolitan of Sydney). The data
5
6 presented here demonstrates that among young people who present for mental health care within the
7
8 *headspace* network, alcohol, nicotine or cannabis uses are common. Given the comorbidity with
9
10 significant mental health problems, these patterns of substance use are likely to contribute to
11
12 increased risk of poor physical and/or mental health outcomes. Future studies will help to determine
13
14 the representativeness of these data by evaluating other samples (currently there are more than 40
15
16 *headspace* sites nationally).
17

18
19
20
21 Compared to their age-matched peers in the general population (i.e. based on the findings of the
22
23 2010 NDSHS[13]) the patients in this study showed some differences in the rates of current
24
25 substance use. Of note, our youngest group (12-17 yrs) was twice as likely to report weekly alcohol
26
27 use compared to 12-17 year olds in the general population (i.e. 10.3% vs. 5.1%; see table 4.3
28
29 in[13]). These comparisons should be treated somewhat cautiously as the NDSHS[13] determined
30
31 frequency of use over the past 12 months whereas our study assessed the past 3 months;
32
33 furthermore, the NDSHS evaluated 'daily' use whereas we asked about 'daily or almost daily' use.
34
35 Noting such limitations, 'daily' alcohol use in our YMH samples was at least three times greater
36
37 than that observed in the general population (i.e. across the age groups;[13]). Similarly, 'daily'
38
39 nicotine use in our YMH samples is at least twice as high as the general population estimates (again
40
41 across the three age groups; see table 3.3 in[13]). With regards to 'any' recent cannabis use, our
42
43 older YMH samples (i.e. 18-19 yrs and 20-30 yrs) were 1.5 times more likely to report recent
44
45 cannabis use compared to their peers in the general population (see table 6.4 in[13]).
46
47
48

49
50
51 In this study, the relationships between recent substance use and diagnosis were complex, and were
52
53 mainly affected by both age and gender. The logistic regressions models for nicotine or cannabis
54
55 use were acceptable and in three of these models, only age was a significant predictor of weekly
56
57 use; the exception being nicotine use in males where age and diagnosis both contributed. The
58
59
60

1
2 difference in the prevalences of weekly nicotine use among males with an anxiety disorder as
3
4 compared to males with a psychotic disorder is notable. In general, weekly substance use appeared
5
6 to be more likely if an individual was an older male and diagnosed with psychosis or bipolar
7
8 disorder.
9

10
11
12 Information regarding the prevalence of frequent substance use among younger people seeking
13
14 mental health care is limited. There is a general consensus that substance misuse in individuals with
15
16 a mental disorder is common[6, 18, 19], particularly in treatment seeking populations; with
17
18 evidence to suggest that 'problematic substance use is the most common comorbid condition among
19
20 people with a major mental illness and is associated with poorer patient outcomes'[20]. In a large
21
22 cross-sectional study of over 45,000 Australians attending primary care, 12% of respondents were
23
24 identified as having any mental disorder with concurrent substance misuse[18].
25
26

27
28
29 Previous research has tended to evaluate the comorbidity of mental- and substance use- disorder in
30
31 broad adult samples (i.e. "18 and above"). Despite this, key associations we have observed in the
32
33 current YMH cohort are consistent with those observed in the literature. For example, a study of
34
35 over 40,000 adults in the US found that comorbid substance use and mood/anxiety disorders are
36
37 among the most prevalent of psychiatric disorders[21]; and notably, that bipolar disorders were
38
39 more strongly related to the substance use disorders than any other mood or anxiety disorder.
40
41 Similarly, other population based studies have demonstrated stronger associations between
42
43 psychosis and nicotine[22] or cannabis use[23].
44
45
46
47

48
49 Of considerable relevance to preventing later poor physical health, at least weekly nicotine use was
50
51 highly prevalent in those with psychosis (almost 50%). In an Australian study[24] of 1,812
52
53 individuals with severe psychotic disorders, for those aged 18-24 years the rate of nicotine use was
54
55 70.6%. When adopting the same parameters, albeit over the past 3 months, the current study yields
56
57 a rate of 62.6% among young people who are early in the course of their disorder.
58
59
60

1
2 The presence of an anxiety or mood disorder has been shown to be the largest determinant of
3
4 treatment seeking in cannabis users, regardless of the level of use[22]. A recent study[25] utilising a
5
6 cohort of Australian secondary school students, reported that by the time participants reached 29
7
8 years their daily cannabis use was significantly associated with an anxiety disorder. In the current
9
10 study, frequent cannabis use was particularly common in females with an affective disorder,
11
12 whereas for males other diagnoses (including psychosis and bipolar disorder) tended to be
13
14 associated with increased rates of frequent use.
15
16

17
18
19 Among the subset of YMH patients (N=522) the prevalence of low-risk drinkers (34%) is the
20
21 same as that predicted in the population[13]. However, the rates of risky (i.e. hazardous: 20%,
22
23 harmful: 7% plus high-risk: 9%) YMH drinkers are substantially higher than in the general
24
25 population (23%)[13]. Notably, other research[26, 27] has found that hazardous levels of alcohol
26
27 use tends to peak in the 20-25 year age range suggesting that, if left untreated, there may be an
28
29 escalation in problematic use in the risky drinking groups. With regards to risky drinking
30
31 categories, key diagnostic groups (i.e. bipolar and psychosis) tended to have the higher rates of
32
33 risky drinkers.
34
35

36
37
38 This study is limited by several factors. Firstly, the key substance use measures were self-report
39
40 only and not confirmed by interview. Furthermore, participants were not asked about the amount of
41
42 nicotine and cannabis use only the recent (past three month) frequency of use. Follow-up
43
44 longitudinal studies of these patients would be important to determine the long-term patterns of
45
46 such substance use. Despite these limitations, this study shows that frequent use of alcohol, nicotine
47
48 or cannabis use in young people seeking mental health care is common. Given restricted legal
49
50 access, and in comparison to their peers in the general population, the patterns of use in the YMH
51
52 patients aged 12-17 years are particularly notable. Reductions in use of these substances needs to be
53
54 prioritised within services provided to these at-risk young people. Traditionally, mental health
55
56 services have been separate to interventions that target substance use, however, there are growing
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

suggestions that complex young people (with comorbid mental health and substance use problems) would be most receptive to integrated rather than sequential or parallel approaches[28, 29].

For peer review only

References

1. National Health & Hospitals Reform Commission. A healthier future for all Australians: final report June 2009. Australian Government, Canberra, 2009.
2. Loxley W, Toumbourou JW, Stockwell T, et al. The prevention of substance use, risk and harm in Australia: A review of the evidence. The National Drug Research Institute and the Centre for Adolescent Health. Australian Government, Canberra, 2004.
3. Calabria B, Swift W, Slade T, et al. The perceived health risks of cannabis use in an Australian household survey. *Drug Alc Rev* 2012; **31**: 809-12.
4. Merikangas KR, Mehta RL, Molnar BE, et al. Comorbidity of substance use disorders with mood and anxiety disorders: results of the International Consortium in Psychiatric Epidemiology. *Addict Behav* 1998; **23**: 893-907.
5. Grant BF. Comorbidity between DSM-IV drug use disorders and major depression: results of a national survey of adults. *J Subst Abuse* 1995; **7**: 481-97.
6. Grant BF, Stinson FS, Dawson DA, et al. Prevalence and co-occurrence of substance use disorders and independent mood and anxiety disorders: results from the National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 2004; **61**: 807-16.
7. Squeglia LM, Jacobus J, Tapert SF. The influence of substance use on adolescent brain development. *Clin EEG Neurosci*. 2009; **40**: 31-8.
8. Wyman L, Crum RM, Celentano D. Depressed mood and cause-specific mortality: a 40-year general community assessment. *Ann Epidem* 2012; **22**: 638-43.
9. McGorry PD, Tanti C, Stokes R, et al. headspace: Australia's National Youth Mental Health Foundation - where young minds come first. *Med J Aust* 2007; **187** (7 Suppl): S68-70.
10. Hamilton BA, Naismith SL, Scott EM, et al. Disability is already pronounced in young people with early stages of affective disorders: Data from an early intervention service. *J Affect Disord* 2011; **131**: 84-91.
11. Scott EM, Hermens DF, Glozier N, et al. Targeted primary care-based mental health services for young Australians. *Med J Aust* 2012; **196**: 136-40.

- 1
2
3 12. Humeniuk R, Ali R, Babor TF, et al. Validation of the Alcohol, Smoking And Substance
4 Involvement Screening Test (ASSIST). *Addiction* 2008; **103**: 1039-47.
- 5
6
7 13. Australian Institute of Health & Wellbeing. 2010 National Drug Strategy Household Survey
8 report. Canberra: Australian Institute of Health and Welfare, 2011.
- 9
10
11 14. Goldman HH, Skodol AE, Lave TR. Revising axis V for DSM-IV: a review of measures of
12 social functioning. *Am J Psychiatry* 1992; **149**: 1148-156.
- 13
14
15 15. Saunders JB, Aasland OG, Babor TF, et al. Development of the Alcohol Use Disorders
16 Identification Test (AUDIT): WHO Collaborative Project on Early Detection of Persons with
17 Harmful Alcohol Consumption-II. *Addiction* 1993; **88**: 791-804.
- 18
19
20
21 16. Allen JP, Litten RZ, Fertig JB, et al. A Review of Research on the Alcohol Use Disorders
22 Identification Test (AUDIT). *Alc Clin Exp Res* 1997; **21**: 613-9.
- 23
24
25
26 17. Burgess PM, Pirkis JE, Slade TN, et al. Service use for mental health problems: findings
27 from the 2007 National Survey of Mental Health and Wellbeing. *Aust N Z J Psychiatry* 2009; **43**:
28 615-23.
- 29
30
31
32 18. Hickie IB, Koschera A, Davenport TA, et al. Comorbidity of common mental disorders and
33 alcohol or other substance misuse in Australian general practice. *Med J Aust* 2001; **175** (Suppl):
34 S31-6.
- 35
36
37
38 19. Merikangas KR, He J-P, Burstein M, et al. Lifetime prevalence of mental disorders in U.S.
39 adolescents: Results from the national comorbidity survey replication adolescent supplement (NCS-
40 A). *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry* 2010; **49**: 980-89.
- 41
42
43
44 20. Siegfried N. A review of comorbidity: major mental illness and problematic substance use.
45 *Aust N Z J Psychiatry* 1998; **32**: 707-17.
- 46
47
48
49 21. Grant BF, Stinson FS, Dawson DA, et al. Prevalence and co-occurrence of substance use
50 disorders and independent mood and anxiety disorders: results from the National Epidemiologic
51 Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 2004; **61**:807-16.
- 52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
22. Degenhardt L, Hall W, Lynskey M. The relationship between cannabis use, depression and anxiety among Australian adults: Findings from the National Survey of Mental Health and Well-Being. *Soc Psychiatry Psychiatric Epidemiol* 2001; **36**: 219-27.
23. van Os J, Bak M, Hanssen M, Bijl RV, de Graaf R, Verdoux H. Cannabis use and psychosis: a longitudinal population-based study. *Am J Epidemiol* 2002; **156**:319-27.
24. Cooper J, Mancuso SG, Borland R, et al. Tobacco smoking among people living with a psychotic illness: The second Australian survey of psychosis. *Aust N Z J Psychiatry* 2012; **46**: 851-63.
25. Degenhardt L, Coffey C, Romaniuk H, et al. The persistence of the association between adolescent cannabis use and common mental disorders into young adulthood. *Addiction* 2012: DOI: 10.1111/j.1360-0443.2012.04015.x.
26. Poelen EAP, Scholte RHJ, Engels RCME, et al. Prevalence and trends of alcohol use and misuse among adolescents and young adults in the Netherlands from 1993 to 2000. *Drug Alc Depend* 2005; **79**: 413-21.
27. Harford TC, Grant BF, Yi H-y, et al. Patterns of DSM-IV Alcohol Abuse and Dependence Criteria Among Adolescents and Adults: Results From the 2001 National Household Survey on Drug Abuse. *Alc Clin Exp Res* 2005; **29**: 810-28.
28. Hides L, Lubman DI, Kay-Lambkin FJ, Baker AL. Young people with co-existing mental health and drug and alcohol problems. In: Baker A, Velleman R, eds. *Clinical Handbook of Co-existing Mental Health and Drug and Alcohol Problems*. East Sussex: Routledge, 2007:132-58.
29. Norberg M, Battisti R, Copeland J, Hermens D, Hickie I. Two Sides of the Same Coin: Cannabis Dependence and Mental Health Problems in Help-Seeking Adolescent and Young Adult Outpatients. *Int J Ment Health Addict*; doi: 10.1007/s11469-012-9378-1.

Table 1a: Recent (past 3 months) alcohol use in young (12 to 30 years) female (N=1116) and male (N=961) patients

	% [n/N]		
	12 to 17 yrs	18 to 19 yrs	20 to 30 yrs
Female			
Daily or almost daily	1.6% [9/553]	3.6% [7/196]	8.4% [31/367]
Weekly	11.2% [62/553]	33.7% [66/196]	31.3% [115/367]
Less than weekly	36.2% [200/553]	41.3% [81/196]	42.2% [155/367]
Never	51.0% [282/553]	21.4% [42/196]	18.0% [66/367]
Males			
Daily or almost daily	1.4% [7/496]	9.3% [13/140]	15.4% [50/325]
Weekly	9.3% [46/496]	32.1% [45/140]	36.9% [120/325]
Less than weekly	32.7% [162/496]	43.6% [61/140]	35.4% [115/325]
Never	56.7% [281/496]	15.0% [21/140]	12.3% [40/325]

Note: Age-group categories were used to be consistent with 2010 National Drug Strategy Household Survey[13]

Table 1b: Recent (past 3 months) nicotine use in young (12 to 30 years) female (N=1119) and male (N=965) patients

	% [n/N]		
	12 to 17 yrs	18 to 19 yrs	20 to 30 yrs
Females			
Daily or almost daily	23.0% [127/552]	32.0% [62/194]	34.6% [129/373]
Weekly	4.2% [23/552]	4.1% [8/194]	5.6% [21/373]
Less than weekly	12.9% [71/552]	17.0% [33/194]	12.9% [48/373]
Never	60.0% [331/552]	46.9% [91/194]	46.9% [175/373]
Males			
Daily or almost daily	23.1% [115/497]	40.9% [56/137]	48.0% [159/331]
Weekly	5.0% [25/497]	4.4% [6/137]	5.7% [19/331]
Less than weekly	9.7% [48/497]	5.8% [8/137]	10.6% [35/331]
Never	62.2% [309/497]	48.9% [67/137]	35.6% [118/331]

Table 1c: Recent (past 3 months) cannabis use in young (12 to 30 years) female (N=1096) and male (N=945) patients

	% [n/N]		
	12 to 17 yrs	18 to 19 yrs	20 to 30 yrs
Females			
Daily or almost daily	3.5% [19/540]	6.8% [13/190]	7.9% [29/366]
Weekly	2.2% [12/540]	3.7% [7/190]	6.3% [23/366]
Less than weekly	12.4% [67/540]	22.1% [42/190]	18.6% [68/366]
Never	81.9% [442/540]	67.4% [128/190]	67.2% [246/366]
Males			
Daily or almost daily	3.7% [18/486]	11.5% [16/139]	14.4% [46/320]
Weekly	4.1% [20/486]	7.9% [11/139]	8.4% [27/320]
Less than weekly	13.8% [67/486]	14.4% [20/139]	16.9% [54/320]
Never	78.4% [381/486]	66.2% [92/139]	60.3% [193/320]

Table 2a: Proportion of ‘at least weekly’ use of alcohol across each diagnostic category in N=2077 young (12 to 30 years) female and male patients

	Females [N=290/1116]		Males [N=281/961]	
	Age	% at least weekly	Age	% at least weekly
Depression	20.3 ± 3.5	24.0% [115/480]	20.3 ± 3.2	31.2% [97/311]
Bipolar	21.8 ± 3.2	39.4% [43/109]	22.1 ± 2.6	39.2% [20/51]
Anxiety	19.1 ± 3.0	22.9% [50/218]	20.3 ± 2.3	20.1% [31/154]
Psychosis	20.6 ± 4.1	20.8% [10/48]	22.0 ± 3.8	40.8% [42/103]
Beh/Dev	16.3 ± 2.4	17.4% [12/69]	18.7 ± 3.6	20.0% [32/160]
Substance Use	19.8 ± 3.1	92.3% [12/13]	19.1 ± 2.8	63.0% [17/27]
Personality	19.0 ± 3.1	37.5% [9/24]	17.2 ± 1.5	36.4% [4/11]
Eating Disorder	19.6 ± 2.3	27.8% [5/18]	19.5 ± 0.7	40.0% [2/5]
Autistic Spectrum	19.0	25.0% [1/4]	19.0	5.5% [1/18]
Other	17.9 ± 2.9	21.6% [11/51]	21.1 ± 2.8	28.3% [15/53]
Unclear	18.7 ± 2.6	26.8% [22/82]	19.9 ± 3.3	29.4% [20/68]

Note: “Beh/Dev” = behavioural/developmental

Table 2b: Proportion of ‘at least weekly’ use of nicotine across each diagnostic category in N=2084 young (12 to 30 years) female and male patients

	Females [N=370/1119]		Males [N=380/965]	
	Age	% at least weekly	Age	% at least weekly
Depression	18.9 ± 3.1	30.5% [147/482]	19.2 ± 3.6	39.2% [122/311]
Bipolar	20.7 ± 3.2	44.5% [49/110]	20.6 ± 3.6	40.4% [21/52]
Anxiety	18.3 ± 3.1	24.9% [54/217]	19.6 ± 3.0	24.8% [38/153]
Psychosis	21.3 ± 4.6	37.5% [18/48]	21.7 ± 3.5	53.8% [56/104]
Beh/Dev	16.7 ± 3.2	43.5% [30/69]	17.0 ± 3.2	39.9% [65/163]
Substance Use	19.8 ± 3.2	84.6% [11/13]	19.3 ± 2.8	70.4% [19/27]
Personality	18.1 ± 3.1	45.8% [11/24]	18.4 ± 3.2	63.6% [7/11]
Eating Disorder	20.0 ± 1.7	17.6% [3/17]	19.5 ± 0.7	40.0% [2/5]
Autistic Spectrum	na	na	15.7 ± 3.0	16.7% [3/18]
Other	18.6 ± 3.3	26.9% [14/52]	19.9 ± 3.5	30.8% [16/52]
Unclear	17.5 ± 2.7	39.8% [33/83]	18.6 ± 3.5	44.9% [31/69]

Note: “Beh/Dev” = behavioural/developmental

Table 2c: Proportion of ‘at least weekly’ use of cannabis across each diagnostic category in N=2041 young (12 to 30 years) female and male patients

	Females [N=103/1096]		Males [N=138/945]	
	Age	% at least weekly	Age	% at least weekly
Depression	19.7 ± 3.0	8.5% [40/471]	20.2 ± 3.4	14.3% [44/307]
Bipolar	22.3 ± 3.4	11.9% [13/109]	20.9 ± 2.6	15.4% [8/52]
Anxiety	18.6 ± 3.2	6.6% [14/211]	21.0 ± 2.5	7.3% [11/150]
Psychosis	21.0 ± 3.6	6.1% [3/49]	22.1 ± 3.9	17.5% [18/103]
Beh/Dev	18.4 ± 3.1	10.4% [7/67]	17.3 ± 2.4	12.1% [19/157]
Substance Use	19.0 ± 2.9	61.5% [8/13]	18.7 ± 2.0	56.0% [14/25]
Personality	19.2 ± 3.7	16.7% [4/24]	21.5 ± 4.9	18.2% [2/11]
Eating Disorder	20.0 ± 1.7	17.6% [3/17]	na	na
Autistic Spectrum	na	na	16.0 ± 4.2	11.1% [2/18]
Other	20.0 ± 1.7	5.9% [3/51]	19.7 ± 3.7	11.5% [6/52]
Unclear	18.1 ± 2.2	10.0% [8/80]	18.9 ± 3.4	21.5% [14/65]

Note: “Beh/Dev” = behavioural/developmental

Table 3: Demographics and level of functioning according to AUDIT drinking category in N = 522 young (12 to 30 years) patients

	Abstainers [N=159]	Low-risk [N=177]	Hazardous [N=104]	Harmful [N=36]	High-risk [N=46]
% females	46.5	53.7	54.8	47.2	39.1
Age	17.1 ± 4.1	21.1 ± 4.2	20.7 ± 3.8	21.6 ± 3.6	20.8 ± 4.2
Age, psychiatric onset	13.6 ± 4.3	16.3 ± 4.3	15.7 ± 3.9	16.3 ± 5.2	13.8 ± 3.5
SOFAS	58.9 ± 11.1	59.9 ± 11.9	62.7 ± 11.9	62.4 ± 12.2	57.6 ± 11.0

Note: AUDIT = alcohol use disorder identification test

Table 4: Prevalence of AUDIT drinking categories within each psychiatric syndrome for N = 522 young (12 to 30 years) patients

	Age	% females	Abstainers [N=159]	Low-risk [N=177]	Hazardous [N=104]	Harmful [N=36]	High-risk [N=46]
Depression [N=192]	19.0 ± 4.1	62.0	29.7% (57)	33.9% (65)	21.4% (41)	6.2% (12)	8.9% (17)
Bipolar [N=105]	21.1 ± 3.7	68.6	15.2% (16)	38.1% (40)	26.7% (28)	8.6% (9)	11.4% (12)
Anxiety [N=45]	18.2 ± 4.3	42.2	44.4% (20)	26.7% (12)	15.6% (7)	8.9% (4)	4.4% (2)
Psychosis [N=108]	22.5 ± 4.0	28.7	31.5% (34)	36.1% (39)	18.5% (20)	7.4% (8)	6.5% (7)
Beh/Dev [N=44]	16.7 ± 4.1	25.0	47.7% (21)	27.3% (12)	11.4% (5)	2.3% (1)	11.4% (5)
Subst. Use [N=1]	26.0	0.0	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	100.0% (1)	0.0% (0)
Autistic Spect. [N=5]	15.8 ± 2.3	0.0	60.0% (3)	20.0% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	20.0% (1)
Other [N=16]	18.4 ± 4.1	43.8	31.2% (5)	37.5% (6)	12.5% (2)	6.2% (2)	12.5% (2)
Unclear [N=6]	16.8 ± 4.0	33.3	50.0% (3)	33.3% (2)	16.7% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)

Note: AUDIT = alcohol use disorder identification test; “Beh/Dev” = behavioural/developmental

Funding statement

Funding for the headspace project comes from the Australian Government. The evaluation of these services is supported by an NHMRC Australian Fellowship to IBH (No. 464914). DFH was supported by a grant from the NSW Ministry of Health, Mental Health and Drug & Alcohol Office. SLN was funded by an NHMRC Clinical Research Fellowship (No. 402864). This research was further supported by NHMRC Program Grant (No. 566529) and Centres of Clinical Research Excellence Grant (No. 264611). These funding agencies had no further role in study design; in the collection, analysis and interpretation of data; in the writing of the report; and in the decision to submit the paper for publication.

Competing interests statement

Dr Daniel Hermens is currently supported by a grant from the NSW Ministry of Health, Mental Health and Drug & Alcohol Office as well as an NHMRC Australia Fellowship (awarded to Professor Hickie). In 2007, he received honoraria for educational seminars from Janssen-Cilag.

Dr Elizabeth Scott is the (unpaid) Clinical Director of Headspace Services at the BMRI, the (unpaid) Co-ordinator of the Youth Mental Health Research Program at the BMRI, and Deputy Director of St Vincent's Private Hospital Young Adult Mental Health Unit. She has received honoraria for educational seminars related to the clinical management of depressive disorders supported by Servier and Eli-Lilly pharmaceuticals. She has participated in a national advisory board for the antidepressant compound Pristiq, manufactured by Pfizer.

Professor Hickie is a member of the Medical Advisory Panel for BUPA Health Insurance (Australia) and also a Board Member of Psychosis Australia Trust. From 2012, he is a Commissioner in Australia's new National Mental Health Commission. He was until January 2012 a director of headspace: the national youth mental health foundation. Prof Hickie was previously chief executive officer (till 2003) and clinical adviser (till 2006) of beyondblue, an Australian National Depression Initiative. He is supported principally for clinical research in depression and health services and population health initiatives related to anxiety and depression by a NHMRC Australian Medical Research Fellowship (2007-12). He has led projects for health professionals and the community supported by governmental, community agency and pharmaceutical industry partners (Wyeth, Eli Lilly, Servier, Pfizer, AstraZeneca) for the identification and management of depression and anxiety. He

1
2
3 has received honoraria for presentations of his own work at educational seminars
4 supported by a number of non-government organisations and the pharmaceutical
5 industry (including Pfizer, Servier and Astra Zeneca). He has served on
6
7 advisory boards convened by the pharmaceutical industry in relation to specific
8 antidepressants, including nefazodone, duloxetine, and desvenlafaxine. He leads a
9
10 new investigator-initiated study of the effects of agomelatine on circadian parameters
11 (supported in part by Servier but also by other NHMRC funding) and has participated
12 in a multicentre clinical trial of agomelatine effects on sleep architecture in depression
13 and a Servier-supported study of major depression and sleep disturbance in primary
14 care settings. In addition to national and international Government-based grant bodies,
15 investigator-initiated mental health research at the BMRI he has been supported by
16 various pharmaceutical manufacturers (including Servier and Pfizer) and not-for-
17 profit entities (including the Heart Foundation, beyondblue and the BUPA
18 Foundation).

19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Author contributions

DFH, EMS, SLN & IBH designed the study and wrote the protocol. DFH and ML reviewed the literature; DH, DW & ML conducted the statistical analyses. DFH, ML, DW & IBH drafted the manuscript. DH, DW, BW and SN were involved in study coordination, administration of neuropsychological and data analyses. JL & BW contributed to the study interpretation and drafts of the manuscript. All authors contributed to and have approved the final manuscript.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Frequent alcohol, nicotine or cannabis use is common in young persons presenting for mental health care: a cross-sectional study

Daniel F. Hermens^{1*}, Elizabeth M. Scott¹, Django White¹, Marta Lynch¹, Jim Lagopoulos¹, Bradley
G. Whitwell¹, Sharon L. Naismith¹, Ian B. Hickie¹

¹ Clinical Research Unit, Brain and Mind Research Institute, University of Sydney, Australia.

*Correspondence

Address: Brain & Mind Research Institute, University of Sydney, 100 Mallett Street, Camperdown,
NSW 2050, Australia.

Email: daniel.hermens@sydney.edu.au

Telephone: +61 2 93510529

Facsimile: +61 2 93510652

Abstract

Objectives: To determine the prevalence of recent alcohol, nicotine or cannabis use in young persons presenting for mental health care.

Design: A cross-sectional study of young people seeking mental health care completed self-report questionnaires regarding their use of alcohol, nicotine or cannabis.

Setting: Data were collected from two sites as part of the national *headspace* services program.

Participants: 2,122 young people age 12 to 30 years provided information as part of a patient register; a subset of N=522 participants also provided more detailed information about their patterns of alcohol use.

Outcome measures: Prevalence levels of recent alcohol, nicotine or cannabis use within relevant age bands (12-17, 18-19 and 20-30) or primary diagnostic categories.

Results: The rates for use *at least weekly* of alcohol for the three age bands were 12%, 39% and 45%, and for cannabis 7%, 14% and 18%, respectively. The rates of *daily* nicotine use for the three age bands were 23%, 36% and 41%. The pattern of alcohol use was characterised by few abstainers as well as many risky drinkers. Age of onset across all three substances was approximately 15 years. Individuals who used any of the three substances more frequently were likely to be older, male or have psychotic or bipolar disorders.

Conclusions: Frequent use of alcohol, nicotine or cannabis use in young people seeking mental health care is common. Given restricted legal access, the patterns of use in those aged 12-17 years are particularly notable. Reductions in substance use needs to be prioritised within services for at-risk young people.

Article summary

Article focus:

- Previous studies indicate that early substance misuse increases the risk of developing a mental illness;
- Early onset mental disorders are associated with increased risk of alcohol or other substance misuse;
- In this study, we determined the rates of alcohol, nicotine or cannabis use in young persons (aged 12 to 30 years) entering mental health care.

Key messages:

- Alcohol, nicotine or cannabis uses in young people who present for mental health care are common and frequent;
- Given the comorbidity with significant mental health problems, these patterns of frequent substance use are likely to contribute to increased risk of poor physical and/or mental health outcomes;
- Reductions in use of these substances needs to be prioritised within services provided to these at-risk young people.

Strengths and limitations of this study:

- A cross-sectional study of a large number of young persons seeking mental health care;
- Findings in the 12 to 17 year old age group are particularly novel;
- Key substance use measures were self-report only and not confirmed by interview;
- Participants were not asked about the amount of nicotine and cannabis use.

Introduction

Health prevention priorities in Australia include cessation of nicotine or cannabis use and reduction in alcohol-related harm[1-3]. Among those with mental illness, reductions in substance use-related harm and improved cardiovascular health are key clinical objectives. Epidemiological and longitudinal studies indicate that early substance misuse increases the risk of developing a mental illness and, conversely, early onset mental disorders are associated with increased risk of alcohol or other substance misuse[4-6].

Neurobiological studies increasingly demonstrate the adverse effects of alcohol or cannabis on brain development in teenagers and young adults[7]. Young people with mental disorders are at increased risk of later cardiovascular disease[8]. One of the most significant modifiable risk factors in this population appears to be cigarette smoking.

Within the new primary-care based mental health initiative, *headspace*: the national youth mental health foundation, a strong policy emphasis is placed on active management of alcohol or other substance-misuse problems. While it is clear that these new centres have the capacity to engage young people, the extent to which concurrent alcohol, nicotine or other substance misuse is being actively managed is yet to be identified.

From a physical health perspective, there is an urgent need to establish an early intervention agenda for these individuals who are at high risk of premature death or physical health morbidity from a range of medical conditions. Of particular note are the high rates of premature death due to cardiovascular disease in persons with depression and the prevalence of metabolic syndrome in those receiving treatments for major mood disorders. Additionally, the high rate of nicotine and cannabis use in young people with major mental health disorders puts them at risk of other smoking-related conditions.

1
2 From a mental health perspective, there is a need to better understand the early stages of substance
3
4 misuse in young people with emerging mental disorders. The identification of substance use onset
5
6 and subsequent patterns of use in such young people would help early intervention approaches. In
7
8 this study, we determined the rates of alcohol, nicotine or cannabis use in young persons entering
9
10 mental health care. Additionally, we sought to assess the patterns of comorbidity with specific
11
12 mental disorders.
13

14 15 16 17 **Methods**

18
19
20 Participants aged 16 years or older provided their own written informed consent and
21
22 parental/guardian consent was obtained for those under 16 years. The University of Sydney Human
23
24 Research Ethics Committee approved the study.
25
26

27
28
29 *Sample:* Participants were recruited from two *headspace*[9] sites: (i) the Brain & Mind Research
30
31 Institute, Camperdown; and (ii) Campbelltown (outer suburban, south-western Sydney). These
32
33 services specialise in the assessment and early intervention of mental health problems in young
34
35 people[10, 11]. Subjects in this study were included if they were: (i) accessing services at one of the
36
37 above-mentioned *headspace* sites; (ii) between the ages of 12 and 30 years; (iii) and consented to be
38
39 enrolled on a patient register. Participants were excluded if they did not have sufficient English-
40
41 language skills. Thus, this study utilised data obtained from 2,122 young people (12 to 30 years)
42
43 who consecutively volunteered to enter the patient register between October 2007 and June 2012. A
44
45 subset of 522 participants also took part in specialised neurobiological research related to clinical
46
47 outcomes. Hereafter, the entire sample (N=2,112) is referred to as the 'Youth Mental Health'
48
49 (YMH) cohort. Referring clinicians were asked to determine primary and secondary diagnoses
50
51 based on DSM-IV criteria. For the purposes of categorisation, clinicians were asked to select one of
52
53 the following 'primary diagnosis' disorders: (i) 'depression'; (ii) 'bipolar'; (iii) 'anxiety' (including
54
55 obsessive compulsive, generalised anxiety, agoraphobia/panic and social anxiety disorders); (iv)
56
57
58
59
60

1
2 'psychosis' (including first episode and schizophrenia); (v) 'behavioural/developmental' (including
3 attention-deficit hyperactivity, conduct, oppositional defiance and impulse control disorders); (vi)
4 'substance use'; (vii) 'personality'; (viii) 'eating disorder'; (ix) 'autism spectrum'; (x) 'other'; and
5
6
7
8
9 (xi) 'unclear, still assessing'.

10
11
12 Assessment: Clinical information was obtained via: (i) brief self-report questionnaire; and (ii)
13 clinical assessment (detailed methods have been described previously[10, 11]).

14
15
16
17
18 *Self-report*: The questionnaire comprised basic demographic data as well as standardised
19 questionnaires to measure common symptoms, psychosocial functioning, disability and vocational
20 status upon entry to the service (findings reported previously[10, 11]). Participants were asked
21 about their lifetime and current substance use using the first two items of the World Health
22 Organization's 'alcohol, smoking and substance involvement screening test' (WHO-ASSIST)[12].
23 In item 1, participants were asked whether they ever used: (a) tobacco (nicotine) products; (b)
24 alcoholic beverages; or, (c) cannabis. Subsequently, item 2 asked whether their use of each
25 substance in the *past 3 months* was: (a) 'never'; (b) 'once or twice'; (c) 'monthly'; (d) 'weekly'; (e)
26 'daily or almost daily'.
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39

40
41 *Data Reduction*: As a means to compare recent alcohol, nicotine or cannabis use in the YMH cohort
42 with that of the general population the WHO-ASSIST item 2 data were re-categorised to align with
43 the age bands and use categories of the 2010 National Drug Strategy Household Survey
44 (NDSHS)[13]. Thus, responses were re-categorised as: (i) 'daily or almost daily'; (ii) 'weekly'; (iii)
45 'less than weekly' or (iv) 'never'. That is, responses of 'once or twice' and 'monthly' were
46 collapsed to form the 'less than weekly' category. Furthermore, the YMH cohort was stratified into
47 three age groups: (i) 12 to 17 years; (ii) 18 to 19 years; and (iii) 20 to 30 years.
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56

57
58 *Clinical assessment*: Undertaken by psychiatrists, psychologists, mental health nurses or general
59 practitioners with training in mental health. The assessing clinician verified key aspects such as
60

1
2 primary and secondary diagnoses/comorbidities, vocational status, medical comorbidity and general
3
4 functioning via the social and occupational function assessment scale (SOFAS)[14].
5
6

7
8 *Detailed assessment of alcohol use:* For the sub-sample (N=522) only, the Alcohol Use Disorders
9
10 Identification Test (AUDIT) was used to assess each participant's level of risky drinking in the past
11
12 year, as well as their lifetime familiarity[15, 16]. Total scores range of from 0-40, with a higher
13
14 score indicating more problematic drinking. A total score of 1 to 7 is indicative of low risk
15
16 drinking; total scores 8-15 indicate 'risky drinking' with a moderate risk of harm; 16-19 indicating a
17
18 high-risk or 'harmful' level of alcohol consumption, and 20-40 indicates a 'high-risk' level.
19
20

21
22
23 *Statistical Analyses:* Statistics were performed using SPSS for Windows 20.0. Group differences in
24
25 demographic and clinical variables were assessed via analysis of variance (ANOVA) or chi-square
26
27 tests. To determine whether age was a contributing factor to any observed differences in the
28
29 prevalences of recent substance use, logistic regressions were conducted with a dichotomous
30
31 dependent variable of substance use (e.g. 'at least weekly' versus 'less than weekly') and with
32
33 diagnosis and age (and their interaction) entered as predictor variables. The forced entry method
34
35 was employed and significant regression models were only accepted if the Hosmer-Lemeshow
36
37 goodness-of-fit statistic was non-significant.
38
39
40

41 **Results**

42 *Lifetime use*

43
44
45
46
47
48
49 Among males, three quarters (75.9%; 506/667) of respondents (12 to 30 yrs) reported a lifetime use
50
51 of alcohol, 63.3% (401/633) a lifetime use of nicotine and more than half (57.9%; 390/673) a
52
53 lifetime use of cannabis. Similarly, for females, the lifetime rates were 75.6% (573/758), 60.3%
54
55 (290/440) and 51.3% (391/762), respectively.
56
57
58
59
60

Age of first use

For males, the self-reported 'age of first use' for each substance was as follows: (i) alcohol = 14.6 ± 2.4 yrs (N=456); (ii) nicotine = 14.0 ± 2.6 yrs (N=385); and (iii) cannabis = 14.8 ± 2.9 yrs (N=127).

For females, the age of first use for each substance was: (i) alcohol = 14.6 ± 2.3 yrs (N=531); (ii) nicotine = 14.2 ± 2.4 yrs (N=414); and (iii) cannabis = 15.6 ± 3.0 yrs (N=126). The age of first use of cannabis was significantly earlier in males [$F(1, 252)=5.7, p<.05$].

Recent use

The prevalence of each category of alcohol use increased with age (see Table 1a). The prevalence of daily and weekly drinking in the youngest (12-17 yrs) group was notable (almost 13%). In terms of sex differences, the most substantial differences were the increased rates of daily alcohol use in males in the 18-19 yrs and 20-29 yrs age groups (9.3% vs. 3.6% and 15.4% vs. 8.4%, respectively).

Almost one quarter (23.1%) of the younger group (12-17 yrs) used nicotine daily (see Table 1b).

For the older groups the rates were 36 and 41%, respectively. While there were no substantial differences between younger (12-17 yr old) females and males in recent nicotine use, in the older groups, the proportion of daily nicotine use was higher in males (40.9% vs. 32.0% for 18-19 yrs; 48.0% vs. 34.6% for 20-30 yrs).

Notably, for the two younger groups (12-17 yrs and 18-19 yrs), the prevalence of daily cannabis use (see Table 1c) was higher than that for daily alcohol use (3.6% vs. 1.5% and 8.8% vs. 6.0%).

Almost 20% of the 12-17 yrs group used cannabis at least once in past 3 months compared to more than 30% of the two older groups (33.1% and 36.0%, respectively). As with alcohol and nicotine, the males in two the older age groups were more likely to report using cannabis daily.

1
2 In terms of combined substance use, across the entire sample, 17.8% (367/2063) of cases reported
3
4 'at least weekly' use of both alcohol and tobacco; this combination was more common in males (at
5
6 20.5%; 196/954) as compared to females (15.4%; 171/1109). Similarly, the 'at least weekly' use of:
7
8 (i) alcohol and cannabis; and (ii) nicotine and cannabis was higher in males (8.5% and 12.9%,
9
10 respectively) compared to females (5.7% and 8.8%, respectively). Notably, the combination of all
11
12 three substances used 'at least weekly' was at 5.4% in females (59/1096) and at 7.9% in males
13
14 (75/945).
15
16

17
18 The relationships between substance and primary diagnostic categories are shown in Tables 2a, 2b
19
20 and 2c. Due to some limitations (e.g. unequal sample sizes) and to facilitate interpretation, ANOVA
21
22 and regression analyses included only the first five diagnostic categories (i.e. depression, bipolar,
23
24 anxiety, psychosis and behavioural/developmental). Across the five diagnostic groups, there were
25
26 significant main effects of age for the 'at least weekly' alcohol drinkers in both females
27
28 [F(4,229)=7.9, p<.001] and males [F(4,221)=5.9, p<.001]; the behavioural/developmental group
29
30 was the youngest in both. Despite sex, those with a primary diagnosis of a bipolar spectrum
31
32 disorder were the most likely to report at least weekly use of alcohol (at 39.4%). Compared to
33
34 females, within the depression and psychosis groups, young males reported more frequent use of
35
36 alcohol.
37
38
39

40
41
42 There were significant main effects of age across the five diagnostic groups for both female
43
44 [F(4,297)=10.0, p<.001] and male [F(4,297)=14.7, p<.001] 'at least weekly' users of nicotine.
45
46 Despite sex, the rate of 'at least weekly' use of nicotine was above 30% for four of the five main
47
48 diagnostic categories, with psychosis having the highest rate at 48.7%. The greatest difference
49
50 between the sexes in terms of rates of 'at least weekly' nicotine use was seen in the psychosis group
51
52 (37.5% of females vs. 53.8% of males).
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2 There were significant main effects of age across the five diagnostic groups for both female
3
4 [F(4,76)=3.0, p<.001] and male [F(4,99)=5.9, p<.001] 'at least weekly' cannabis users. Across all
5
6 of the diagnostic groups males were more likely to be weekly cannabis users. The group with the
7
8 highest prevalence of weekly cannabis use was males with 'psychosis', at 17.5%.
9

10
11
12 Among the remaining six diagnostic groups (see Tables 2a, 2b and 2c) the 'substance use' group, as
13
14 expected, showed the highest levels of 'at least weekly' use of alcohol, nicotine and cannabis. Of
15
16 note, over 63% of males with a personality disorder reported at least weekly nicotine use, compared
17
18 to 46% of females. Interestingly, those with 'unclear' diagnoses showed moderately high
19
20 prevalences of 'at least weekly' use across all three substances.
21
22

23 24 25 *Logistic Regression Models*

26
27
28 In females, for nicotine, the significant model [χ^2 (9)=40.9, p<.001] included only age as a
29
30 significant predictor (p<.01). Similarly, for cannabis, the model [χ^2 (9)=21.7, p=.01] only included
31
32 age (p<.05). In both models, as indicated by the beta coefficients for age (0.334 and 0.308,
33
34 respectively), 'at least weekly' users were older. The regression model for current alcohol use in
35
36 females was not acceptable (at p<.01). In males, for nicotine, the highly significant model [χ^2
37
38 (9)=83.8, p<.001] included age (p<.001), diagnosis (p<.01) and age-by-diagnosis (p<.01) as
39
40 predictors. For cannabis the model [χ^2 (13)=59.5, p<.001] only included age (p<.01). As seen in
41
42 females, the beta coefficients for age were positive in both models (0.265 and 0.162, respectively)
43
44 indicating that 'at least weekly' users were older and, in the case of tobacco, more likely to be of a
45
46 particular diagnosis (i.e. psychosis; see Table 2b). The regression model for current alcohol use in
47
48 males was not acceptable (at p<.01).
49
50
51
52

53 54 55 *Detailed alcohol use*

56
57
58
59
60

1
2 Table 3 displays the demographics and social-occupational functioning of the subset (N=522)
3
4 sample. As predicted, abstainers (N=159) were the youngest group; ANOVA comparing age across
5
6 the five drinking categories was highly significant [$F(4,521)=27.1, p<.001$]. Scheffe's post-hoc tests
7
8 confirmed that only the abstainers group significantly ($p<.001$) differed (in age) from any other
9
10 group. After omitting the abstainers, there were no significant differences across the four drinking
11
12 categories in terms of distribution of gender or age, however there was a difference in age of
13
14 psychiatric onset [$F(3,289)=3.2, p<.05$].
15
16

17
18
19 Table 4 displays the proportions of individuals across the alcohol use categories by each primary
20
21 diagnosis group. As above, ANOVA and regression analyses only included the first five diagnostic
22
23 groups (see Table 4). As observed in the larger sample, the five groups were found to differ in mean
24
25 age [$F(4,493)=25.4, p<.001$]. In terms of 'risky' drinking (i.e. hazardous or harmful or high-risk)
26
27 the five diagnostic groups differed with the bipolar group having the largest proportion (46.7%) and
28
29 the behavioural/developmental group having the lowest proportions of 'risky' drinkers (25.1%). A
30
31 logistic regression with the dependent variable being 'no or low-risk' drinking (i.e. abstainers and
32
33 low-risk) versus 'risky' (the remaining three categories) was found to show acceptable goodness-of-
34
35 fit and a significant model [$\chi^2(9)=27.0, p<.001$] however there were no significant predictors (age,
36
37 diagnosis, age by diagnosis).
38
39
40
41
42

43 Discussion

44
45
46 Despite adolescence being the peak period for the onset of both mental and substance misuse
47
48 disorders, primary care based studies indicate these problems are not being effectively managed in
49
50 young people. National community-based surveys have indicated the extent to which young people
51
52 do not access care for either mental disorders or alcohol/substance misuse, and this lack of care is
53
54 most evident for young men and for those with alcohol/substance misuse[17].
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2 This is the first study to examine substance use patterns in a large sample (2000+) of young people
3
4 accessing *headspace* services (albeit across two sites within the metropolitan of Sydney). The data
5
6 presented here demonstrates that among young people who present for mental health care within the
7
8 *headspace* network, alcohol, nicotine or cannabis uses are common. Given the comorbidity with
9
10 significant mental health problems, these patterns of substance use are likely to contribute to
11
12 increased risk of poor physical and/or mental health outcomes. Future studies will help to determine
13
14 the representativeness of these data by evaluating other samples (currently there are more than 40
15
16 *headspace* sites nationally).
17
18

19
20
21 Compared to their age-matched peers in the general population (i.e. based on the findings of the
22
23 2010 NDSHS[13]) the patients in this study showed some differences in the rates of current
24
25 substance use. Of note, our youngest group (12-17 yrs) was twice as likely to report weekly alcohol
26
27 use compared to 12-17 year olds in the general population (i.e. 10.3% vs. 5.1%; see table 4.3
28
29 in[13]). These comparisons should be treated somewhat cautiously as the NDSHS[13] determined
30
31 frequency of use over the past 12 months whereas our study assessed the past 3 months;
32
33 furthermore, the NDSHS evaluated 'daily' use whereas we asked about 'daily or almost daily' use.
34
35 Noting such limitations, 'daily' alcohol use in our YMH samples was at least three times greater
36
37 than that observed in the general population (i.e. across the age groups;[13]). Similarly, 'daily'
38
39 nicotine use in our YMH samples is at least twice as high as the general population estimates (again
40
41 across the three age groups; see table 3.3 in[13]). With regards to 'any' recent cannabis use, our
42
43 older YMH samples (i.e. 18-19 yrs and 20-30 yrs) were 1.5 times more likely to report recent
44
45 cannabis use compared to their peers in the general population (see table 6.4 in[13]).
46
47
48
49

50
51
52 In this study, the relationships between recent substance use and diagnosis were complex, and were
53
54 mainly affected by both age and gender. The logistic regressions models for nicotine or cannabis
55
56 use were acceptable and in three of these models, only age was a significant predictor of weekly
57
58 use; the exception being nicotine use in males where age and diagnosis both contributed. The
59
60

1
2 difference in the prevalences of weekly nicotine use among males with an anxiety disorder as
3
4 compared to males with a psychotic disorder is notable. In general, weekly substance use appeared
5
6 to be more likely if an individual was an older male and diagnosed with psychosis or bipolar
7
8 disorder.
9

10
11
12 Information regarding the prevalence of frequent substance use among younger people seeking
13
14 mental health care is limited. There is a general consensus that substance misuse in individuals with
15
16 a mental disorder is common[6, 18, 19], particularly in treatment seeking populations; with
17
18 evidence to suggest that 'problematic substance use is the most common comorbid condition among
19
20 people with a major mental illness and is associated with poorer patient outcomes'[20]. In a large
21
22 cross-sectional study of over 45,000 Australians attending primary care, 12% of respondents were
23
24 identified as having any mental disorder with concurrent substance misuse[18].
25
26
27
28

29
30 Previous research has tended to evaluate the comorbidity of mental- and substance use- disorder in
31
32 broad adult samples (i.e. "18 and above"). Despite this, key associations we have observed in the
33
34 current YMH cohort are consistent with those observed in the literature. For example, a study of
35
36 over 40,000 adults in the US found that comorbid substance use and mood/anxiety disorders are
37
38 among the most prevalent of psychiatric disorders[21]; and notably, that bipolar disorders were
39
40 more strongly related to the substance use disorders than any other mood or anxiety disorder.
41
42 Similarly, other population based studies have demonstrated stronger associations between
43
44 psychosis and nicotine[22] or cannabis use[23].
45
46
47
48

49
50 Of considerable relevance to preventing later poor physical health, at least weekly nicotine use was
51
52 highly prevalent in those with psychosis (almost 50%). In an Australian study[24] of 1,812
53
54 individuals with severe psychotic disorders, for those aged 18-24 years the rate of nicotine use was
55
56 70.6%. When adopting the same parameters, albeit over the past 3 months, the current study yields
57
58 a rate of 62.6% among young people who are early in the course of their disorder.
59
60

1
2 The presence of an anxiety or mood disorder has been shown to be the largest determinant of
3
4 treatment seeking in cannabis users, regardless of the level of use[22]. A recent study[25] utilising a
5
6 cohort of Australian secondary school students, reported that by the time participants reached 29
7
8 years their daily cannabis use was significantly associated with an anxiety disorder. In the current
9
10 study, frequent cannabis use was particularly common in females with an affective disorder,
11
12 whereas for males other diagnoses (including psychosis and bipolar disorder) tended to be
13
14 associated with increased rates of frequent use.
15
16

17
18
19 Among the subset of YMH patients (N=522) the prevalence of low-risk drinkers (34%) is the
20
21 same as that predicted in the population[13]. However, the rates of risky (i.e. hazardous: 20%,
22
23 harmful: 7% plus high-risk: 9%) YMH drinkers are substantially higher than in the general
24
25 population (23%)[13]. Notably, other research[26, 27] has found that hazardous levels of alcohol
26
27 use tends to peak in the 20-25 year age range suggesting that, if left untreated, there may be an
28
29 escalation in problematic use in the risky drinking groups. With regards to risky drinking
30
31 categories, key diagnostic groups (i.e. bipolar and psychosis) tended to have the higher rates of
32
33 risky drinkers.
34
35

36
37
38 This study is limited by several factors. Firstly, the key substance use measures were self-report
39
40 only and not confirmed by interview. Furthermore, participants were not asked about the amount of
41
42 nicotine and cannabis use only the recent (past three month) frequency of use. Follow-up
43
44 longitudinal studies of these patients would be important to determine the long-term patterns of
45
46 such substance use. Despite these limitations, this study shows that frequent use of alcohol, nicotine
47
48 or cannabis use in young people seeking mental health care is common. Given restricted legal
49
50 access, and in comparison to their peers in the general population, the patterns of use in the YMH
51
52 patients aged 12-17 years are particularly notable. Reductions in use of these substances needs to be
53
54 prioritised within services provided to these at-risk young people. Traditionally, mental health
55
56 services have been separate to interventions that target substance use, however, there are growing
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

suggestions that complex young people (with comorbid mental health and substance use problems) would be most receptive to integrated rather than sequential or parallel approaches[28, 29].

For peer review only

References

1. National Health & Hospitals Reform Commission. A healthier future for all Australians: final report June 2009. Australian Government, Canberra, 2009.
2. Loxley W, Toumbourou JW, Stockwell T, et al. The prevention of substance use, risk and harm in Australia: A review of the evidence. The National Drug Research Institute and the Centre for Adolescent Health. Australian Government, Canberra, 2004.
3. Calabria B, Swift W, Slade T, et al. The perceived health risks of cannabis use in an Australian household survey. *Drug Alc Rev* 2012; **31**: 809-12.
4. Merikangas KR, Mehta RL, Molnar BE, et al. Comorbidity of substance use disorders with mood and anxiety disorders: results of the International Consortium in Psychiatric Epidemiology. *Addict Behav* 1998; **23**: 893-907.
5. Grant BF. Comorbidity between DSM-IV drug use disorders and major depression: results of a national survey of adults. *J Subst Abuse* 1995; **7**: 481-97.
6. Grant BF, Stinson FS, Dawson DA, et al. Prevalence and co-occurrence of substance use disorders and independent mood and anxiety disorders: results from the National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 2004; **61**: 807-16.
7. Squeglia LM, Jacobus J, Tapert SF. The influence of substance use on adolescent brain development. *Clin EEG Neurosci*. 2009; **40**: 31-8.
8. Wyman L, Crum RM, Celentano D. Depressed mood and cause-specific mortality: a 40-year general community assessment. *Ann Epidem* 2012; **22**: 638-43.
9. McGorry PD, Tanti C, Stokes R, et al. headspace: Australia's National Youth Mental Health Foundation - where young minds come first. *Med J Aust* 2007; **187** (7 Suppl): S68-70.
10. Hamilton BA, Naismith SL, Scott EM, et al. Disability is already pronounced in young people with early stages of affective disorders: Data from an early intervention service. *J Affect Disord* 2011; **131**: 84-91.
11. Scott EM, Hermens DF, Glozier N, et al. Targeted primary care-based mental health services for young Australians. *Med J Aust* 2012; **196**: 136-40.

- 1
2
3 12. Humeniuk R, Ali R, Babor TF, et al. Validation of the Alcohol, Smoking And Substance
4 Involvement Screening Test (ASSIST). *Addiction* 2008; **103**: 1039-47.
- 5
6
7 13. Australian Institute of Health & Wellbeing. 2010 National Drug Strategy Household Survey
8 report. Canberra: Australian Institute of Health and Welfare, 2011.
- 9
10
11 14. Goldman HH, Skodol AE, Lave TR. Revising axis V for DSM-IV: a review of measures of
12 social functioning. *Am J Psychiatry* 1992; **149**: 1148-156.
- 13
14
15 15. Saunders JB, Aasland OG, Babor TF, et al. Development of the Alcohol Use Disorders
16 Identification Test (AUDIT): WHO Collaborative Project on Early Detection of Persons with
17 Harmful Alcohol Consumption-II. *Addiction* 1993; **88**: 791-804.
- 18
19
20
21 16. Allen JP, Litten RZ, Fertig JB, et al. A Review of Research on the Alcohol Use Disorders
22 Identification Test (AUDIT). *Alc Clin Exp Res* 1997; **21**: 613-9.
- 23
24
25
26 17. Burgess PM, Pirkis JE, Slade TN, et al. Service use for mental health problems: findings
27 from the 2007 National Survey of Mental Health and Wellbeing. *Aust N Z J Psychiatry* 2009; **43**:
28 615-23.
- 29
30
31
32 18. Hickie IB, Koschera A, Davenport TA, et al. Comorbidity of common mental disorders and
33 alcohol or other substance misuse in Australian general practice. *Med J Aust* 2001; **175** (Suppl):
34 S31-6.
- 35
36
37
38 19. Merikangas KR, He J-P, Burstein M, et al. Lifetime prevalence of mental disorders in U.S.
39 adolescents: Results from the national comorbidity survey replication adolescent supplement (NCS-
40 A). *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry* 2010; **49**: 980-89.
- 41
42
43
44 20. Siegfried N. A review of comorbidity: major mental illness and problematic substance use.
45 *Aust N Z J Psychiatry* 1998; **32**: 707-17.
- 46
47
48
49 21. Grant BF, Stinson FS, Dawson DA, et al. Prevalence and co-occurrence of substance use
50 disorders and independent mood and anxiety disorders: results from the National Epidemiologic
51 Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 2004; **61**:807-16.
- 52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
22. Degenhardt L, Hall W, Lynskey M. The relationship between cannabis use, depression and anxiety among Australian adults: Findings from the National Survey of Mental Health and Well-Being. *Soc Psychiatry Psychiatric Epidemiol* 2001; **36**: 219-27.
23. van Os J, Bak M, Hanssen M, Bijl RV, de Graaf R, Verdoux H. Cannabis use and psychosis: a longitudinal population-based study. *Am J Epidemiol* 2002; **156**:319-27.
24. Cooper J, Mancuso SG, Borland R, et al. Tobacco smoking among people living with a psychotic illness: The second Australian survey of psychosis. *Aust N Z J Psychiatry* 2012; **46**: 851-63.
25. Degenhardt L, Coffey C, Romaniuk H, et al. The persistence of the association between adolescent cannabis use and common mental disorders into young adulthood. *Addiction* 2012: DOI: 10.1111/j.1360-0443.2012.04015.x.
26. Poelen EAP, Scholte RHJ, Engels RCME, et al. Prevalence and trends of alcohol use and misuse among adolescents and young adults in the Netherlands from 1993 to 2000. *Drug Alc Depend* 2005; **79**: 413-21.
27. Harford TC, Grant BF, Yi H-y, et al. Patterns of DSM-IV Alcohol Abuse and Dependence Criteria Among Adolescents and Adults: Results From the 2001 National Household Survey on Drug Abuse. *Alc Clin Exp Res* 2005; **29**: 810-28.
28. Hides L, Lubman DI, Kay-Lambkin FJ, Baker AL. Young people with co-existing mental health and drug and alcohol problems. In: Baker A, Velleman R, eds. *Clinical Handbook of Co-existing Mental Health and Drug and Alcohol Problems*. East Sussex: Routledge, 2007:132-58.
29. Norberg M, Battisti R, Copeland J, Hermens D, Hickie I. Two Sides of the Same Coin: Cannabis Dependence and Mental Health Problems in Help-Seeking Adolescent and Young Adult Outpatients. *Int J Ment Health Addict*; doi: 10.1007/s11469-012-9378-1.

Table 1a: Recent (past 3 months) alcohol use in young (12 to 30 years) female (N=1116) and male (N=961) patients

	% [n/N]		
	12 to 17 yrs	18 to 19 yrs	20 to 30 yrs
Female			
Daily or almost daily	1.6% [9/553]	3.6% [7/196]	8.4% [31/367]
Weekly	11.2% [62/553]	33.7% [66/196]	31.3% [115/367]
Less than weekly	36.2% [200/553]	41.3% [81/196]	42.2% [155/367]
Never	51.0% [282/553]	21.4% [42/196]	18.0% [66/367]
Males			
Daily or almost daily	1.4% [7/496]	9.3% [13/140]	15.4% [50/325]
Weekly	9.3% [46/496]	32.1% [45/140]	36.9% [120/325]
Less than weekly	32.7% [162/496]	43.6% [61/140]	35.4% [115/325]
Never	56.7% [281/496]	15.0% [21/140]	12.3% [40/325]

Note: Age-group categories were used to be consistent with 2010 National Drug Strategy Household Survey[13]

Table 1b: Recent (past 3 months) nicotine use in young (12 to 30 years) female (N=1119) and male (N=965) patients

	% [n/N]		
	12 to 17 yrs	18 to 19 yrs	20 to 30 yrs
Females			
Daily or almost daily	23.0% [127/552]	32.0% [62/194]	34.6% [129/373]
Weekly	4.2% [23/552]	4.1% [8/194]	5.6% [21/373]
Less than weekly	12.9% [71/552]	17.0% [33/194]	12.9% [48/373]
Never	60.0% [331/552]	46.9% [91/194]	46.9% [175/373]
Males			
Daily or almost daily	23.1% [115/497]	40.9% [56/137]	48.0% [159/331]
Weekly	5.0% [25/497]	4.4% [6/137]	5.7% [19/331]
Less than weekly	9.7% [48/497]	5.8% [8/137]	10.6% [35/331]
Never	62.2% [309/497]	48.9% [67/137]	35.6% [118/331]

Table 1c: Recent (past 3 months) cannabis use in young (12 to 30 years) female (N=1096) and male (N=945) patients

	% [n/N]		
	12 to 17 yrs	18 to 19 yrs	20 to 30 yrs
Females			
Daily or almost daily	3.5% [19/540]	6.8% [13/190]	7.9% [29/366]
Weekly	2.2% [12/540]	3.7% [7/190]	6.3% [23/366]
Less than weekly	12.4% [67/540]	22.1% [42/190]	18.6% [68/366]
Never	81.9% [442/540]	67.4% [128/190]	67.2% [246/366]
Males			
Daily or almost daily	3.7% [18/486]	11.5% [16/139]	14.4% [46/320]
Weekly	4.1% [20/486]	7.9% [11/139]	8.4% [27/320]
Less than weekly	13.8% [67/486]	14.4% [20/139]	16.9% [54/320]
Never	78.4% [381/486]	66.2% [92/139]	60.3% [193/320]

Table 2a: Proportion of ‘at least weekly’ use of alcohol across each diagnostic category in N=2077 young (12 to 30 years) female and male patients

	Females [N=290/1116]		Males [N=281/961]	
	Age	% at least weekly	Age	% at least weekly
Depression	20.3 ± 3.5	24.0% [115/480]	20.3 ± 3.2	31.2% [97/311]
Bipolar	21.8 ± 3.2	39.4% [43/109]	22.1 ± 2.6	39.2% [20/51]
Anxiety	19.1 ± 3.0	22.9% [50/218]	20.3 ± 2.3	20.1% [31/154]
Psychosis	20.6 ± 4.1	20.8% [10/48]	22.0 ± 3.8	40.8% [42/103]
Beh/Dev	16.3 ± 2.4	17.4% [12/69]	18.7 ± 3.6	20.0% [32/160]
Substance Use	19.8 ± 3.1	92.3% [12/13]	19.1 ± 2.8	63.0% [17/27]
Personality	19.0 ± 3.1	37.5% [9/24]	17.2 ± 1.5	36.4% [4/11]
Eating Disorder	19.6 ± 2.3	27.8% [5/18]	19.5 ± 0.7	40.0% [2/5]
Autistic Spectrum	19.0	25.0% [1/4]	19.0	5.5% [1/18]
Other	17.9 ± 2.9	21.6% [11/51]	21.1 ± 2.8	28.3% [15/53]
Unclear	18.7 ± 2.6	26.8% [22/82]	19.9 ± 3.3	29.4% [20/68]

Note: “Beh/Dev” = behavioural/developmental

Table 2b: Proportion of ‘at least weekly’ use of nicotine across each diagnostic category in N=2084 young (12 to 30 years) female and male patients

	Females [N=370/1119]		Males [N=380/965]	
	Age	% at least weekly	Age	% at least weekly
Depression	18.9 ± 3.1	30.5% [147/482]	19.2 ± 3.6	39.2% [122/311]
Bipolar	20.7 ± 3.2	44.5% [49/110]	20.6 ± 3.6	40.4% [21/52]
Anxiety	18.3 ± 3.1	24.9% [54/217]	19.6 ± 3.0	24.8% [38/153]
Psychosis	21.3 ± 4.6	37.5% [18/48]	21.7 ± 3.5	53.8% [56/104]
Beh/Dev	16.7 ± 3.2	43.5% [30/69]	17.0 ± 3.2	39.9% [65/163]
Substance Use	19.8 ± 3.2	84.6% [11/13]	19.3 ± 2.8	70.4% [19/27]
Personality	18.1 ± 3.1	45.8% [11/24]	18.4 ± 3.2	63.6% [7/11]
Eating Disorder	20.0 ± 1.7	17.6% [3/17]	19.5 ± 0.7	40.0% [2/5]
Autistic Spectrum	na	na	15.7 ± 3.0	16.7% [3/18]
Other	18.6 ± 3.3	26.9% [14/52]	19.9 ± 3.5	30.8% [16/52]
Unclear	17.5 ± 2.7	39.8% [33/83]	18.6 ± 3.5	44.9% [31/69]

Note: “Beh/Dev” = behavioural/developmental

Table 2c: Proportion of ‘at least weekly’ use of cannabis across each diagnostic category in N=2041 young (12 to 30 years) female and male patients

	Females [N=103/1096]		Males [N=138/945]	
	Age	% at least weekly	Age	% at least weekly
Depression	19.7 ± 3.0	8.5% [40/471]	20.2 ± 3.4	14.3% [44/307]
Bipolar	22.3 ± 3.4	11.9% [13/109]	20.9 ± 2.6	15.4% [8/52]
Anxiety	18.6 ± 3.2	6.6% [14/211]	21.0 ± 2.5	7.3% [11/150]
Psychosis	21.0 ± 3.6	6.1% [3/49]	22.1 ± 3.9	17.5% [18/103]
Beh/Dev	18.4 ± 3.1	10.4% [7/67]	17.3 ± 2.4	12.1% [19/157]
Substance Use	19.0 ± 2.9	61.5% [8/13]	18.7 ± 2.0	56.0% [14/25]
Personality	19.2 ± 3.7	16.7% [4/24]	21.5 ± 4.9	18.2% [2/11]
Eating Disorder	20.0 ± 1.7	17.6% [3/17]	na	na
Autistic Spectrum	na	na	16.0 ± 4.2	11.1% [2/18]
Other	20.0 ± 1.7	5.9% [3/51]	19.7 ± 3.7	11.5% [6/52]
Unclear	18.1 ± 2.2	10.0% [8/80]	18.9 ± 3.4	21.5% [14/65]

Note: “Beh/Dev” = behavioural/developmental

Table 3: Demographics and level of functioning according to AUDIT drinking category in N = 522 young (12 to 30 years) patients

	Abstainers [N=159]	Low-risk [N=177]	Hazardous [N=104]	Harmful [N=36]	High-risk [N=46]
% females	46.5	53.7	54.8	47.2	39.1
Age	17.1 ± 4.1	21.1 ± 4.2	20.7 ± 3.8	21.6 ± 3.6	20.8 ± 4.2
Age, psychiatric onset	13.6 ± 4.3	16.3 ± 4.3	15.7 ± 3.9	16.3 ± 5.2	13.8 ± 3.5
SOFAS	58.9 ± 11.1	59.9 ± 11.9	62.7 ± 11.9	62.4 ± 12.2	57.6 ± 11.0

Note: AUDIT = alcohol use disorder identification test

Table 4: Prevalence of AUDIT drinking categories within each psychiatric syndrome for N = 522 young (12 to 30 years) patients

	Age	% females	Abstainers [N=159]	Low-risk [N=177]	Hazardous [N=104]	Harmful [N=36]	High-risk [N=46]
Depression [N=192]	19.0 ± 4.1	62.0	29.7% (57)	33.9% (65)	21.4% (41)	6.2% (12)	8.9% (17)
Bipolar [N=105]	21.1 ± 3.7	68.6	15.2% (16)	38.1% (40)	26.7% (28)	8.6% (9)	11.4% (12)
Anxiety [N=45]	18.2 ± 4.3	42.2	44.4% (20)	26.7% (12)	15.6% (7)	8.9% (4)	4.4% (2)
Psychosis [N=108]	22.5 ± 4.0	28.7	31.5% (34)	36.1% (39)	18.5% (20)	7.4% (8)	6.5% (7)
Beh/Dev [N=44]	16.7 ± 4.1	25.0	47.7% (21)	27.3% (12)	11.4% (5)	2.3% (1)	11.4% (5)
Subst. Use [N=1]	26.0	0.0	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	100.0% (1)	0.0% (0)
Autistic Spect. [N=5]	15.8 ± 2.3	0.0	60.0% (3)	20.0% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	20.0% (1)
Other [N=16]	18.4 ± 4.1	43.8	31.2% (5)	37.5% (6)	12.5% (2)	6.2% (2)	12.5% (2)
Unclear [N=6]	16.8 ± 4.0	33.3	50.0% (3)	33.3% (2)	16.7% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)

Note: AUDIT = alcohol use disorder identification test; “Beh/Dev” = behavioural/developmental

Funding statement

Funding for the headspace project comes from the Australian Government. The evaluation of these services is supported by an NHMRC Australian Fellowship to IBH (No. 464914). DFH was supported by a grant from the NSW Ministry of Health, Mental Health and Drug & Alcohol Office. SLN was funded by an NHMRC Clinical Research Fellowship (No. 402864). This research was further supported by NHMRC Program Grant (No. 566529) and Centres of Clinical Research Excellence Grant (No. 264611). These funding agencies had no further role in study design; in the collection, analysis and interpretation of data; in the writing of the report; and in the decision to submit the paper for publication.

Competing interests statement

Dr Daniel Hermens is currently supported by a grant from the NSW Ministry of Health, Mental Health and Drug & Alcohol Office as well as an NHMRC Australia Fellowship (awarded to Professor Hickie). In 2007, he received honoraria for educational seminars from Janssen-Cilag.

Dr Elizabeth Scott is the (unpaid) Clinical Director of Headspace Services at the BMRI, the (unpaid) Co-ordinator of the Youth Mental Health Research Program at the BMRI, and Deputy Director of St Vincent's Private Hospital Young Adult Mental Health Unit. She has received honoraria for educational seminars related to the clinical management of depressive disorders supported by Servier and Eli-Lilly pharmaceuticals. She has participated in a national advisory board for the antidepressant compound Pristiq, manufactured by Pfizer.

Professor Hickie is a member of the Medical Advisory Panel for BUPA Health Insurance (Australia) and also a Board Member of Psychosis Australia Trust. From 2012, he is a Commissioner in Australia's new National Mental Health Commission. He was until January 2012 a director of headspace: the national youth mental health foundation. Prof Hickie was previously chief executive officer (till 2003) and clinical adviser (till 2006) of beyondblue, an Australian National Depression Initiative. He is supported principally for clinical research in depression and health services and population health initiatives related to anxiety and depression by a NHMRC Australian Medical Research Fellowship (2007-12). He has led projects for health professionals and the community supported by governmental, community agency and pharmaceutical industry partners (Wyeth, Eli Lilly, Servier, Pfizer, AstraZeneca) for the identification and management of depression and anxiety. He

1
2
3 has received honoraria for presentations of his own work at educational seminars
4 supported by a number of non-government organisations and the pharmaceutical
5 industry (including Pfizer, Servier and Astra Zeneca). He has served on
6 advisory boards convened by the pharmaceutical industry in relation to specific
7 antidepressants, including nefazodone, duloxetine, and desvenlafaxine. He leads a
8 new investigator-initiated study of the effects of agomelatine on circadian parameters
9 (supported in part by Servier but also by other NHMRC funding) and has participated
10 in a multicentre clinical trial of agomelatine effects on sleep architecture in depression
11 and a Servier-supported study of major depression and sleep disturbance in primary
12 care settings. In addition to national and international Government-based grant bodies,
13 investigator-initiated mental health research at the BMRI he has been supported by
14 various pharmaceutical manufacturers (including Servier and Pfizer) and not-for-
15 profit entities (including the Heart Foundation, beyondblue and the BUPA
16 Foundation).

17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Author contributions

DFH, EMS, SLN & IBH designed the study and wrote the protocol. DFH and ML reviewed the literature; DH, DW & ML conducted the statistical analyses. DFH, ML, DW & IBH drafted the manuscript. DH, DW, BW and SN were involved in study coordination, administration of neuropsychological and data analyses. JL & BW contributed to the study interpretation and drafts of the manuscript. All authors contributed to and have approved the final manuscript.