## Acquisition and Processing of a Conditional Dicentric Chromosome in Saccharomyces cerevisiae

ALISON HILL AND KERRY BLOOM\*

Department of Biology, Wilson Hall, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27599-3280

Received 25 April 1988/Accepted 13 December 1988

The introduction of a conditional centromere into chromosome III of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* provided an opportunity to evaluate phenotypic and karyotypic consequences in cells harboring dicentric chromosomes upon entry into mitosis. A mitotic pause ensued, and monocentric derivatives of chromosome III were generated at a high frequency.

The transmission of chromosomes in Saccharomyces cerevisiae can be manipulated effectively with a conditional centromere. The conditional centromere consists of a centromere that is regulated by the inducible GAL1 promoter in yeast cells (6). Utilization of growth conditions that favor transcriptional induction (galactose as the carbon source) or repression (glucose as the carbon source) from the GAL1 promoter results in centromere function that is switched off or on, respectively. In this paper, we describe the construction of a conditional dicentric chromosome. Observations made in a number of different organisms suggest diverse behaviors for dicentric chromosomes. These range from the extreme instability of chromosomes that undergo a breakage-fusion-bridge cycle through subsequent mitotic cell divisions (9, 10) to the stable transmission of a chromosome with eight centromeres (13). With an increased understanding of the molecular structure of the centromere, this diversity of behavior may be explained.

Acquisition of a dicentric chromosome results in mitotic lag. A conditional centromere was introduced into the left arm of chromosome III at the HIS4 locus, approximately 45 kilobases (kb) from CEN3 (Fig. 1). Logarithmically growing cultures of strains containing this construction (J178-1D#7 MATa adel metl4 ura3 leu2 his3 HIS4::pBR322; URA3; GALCEN3) were switched from galactose-containing to glucose-containing medium. Cells appeared to be synchronous for mitosis after approximately 1.5 to 2 generations in the glucose-containing medium. The parent strain, J178-1D (MATa adel met14 ura3 leu2 his3), did not exhibit a similar mitotic pause. A majority of the cells had buds that were equivalent in size to those of the mother cells. Staining with the fluorescent dye Hoechst-33342 revealed that cells in the nuclear division stage were approximately three times more numerous in the experimental cultures than in control cultures raised in the galactose-containing medium.

**Monocentric derivatives.** Figure 2 shows the electrophoretic karyotype of chromosomes after the carbon source switch. After two generations in the glucose-containing medium, a new chromosome band smaller than chromosome III was evident (Fig. 2, lane 1). This chromosome appeared when the mitotic lag was first observed. The intensity of this chromosome increased over time, while that of its wild-type counterpart decreased. It was apparent after eight generations (Fig. 2, lane 4) that cells harboring this new chromosome constituted a major portion of the population. This 325-kb chromosome derivative contained DNA homologous

to the GAL1-GAL10 probe, while the 370-kb chromosome III band in all lanes in Fig. 2 (WT to 6), as well as the 325-kb chromosome in lanes 1 to 6, contained sequences homologous to CEN3 (data not shown). The major rearrangement involving the dicentric chromosome is therefore a derivative of chromosome III that has retained the conditional centromere and is approximately 45 kb smaller than the wild-type chromosome III.

Primary deletion derivative is a consequence of recombination between the CEN3 repeats. Restriction enzyme and Southern hybridization analyses were used to examine the physical structure of the conditional and wild-type CEN3 in the rearranged chromosomes. The conditional centromere (GALCEN3) was contained within a 0.865-kb BamHI restriction fragment, and wild-type CEN3 resided on an 8.2-kb BamHI fragment. A reciprocal recombination event mediated by the CEN3 homology within these restriction fragments would generate both a 1.15- and a 7.9-kb BamHI fragment. Figure 3A shows the results of BamHI restriction analysis carried out on DNA samples isolated as described for Fig. 2. The zero time point of this experiment demonstrated the presence of both CEN3-containing BamHI fragments. At subsequent time points a 1.1-kb BamHI fragment appeared that increased in intensity. The kinetics with which this new BamHI restriction fragment appeared were very similar to those for the appearance of the derivative chromosome (Fig. 2). The size of this new fragment is consistent with a crossover event between the CEN3 repeats that serves to join the left arm of chromosome III at the HIS4 locus to the right arm of chromosome III at the position of the wild-type centromere (Fig. 1B). In addition, the deletion derivative lacks any DNA homologous to <sup>32</sup>P-radiolabeled LEU2 sequences that map 21 kb to the right of HIS4 and 25 kb to the left of CEN3 (5, 11).

The reciprocal product of an exchange between each *CEN3* is a 45-kb circle consisting of the intervening chromosomal DNA stabilized by wild-type *CEN3*. This would result in the generation of an additional 7.9-kb *Bam*HI fragment. This fragment is not readily resolved from the wild-type centromere-containing fragment (8.2 kb). An alternative restriction digest (*Hind*III) that would generate two distinct *CEN3*-containing fragments was performed. This restriction analysis did not reveal any evidence of a reciprocal product (data not shown). The results of direct examination for the 45-kb product by electrophoretic karyotyping were also negative. These findings indicate the lack of essential genes in the interval between *HIS4* and *CEN3* in this strain as well. This may not be the case in general, however, as chromo-

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author.



FIG. 1. Conditional dicentric chromosome III and monocentric derivatives. (A) Dicentric chromosome III resulting from the integration of pR285-GALCEN3. pR285-GALCEN3 was constructed from the substitution vector pR285 (7), which consists of a 1.56-kb SalI fragment containing the 5' end of the HIS4 gene ( $\blacksquare$ ) as well as the URA3 gene ( $\Box$ ) as a selectable marker in pBR322. GALCEN3 ( $\bullet$ ) was inserted into pR285 as a 0.865-kb BamHI fragment to generate pR285-GALCEN3. XhoI restriction within the HIS4 sequence served to target the conditional centromere and URA3 into the HIS4 locus ( $\Box \Box$ ) on the left arm of chromosome III. The conditional centromere is approximately 45 kb from wild-type CEN3 (7, 11). The arrow above GALCEN3 denotes the direction of transcription from the GAL1 promoter. The elements of centromere homology (centromere DNA elements I, II, and III) are indicated and are directly repeated with respect to the wild-type CEN3 sequence in this construction. The horizontal line represents chromosome III; breaks in the line indicate that the drawing is not to scale. HML and HMR indicate the relative positions of the yeast mating-type loci on the left and right arms, respectively, of chromosome III. Numbers and arrows below the chromosome indicate sizes in kilobase pairs of the *Eco*RI and *Bam*HI restriction fragments after integration of pR285-GALCEN3. Restriction sites are *Eco*RI (R) and *Bam*HI (B). (B) Monocentric 45-kb deletion derivative resulting from recombination between the 340 base pairs of *CEN3* increases in size from 0.865 to 1.15 kb. (C) Monocentric derivative resulting from recombination between the 1.56-kb of HIS4 sequence flanking GALCEN3. The HIS4 EcoRI fragment decreases from 5.5 to 3.3 kb.



FIG. 2. Kinetics of karyotypic change. Haploid strain J178-1D#7, dicentric at chromosome III, was grown to logarithmic phase in a synthetic medium containing galactose. Cells were harvested, washed one time in sterile water, and suspended and cultured in a synthetic medium containing glucose. Samples (approximately  $1.5 \times 10^9$  cells) were taken at intervals and prepared for orthogonal field alternating gel electrophoresis analysis (4, 12). The DNA was electrophoresed in 1% agarose at 250 V for 18 h with a 30-s pulse interval and stained in ethidium bromide. The wild-type (WT) lane represents cells of the untransformed parent strain, J178-1D. The

some III in the parent strain, J178-1D, is larger than chromosome III in other strains (unpublished results).

Recombination between HIS4 repeats removes conditional centromere from chromosome III. A minor class of chromosome III rearrangements detected by these experiments is a pop-out of the conditional centromere from chromosome III. DNA was prepared from selected time points as described above and restricted with EcoRI. DNA fragments were electrophoretically separated, transferred to nitrocellulose, and hybridized to a <sup>32</sup>P-labeled HIS4 DNA fragment. The appearance of a 3.3-kb EcoRI fragment (Fig. 3B) with time suggests that a specific rearrangement occurred. The exci-

lane for the zero time point (lane 0)represents J178-1D#7 cells grown in medium containing galactose. The remaining lanes represent chromosomes from cells harvested after the following number of hours or generations in glucose-containing medium: lane 1, 3 h or  $\approx 2$  generations; lane 2, 5.5 h or  $\approx 2.4$  generations; lane 3, 8 h or  $\approx 2.8$ generations; lane 4, 27 h or  $\approx 7.8$  generations; lane 5, 51 h or  $\approx 14.8$ generations; lane 6, 73 h or  $\approx 21.4$  generations. Generation time was determined by counting cells with a hemacytometer. Chromosome III (370 kb) is the third band from the bottom in lane WT, and chromosome VI (290 kb) is the second band from the bottom (4).



FIG. 3. Restriction analysis of CEN3 and HIS4 in the monocentric derivatives. Genomic DNA was prepared from J178-1D#7 cells harvested in the time course experiment described in the legend to Fig. 2. DNA was restricted with BamHI (A) or EcoRI (B) and electrophoresed on a 1.1% agarose gel for 3 h at 150 V. The gels were transferred to nitrocellulose and hybridized with a <sup>32</sup>P-labeled DNA fragment containing the CEN3 sequence (2) (A) or a  $^{32}P$ labeled fragment containing HIS4 DNA (7) (B). The two outside lanes (MW) in panel A are molecular weight markers; the 0.865-, 1.3-, and 6.7-kb markers are indicated. The time points for lanes WT, 0, 1, 2, 3, 5, and 6 are the same as those described in the legend to Fig. 2. The zero time point demonstrates both the 8.2-kb BamHI fragment that contains wild-type CEN3 and the 0.865-kb BamHI fragment that contains the conditional centromere. For panel B, the 5.5-kb EcoRI fragment contains unrearranged HIS4 DNA. The cells were harvested after the following number of hours or generations in glucose-containing medium: lane 1, 3 h or ≈1.25 generations; lane 2, 5.5 h or  $\approx$ 2.4 generations; lane 3, 8 h or  $\approx$ 3 generations; lane 4, 23 h or  $\approx$ 6.5 generations; lane 5, 29 h or  $\approx$ 8.5 generations; lane 6, 47 h or ≈15 generations. WT, DNA prepared from the untransformed parent strain, J178-1D.

sion of intervening DNA between the HIS4 repeats resulted in the loss of GALCEN3 sequences, URA3, and vector DNA, generating the 3.3-kb EcoRI fragment (Fig. 1C). Evidence for this event was not distinct until 6.5 generations, or 23 h (Fig. 3B, lane 4). This event occurred less frequently than that for the 45-kb deletion derivative. The kinetics of appearance of the 3.3-kb EcoRI restriction fragment could be attributed to the presence of these recombinants in the population prior to the switch. Once the strain is switched to glucose, monocentric recombinants would not be encumbered by mitotic lag and would have a selective growth advantage over cells harboring a dicentric chromosome III.

Attachment of a functional dicentric chromosome to opposite poles is likely to result in a double-strand break. The predominant rearrangement found in dicentric strains is the product of recombination between the CEN3 repeats (Fig. 2 and 3). Detection of the 325-kb linear product without the reciprocal product suggests that dicentric chromosome breakage followed by exonucleolytic action is the event initiating CEN3 recombination. The efficiency with which the predominant chromosome rearrangement occurs in this strain is striking, considering the short region of DNA sequence homology shared by the two CEN3 repeats. In GALCEN3 there are 340 base pairs of DNA sequence derived from the wild-type CEN3. Approximately 250 base pairs of this sequence, in both the conditional and wild-type centromeres, are within the nuclease-protected structure associated with centromere chromatin (2, 3). This structure is a tightly associated complex of DNA and proteins (1). It is interesting that the presence of this protein-DNA complex did not have an inhibitory effect on the mitotic recombination that we observed. Recently, Liebman et al. (8) demonstrated the existence of mitotic gene conversion events extending through the centromere as well. The combined roles of centromere DNA in both chromosome attachment and genetic recombination seems to indicate a functional diversity not previously attributed to this DNA sequence.

This work was supported by Public Health Service grant GM32238 from the National Institutes of Health. A.H. was supported by Public Health Service training grant T32-GM07092 from the National Institutes of Health. K.B. was supported by Public Health Service research career development award CA-01175 from the National Cancer Institute.

## LITERATURE CITED

- 1. Bloom, K. S., E. Amaya, J. Carbon, L. Clarke, A. Hill, and E. Yeh. 1984. Chromatin conformation of yeast centromeres. J. Cell Biol. 99:1559-1568.
- 2. Bloom. K. S., and J. Carbon, 1982. Yeast centromere DNA is in a unique and highly ordered structure in chromosomes and small circular minichromosomes. Cell 29:305-317.
- 3. Bloom, K. S., M. Fitzgerald-Hayes, and J. Carbon. 1982. Structural analysis and sequence organization of yeast centromeres. Cold Spring Harbor Symp. Quant. Biol. 47:1175–1185. Carle, G. F., and M. V. Olson. 1985. An electrophoretic
- karyotype for yeast. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 82:3756-3760.
- Clarke, L., and J. Carbon. 1980. Isolation of the centromere-5. linked CDC10 gene by complementation in yeast. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 77:2173-2177.
- 6. Hill, A., and K. Bloom. 1987. Genetic manipulation of centromere function. Mol. Cell. Biol. 7:2397-2405.
- 7. Lambie, E. J., and G. S. Roeder. 1986. Repression of meiotic crossing over by a centromere (CEN3) in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Genetics 114:769-789.
- 8. Liebman, S. W., L. S. Symington, and T. D. Petes. 1988. Mitotic recombination within the centromere of a yeast chromosome. Science 241:1074-1077
- 9. McClintock, B. 1939. The behavior in successive nuclear divisions of a chromosome broken at meiosis. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 25:405-416.
- 10. McClintock, B. 1941. The stability of broken ends of chromosome in Zea mays. Genetics 26:234-282.
- 11. Roeder, G. S. 1983. Unequal crossing-over between yeast transposable elements. Mol. Gen. Genet. 190:117-121.
- 12. Schwartz, D. C., and C. R. Cantor. 1984. Separation of yeast chromosome-sized DNAs by pulse field gradient gel electrophoresis. Cell 37:67-75.
- Vig, B. K. 1984. Sequence of centromere separation: orderly 13. separation of multicentric chromosomes in mouse L cells. Chromosoma 90:39-45.