Genetic Evidence for a Role for MCM1 in the Regulation of Arginine Metabolism in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*

FRANCINE MESSENGUY* AND EVELYNE DUBOIS

Institut de Recherches du CERLA, Avenue Emile Gryson 1, 1070 Brussels, Belgium

Received 13 July 1992/Returned for modification 25 November 1992/Accepted 15 January 1993

ARGRI, ARGRII, and ARGRIII regulatory proteins control the expression of arginine anabolic and catabolic genes in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. We have shown that MCM1 is part of the ARGR regulatory complex, by in vitro binding experiments, at the *ARGR5,6* promoter. The participation of MCM1 in the regulation of arginine metabolism is confirmed by the behavior of an *mcm1-gcn4* mutant, which is affected in the repression of arginine anabolic genes. In this *mcm1* mutant, synthesis of the catabolic enzymes is rather constitutive, but this derepression requires the integrity of the ARGR system and of the target sequences of these proteins in the *CAR1* promoter. Our in vitro binding experiments confirm the presence of MCM1 in the protein complex interacting with the promoters of the catabolic *CAR1* and *CAR2* genes. This is the first in vivo transcription role ascribed to MCM1 other than its role in the transcription of cell-type-specific genes.

Three proteins, called ARGRI, ARGRII, and ARGRIII, regulate the expression of genes involved in the metabolism of arginine in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. In the presence of arginine, they are required to repress the synthesis of five anabolic enzymes and to induce that of two catabolic enzymes. Mutations in any of the three ARGR genes lead to constitutive expression of the anabolic genes and to an absence of induction of the catabolic genes, preventing growth on arginine and ornithine as sole nitrogen sources (1, 30, 31). ARGRI and ARGRII are specific regulators of the arginine system, while ARGRIII is involved in other cellular processes (27). The promoters of two anabolic genes (ARG3 and ARG5, 6) and two catabolic genes (CARI and CAR2) have been analyzed by creating internal deletions and point mutations (6, 8–10, 12, 14, 22). To define precisely the target of the ARGR proteins, these studies have been complemented by gel retardation assays and DNase I footprinting analysis (23). The regulation of these genes by arginine and the ARGR proteins requires at least two regions, called box A and box B, located downstream of the TATA element in the ARG3 and ARG5,6 promoters and upstream of the TATA sequence in the CAR1 and CAR2 promoters.

Interestingly, box A has homology to the P box, which is the target of MCM1 protein, in mating-type-specific genes (2). It is also noteworthy that MCM1 and ARGRI proteins share significant similarity; within a stretch of 80 amino acids, 55 are identical (26).

The mechanism of binding of the ARGR proteins to the ARG5,6 promoter has been more thoroughly investigated in vitro. We have shown that the three ARGR proteins are required to observe a protein-DNA complex with this promoter (16). This specific binding is stimulated by arginine and overexpression of ARGRII. One of the deletions created in the ARGRII protein (Δ 145–165) leads to the formation of a protein-DNA complex with faster mobility, which suggests but does not prove that ARGRII is present in this complex (28). The participation of ARGRI and MCM1 in the protein-DNA complex was demonstrated by the use of antibodies raised to an ARGRI-specific peptide or to a peptide of MCM1 not homologous to ARGRI (16). Although ARGRIII

protein is indispensable for the interaction of these proteins with the ARG5, 6 promoter in vitro as well as in vivo, we could not demonstrate its direct participation in the protein-DNA complex.

We have previously shown that the overexpression of ARGRII protein and the presence of arginine in the in vitro binding assay are also necessary to observe the formation of a protein complex with the ARG3, CAR1, and CAR2 promoters. Moreover, competition experiments indicated that these promoters were probably bound by the same protein complex as was the ARG5, 6 promoter (23). Thus, it is likely that MCM1 is a component of the protein-DNA complex at arginine anabolic and catabolic genes.

In this report, proof of the participation of MCM1 in the regulation of arginine metabolism is provided by the study of an *mcm1* mutant.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Strains and media. Strain 8534-8c (his4 leu2 ura3) and the isogenic mutant mcm1-gcn4/DE(Q) are described by Christ and Tye (7). Strains 10R34d-II (ura3 argRII::CAR1), 02431a (ura3 leu2 argRII::CAR1) (28), 10R32b (ura3 leu2 argRI), and 10R41b (ura3 leu2 argRIII) were used as recipient strains for plasmids containing either the ARGRI or ARGRII gene. Strains 02613c [argRII::CAR1 mcm1-gcn4/DE(Q)] ura3] and 02613d (argRII::CAR1 ura3) were obtained by crossing 10R34d-II and mcm1-gcn4/DE(Q). Strain 02578b [cargRI mcm1-gcn4/DE(Q) leu2 his4 ura3] was obtained by crossing mcm1-gcn4/DE(Q) and 02322c (cargRI ura3). Strains 02591d (carl ura3) and 02586a (carl mcm1-gcn4 ura3 leu2 his4) were obtained by crossing 02356d (car1 ura3) with mcm1-gcn4/DE(Q) and were used as recipient strains for plasmids pCV7 and pCV40. Since the MATa strain mcm1gcn4/DE(Q) is sterile, it was transformed with plasmid pMCM1 prior to mating with the different mutant strains. Once the zygotes were formed, loss of plasmid pMCM1 was selected prior to sporulation.

All yeast strains were grown on minimal medium containing 0.02 M $(NH_4)_2SO_4$ as the nitrogen source (M. ammonia), supplemented with 3% glucose or 2% galactose, vitamins, and trace minerals (22). L-Arginine (1 mg/ml) or L-lysine (60 µg/ml) was added as indicated to M. ammonia. In M.

^{*} Corresponding author.

TABLE 1. Effect of the mcm1-gcn4 mutation on the expression of several genes encoding metabolic enzymes

	Medium ^a	Sp act (μ mol of product formed/h/mg of protein) ^b									
Strain		OTCase	Acetyl- gluta- mate kinase	OTase	Arginase	CPSase	Arginino- succinase	Product of LYS9	NADP gluta- mate dehydro- genase	NAD glu- tamate dehydro- genase	
8534-8c	M. ammonia	23	0.085	0.41	27	0.56	1.7	1.1	31	1.2	
	M. ammonia + Arg	5	0.025	1.20	80	0.13	1.3				
	M. ammonia + Lys							0.25			
	M. glutamate								24	61	
mcm1-gcn4/DE(Q)	M. ammonia	31	0.110	1.15	134	0.47	1.4	1	36	1.9	
	M. ammonia + Arg	23	0.088	1.56	239	0.13	1.1				
	M. ammonia + Lys							0.25			
	M. glutamate								21	70	
mcm1-gcn4/DE(Q)/ pMCM1	M. ammonia	27		0.32	26						
	M. ammonia + Arg	5		1.12	94						

^a Since strains 8534-8c and mcm1-gcn4/DE(Q) are *ura3 his4 leu2*, 25 μ g each of uracil and histidine and 50 μ g of leucine per ml were added to the growth medium. Where noted, 1 mg of arginine or 60 μ g of lysine per ml was added to M. ammonia medium.

^b Each value is the mean of two to five independent measurements which did not differ by more than 20%.

glutamate medium, the nitrogen source was L-glutamate (1 mg/ml). Where mentioned, 20 μ g of uracil or L-histidine or 50 μ g of L-leucine per ml was also added to M. ammonia.

Plasmids. Plasmids YpED1 (pBR322, ARGRII, URA3, 2µm), YEP34 (pGAL10-ARGRII, LEU2, 2µm), and YEP52-RI (pGAL10-ARGRI, LEU2, 2µm) are described by Dubois (3, 15, 28) and Messenguy (15, 28). Plasmid pCV7 results from insertion of a 5.8-kb BamHI-BamHI DNA fragment from the CAR1 gene into the monocopy plasmid pFL38 described in reference 5. Plasmid pCV40 results from insertion in plasmid pFL38 of a 5.8-kb BamHI-BamHI DNA fragment deleted of box A and box B in the CAR1 promoter (-210 to -202 and -184 to -168, +1 being at the first ATG),as described in reference 23. Plasmid pMCM1 results from insertion of a 3.4-kb SphI-SphI fragment carrying the MCM1 gene into the multicopy plasmid pFL1 (pBR322, URA3, 2µm). Plasmid pED30 results from insertion of a 5-kb HindIII-HindIII DNA fragment containing the CARGRI gene in plasmid pFL44 (pUC19, 2µm, URA3 [5]).

Yeast transformation. The procedure was performed as described by Hinnen et al. (17).

Gel retardation assays. Extract preparation and the binding assays were performed as described by Dubois and Messenguy (16). For binding studies with the *CAR1* promoter, a 320-bp *Eco*RV-*BgI*II or a 167-bp *ThaI-BgI*II fragment from pED22 was used. For binding studies with the *CAR2* promoter, a 135-bp *HhaI-HaeIII* fragment from pED23 was used (23). The fragments were end labeled with $[\gamma^{-32}P]ATP$ (Amersham) by using polynucleotide kinase according to the standard method (21). Preparation of anti-ARGRI and anti-MCM1 antibodies is described by Jarvis et al. (18) and Dubois and Messenguy (16).

Enzyme assays. Ornithine carbamoyltransferase (OTCase; EC 2.1.3.3), carbamoylphosphate synthetase (CPSase; EC 2.7.2.9), argininosuccinase (EC 4.3.2.1), acetylglutamate kinase (EC 2.7.2.8), arginase (EC 3.5.3.1), ornithine transaminase (OTase; EC 2.6.1.13), saccharopine dehydrogenase (NADP, glutamate forming; product of *LYS9*; EC 1.5.1.10), and NAD and NADP glutamate dehydrogenases (EC 1.4.1.2) and EC 1.4.1.4) were assayed as described in references 25, 20, 11, 19, 25, 14, 29, and 4, respectively. Proteins were determined by the Folin method.

RESULTS

Effect of a mutation in MCM1 on the specific control of arginine-regulated genes. As the MCM1 gene is essential for cell viability, it is difficult to test its role in arginine regulation in vivo. We have already reported that the mcm1-1 mutant (point mutation), which is α sterile and impaired in minichromosome maintenance (26), is unaffected in its regulation by arginine (16). Christ and Tye have produced a new mcm1 mutant in which amino acids 98 to 152 are replaced by amino acids 85 to 150 from GCN4 (containing the acidic activation domain of GCN4) (7). This mutant is also affected in the control of α -specific genes and minichromosome maintenance, but in contrast to the strain carrying the mcm1-1 point mutation, it has partially lost the repression of **a**-specific genes in α cells. This mutant is also impaired in the repression of arginine anabolic genes by arginine and is affected in the expression of the two catabolic genes (Table 1). OTCase and acetylglutamate kinase represent two anabolic enzymes whose synthesis is repressed by the ARGR proteins in the presence of arginine. Their specific activities are higher in the mutant strain grown on M. ammonia and on M. ammonia-arginine media than in the isogenic wild-type strain, showing a reduction of the repression of their synthesis. Arginase and OTase are the two catabolic enzymes whose synthesis is induced by arginine and the ARGR proteins. In the mcm1 mutant strain, the specific activities of both enzymes are very high, even in the absence of exogenous arginine. When strain mcm1-gcn4/DE(Q) is transformed with the multicopy plasmid pMCM1, OTCase, arginase, and OTase activities are again comparable to those of the wild-type strain.

We also tested the effect of the *mcm1-gcn4* mutation on the expression of other metabolic genes, two of which belong to the arginine anabolic pathway but are not regulated by the ARGR proteins. Argininosuccinase is only under general amino acid control (11), and CPSase A is regulated by arginine at the translational level (24, 32). As shown in Table 1, their specific activities are not significantly affected by the *mcm1-gcn4* mutation, whether the strains are grown in the presence or absence of arginine in the growth medium. The product of the *LYS9* gene (glutamate-forming saccharopine dehydrogenase) is normally repressed by lysine in the

in		Plasmid	Sp act (µmol of product formed/h/mg of protein) ^a						
	Genotype		OTCase	Arginase					
			M. am M. am + Ar	g M. am M. am + Arg	M. am				

TABLE 2. Effect of the mcm1-gcn4 mutation in argRII and cargRI mutant strains

	Genotype	Plasmid	Sp act (µmol of product formed/h/mg of protein) ^a						
Strain				OTCase	Arginase		OTase		
			M. am	M. am + Arg	M. am	M. am + Arg	M. am	M. am + Arg	
8434-8c	Wild type		23	5	27	80	0.41	1.20	
mcm1-gcn4/DE(Q)	mcm1-gcn4		31	23	134	239	1.15	1.56	
02613d	ARGRII::CAR1		39	40	11	11	0.05	0.07	
02613c	ARGRII::CAR1 mcm1-gcn4		53	55	6	5	0.06	0.05	
02578b	mcm1-gcn4 cargRI				221		2.7		
02578b	mcm1-gcn4 cargRI	pCARGRI			143		1.5		
02578b	mcm1-gcn4 cargRI	pMCM1			50		0.76		
02322c	cargRĬ	•			41		0.7		

^a Since strains 8534-8c and mcm1-gcn4/DE(Q) are ura3 his4 leu2, 25 µg each of uracil and histidine and 50 µg of leucine per ml were added to the growth medium. Where noted, 1 mg of arginine was added to M. ammonia (M. am) medium. Each value is the mean of three independent measurements which did not differ by more than 20%.

mcml-gcn4 strain, and the expression of the NAD and NADP glutamate dehydrogenases is not affected either (Table 1). Thus, the mcm1-gcn4 mutation impairs the regulation only of genes whose expression is controlled by the ARGR proteins. In the mcml-gcn4 mutant, repression of OTCase and acetylglutamate kinase synthesis is reduced, but the effect is partial compared with the behavior of an argRmutant (02613d) (shown only for OTCase in Table 2). Other functions controlled by MCM1 are also only partially impaired by the mcm1-gcn4 mutation (7). In the mcm1-gcn4 argRII::CAR1 double mutant (02613c), OTCase activity is consistently higher than in the strain bearing an argRII mutation (Table 2). This additional derepression is not observed in the argRI argRII argRIII triple mutant (11), suggesting either that MCM1 and the ARGR proteins operate differently in enabling arginine-mediated repression or that the transcription activation domain of the gcn4 moiety boosts the overall transcription of the OTCase gene. The mcm1-gcn4 and argR mutations have opposite effects on induction of the arginine catabolic genes. In an argR mutant (02613d), arginase and OTase synthesis is not inducible, whereas in the mcm1-gcn4 strain, the expression of CAR1 and CAR2 genes is rather constitutive. One possibility is that wild-type MCM1 is a repressor of transcription of catabolic genes and that the ARGR products act to overcome this repression in the presence of arginine. If this is so, an mcm1-gcn4 argR double mutant should show constitutive expression of the catabolic genes. However, arginase and OTase levels in an argRII mcm1-gcn4 strain were comparable to those seen in an argRII strain (Table 2), ruling out the possibility that the ARGR proteins act solely to overcome MCM1-mediated repression.

We also investigated the relationship between MCM1 and other regulators of CAR1 (arginase) and CAR2 (OTase) through double-mutant studies. Mutation of CARGRI

(CAR80), CARGRII (CAR81), or CARGRIII (CAR82) leads to constitutive synthesis of arginase and OTase, and in this case, constitutive synthesis is seen even in cargR argR double mutants (13, 14). As shown in Table 2, the cargRI mcm1-gcn4 double mutant exhibited elevated arginase and OTase activities compared with the same strain transformed with a plasmid bearing the CARGRI gene (pED30) and compared with the mcm1-gcn4 single mutant. As above, the increased expression of CAR1 and CAR2 may indicate that MCM1 and CARGRI function by different means or may simply reflect a contribution to transcription activation by mcm1-gcn4.

To determine whether MCM1 functions through the same target as do the ARGR proteins, we measured arginase activity in an mcml-gcn4 carl strain transformed with monocopy plasmids containing either the wild-type CAR1 gene (pCV7) or the CAR1 gene carrying a deletion of box A and box B (deletion of -211 to -202 and -184 to -168), defined as the target of the ARGR proteins (23). As shown in Table 3, the constitutive expression of CAR1, as a result of the mcml-gcn4 mutation, requires the box A and box B sequences. All of these results support the idea that MCM1 regulates arginine catabolic genes by acting together with the ARGR proteins through box A and box B sequences. We next used in vitro experiments to test whether formation of the ARGR complex with the catabolic promoters is modified in the mcm1-gcn4 mutant.

Effect of the mcml-gcn4 mutation on the formation of a protein-DNA complex at the arginine coregulated promoters. Since the *mcm1-gcn4* strain is impaired in arginine regulai on, we tested whether a protein extract from this strain had retained its arginine-dependent DNA binding activity at the ARG5,6, CAR1, and CAR2 promoters. When these promoters are incubated with extracts from control (8534-8c) and mutated [mcm1-gcn4/DE(Q)] strains transformed with

TABLE 3. Effect of deletion of the arginine boxes in the CAR1 promoter on induction by mcm1-gcn4 mutant protein

Strain	Genotype	Plasmid	Arginase sp act in M. ammonia (µmol of product formed/h/mg of protein) ^a		
02591d	carl	pCV7 (wild-type CAR1 gene)	18		
		pCV40 (CAR1 gene deleted of box A and box B)	4		
2586a	carl mcm1-gcn4	pCV7	254		
	C	pCV40	5		

" Each value is the mean of two independent measurements which did not differ by more than 20%.



FIG. 1. Effect of the *mcm1-gcn4* mutation on the formation of a protein-DNA complex with the *CAR1* promoter. The ³²P-end-labeled 167-bp *Tha1-BgIII* DNA fragment was incubated with yeast extracts (10 μ g) from strains 8534-8c (*MCM1 ura3 his4 leu2*; lane 1) and mcm1-gcn4/DE(Q) (*mcm1-gcn4 his4 ura3 leu2*; lane 2) transformed with plasmid YpED1 (pBR322, *URA3*, 2 μ m, *ARGRII*) and grown on M. ammonia-glucose-arginine plus 25 μ g of histidine and 50 μ g of leucine per ml.

pARGRII on the multicopy plasmid pFL44 (pUC19, URA3, 2μ m; gift from F. Lacroute [5]), we observe the formation of the same protein-DNA complex with unaffected mobility. Figure 1 shows only the data obtained for the CAR1 promoter. In lanes 1 and 2, extracts were prepared from wild-type (8534-8c) and mutated [mcm1-gcn4/DE(Q)] strains, in which ARGRII expression is under its own promoter. In each case, we observe the formation of only one complex, with comparable efficiency and mobility in the wild-type and mutant strains. We also confirmed that the binding activity present in the mutant extract is still dependent on the presence of arginine in the binding assay (data not shown).

These results demonstrate that the *mcm1-gcn4* mutation has no detectable effect on the formation of the protein complex with arginine anabolic and catabolic promoters in vitro, but no portion of MCM1 required for DNA binding is missing in the mutant protein (7). It is noteworthy that most of the deletions created in vitro in the ARGRII protein, outside of the zinc finger which is its putative DNA binding domain, do not affect the mobility or the formation of a protein complex with arginine control regions (reference 28 and unpublished data).

Composition of the protein complex binding the CAR1 and CAR2 promoters. Competition experiments had suggested that the ARG5, 6, ARG3, CAR1, and CAR2 promoters were bound by the same protein complex. For the ARG5, 6 gene, binding activity required the integrity of ARGRI, ARGRII, and ARGRIII proteins, and gel shift assays in the presence of antibodies confirmed the participation of ARGRI and MCM1 in the protein-DNA complex (16). However, since the mcm1-gcn4 mutation affects expression of the CAR1 and CAR2 genes in an opposite way than argR mutations, it seemed worthwhile to determine whether a complex of different composition interacts with CAR1 and CAR2 promoters in vitro. By gel retardation assays, we have already shown that strong binding to CAR1 and CAR2 promoters is observed only in the presence of 5 mM L-arginine and with proteins from cells in which the ARGRII gene is overexpressed, suggesting the participation of ARGRII in the protein-DNA complex (16). We have also checked the participation of MCM1, ARGRI, and ARGRIII in the formation of a protein-DNA interaction with these promoters.



FIG. 2. Evidence that ARGRI and MCM1 are part of the protein-DNA complex with the CAR1 and CAR2 control regions. (A) The ³²P-end-labeled 320-bp EcoRV-Bg/II CAR1 DNA fragment (about 1 ng) was incubated with a yeast extract prepared from strain 02431a (argRII leu2 ura3) transformed with pGAL10-ARGRII (YEP34) and grown on M. ammonia-galactose-arginine plus 25 µg of uracil per ml. The extract was incubated with ARGRI antibodies (lane 2) or MCM1 antibodies (lane 5) before incubation with the fragment. In lane 3, ARGRI antibodies were preincubated with ARGRI peptide before incubation with the extract. In lane 6, MCM1 antibodies were incubated with MCM1 peptide before incubation with the extract. (B) The ³²P-end-labeled 135-bp HhaI-HaeIII CAR2 DNA fragment (about 1 ng) was incubated with a yeast extract prepared from strain 02431a (argRII leu2 ura3) transformed with pGAL10-ARGRII (YEP34) and grown on M. ammonia-galactose-arginine plus 25 µg of uracil per ml (lane 5). The extract was incubated with ARGRI antibodies (lane 1) or MCM1 antibodies (lane 3) before incubation with the fragment. In lane 2, ARGRI antibodies were preincubated with ARGRI peptide before incubation with the extract. In lane 4, MCM1 antibodies were preincubated with MCM1 peptide before incubation with the extract.

Gel shift experiments were carried out to demonstrate the participation of MCM1 in the ARGR complex with CAR1 and CAR2 control regions. When antibodies raised to an internal peptide of MCM1 (a gift from G. Sprague [18]) with no homology with ARGRI (16) are added in the binding assay, an additional protein-DNA complex with reduced mobility is observed. This complex is absent when MCM1 antibodies are preincubated with MCM1 peptide prior to the



FIG. 3. Involvement of ARGRI and ARGRIII in the formation of a protein-DNA complex with the CAR1 and CAR2 control regions. (Å) The ³²P-end-labeled 320-bp EcoRV-BglII DNA fragment containing the control region of the CAR1 gene (about 1 ng) was incubated with yeast extracts (10 µg) prepared from strain 02431a (argRII leu2 ura3) transformed with pGAL10-ARGRII (YEP34) (lane 1), strain 10R32b (argRI leu2 ura3) transformed with pGAL10-ARGRII (YEP34) (lane 2), and strain 10R41b (argRIII leu2 ura3) transformed with pGAL10-ARGRII (YEP34) (lane 3) grown on M. ammonia-galactose-arginine plus 25 µg of uracil per ml. (B) The ³²P-end-labeled 135-bp HhaI-HaeIII DNA fragment containing the control region of CAR2 was incubated with yeast extracts (10 µg) prepared from strain 02431a (argRII leu2 ura3) transformed with pGAL10-ARGRII (YEP34) (lane 1), strain 10R32b (argRI leu2 ura3) transformed with pGAL10-ARGRII (YEP34) (lane 2), strain 02431a transformed with pGAL10-ARGRI (YEP52-RI) (lane 3), and strain 10R41b (argRIII leu2 ura3) transformed with pGAL10-ARGRII (YEP34) (lane 5) grown on M. ammonia-galactose-arginine plus 25 µg of uracil per ml. In lane 4, the experiment was performed by mixing the extracts used in lanes 2 and 3.

binding assay (Fig. 2A, lanes 4 to 6; Fig. 2B, lanes 3 to 5). Thus, a component of the complex shares an epitope with MCM1 protein.

ARGRI and ARGRIII are also required for in vitro protein binding to the CAR1 and CAR2 promoters. As shown in Fig. 3A (lanes 1 to 3) and B (lanes 1, 2, and 5), little or no binding occurred with extracts from either an argRI (10R32b) or argRIII (10R41b) strain transformed with a pGAL10-ARGRII plasmid (YEP34). An arginine-dependent complex at the CAR2 promoter can be restored by adding an extract prepared from a strain containing overproduced ARGRI to an extract containing overexpressed ARGRII synthesized in an argRI mutant strain (Fig. 3B, lanes 2 to 4). A similar in vitro complementation was observed at the CAR1 promoter (data not shown). Thus, the absence of binding with an extract from an argRI strain is not due to the instability of ARGRII protein in the extract. This result supports the idea that ARGRI has a direct role in formation of the argininedependent protein-DNA complex rather than a role in the generation of required posttranslational modifications of other proteins. The experiments presented in Fig. 2 provide additional evidence for the presence of ARGRI in the protein complex at the CAR1 and CAR2 promoters. Indeed, when antibodies raised against a 13-amino-acid peptide of ARGRI are added in the binding assay, an additional protein-DNA complex with reduced mobility is observed. The addition of the ARGRI peptide inhibits its formation (Fig. 2A, lanes 1 to 3; Fig. 2B, lanes 1, 2, and 5).

DISCUSSION

Genetic evidence provided many years ago (1, 30, 31) indicated that the ARGRI, ARGRII, and ARGRIII gene products were required for the in vivo repression of five arginine anabolic enzymes and induction of two catabolic enzymes by arginine. More recent data showed that the three ARGR proteins control the formation of a specific complex with the promoter of the ARG5,6 gene in the presence of arginine and that MCM1 also seems to participate in this binding in vitro (16). We have also shown that the binding of proteins to the ARG3, CAR1, and CAR2 arginine boxes present in these promoters required the presence of arginine and the overexpression of ARGRII (24). In this report, we present evidence indicating that ARGRI and MCM1 proteins are part of the protein complex interacting, in the presence of arginine, with the CAR1 and CAR2 catabolic promoters, as was shown previously for the ARG5, 6 anabolic promoter. ARGRIII is also required for the interaction of these proteins with their DNA targets, but we have no proof for its direct participation in the protein-DNA complex.

Up to now, it was difficult to establish the role of MCM1 in the regulation of arginine metabolism in vivo, as very few viable mcm1 mutants were available. The mcm1-gcn4 mutant produced by Christ and Tye (7), which is affected in expression of a- and α -specific genes, is impaired in the expression of arginine anabolic and catabolic genes controlled by the three ARGR proteins. An argR mutant expresses constitutively the anabolic pathway and is unable to induce the catabolic pathway. This mcm1-gcn4 mutation impairs partially repression of anabolic genes by arginine and leads to high expression of the catabolic genes in the absence of arginine. The mutant behaves as a partial argRstrain for OTCase expression, and there is a slight but reproducible cumulative effect when the mcm1-gcn4 mutation is combined with an argRII mutation. The combination of argRI, argRII, and argRIII mutations has no such effect. The cumulative effect of the argRII and mcm1-gcn4 mutations is somewhat surprising given that ARGRII is required for any protein-DNA complex formation at the OTCase gene promoter in vitro. Perhaps some complex is formed in vivo in the absence of ARGRII, or perhaps MCM1 binds at other sites. In any event, the cumulative effect of the mcm1-gcn4 and argRII mutations may indicate that the MCM1 and ARGR proteins control expression of the OTCase structural gene by different means; their combined action is required to bring about complete arginine-mediated repression. Alternatively, the greater expression of OTCase in an mcm1-gcn4 argRII mutant than in an argRI argRII double mutant reflects different transcription activation potentials of wildtype and mutant MCM1. In the absence of ARGR repression, mcm1-gcn4 may be able to make a greater contribution to transcription than can wild-type MCM1. A comparison of the level of OTCase expression in the argRI argRII double mutant to expression of an OTCase gene deleted of the arginine boxes, elements that confer arginine-mediated repression, may help resolve which of these two possibilities is correct.

Control of expression of the two catabolic genes is more complex, since in addition to induction by arginine and the three ARGR proteins, three repressors (CARGRI, CARGRII, and CARGRIII) exert a negative control. Both *cargR* and *mcm1-gcn4* mutants exhibit expression of the catabolic genes in the absence of arginine, but the basis of expression appears to be different in the two mutants. This conclusion follows from two observations. First, the expression that occurs in *cargR* mutants does not require the ARGR products (13, 14), but the expression that occurs in the *mcm1-gcn4* mutant does require ARGR function. Second, a *cargR mcm1-gcn4* double mutant exhibits greater expression of the catabolic genes than does the *mcm1-gcn4* single mutant. In keeping with the idea that the CARGR and the ARGR proteins play separate roles in regulating expression of the catabolic genes, the proteins appear to act at distinct sites, MCM1 at the arginine boxes and CARGRI at an adjacent sequence (reference 9 and unpublished data).

Thus, MCM1 and the ARGR proteins participate together in the induction of arginine catabolic genes by arginine. The higher expression of the CAR1 and CAR2 genes in the mcm1-gcn4 mutant could be due to an increase in DNA binding affinity of the regulatory complex or to an increase of activation capacity of this complex once it is bound to DNA. As our band shift assay does not seem to show that the mcm1-gcn4 mutation enhances the efficiency of protein binding to DNA, at least in vitro, we favor the idea that the activation capacity of the mutated complex is increased. As the acidic domain of MCM1 was replaced by the efficient acidic domain of GCN4, the activation capacity of the mutated mcm1 protein could be strongly enhanced, and the complex bound to DNA on ammonia could allow high expression of CAR1 and CAR2 genes. This hypothesis is supported by results presented by Christ and Tye suggesting an increase of transcription activation potential of the mutant protein (7).

Analysis of this *mcm1-gcn4* mutant provided the first genetic evidence for involvement of MCM1 in arginine regulation. However, since this mutant is quite peculiar, its behavior may not be totally representative of a loss of MCM1 function, as occurs probably for its effect on expression of arginine catabolism. At this point, it becomes necessary to generate a series of *mcm1* mutations that would not affect the role of MCM1 in cell viability but would affect its interaction with the ARGR proteins. Study of such mutants could enable identification of the domains of MCM1 that are required for regulation of arginine metabolism.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are very grateful to C. Christ and Bik-Kwoon Tye for the gift of the *mcm1-gcn4* mutant strain, to E. Joris for skillful technical assistance, and to J. P. ten Have for preparing the photographs.

Part of this work was supported by the Research Council of the Université Libre de Bruxelles.

REFERENCES

- 1. Béchet, J., M. Grenson, and J. M. Wiame. 1970. Mutations affecting the repressibility of arginine biosynthetic enzymes in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Eur. J. Biochem. 12:31–39.
- 2. Bender, A., and G. F. Sprague. 1987. Mat α 1 protein, a yeast transcription activator, binds synergistically with a second protein to a set of cell-type-specific genes. Cell **50:**681–691.
- 3. Bercy, J., E. Dubois, and F. Messenguy. 1987. Regulation of arginine metabolism in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*: expression of the three *ARGR* regulatory genes. Gene 55:277–285.
- 4. Boehringer, C. F. 1968. Biochemica catalogue. Mannheim, Germany.
- Bonneaud, N., O. Ozier-Kalogeropoulos, G. Li, M. Labouesse, L. Minvielle-Sebastia, and F. Lacroute. 1991. A family of low and high copy replicative, integrative and single stranded S. cerevisiae/E. coli shuttle vectors. Yeast 7:609-615.
- 6. Boonchird, C., F. Messenguy, and E. Dubois. 1991. Characterization of the yeast ARG5,6 gene: determination of the nucleotide sequence, analysis of the control region and of ARG5,6 transcript. Mol. Gen. Genet. 226:154-166.

- Christ, C., and B. K. Tye. 1991. Functional domains of the yeast transcription/replication factor MCM1. Genes Dev. 5:751-763.
- Crabeel, M., R. Huygen, K. Verschueren, F. Messenguy, K. Tinel, R. Cunin, and N. Glansdorff. 1985. General amino acid control and specific arginine repression in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*: physical study of the bifunctional regulatory region of the *ARG3* gene. Mol. Cell. Biol. 5:3139–3148.
- Cunin, R., E. Dubois, G. Vanthienen, K. Tinel, A. Jacobs, and M. Crabeel. 1986. Positive and negative regulation of *CAR1* expression in *S. cerevisiae*. Mol. Gen. Genet. 205:170–175.
- 10. **Degols, G.** 1987. Functional analysis of the regulatory region adjacent to the *cargB* gene of *S. cerevisiae*. Nucleotide sequence, gene fusion experiments and cis-dominant regulatory mutation analysis. Eur. J. Biochem. 165:289–296.
- 11. Delforge, J., F. Messenguy, and J. M. Wiame. 1975. The regulation of arginine biosynthesis in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*: the specificity of *argR* mutations and the general control of amino acid biosynthesis. Eur. J. Biochem. 57:231-239.
- De Rijcke, M., S. Seneca, B. Punyammalee, N. Glansdorff, and M. Crabeel. 1992. Characterization of the DNA target site of the yeast ARGR regulatory complex, a sequence able to mediate repression or induction by arginine. Mol. Cell. Biol. 12:68–81.
- 13. Deschamps, J., E. Dubois, and J. M. Wiame. 1979. L-Ornithine transaminase synthesis in *S. cerevisiae*: regulation by inducer exclusion. Mol. Gen. Genet. 174:225–232.
- Dubois, E., D. Hiernaux, M. Grenson, and J. M. Wiame. 1978. Specific induction of catabolism and its relation to repression of biosynthesis in arginine metabolism of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. J. Mol. Biol. 122:383–406.
- Dubois, E., and F. Messenguy. 1985. Isolation and characterization of the yeast ARGRII gene involved in regulating both anabolism and catabolism of arginine. Mol. Gen. Genet. 198:283–289.
- Dubois, E., and F. Messenguy. 1991. In vitro studies of the binding of the ARGR proteins to the ARG5,6 promoter. Mol. Cell. Biol. 11:2162-2168.
- Hinnen, A., J. B. Hicks, and G. R. Fink. 1978. Transformation of yeast. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 75:1929–1933.
- Jarvis, E. E., K. L. Clark, and G. F. Sprague. 1989. The yeast transcription activator PRTF, a homolog of the mammalian serum response factor, is encoded by the MCM1 gene. Genes Dev. 3:936-945.
- Jauniaux, J. C., L. A. Urrestarazu, and J. M. Wiame. 1978. Arginine metabolism in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*: subcellular localization of the enzymes. J. Bacteriol. 133:1096–1107.
- 20. Lacroute, F., A. Piérard, M. Grenson, and J. M. Wiame. 1965. The biosynthesis of carbamoylphosphate in *Saccharomyces* cerevisiae. J. Gen. Microbiol. 40:127-142.
- 21. Maniatis, T., E. F. Fritsch, and J. Sambrook. 1982. Molecular cloning: a laboratory manual. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, N.Y.
- Messenguy, F. 1976. Regulation of arginine biosynthesis in Saccharomyces cerevisiae: isolation of a cis dominant constitutive mutant for ornithine carbamoyltransferase synthesis. J. Bacteriol. 128:49-55.
- 23. Messenguy, F., E. Dubois, and C. Boonchird. 1991. Determination of the DNA binding sequences of ARGR proteins to arginine anabolic and catabolic promoters. Mol. Cell. Biol. 11:2852-2863.
- 24. Messenguy, F., A. Feller, M. Crabeel, and A. Piérard. 1983. Control mechanisms acting at the transcriptional and posttranscriptional level are involved in the synthesis of the arginine pathway carbamoylphosphate synthase of yeast. EMBO J. 2:1249-1254.
- Messenguy, F., M. Penninckx, and J. M. Wiame. 1971. Interaction between arginase and ornithine carbamoyltransferase in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Eur. J. Biochem. 22:277–286.
- 26. Passmore, S., G. T. Meine, R. Elble, C. Christ, and B. K. Tye. 1988. Saccharomyces cerevisiae protein involved in plasmid maintenance is necessary for mating of MAT α cells. J. Mol. Biol. 204:593-606.
- 27. Qiu, H. F., E. Dubois, P. Broën, and F. Messenguy. 1990. Functional analysis of ARGRI and ARGRIII regulatory proteins involved in the regulation of arginine metabolism in *Saccharo*-

myces cerevisiae. Mol. Gen. Genet. 222:192-200.

- Qiu, H. F., E. Dubois, and F. Messenguy. 1991. Dissection of the bifunctional ARGRII protein involved in the regulation of arginine anabolic and catabolic pathways. Mol. Cell. Biol. 11:2169-2179.
- 29. Ramos, F., and J. M. Wiame. 1985. Mutation affecting the specific regulatory control of lysine biosynthetic enzymes in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Mol. Gen. Genet. 200:291–294.
- 30. Thuriaux, P. 1969. Ph.D. thesis. Brussels University, Brussels,

Belgium.

- 31. Thuriaux, P., F. Ramos, J. M. Wiame, M. Grenson, and J. Béchet. 1968. Sur l'existence de gènes régulateurs affectant simultanément la synthèse des enzymes biosynthétiques et cataboliques de l'arginine chez Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Arch. Int. Physiol. Biochem. 76:955.
- 32. Werner, M., A. Feller, F. Messenguy, and A. Piérard. 1987. The leader peptide of yeast *CPA1* gene is essential for the translational repression of its expression. Cell **49**:805–813.