

**Table S4 Normalized abundance of polymorphic and fixed TEs in several sizes of genomic regions around “250 kb” clusters.** Normalized abundance of TEs was calculated by dividing the abundance of each element with that found in random regions. Test regions corresponded to those containing cis-eQTLs (either clustered or single) or control clusters, each region being augmented by flanking regions of either 250, 500, 1000 kb.

		Polymorphic				Fixed	
		full LINES	LINE frag.	LTR-TEs	SINEs	LTR-TEs	SINEs
<b>Size of flanking regions</b>	250 kb						
<b>Normalized abundance of TEs / MB (mean ± SD)</b>	Cis-eqtl clusters (n=42)	0.51 ± 1.39	0.77 ± 1.42	2.27 ± 1.75	4.78 ± 3.51	1.55 ± 2.17	2.85 ± 3.01
	CTL clusters (n=188)	0.46 ± 1.16	0.55 ± 1.01	1.28 ± 1.58	1.45 ± 2.07	1.53 ± 1.3	2.05 ± 1.62
	Single cis-eQTL regions (n=232)	0.59 ± 1.32	0.94 ± 1.33	1.8 ± 1.53	1.97 ± 1.84	1.53 ± 1.26	1.71 ± 1.78
	Random regions (n=500)	1 ± 2.04	1 ± 1.72	1 ± 1.39	1 ± 1.72	1 ± 1.27	1 ± 1.42
<b>Anova P values</b>		0.00039	0.0059	1.22E-13	1.11E-33	3.15E-08	4.40E-20
<b>Post-hoc Tukey P values</b>	Cis-eqtl clusters vs CTL	0.99	0.83	0.00053	<e-5	0.99	0.02306
	Cis-eqtl clusters vs single cis-eQTL regions	0.99	0.90	0.22	<e-5	0.99	0.00023
	Cis-eqtl clusters vs random	0.28	0.77	<e-5	<e-5	0.048	<e-5
	Control and single cis-eQTL regions	0.8	0.042	0.0019	0.029	0.99	0.15
	CTL vs random	0.001	0.003	0.12	0.03	2.00E-05	<e-5
	Random vs single cis-eQTL regions	0.015	0.96	<e-5	<e-5	<e-5	<e-5

		Polymorphic				Fixed	
		full LINES	LINE frag.	LTR-TEs	SINEs	LTR-TEs	SINEs
<b>Size of flanking regions</b>	500 kb						
<b>Normalized abundance of TEs / MB (mean ± SD)</b>	Cis-eqtl clusters (n=42)	0.54 ± 1.42	0.98 ± 1.69	1.88 ± 1.43	4.14 ± 2.84	1.39 ± 1.22	2.46 ± 1.86
	CTL clusters (n=188)	0.61 ± 1.16	0.65 ± 1.05	1.10 ± 1.25	1.27 ± 1.59	1.41 ± 1.10	1.79 ± 1.39
	Single cis-eQTL regions (n=232)	0.75 ± 1.24	0.93 ± 1.07	1.62 ± 1.21	1.7 ± 1.45	1.39 ± 1.1	1.53 ± 1.48
	Random regions (n=500)	1 ± 1.77	1 ± 1.51	1 ± 1.20	1 ± 1.6	1 ± 1.10	1 ± 1.29
<b>Anova P values</b>		0.0068	0.024	1.12E-11	2.22E-31	1.01E-06	6.32E-17
<b>Post-hoc Tukey P values</b>	Cis-eqtl clusters vs CTL	0.99	0.47	0.001	<e-5	0.99	0.024
	Cis-eqtl clusters vs single cis-eQTL regions	0.83	0.99	0.58	<e-5	1	0.00041
	Cis-eqtl clusters vs random	0.23	0.99	5.00E-05	<e-5	0.12352	<e-5
	Control and single cis-eQTL regions	0.75	0.13	8.00E-05	0.03	0.99	0.22
	CTL vs random	0.014	0.013	0.79	0.21	1.00E-04	<e-5
	Random vs single cis-eQTL regions	0.18	0.92	<e-5	<e-5	6.00E-05	1.00E-05

		Polymorphic				Fixed	
		full LINES	LINE frag.	LTR-TEs	SINEs	LTR-TEs	SINEs
<b>Size of flanking regions</b>	1 MB						
<b>Normalized abundance of TEs / MB (mean ± SD)</b>	Cis-eqtl clusters (n=42)	0.59 ± 1.25	0.93 ± 1.27	1.71 ± 1.17	3.47 ± 2.36	1.26 ± 0.93	2.12 ± 1.54
	CTL clusters (n=188)	0.76 ± 1.26	0.72 ± 1.02	1.06 ± 0.92	1.14 ± 1.17	1.33 ± 0.99	1.53 ± 1.1
	Single cis-eQTL regions (n=232)	0.48±1.71	0.81±1.91	1.76±2.28	2.69±3.3	1.7±1.58	2.02±2.02
	Random regions (n=500)	1 ± 1.47	1 ± 1.39	1 ± 1.03	1 ± 1.39	1 ± 0.87	1 ± 1.11
<b>Anova P values</b>		0.00013	0.1	4.95E-11	7.17E-31	1.75E-13	3.84E-20
<b>Post-hoc Tukey P values</b>	Cis-eqtl clusters vs CTL	0.91	0.82	0.037	<e-5	0.98	0.069
	Cis-eqtl clusters vs single cis-eQTL regions	0.96	0.96	0.99	0.1	0.083	0.97
	Cis-eqtl clusters vs random	0.32	0.99	0.011	<e-5	0.46	1.00E-05
	Control and single cis-eQTL regions	0.22	0.91	<e-5	<e-5	0.0034	0.0024
	CTL vs random	0.22	0.11	0.96	0.86	0.0034	6.00E-05
	Random vs single cis-eQTL regions	7.00E-05	0.37	<e-5	<e-5	<e-5	<e-5