Risk Assessment in Clinical Trials

Introduction

This research aims to investigate how gene therapy researchers take account of considerations of risk when making decisions about the design and conduct of gene therapy clinical trials.

The questionnaire will take approximately 10-15 minutes to complete. The questions have been divided into the following sections, which will appear on separate pages.

Part A: demographic details (12 questions)

- **Part B:** decisions about initiating a clinical trial (8 questions, 7 parts each)
- Part C: general attitudes to research involving human subjects (5 questions)
- Part D: attitudes to research involving human subjects based on personal experience (4 questions)
- Part E: additional comments (optional; 1 question)

Even though you may not have been involved in trials analogous to the specific hypothetical scenarios described in Part B, we would value your judgments regarding risk-benefit assessment in these scenarios, based on your involvement and experience in research directed towards treating disease.

Thank you for giving your time to participate in our research. We greatly appreciate your thoughtful responses.

A) Demographic details

Please select the most appropriate answer.

(1) Please indicate your gender

E Female	
(2) Please indicate your age	
21-30 years	
31-40 years	
☐ 41-50 years	
51-60 years	
Over 60 years	

(3) In which country do you conduct your research?

If your research is part of a multi-centre study, please select the country in which the centre you conduct research at is based.

Male

🗌 Australia	Czech Republic	🗌 Germany	Mexico	🗌 Russia	Switzerland
🗌 Austria	🗌 Denmark	Ireland	Netherlands	Singapore Singapore	🗌 Taiwan
🗌 Belgium	Egypt	Srael	New Zealand	South Korea	United Kingdom
🗌 Canada	Finland	☐ Italy	🗌 Norway	🗌 Spain	United States
🗌 China	France	🗌 Japan	Poland	Sweden	Other (<i>please specify</i>)

(4) Have you been involved in a clinical trial in any way?



Page **2** of **16**

(5) What are/were your role(s) in a current or completed clinical trial?

Select more than one description if applicable.

20% research, 80% clinic

Generated preclinical data	Vector production		Consent	Not applicable
Trial design	Consent		Analysis of data	Other (<i>please specify</i>)
Recruitment of subjects	Clinical treatment of	or management	Manuscript prepar	ation
(6) What is the research set	ting for the preclinical re	search?		
Select more than one if app	licable			
Hospital	University	Indepe	ndent research institute	Other (<i>please specify</i>)
(7) Does the target population	on for your research incl	ude children?		
Yes	□ No			
(8) Are you involved in the c	linical care of children, i	ndependent of	any involvement you ma	y have had in a clinical trial?
Yes	□ No	D Not	applicable	
(9) Are you a scientist, clinic	cian or both?			
Scientist	Clinician	Both	n scientist and clinician	
(10) If you answered "both o	linician and scientist" in	Question 9, ple	ase indicate approximat	tely what percentage of your time is spent
conducting research and wh	nat percentage of your ti	me is spent in t	he clinic.	
10% research, 90% clinic	🗌 40% research, 6	00% CIINIC	70% research, 30	1% CIITIC

🗌 50% research, 50% clinic

30% research, 70%	60℃ k clinic	% research, 40% clinic	🗌 90% research, 10% cli	nic
(11) Please select	the most applicable	descriptions of your field	of research	
Select more than	one description if app	olicable		
Cancer/ oncology	Respiratory	Neurology	Ophthalmic	Other (<i>please specify</i>)
Metabolic	Haematology	Neurodegenerative	Infectious	
Cardiovascular	Immunology	Musculo-skeletal	Monogenic genetic disease	
(12) How many ye	ears have you been v	vorking in this field?		
🗌 1-5 years	☐ 16-	-20 years		

21-25 years

Over 25 years

5-10 years

11-15 years

Page **4** of **16**

B) Decisions about initiating a clinical trial

(1) Do you think it would be appropriate to recruit subjects to a phase I trial* on the basis of

a statistically powered study demonstrating therapeutic efficacy in relevant primary human cells in culture AND evidence of safety in a validated cell culture assay

in the following context?

	Strongly agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
No available treatment, presents at birth or soon after, death in infancy				
No available treatment, rapidly progressive, poor quality of life (QoL), death in childhood/adolescence				
No available treatment, slowly progressive, poor QoL during final 10 years, death in early adulthood				
No available treatment, QoL acceptable until end-stage, life expectancy reduced by 20 years				
Disease can be cured by allogeneic bone marrow transplantation, but prior myeloablation is required and transplant may be associated with acute and chronic graft-versus-host disease and other serious and secondary effects e.g. malignancy. Survival rate is 65% at 10 years. QoL in survivors is reduced but generally regarded as acceptable.				
Disease can be controlled by regular blood transfusions, which over time may lead to iron overload and cardiac failure. Life expectancy and QoL are reduced, although QoL is considered acceptable in most cases.				
Disease can be controlled by diet, but compliance is poor and low grade neurocognitive damage is likely. Life expectancy is normal in most patients and QoL is generally acceptable.				

*A phase I trial is the first stage of testing in human subjects and is designed to assess the safety of a new therapy.

(2) Do you think it would be appropriate to recruit subjects to a phase I trial* on the basis of

a statistically powered study demonstrating therapeutic efficacy in <u>relevant primary human cells</u> in culture AND evidence of safety in a validated cell culture assay, if a knock-out mouse model is expected to be generated <u>within</u> <u>two years</u>

in the following context?

No available treatment, presents at birth or soon after, death in infancy

No available treatment, rapidly progressive, poor quality of life (QoL), death in childhood/adolescence

No available treatment, slowly progressive, poor QoL during final 10 years, death in early adulthood

No available treatment, QoL acceptable until end-stage, life expectancy reduced by 20 years

Disease can be cured by allogeneic bone marrow transplantation, but prior myeloablation is required and transplant may be associated with acute and chronic graft-versus-host disease and other serious and secondary effects e.g. malignancy. Survival rate is 65% at 10 years. QoL in survivors is reduced but generally regarded as acceptable.

Disease can be controlled by regular blood transfusions, which over time may lead to iron overload and cardiac failure. Life expectancy and QoL are reduced, although QoL is considered acceptable in most cases.

Disease can be controlled by diet, but compliance is poor and low grade neurocognitive damage is likely. Life expectancy is normal in most patients and QoL is generally acceptable.

Strongly agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly disagree

(3) Do you think it would be appropriate to recruit subjects to a phase I trial* on the basis of

a statistically powered study demonstrating safety and therapeutic efficacy, in correcting a mouse phenotype with no evidence of toxicity or adverse events in long term follow up, using a mouse model where the <u>phenotype is the same</u> as the human disease (no large animal model available)

in the following context?

No available treatment, presents at birth or soon after, death in infancy

No available treatment, rapidly progressive, poor quality of life (QoL), death in childhood/adolescence

No available treatment, slowly progressive, poor QoL during final 10 years, death in early adulthood

No available treatment, QoL acceptable until end-stage, life expectancy reduced by 20 years

Disease can be cured by allogeneic bone marrow transplantation, but prior myeloablation is required and transplant may be associated with acute and chronic graft-versus-host disease and other serious and secondary effects e.g. malignancy. Survival rate is 65% at 10 years. QoL in survivors is reduced but generally regarded as acceptable.

Disease can be controlled by regular blood transfusions, which over time may lead to iron overload and cardiac failure. Life expectancy and QoL are reduced, although QoL is considered acceptable in most cases.

Disease can be controlled by diet, but compliance is poor and low grade neurocognitive damage is likely. Life expectancy is normal in most patients and QoL is generally acceptable.

Strongly agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly disagree

(4) Do you think it would be appropriate to recruit subjects to a phase I trial* on the basis of

a statistically powered study demonstrating safety and therapeutic efficacy, in correcting a mouse phenotype with no evidence of toxicity or adverse events in long term follow-up, using a mouse model that involves the same gene but where the <u>phenotype differs from the human disease</u> (no large animal model available)

in the following context?

No available treatment, presents at birth or soon after, death in infancy

No available treatment, rapidly progressive, poor quality of life (QoL), death in childhood/adolescence

No available treatment, slowly progressive, poor QoL during final 10 years, death in early adulthood

No available treatment, QoL acceptable until end-stage, life expectancy reduced by 20 years

Disease can be cured by allogeneic bone marrow transplantation, but prior myeloablation is required and transplant may be associated with acute and chronic graft-versus-host disease and other serious and secondary effects e.g. malignancy. Survival rate is 65% at 10 years. QoL in survivors is reduced but generally regarded as acceptable.

Disease can be controlled by regular blood transfusions, which over time may lead to iron overload and cardiac failure. Life expectancy and QoL are reduced, although QoL is considered acceptable in most cases.

Disease can be controlled by diet, but compliance is poor and low grade neurocognitive damage is likely. Life expectancy is normal in most patients and QoL is generally acceptable.

Strongly agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly disagree

(5) Do you think it would be appropriate to recruit subjects to a phase I trial* on the basis of

a statistically powered study demonstrating safety and therapeutic efficacy, in correcting a mouse phenotype with no adverse events, using a mouse model where the <u>phenotype is the same as the human disease</u> AND when a large animal model is available but a <u>large animal study would delay trial initiation by 3 years</u>

in the following context?

No available treatment, presents at birth or soon after, death in infancy

No available treatment, rapidly progressive, poor quality of life (QoL), death in childhood/adolescence

No available treatment, slowly progressive, poor QoL during final 10 years, death in early adulthood

No available treatment, QoL acceptable until end-stage, life expectancy reduced by 20 years

Disease can be cured by allogeneic bone marrow transplantation, but prior myeloablation is required and transplant may be associated with acute and chronic graft-versus-host disease and other serious and secondary effects e.g. malignancy. Survival rate is 65% at 10 years. QoL in survivors is reduced but generally regarded as acceptable.

Disease can be controlled by regular blood transfusions, which over time may lead to iron overload and cardiac failure. Life expectancy and QoL are reduced, although QoL is considered acceptable in most cases.

Disease can be controlled by diet, but compliance is poor and low grade neurocognitive damage is likely. Life expectancy is normal in most patients and QoL is generally acceptable.

Strongly agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly disagree

(6) Do you think it would be appropriate to recruit subjects to a phase I trial* on the basis of

a statistically powered study demonstrating safety and therapeutic efficacy in a <u>large animal model</u>, in correcting a disease phenotype that is the same as the human disease and with no adverse events in long term follow-up

Strongly

Disagree

Δaree

Strongly

in the following context?

	agree	Agree	Diougroo	disagree
No available treatment, presents at birth or soon after, death in infancy				
No available treatment, rapidly progressive, poor quality of life (QoL), death in childhood/adolescence				
No available treatment, slowly progressive, poor QoL during final 10 years, death in early adulthood				
No available treatment, QoL acceptable until end-stage, life expectancy reduced by 20 years				
Disease can be cured by allogeneic bone marrow transplantation, but prior myeloablation is required and transplant may be associated with acute and chronic graft-versus-host disease and other serious and secondary effects e.g. malignancy. Survival rate is 65% at 10 years. QoL in survivors is reduced but generally regarded as acceptable.				
Disease can be controlled by regular blood transfusions, which over time may lead to iron overload and cardiac failure. Life expectancy and QoL are reduced, although QoL is considered acceptable in most cases.				
Disease can be controlled by diet, but compliance is poor and low grade neurocognitive damage is likely. Life expectancy is normal in most patients and QoL is generally acceptable.				

(7) Do you think it would be appropriate to recruit subjects to a phase I trial* on the basis of

convincing preclinical safety and efficacy data in a mouse model AND data from a <u>phase I trial targeting a different disease</u> of the same target tissue, which used the <u>same technological intervention</u> and demonstrated therapeutic efficacy and <u>no</u> <u>adverse events</u>

in the following context?

No available treatment, presents at birth or soon after, death in infancy

No available treatment, rapidly progressive, poor quality of life (QoL), death in childhood/adolescence

No available treatment, slowly progressive, poor QoL during final 10 years, death in early adulthood

No available treatment, QoL acceptable until end-stage, life expectancy reduced by 20 years

Disease can be cured by allogeneic bone marrow transplantation, but prior myeloablation is required and transplant may be associated with acute and chronic graft-versus-host disease and other serious and secondary effects e.g. malignancy. Survival rate is 65% at 10 years. QoL in survivors is reduced but generally regarded as acceptable.

Disease can be controlled by regular blood transfusions, which over time may lead to iron overload and cardiac failure. Life expectancy and QoL are reduced, although QoL is considered acceptable in most cases.

Disease can be controlled by diet, but compliance is poor and low grade neurocognitive damage is likely. Life expectancy is normal in most patients and QoL is generally acceptable.

Strongly agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly disagree

(8) Do you think it would be appropriate to recruit subjects to a phase I trial* on the basis of

convincing preclinical safety and efficacy data in a mouse model AND data from a <u>phase I trial targeting a different disease</u> of the same target tissue, which used the <u>same technological intervention</u> and demonstrated therapeutic efficacy and a <u>low</u> <u>frequency of serious and life-threatening adverse events</u> (e.g. less than 10%)

in the following context?

No available treatment, presents at birth or soon after, death in infancy

No available treatment, rapidly progressive, poor quality of life (QoL), death in childhood/adolescence

No available treatment, slowly progressive, poor QoL during final 10 years, death in early adulthood

No available treatment, QoL acceptable until end-stage, life expectancy reduced by 20 years

Disease can be cured by allogeneic bone marrow transplantation, but prior myeloablation is required and transplant may be associated with acute and chronic graft-versus-host disease and other serious and secondary effects e.g. malignancy. Survival rate is 65% at 10 years. QoL in survivors is reduced but generally regarded as acceptable.

Disease can be controlled by regular blood transfusions, which over time may lead to iron overload and cardiac failure. Life expectancy and QoL are reduced, although QoL is considered acceptable in most cases.

Disease can be controlled by diet, but compliance is poor and low grade neurocognitive damage is likely. Life expectancy is normal in most patients and QoL is generally acceptable.

Strongly agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly disagree

C) General attitudes to research involving human subjects

To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

(1) In the context of a severe disease when treatment does not exist, it is **acceptable** to proceed to clinical trials in the absence of toxicological data.

Strongly agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
(2) The absence of humans.	of adverse even	ts in small animal r	models does not enable meaningful predictions to be made about long-term safety in
Strongly agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
(3) It is more impo	ortant to have a	greater prospect o	of benefit to participants in trials involving children than in trials involving adults.
Strongly agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
initially on patients patients with early	s with advanced / disease stage	d disease (where t	erable for novel therapeutic modalities with uncertain risks and benefits to be trialled the patient may have 'less to lose' but also less likelihood of benefit), rather than on nt may have a greater likelihood of benefit but also have more to lose), even when this ess efficacy.
Strongly agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
	pe acceptable to	o proceed to the cli	ical course when treatment options have been exhausted or there is no established inic on the basis of a statistically powered animal study, in which endpoint measures nee (i.e. $p \ge 0.05$).
Strongly agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly disagree

D) Attitudes to research involving human subjects based on personal experience

Please answer the following questions based on your own experience.

(1) When a decision is made about commencing a trial, to what extent is the potential for adverse events to have a negative effect on **public support and trust** a relevant consideration?

Completely	Somewhat	Somewhat relevant	Very	Not
irrelevant	irrelevant		relevant	applicable

(2) When a decision is made about commencing a trial, to what extent is the potential for adverse events to have a negative effect on your field of research a relevant consideration?

For example, by affecting funding, the decisions of funding bodies, or the decisions of other researchers

Completely	Somewhat	Somewhat	Very	Not
irrelevant	irrelevant	relevant	relevant	applicable

(3) Has your own <u>personal</u> decision-making about clinical trials ever been affected in any way by a report of an adverse event in a trial in your field?

For example, has your enthusiasm for developing, initiating or participating in a clinical trial been affected by adverse events occurring in another trial?

Completely	Somewhat	Somewhat relevant	Very	Not
irrelevant	irrelevant		relevant	applicable

(4) Please give reasons for your response.

Optional, but any brief comments would be greatly appreciated.

E) Additional comments

Are there any additional comments or views that you would like to express?

This question has been included to give you an opportunity to express any additional comments, views or concerns that you may have about decision-making in clinical research, including comments that might arise from dissatisfaction with the present questionnaire.

It is **optional**, but we would greatly appreciate any comments you may have.