# $\frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 +$

## Xu et al. 10.1073/pnas.1301201110

#### SI Materials and Methods

1. Molecular Cloning. The ccradS1 highly reducing iterative polyketide synthase (hrPKS) and the ccradS2 nonreducing iterative polyketide synthase (nrPKS) genes were amplified separately using fosmid fCchi01A05 as the template (1). The two exons of ccradS1 were amplified with the primer pairs RADS1 Up F (NdeI) and RADS1 Up R and RADS1 Dn F and RADS1 Dn R (PmeI). The resulting 3,957- and 3,375-bp products were fused by PCR using primers RADS1\_Up\_F (NdeI) and RADS1 Dn R (PmeI), and the fused product was cloned into pJET1.2. After sequence verification, the NdeI-PmeI fragment was inserted into the same cloning sites of YEpADH2p-FLAG-TRP to yield YEpCcRADS1. The single exon of *ccradS2* was amplified using primers RADS2\_F (NdeI) and RADS2\_R (PmeI) to give a 6,439-bp product and cloned into pJET1.2. After sequence verification, the NdeI-PmeI fragment was inserted into the same sites of YEpADH2p-FLAG-URA to generate plasmid YEpCcRADS2.

The construction of the YEpAtCURS1 and YEpAtCURS2 expression plasmids with the *atcurS1* and *atcurS2* genes for the dehydrocurvularin hrPKS and nrPKS, respectively, followed a similar scheme and has been described.

The Udwary–Merski algorithm was used to predict domain boundaries in nrPKS (2).

YEpAtCURS2-ΔPT is based on YEpADH2p-FLAG-URA and carries the intronless *atcurS2* gene with the product template (PT) domain deleted ( $Pro^{1270}$  to  $Ser^{1614}$ ). Using YEpAtCURS2 as the template, 470- and 1,046-bp PCR products were generated by PCR using primers At PT\_Del\_Up\_F (AgeI) and At\_PT\_Del\_UP\_R and At\_PT\_Del\_Dn\_F and At\_PT\_Del\_Dn\_R (NheI), respectively. These PCR products were fused by overlap extension PCR using primers At PT\_Del\_Up\_F and At\_PT\_Del\_Dn\_R. The resulting 1,516-bp PCR product was cloned into pJET1.2 and verified by sequencing, and the AgeI-NheI fragment was inserted into the same cloning sites of YEpATCURS2 to yield plasmid YEpATCURS2-ΔPT.

YEpCcRADS2-ΔPT is based on YEpADH2p-FLAG-URA and carries the intronless *ccradS2* gene with the PT domain deleted (Gln<sup>1284</sup> to Thr<sup>1640</sup>). Using YEpCcRADS2 as the template, 360- and 1,388-bp PCR products were generated by PCR using primers Cc\_PT\_Del\_Up\_F (Bsu36I) and Cc\_PT\_Del\_Up\_R and Cc PT\_Del\_Dn\_F and Cc\_PT\_Del\_Dn\_R (BglII), respectively. These PCR products were fused by overlap extension PCR using primers Cc\_PT\_Del\_Up\_F and Cc\_PT\_Del\_Dn\_R. The resulting 1,748-bp PCR product was cloned into pJET1.2 and verified by sequencing, and the *Bsu36I-BglII* fragment was inserted into the same cloning sites of YEpCcRADS2 to yield plasmid YEpCcRADS2-ΔPT.

YEpAtCURS2-ΔPT+PT<sub>AtCURS2</sub> is based on YEpADH2p-FLAG-URA and carries both the PT domain-deleted *atcurS2* gene and a separate gene encoding  $PT_{\text{AtCURS2}}$  driven by separate copies of the alcohol dehydrogenase (ADH2) promoter. Using YEpAtCURS2 as the template, a 683-bp ADH2 promoter fragment, the 1,060-bp  $PT_{AtCURS2}$  gene fragment, and a 469-bp ADH2 terminator fragment were separately amplified with primers At\_PT\_p\_F (KpnI), At\_PT\_p\_R, At\_PT\_F, and At\_PT\_R and At PT\_t\_F and At\_PT\_t\_R (HpaI), respectively. These PCR products were fused by overlap extension PCR using primers At\_PT\_p\_F (KpnI) and At\_PT\_t\_R (HpaI) and cloned into pJET1.2. After sequence verification, the KpnI-HpaI fragment was inserted into the same cloning sites of YEpATCURS2-ΔPT to yield plasmid YEpAtCURS2-ΔPT+PT<sub>AtCURS2</sub>.

YEpCcRADS2-ΔPT+PT<sub>CcRADS2</sub> is based on YEpADH2p-FLAG-URA and carries both the PT domain-deleted ccradS2 gene and a separate gene encoding  $PT_{CcRADS2}$  driven by separate copies of the ADH2 promoter. Using YEpCcRADS2 as the template, a 655-bp ADH2 promoter fragment, the 1,101-bp PT<sub>CcRADS2</sub> gene fragment, and a 392-bp ADH2 terminator fragment were separately amplified with primers  $Cc$  PT  $p$   $F$  (EcoRI) and Cc $PT$  p R, Cc $PT$  F and Cc $PT$  R, and Cc $PT$  t F and Cc PT  $t$  R (HpaI), respectively. These PCR products were fused by overlap extension PCR using primers Cc\_PT\_p\_F (EcoRI) and Cc\_PT\_t\_R (HpaI) and cloned into pJET1.2. After sequence verification, the EcoRI-HpaI fragment was inserted into the same cloning sites of YEpCcRADS2-ΔPT to yield plasmid YEpCcRADS2-ΔPT+PT<sub>CcRADS2</sub>.

The plasmid YEpAtCURS2- $PT_{CcRADS2}$ , in which the gene segment encoding PT<sub>AtCURS2</sub> was replaced by the one encoding  $PT_{\text{CerADS2}}$ , was constructed using the following steps. A 471-bp upstream fragment encoding  $P^{1120}$ -G<sup>1269</sup> of AtCURS2 was amplified with primers At\_PT\_Del\_Up\_F (AgeI) and At\_PT\_Up\_R. A 1,094-bp fragment encoding  $\overline{Cc}$ RADS2 $Q^{1284}$ -T<sup>1640</sup> was amplified with primers Cc\_PT\_to\_At\_F and Cc\_PT\_to\_At\_R. A 1,046-bp downstream fragment encoding  $S^{1614}$ -A<sup>1961</sup> of AtCURS2 was amplified with primers At\_PT\_Del\_Dn\_F and At\_PT\_Del\_Dn\_R (NheI). These three PCR fragments were then fused using primers At PT\_Del\_Up\_F (AgeI) and At\_PT\_Del\_Dn\_R (NheI) and cloned into pJET1.2, and the sequence was verified. Finally, the AgeI-NheI fragment was inserted into the same cloning sites of YEpATCURS2 to yield plasmid YEpAtCURS2-PT<sub>CcRADS2</sub>.

The plasmid YEpCcRADS2- $PT_{\text{AtCURS2}}$ , in which the gene segment encoding  $PT_{CcRADS2}$  was replaced by the one encoding  $PT_{\text{AtCURS2}}$ , was constructed using the following steps. A 378-bp upstream fragment encoding  $L^{1165}$ -A<sup>1284</sup> of CcRADS2 was amplified with primers Cc\_PT\_Del\_Up\_F (Bsu36I) and Cc\_PT\_Up\_R. A 1,051-bp fragment encoding AtCURS2  $P^{1270}$ -V<sup>1613</sup> was amplified with primers At\_PT\_to\_Cc\_F and At\_PT\_to\_Cc\_R. A 1,388-bp downstream fragment encoding  $P^{1642}$ - $\overline{C}^{2103}$ of CcRADS2 was amplified with primers Cc\_PT\_Del\_Dn\_F and Cc\_PT\_Del\_Dn\_R (BglII). These three PCR fragments were then fused using primers Cc PT Del Up F (Bsu36I) and Cc PT Del Dn R (BglII) and cloned into pJET1.2, and the sequence was verified. Finally, the Bsu36I-BglII fragment was inserted into the same cloning sites of

 $YEpCcRADS2$  to yield plasmid  $YEpCcRADS2-PT_{AtCURS2}$ .<br>The mutation encoding the  $F^{1459}Y$  change was introduced into *atcurS2* by replacing the  $\overline{F}^{\text{TTC}}$  codon with  $\overline{Y}^{\text{TAC}}$ . A 1,038-bp upstream fragment was amplified using primers At\_PT\_Del\_Up\_F (AgeI) and Phe198Y\_R, and a 1,531-bp downstream fragment was generated with primers Tyr319F\_F and At\_PT\_Del\_Dn\_R (NheI). The two PCR products were fused using the At\_PT\_ Del\_Up\_F (AgeI) and the At\_PT\_Del\_Dn\_R (NheI) primers, and the fragment was cloned into pJET1.2. After sequence verification, the AgeI-NheI fragment was inserted into the same cloning sites of YEpAtCURS2 to yield plasmid YEpAtCURS2( $F^{1459}Y$ ).

The mutation encoding the  $Y^{1576}F$  change was introduced into *atcurS2* by replacing the  $\widecheck{Y}^{TAT}$  codon with  $\widecheck{F}^{TTT}$ . A 1,390-bp upstream fragment was amplified using primers At\_PT\_Del\_Up\_F (AgeI) and TYR319F  $R$ , and a 1,157-bp downstream fragment was generated with primers Trp327 F and At PT Del Dn R (NheI). The two PCR products were fused using the At\_PT\_Del Up  $F$  (AgeI) and the At PT Del Dn R (NheI) primers, and the fragment was cloned into pJET1.2. After sequence verification, the AgeI-NheI fragment was inserted into the same cloning sites of YEpAtCURS2 to yield plasmid YEpAtCURS2(Y<sup>1576</sup>F).

The mutation encoding the  $W^{1584}$ L change was introduced into *atcurS2* by replacing the  $W^{TGG}$  codon with  $L^{TTG}$ . A 1,393-bp upstream fragment was amplified using primers At PT\_Del\_Up\_F (AgeI) and Phe198Tyr319 R, and a 1,153-bp downstream fragment was generated with primers Trp327L\_F -3′ and At PT Del Dn R (NheI). The two PCR products were fused using the At PT Del Up  $F$  (AgeI) and the At PT Del Dn R (NheI) primers, and the fragment was cloned into pJET1.2. After sequence verification, the AgeI-NheI fragment was inserted into the same cloning sites of YEpAtCURS2 to yield plasmid<br>YEpAtCURS2(W<sup>1584</sup>L).

The double mutation encoding the  $F^{1459}Y$  and  $Y^{1576}F$  change was introduced into atcurS2 by replacing the F<sup>TTC</sup> codon with  $Y^{TAC}$  and the  $Y^{TAT}$  codon with  $F^{TTT}$ . A 1,038-bp upstream fragment was amplified using primers At PT Del  $\dot{\text{Up}}$  F (AgeI) and Phe198Y\_R, a 393-bp middle fragment was generated with primers Tyr319F\_F and Tyr319F\_R, and a 1,157-bp downstream fragment was amplified with primers Trp327\_F and At\_PT\_Del\_Dn\_R (NheI). The three PCR products were fused using the At\_PT\_ Del Up F (AgeI) and At PT Del Dn R (NheI) primers, and the fragment was cloned into pJET1.2. After sequence verification, the AgeI-NheI fragment was inserted into the same cloning sites of YEpAtCURS2 to yield plasmid YEpAtCURS2(F<sup>1459</sup>Y,Y<sup>1576</sup>F).

The double mutation encoding the  $F^{1459}Y$  and  $W^{1584}L$  change was introduced into *atcurS2* by replacing the  $F^{TTC}$  codon with  $Y^{TAC}$  and the  $W^{TGG}$  codon with  $L^{TTG}$ . A 1,038-bp upstream fragment was amplified using primers At\_PT\_Del\_Up\_F (AgeI) and Phe198Y\_R, a 393-bp middle fragment was generated with primers Tyr319F\_F and Y319\_R, and a 1,153-bp downstream fragment was amplified with primers Trp327L\_F and At\_PT\_Del\_Dn\_R (NheI). The three PCR products were fused using the At\_PT\_ Del\_Up\_F (AgeI) and At\_PT\_Del\_Dn\_R (NheI) primers, and the fragment was cloned into pJET1.2. After sequence verification, the AgeI-NheI fragment was inserted into the same cloning sites of YEpAtCURS2 to yield plasmid YEpAtCURS2( $F^{1459}Y, W^{1584}L$ ).

The double mutation encoding the  $Y^{1576}F$  and  $W^{1584}L$  change was introduced into *atcurS2* by replacing the  $Y<sup>TAT</sup>$  codon with  $F^{TTT}$  and the W<sup>TGG</sup> codon with  $L^{TTG}$ . A 1,390-bp upstream fragment was amplified using primers At\_PT\_Del\_Up\_F (AgeI) and Tyr319F<sub>R</sub>, and a 1,153-bp downstream fragment was generated with primers Trp327L\_F and At\_PT\_Del\_Dn\_R (NheI). The two PCR products were fused using the At\_PT\_Del\_Up\_F (AgeI) and At\_PT\_Del\_Dn\_R (NheI) primers, and the fragment was cloned into pJET1.2. After sequence verification, the AgeI-NheI fragment was inserted into the same cloning sites of YEpAtCURS2 to yield plasmid YEpAtCURS2( $Y^{1576}$ F, W<sup>1584</sup>L).

The triple mutation encoding the  $F^{1459}Y$ ,  $Y^{1576}F$ , and  $W^{1584}L$ change was introduced into  $\alpha$ taurS2 by replacing the  $F^{TTC}$  codon with  $\check{Y}^{TAC}$ , the  $Y^{TAT}$  codon with  $F^{TTT'}$ , and the  $\check{W}^{TGG}$  codon with LTTG. A 1,038-bp upstream fragment was amplified using primers At\_PT\_Del\_Up\_F (AgeI) and Phe198Y\_R, a 393-bp middle fragment was generated with primers Tyr319F\_F and Y319F\_R, and a 1,153-bp downstream fragment was generated with primers Trp327L\_F and At\_PT\_Del\_Dn\_R (NheI). The three PCR products were fused using the At\_PT\_Del\_Up\_F (AgeI) and At PT Del Dn R (NheI) primers, and the fragment was cloned into pJET1.2. After sequence verification, the AgeI-NheI fragment was inserted into the same cloning sites of YEpAtCURS2<br>to yield plasmid YEpAtCURS2(Y<sup>1576</sup>F,Y<sup>1576</sup>F,W<sup>1584</sup>L).

The mutation encoding the  $Y^{\frac{1483}{1483}}$ F change was introduced into *ccradS2* by replacing the  $Y^{TAC}$  codon with  $F^{TTC}$ . A 976-bp upstream fragment was amplified using primers Cc\_PT\_Del\_Up\_F (Bsu36I) and Y209F<sub>R</sub>, and a 1,864-bp downstream fragment was generated with primers Y209 F and Cc PT Del Dn R (BglII). The two PCR products were fused using the Cc\_PT\_Del\_Up\_F (Bsu36I) and Cc\_PT\_Del\_Dn\_R (BglII) primers, and the fragment was cloned into pJET1.2. After sequence verification, the

Xu et al. <www.pnas.org/cgi/content/short/1301201110> 2 of 9

Bsu36I-BglII fragment was inserted into the same cloning sites of YEpCcRADS2 to yield plasmid YEpCcRADS2(Y<sup>1483</sup>F).

The mutation encoding the  $F^{1602}Y$  change was introduced into ccradS2 by replacing the  $\overline{F}^{\text{TTC}}$  codon with  $\overline{Y}^{\text{TAC}}$ . A 1,332-bp upstream fragment was amplified using primers Cc\_PT\_Del\_Up\_F (Bsu36I) and F332Y\_R, and a 1,506-bp downstream fragment was generated with primers F332 F and Cc PT Del Dn R (BglII). The two PCR products were fused using the Cc\_PT\_Del\_Up\_F (Bsu36I) and Cc\_PT\_Del\_Dn\_R (BglII) primers, and the fragment was cloned into pJET1.2. After sequence verification, the Bsu36I-BglII fragment was inserted into the same cloning sites of YEpCcRADS2 to yield plasmid YEpCcRADS2(F<sup>1602</sup>Y).

The mutation encoding the  $L^{1610} \text{W}$  change was introduced into *ccradS2* by replacing the  $\mathsf{L}^{\text{CTG}}$  codon with  $\mathsf{W}^{\text{TGG}}$ . A 1,335-bp upstream fragment was amplified using primers Cc\_PT\_Del\_Up\_F (Bsu36I) and L340\_R, and a 1,504-bp downstream fragment was generated with primers L340W\_F and Cc\_PT\_Del\_Dn\_R (BglII). The two PCR products were fused using the Cc\_PT\_Del\_Up\_F (Bsu36I) and  $\text{Cc}_{\text{pT}}$  Del\_Dn\_R (BglII) primers, and the fragment was cloned into pJET1.2. After sequence verification, the Bsu36I-BglII fragment was inserted into the same cloning sites of YEpCcRADS2 to yield plasmid YEpCcRADS2( $L^{1610}$ W).

The double mutation encoding the  $Y^{1483}F$  and  $F^{1602}Y$  change was introduced into *ccradS2* by replacing the  $Y<sup>TAC</sup>$  codon with  $F^{TTC}$  and the  $F^{TTC}$  codon with  $\check{Y}^{TAC}$ . A 976-bp upstream fragment was amplified using primers Cc\_PT\_Del\_Up\_F (Bsu36I) and Y209F<sub>R</sub>, a 376-bp middle fragment was generated with primers Y209 F and F332Y\_R, and a 1,506-bp downstream fragment was generated with primers F332\_F and Cc\_PT\_Del\_Dn\_R (BglII). The three PCR products were fused using the Cc\_PT\_Del\_Up\_F (Bsu36I) and Cc PT Del Dn R (BglII) primers, and the fragment was cloned into pJET1.2. After sequence verification, the Bsu36I-BglII fragment was inserted into the same cloning sites of YEpCcRADS2 to yield plasmid YEpCcRADS2( $Y^{1483}F, F^{1602}Y$ ).

The double mutation encoding the  $F^{1602}Y$  and  $L^{1610}W$  change was introduced into *ccradS2* by replacing the  $F^{TTC}$  codon with  $Y^{TAC}$  and the  $L^{CTG}$  codon with  $W^{TGG}$ . A 1,332-bp upstream fragment was amplified using primers Cc\_PT\_Del\_Up\_F (Bsu36I) and F332Y\_R, and a 1,504-bp downstream fragment was generated with primers L340W\_F and Cc\_PT\_Del\_Dn\_R (BglII). The two PCR products were fused using the Cc\_PT\_Del\_Up\_F (Bsu36I) and Cc\_PT\_Del\_Dn\_R (BglII) primers, and the fragment was cloned into pJET1.2. After sequence verification, the Bsu36I-BglII fragment was inserted into the same cloning sites of YEpCcRADS2 to yield plasmid YEpCcRADS2( $F^{1602}Y, L^{1610}W$ ).

The double mutation encoding the  $Y^{1483}F$  and  $L^{1610}W$  change was introduced into *ccradS2* by replacing the  $Y<sup>TAC</sup>$  codon with  $F^{TTC}$  and the  $L^{CTG}$  codon with  $W^{TGG}$ . A 976-bp upstream fragment was amplified using primers Cc\_PT\_Del\_Up\_F (Bsu36I) and Y209F<sub>R</sub>, a 379-bp middle fragment was generated with primers Y209  $\overline{F}$  and L340 R, and a 1,504-bp downstream fragment was generated with primers L340W\_F and Cc\_PT\_Del\_Dn\_R (BglII). The three PCR products were fused using the Cc\_PT\_Del\_Up\_F (Bsu36I) and Cc\_PT\_Del\_Dn\_R (BglII) primers, and the fragment was cloned into pJET1.2. After sequence verification, the Bsu36I-BglII fragment was inserted into the same cloning sites of YEpCcRADS2 to yield plasmid YEpCcRADS2( $Y^{1483}F,L^{1610}W$ ).

The triple mutation encoding the  $Y^{1483}F$ ,  $F^{1602}Y$ , and  $L^{1610}W$ change was introduced into *ccradS2* by replacing the  $Y^{TAC}$  codon with  $\overline{F}^{TTC}$ , the  $F^{TTC}$  codon with  $Y^{TAC}$ , and the  $L^{CTG}$  codon with WTGG. A 976-bp upstream fragment was amplified using primers At PT Del Up  $F$  (AgeI) and Y209F R, a 376-bp middle fragment was generated with primers Y209 F and F332Y R, and a 1,504-bp downstream fragment was generated with primers L340W  $\overline{F}$  and Cc PT Del Dn R (BglII). The three PCR products were fused using the  $\overline{Cc}$   $\overline{PT}$   $\overline{D}$ el  $\overline{U}p$   $\overline{F}$  (Bsu36I) and  $\overline{Cc}$ PT\_Del\_Dn\_R (BglII) primers, and the fragment was cloned into pJET1.2. After sequence verification, the Bsu36I-BglII fragment

was inserted into the same cloning sites of YEpCcRADS2 to yield plasmid YEpCcRADS2( $Y^{1483}$ F,F<sup>1602</sup>Y,L<sup>1610</sup>W).

2. Heterologous Production and Isolation of Polyketides. Large-scale fermentation and isolation of products. For a typical large-scale fermentation process, 20 250-mL Erlenmeyer flasks, each containing 50 mL 0.67% (wt/vol) yeast nitrogen base, 2% (wt/vol) glucose, and 0.72 g/L Trp/Ura DropOut medium, were inoculated with the appropriate yeast strain and cultivated at 30 °C with shaking at 300 rpm. After the  $OD_{600}$  reached 1.0, 50 mL 1% (wt/vol) yeast extract and 2% (wt/vol) peptone (YP) medium was added to each flask, and the cultivation was continued for 3 d. The culture was centrifuged at  $2,500 \times g$  for 5 min to remove the yeast cells, and the supernatant was concentrated to 200 mL using a rotary evaporator. The concentrated broth was adjusted to pH 5.0 and extracted with 600 mL EtOAc three times. After evaporation of the solvent, the sample was loaded to a Diaion HP-20 column  $(4 \times 30 \text{ cm})$  and successively eluted with H<sub>2</sub>O, 25% MeOH/H<sub>2</sub>O, and acetone. The acetone fraction was collected and further separated by HPLC using an Eclipse XDB C18 column (5 μm,  $4.6 \times 150$  mm) and/or an Eclipse Plus C8 column (5 µm, 4.6  $\times$ 150 mm).

Compound 1 (monocillin II, 8.9 mg) was purified from the acetone fraction of the extract of Saccharomyces cerevisiae BJ5464- NpgA (YEpCcRADS1, YEpCcRADS2) by HPLC using an Eclipse XDB C18 column (52% CH3CN/H2O, 1 mL/min). Compound 2 (5.2 mg) was obtained by HPLC separation of the acetone fraction of the extract of S. cerevisiae BJ5464-NpgA (YEpAtCURS1,  $YEpAtCURS2$ ) on an Eclipse XDB C18 column (41\% CH<sub>3</sub>CN/  $H<sub>2</sub>O$ , 1 mL/min). Compounds 3 (3.1 mg) and 4 (3.2 mg) were purified from strain S. cerevisiae BJ5464-NpgA [YEpAtCURS1,  $YEpAtCURS2(F<sup>1455</sup>Y,Y<sup>1576</sup>F,W<sup>1584</sup>L)]$  by separation of the acetone fraction on an Eclipse XDB C18 column (39% CH3CN/  $H<sub>2</sub>O$ , 1 mL/min). Compound 5 (2.1 mg) was isolated from S. cerevisiae BJ5464-NpgA (YEpCcRADS1, YEpCcRADS2- PT<sub>AtCURS2</sub>) by HPLC separation of the acetone fraction on an Eclipse XDB C18 column  $(33\% \text{ CH}_3\text{CN/H}_2\text{O}, 1 \text{ mL/min})$  and an Eclipse Plus C8 column  $(22\% \text{ CH}_3\text{CN/H}_2\text{O}, 1 \text{ mL/min})$ .

Analysis of the time course of the production of 3 and 4. Erlenmeyer flasks (125 mL) containing 50 mL 0.67% yeast nitrogen base, 2% glucose, and 0.72 g/L Trp/Ura DropOut medium were inoculated with S. cerevisiae BJ5464-NpgA (YEpAtCURS1, YEpATCURS2-  $PT_{\text{CcRADS2}}$ , and the cultures were incubated at 30 °C with shaking at 250 rpm. When the  $OD_{600}$  reached 0.6 (referred to as 0 h in Fig. 3A), an equal volume of YP medium was added to the cultures, and the fermentation was continued at 30 °C with shaking at 250 rpm for an additional 3 d. One flask was harvested at 0, 24, 48, and 72 h after the addition of the YP medium, and the polyketide products were extracted and analyzed as described in Materials and Methods, Small-Scale Fermentation and Analysis of Products.

Biotransformation of <sup>3</sup> to <sup>4</sup> by S. cerevisiae BJ5464-NpgA. A 125 mL Erlenmeyer flask containing 20 mL yeast extract peptone dextrose agar (YPD) liquid medium was inoculated with the untransformed yeast host strain S. cerevisiae BJ5464-NpgA, and the culture was incubated overnight with shaking at 200 rpm at 30 °C. Two-milliliter aliquots of this starter culture were transferred to 250 mL Erlenmeyer flasks containing 50 mL fresh YPD medium each and cultured at 30 °C with shaking at 200 rpm for 3 h; 200 μg compound 3, dissolved in 100 μL MeOH, were supplemented to each of the yeast cultures, and cultivation was continued at 30 °C with shaking at 200 rpm. One flask each was harvested at 0, 24, 48, and 72 h after the addition of 3 and extracted with an equal volume of ethyl acetate. The organic extracts were evaporated to dryness and analyzed by reversed-phase HPLC as described above. Controls consisted of 3 incubated for 72 h with shaking at 200 rpm at 30 °C in YPD medium that has not been inoculated with the yeast and a 72 h culture of S. cerevisiae BJ5464-NpgA without supplementation with 3.

Xu et al. <www.pnas.org/cgi/content/short/1301201110> 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 4 9

3. Chemical Characterization of Polyketide Products. Optical rotations were recorded on a Rudolph Autopol IV polarimeter with a 10-cm cell. Circular dichroism (CD) spectra were acquired with a JASCO J-810 instrument using a path length of 1 cm.  ${}^{1}\text{H}$ ,  ${}^{13}\text{C}$ , and 2D NMR [homonuclear correlation spectroscopy (COSY), heteronuclear single-quantum correlation spectroscopy (HSQC), heteronuclear multiple-bond correlation spectroscopy (HMBC), and rotating frame nuclear Overhauser effect spectroscopy (ROESY)] spectra were recorded in DMSO- $d_6$ , CD<sub>3</sub>OD, or C<sub>5</sub>D<sub>5</sub>N on a JEOL ECX-300 Spectrometer [δ (ppm), J (Hz)]. ESI-MS data were collected on an Agilent 6130 Single Quad LC-MS.

Electrospray ionization mass spectrometry (ESI-MS) analysis revealed that the molecular weight of 1 (Fig. S2) is 316. A comparison of the retention time, UV spectrum (Fig. S5), and NMR data of 1 and authentic monocillin II (3) confirmed that they are identical.

ESI-MS of 2 showed the  $[M+H]^+$  and  $[M+Na]^+$  ion peaks at  $m/z$ 291.1 and 313.3, respectively, indicating that its molecular weight is 290. The  ${}^{1}$ H NMR,  ${}^{13}$ C NMR, and optical rotation data were in full agreement with the data reported in the literature for 10,11 dehydrocuvularin (4). Absolute configuration of C-15 was proved to be S by a comparison of the optical rotation and negative Cotton effect at 236 nm in the CD spectrum (Fig. S6) with the optical rotations and CD spectra of 15-S-dehydrocurvularin and 15-R-dehydrocurvularin (5, 6). Thus, 2 was identified as 15-Sdehydrocurvularin (Fig. S2).

ESI-MS of 3 revealed that its molecular weight is 290, the same as the molecular weight of 2. The  $^{13}$ C NMR spectra showed 16 carbon signals, indicating that 3 is also an octaketide. However, unlike 2, 3 showed a characteristic isocoumarin UV absorption spectrum (Fig. S5), suggesting that it is an isocoumarin analog. Based on the 1D (Table S1) and 2D NMR spectra (Fig. S3), 3 was identified as 6,8-dihydroxy-3-(6-hydroxyhept-1-enyl)-1H-isochromen-1-one, an isocoumarin with a 7-carbon side chain containing a double bond and a hydroxyl group. The double bond was determined to be  $E$  based on the large coupling constant between H-10 and H-11 (15.8 Hz in pyridine- $d_5$  and 15.5 Hz in DMSO- $d_6$ ) (Table S1). Absolute configuration at C-15 in 3 was determined by Mosher's method. The  $\Delta\delta$ -value (−0.02) of CH<sub>3</sub>-16 (Fig. S4) confirmed that C-15 in 3 is in the S configuration. Thus, 3 was characterized as (S,E)-6,8-dihydroxy-3-(6-hydroxyhept-1-enyl)-1Hisochromen-1-one (Fig. S2).

ESI-MS revealed that the molecular weight of 4 is 288, two mass units less than the molecular weight of 3. The UV spectrum (Fig. S5) suggested that 4 is also an isocoumarin. The  $^{13}$ C NMR spectrum of 4 is similar to the spectrum of 3, except that there is a keto group (δ 208.8) at C-15 instead of a hydroxyl. Based on the 1D (Table S1) and 2D NMR spectra (Fig. S3), 4 was identified as  $(E)$ -6,8-dihydroxy-3-(6-oxohept-1-enyl)-1H-isochromen-1-one (Fig. S2).

The  $[M+H]^{+}$  (*m*/z 335.2) and  $[M+Na]^{+}$  (*m*/z 373.1) ion peaks indicated that the molecular weight of 5 is 334. The  ${}^{1}H$ - ${}^{1}H$  COSY spectrum revealed the presence of a spin system  $\rm (CH\text{-}CH_2\text{-}C)$  $CH_2\text{-}CH = CH\text{-}CH\text{-}CH\text{-}CH_3$ ) that contains two oxygenated methines at  $\delta_H$  4.32 (m, 1H) and 3.55 (m, 1H) and one double bond. The configuration of the  $\Delta^{14,15}$  double bond was assigned as E according to the coupling constant  $(J_{14/15} = 15.8 \text{ Hz}$  in pyridine- $d_5$ ). The  ${}^{1}H$ -<sup>1</sup>H COSY and ROESY correlations of H-17 with OH-17 indicated the presence of a free hydroxyl group at C-17 (Figs. S3 and S7). Thus, the lactone bond was deduced to be formed between C-1 and C-11. The CD spectrum of 5 showed negative Cotton effect at 211 nm corresponding to the lactone bond (Fig. S6), which was consistent with 15-S-dehydrocurvularin derivatives and opposite to 15-R-dehydrocurvularin (5–7). Because the chiral carbon C-17 was not close enough to strongly affect the Cotton effect arising from the lactone bond (6), the absolute configuration of C-11 could be elucidated as 11S. Configuration at C-17 in 5 was established as 17R based on the  $\Delta\delta$ -value (+0.08) using Mosher's

method (Fig. S4). Therefore, the structure of 5 was determined to be  $(S)$ -7,9-dihydroxy-4- $((R,E)$ -6-hydroxyhept-3-enyl)-4,5-dihydro-1H-benzo[d]oxocine-2,6-dione (Fig. S2).

Monocillin II (1): colorless solid; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, pyridine-d<sub>5</sub>): δ 6.90 (m, 1H), 6.85 (d,  $J = 1.8$  Hz, 1H), 6.83  $(d, J = 1.8 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H})$ , 6.11  $(d, J = 15.8 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H})$ , 5.38  $(dt, J = 16.1$ , 6.2 Hz, 1H), 5.27 (m, 1H), 5.17 (overlap, 1H), 4.29 (d,  $J = 16.2$  Hz, 1H), 4.16 (d, J = 16.2 Hz, 1H), 2.54 (m, 1H), 2.17 (m, 1H), 2.03  $(m, 4H)$ , 1.30 (d,  $J = 6.5$  Hz,  $3H$ ); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, pyridine $d_5$ : δ 197.3, 170.6, 164.6, 163.8, 147.9, 140.0, 132.3, 131.2, 128.5, 112.6, 108.8, 103.3, 72.4, 48.3, 38.1, 31.6, 31.5, 19.2; ESI-MS (−): [M-H]<sup>-</sup> m/z 315.1; ESI-MS (+): [M+H]<sup>+</sup> m/z 316.9.

10,11-Dehydrocurvularin (2): pale yellow amorphous solid;  $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{23} = -23.8^{\circ}$  (4.5 mM in MeOH); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>OD):  $\delta$  6.56 (m, 1H), 6.49 (d, J = 15.8 Hz, 1H), 6.29 (s, 1H), 6.25 (s, 1H), 4.75 (m, 1H), 3.69 (d,  $J = 16.5$  Hz, 1H), 3.45(d,  $J = 16.5$  Hz, 1H), 2.20–2.45 (m, 2H), 1.81–2.03 (m, 2H), 1.52–1.56 (m, 2H), 1.18 (d,  $J =$ 6.3 Hz, 3H); 13C NMR (75 MHz, CD3OD): δ 197.2, 172.5, 163.8, 160.9, 150.0, 138.5, 132.4, 116.5, 112.3, 102.2, 71.1, 41.6, 33.7, 32.9, 24.5, 19.1; CD: δε<sub>236</sub> -2.32, δε<sub>300</sub> -0.33, δε<sub>336</sub> +0.16 (4.5 mM in MeOH); ESI-MS (-): [M-H]<sup>- m</sup>/z 289.1; ESI-MS (+): [M+H]<sup>+</sup>  $m/z$  291.1,  $[M+Na]^+ m/z$  313.3.

Compound 3: pale yellow amorphous solid;  $[\alpha]_D^{23} = +11.4^\circ (1.2)$ mM in CHCl<sub>3</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR in CD<sub>3</sub>OD (Table S1); <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $(300 \text{ MHz}, \text{pyridine-}d_5)$ : δ 6.84  $(d, J = 2.1 \text{ Hz}, 1H)$ , 6.71  $(d, J = 2.1 \text{ Hz})$ Hz, 1H), 6.60 (dt,  $J = 15.8$ , 7.2 Hz, 1H), 6.37 (s, 1H), 6.11 (d,  $J =$ 15.8 Hz, 1H), 4.02 (m, 1H), 2.21 (m, 1H), 1.73 (m, 1H), 1.59  $(m, 1H), 1.36$  (d,  $J = 6.2$  Hz, 1H); ESI-MS (−): [M-H]<sup>-</sup>  $m/z$  289.1; ESI-MS (+):  $[M+H]^+$   $m/z$  291.1,  $[M+Na]^+$   $m/z$  313.1.

Compound 4: white amorphous solid;  ${}^{1}H$  and  ${}^{13}C$  NMR (Table S1); ESI-MS (−): [M-H]<sup>−</sup> m/z 287.1; ESI-MS (+): [M+H]<sup>+</sup> m/z 289.1.

Compound 5: white amorphous solid;  $[\alpha]_2^{23} = -14.0^{\circ} (1.5 \text{ mM in})$ MeOH); <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR in DMSO- $d_6$  (Table S1); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, pyridine- $d_5$ ): δ 6.94 (s, 1H), 6.80 (s, 1H), 5.72 (dt,  $J = 15.8$ , 7.2 Hz, 1H), 5.53 (dt,  $J = 15.8$ , 7.6 Hz, 1H), 4.57 (d,  $J = 17.9$  Hz, 1H), 4.49 (d, J = 17.9 Hz, 1H), 4.40 (m, 1H), 4.06 (m, 1H), 2.66  $(d, J = 7.6 \text{ Hz}, 2\text{H})$ , 2.42 (m, 1H), 2.33 (m, 1H), 2.18 (m, 2H), 1.84 (m, 1H), 1.65 (m, 1H), 1.34 (d,  $J = 5.8$  Hz, 3H); CD:  $\delta \epsilon_{211}$  –0.71, δε<sub>265</sub> +0.01, δε<sub>278</sub> +0.02, δε<sub>303</sub> +0.03, δε<sub>333</sub> -0.06 (3.1 mM in MeOH); ESI-MS (−): [M-H]<sup>-</sup> m/z 333.1; ESI-MS (+): [M+H]<sup>+</sup>  $m/z$  335.2,  $[M+Na]^+ m/z$  357.2,  $[M+K]^+ m/z$  373.1.

1.4. Preparation of Mosher Ester Derivatives of 3 and 5. One-half milligram 3 or 5 was transferred into a clean and completely dry NMR tube. Deuterated pyridine (0.5 mL) and  $(S)-(+)$ -α-methoxy-α-(trifluoromethyl)phenylacetyl chloride  $[(S)$ -MTPA-Cl); 6  $\mu$ L] were added to each NMR tube immediately, and the tubes were shaken carefully to evenly mix the sample with (S)-MTPA-Cl. The reaction mixtures were incubated at 15 $\degree$ C for 12 h to yield the MTPA esters 3-S and 5-S, respectively. The esters 3-R and 5-R were obtained similarly by reacting  $3$  and  $5$  with  $(R)$ -MTPA-Cl, respectively.

Compound 3-S: <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (300 MHz, pyridine- $d_5$ ): δ 6.95 (d, J = 2.2 Hz, 1H), 6.87 (d,  $J = 2.2$  Hz, 1H), 6.53 (m, 1H), 6.34 (s, 1H), 6.08 (d,  $J = 15.7$  Hz, 1H), 3.65 (m, 2H), 2.19–1.57 (m, 6), 1.32 (d,  $J =$ 6.3 Hz, 3H); ESI-MS (−): [M-H]<sup>−</sup> m/z 505.2; ESI-MS (+): [M+Na]<sup>+</sup> m/z 529.1

Compound 3-R: <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (300 MHz, pyridine- $d_5$ ):  $\delta$  6.95 (d,  $J =$ 2.1 Hz, 1H), 6.86 (d,  $J = 2.1$  Hz, 1H), 6.52 (m, 1H), 6.34 (s, 1H), 6.09 (d,  $J = 14.7$  Hz, 1H), 3.61 (m, 2H), 2.17–1.54 (m, 6H), 1.34  $(d, J = 6.3$  Hz, 3H); ESI-MS (−): [M-H]<sup>-</sup>  $m/z$  505.1; ESI-MS (+):  $[M+Na]^+$   $m/z$  529.2.

Compound 5-S: <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (300 MHz, pyridine- $d_5$ ): δ 6.95 (s, 1H), 6.79 (s, 1H), 5.38 (m, 2H), 4.10 (m, 1H), 3.96 (overlap, 1H), 3.84 (overlap, 1H), 3.63 (overlap, 1H), 2.33 (m, 3H), 2.16  $(m, 3H), 1.87$   $(m, 1H), 1.72$   $(m, 1H), 1.29$   $(d, J = 6.5$  Hz, 3H); ESI-MS (−): [M-H]<sup>−</sup> m/z 549.1; ESI-MS (+): [M+H]<sup>+</sup> m/z 550.8,  $[M+Na]^+$   $m/z$  572.7.

Compound 5-R: <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (300 MHz, pyridine- $d_5$ ): δ 6.95 (s, 1H), 6.80 (s, 1H), 5.37 (m, 2H), 4.10 (m, 1H), 3.95 (overlap, 1H), 3.84 (overlap, 1H), 3.63 (overlap, 1H), 2.36 (m, 3H), 2.21  $(m, 3H), 1.91$   $(m, 1H), 1.79$   $(m, 1H), 1.21$   $(d, J = 6.2$  Hz,  $3H)$ ; ESI-MS (−): [M-H]<sup>-</sup> m/z 549.2; ESI-MS (+): [M+H]<sup>+</sup> m/z 550.8,  $[M+Na]^+$   $m/z$  572.9.

<sup>1.</sup> Wang S, et al. (2008) Functional characterization of the biosynthesis of radicicol, an Hsp90 inhibitor resorcylic acid lactone from Chaetomium chiversii. Chem Biol 15(12): 1328–1338.

<sup>2.</sup> Udwary DW, Merski M, Townsend CA (2002) A method for prediction of the locations of linker regions within large multifunctional proteins, and application to a type I polyketide synthase. J Mol Biol 323(3):585–598.

<sup>3.</sup> Zeng J, Valiente J, Zhan J (2011) Specific inhibition of the halogenase for radicicol biosynthesis by bromide at the transcriptional level in Pochonia chlamydosporia. Biotechnol Lett 33(2):333–338.

<sup>4.</sup> Zhan J, et al. (2004) A new anthraquinone and cytotoxic curvularins of a Penicillium sp. from the rhizosphere of Fallugia paradoxa of the Sonoran desert.J Antibiot (Tokyo) 57(5):341–344.

<sup>5.</sup> Bicalho B, Gonçalves RA, Zibordi AP, Manfio GP, Marsaioli AJ (2003) Antimicrobial compounds of fungi vectored by Clusia spp. (Clusiaceae) pollinating bees. Z Naturforsch C 58(9–10):746–751.

<sup>6.</sup> Greve H, et al. (2008) Apralactone A and a new stereochemical class of curvularins from the marine fungus Curvularia sp. Eur J Org Chem 2008(30):5085–5092.

<sup>7.</sup> Dai J, et al. (2010) Curvularin-type metabolites from the fungus Curvularia sp. isolated from a marine alga. Eur J Org Chem 2010(36):6928–6937.



Fig. S1. Reprogramming first-ring cyclization regiospecificity by site-directed mutagenesis. Product profiles (HPLC traces recorded at 300 nm) of S. cerevisiae BJ5464-NpgA (1, 2) cotransformed with YEpCcRADS1 and the YEpCcRADS2 derivatives with the indicated mutations (SI Materials and Methods).

1. Ma SM, et al. (2009) Complete reconstitution of a highly reducing iterative polyketide synthase. Science 326(5952):589–592.

2. Zhou H, Qiao K, Gao Z, Vederas JC, Tang Y (2010) Insights into radicicol biosynthesis via heterologous synthesis of intermediates and analogs. J Biol Chem 285(53):41412-41421.

16

Monocillin II (1)

Dehydrocurvularin (2)







Fig. S3. Key HMBC ( $\longrightarrow$ ), <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>1</sup>H-COSY ( $\longrightarrow$ ), and ROESY ( $\longleftrightarrow$ ) correlations for 3–5.



Fig. S4.  $\Delta \delta$ -Values [ $\Delta \delta$  (ppm) =  $\delta_S - \delta_R$ ] obtained for (S)- and (R)-α-methoxy-α-(trifluoromethyl)phenylacetic acid esters of 3 and 5.



Fig. S5. The UV spectra of 1–5.

 $\Delta$ 



Fig. S6. The CD spectra of 3 and 5.



Fig. S7. The ROESY NMR spectrum of 5 (in DMSO- $d_6$ ).

 $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ 

#### Table S1. NMR data for 3–5

PNAS PNAS



<sup>1</sup>H (300 MHz) and <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz) data for 3–5 (3 in CD<sub>3</sub>OD and 4 and 5 in DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>; *J* in Hertz and δ in parts per million).

### Table S2. PCR primers used in this study

PNAS PNAS

