

Supplemental Figure 1. Protein Accumulation of Baits and Preys and BiFC Controls for MLA_CC and MYB6 Interaction Analysis.

(A) Schematic depictions of MLA1 and MYB6 domain structures. Conserved domains are represented in colour boxes. Solid lines represent fragments tested for interaction in yeast (upper panels). Anti-LexA or anti-HA antibodies detected the accumulation of all bait or prey fusions used in Fig. 1A or Fig. 1D for Y2H assays. Asterisk indicates degraded fragments (lower panels). **(B)** Fusion pairs used in BiFC assays in Figure 1C did not interact with the nYFP/cYFP vector. The experiments settings were same as in Fig. 1C. Scale bar is 50 µm. **(C)** Transcript accumulation of all fusions used in **(A)** and **(B)**. RT-PCR analysis using RNA samples derived from Agroinfiltrated *N. benthamiana* leaves in the BiFC assays. The *Actin* (*ACT*) gene was used as an internal control. **(D)** Anti-LexA or anti-HA antibodies detected the accumulation of all bait or prey fusions used in Fig. 1D for Y2H assays.

Supplemental Figure 2. Y2H Analysis between WRKY1 and the Mutant Variants of MYB6.

Diagram depicts the relative positions of the conserved Trp in the R2R3 domain and the Ile122 residue in the junction region between R2 and R3 repeat of MYB6 (Top panel), and these residues were each mutated into Ala in MYB6 prey for testing interactions with the WRKY1 bait (bottom panel), same as in Fig. 1E. The β-galactosidase activity was quantified and data are represented as mean +/- SEM (n=8).

Supplemental Figure 3. Firefly LCI Assays for WRKY1-MYB6 Interaction.

(A) LCI assays showing that C-terminus of WRKY1 and MYB6 are not required for their interactions. Same experimental settings as in Fig. 2C. The luminescent signal was collected at 60 hrs post infiltration. **(B)** Transcript accumulation of all fusions used in LCI assays in Fig. 2C and Fig. S3A.

Supplemental Figure 4. MBP pull-down Assays Testing MLA10_CC Variants Competing with WRKY1 to Bind MYB6. (A) MLA10_CC(L18E) compete out WRKY1 in binding MYB6. Same MBP pull-down assays were conducted as in Figure 3C & 3D, except that MLA10_CC(L18E) was used to replace MLA10 CC. Similar results were obtained from more than five repeats. **(B)** Failure of MLA10_CC(L11E) to compete out WRKY1 in binding MYB6*.* Same pull-down assays as above except that the MLA10_CC(L11E) was used here.

Supplemental Figure 5. MYB6 Specifically Binds the MBS I *cis***-element whereas WRKY1, WRKY2 or MLA10_CC do not.**

(A) Screening of cis-elements that MYB6 binds by yeast one-hybrid. Three copies of each of the DNA *cis*-elements *MBS*, *MBS I*, *MBS II*, *MYBR*, *MRE*, *MRE1*, *MRE2*, *MRE3* and *MRE4* were used in the assays. **(B)** MYB6 specifically binds the *MBS I cis*-element but not *MBS* or *MRE 4* in EMSA assay. Indicated *cis*-elements were all $32P$ -labeled and incubated with recombinant MYB6 or MBP (as a control) as in Figure 4A. **(C)** MYB6 specifically binds the *MBS I cis*-element but not m*MBS I* in EMSA assay. Indicated cis-elements were all ³²P-labeled and incubated with recombinant MYB6 or MBP (as a control) as in Figure 4A. **(D)** Failure of WRKY1 or WRKY2 or MLA10_CC to bind *MBS I* probe. EMSA assay was conducted with freshly prepared recombinant proteins, similar to that in Figure 4 but without adding MYB6 in the incubation with *MBS I* probe. **(E)** Failure of WRKY1-FLAG and MLA10_CC-HA to bind the MBS I probe. EMSA assays were similarly done as in Figure 4E but without adding MYB6 in the incubation with *MBS I* probe. All EMSA experiments were repeated three times with similar results.

Supplemental Figure 6. MYB6 is a Transcription Activator; MLA10_CC has no Transcriptional Activity; WRKY1-VP16 Fusion Interacts with MYB6 in LCI Assays.

(A) MYB6 is a transcription activator. Arabidopsis protoplasts were transfected with a mixture of effector, a luciferase (LUC) reporter and an internal control in a ratio of 6:6:1. The LUC reporter contains 5x *Gal4 UAS*. Basal reporter activity was determined by expressing Gal4 DBD alone, which was normalized to 1.0 unit. DBD-VP16 is a transcriptional activator control. This experiments were repeated three times and data presented as average +/- SEM (n=3). **(B)** MLA10_CC, (L11E) or (L18E) variant has no transcriptional activity. Arabidopsis protoplast reporter gene activation assays were done as in **(A)**. The experiments were repeated three times, and data are presented as average +/- SEM (n=3). **(C)** Firefly LCI assays were done as in Figure 2C, showing interaction between WRKY1-VP16 and MYB6.

Supplemental Figure 7. BSMV-VIGS Silencing of *MYB***6 Compromises** *MLA12-***mediated Disease Resistance.**

(A) BSMV-VIGS silencing of *MYB*6 compromises *MLA12-*mediated disease resistance. *Bgh* microcolony index was scored upon silencing of indicated gene in *MLA12*-containing barley line and inoculated with avirulent isolate A6. The experiments were repeated three times, and data are presented as average +/- SEM. At least 2000 interaction sites were microscopically analyzed in one experiment. **(B)** qRT-PCR analysis of *MYB6* expression after BSMV-VIGS using BSMV empty vector (EV) or vector to silence *MYB6* (*MYB6*as) in barley cultivar I10 that containing the *Mla12* resistance gene. Error bars represent standard error of technical triplicates and the experiments were repeated for three times with similar results. **(C)** *Bgh* microcolony formation on barley leaf surface in BMSV-VIGS assays. Shown are images of representative leaves of barley cultivar I10 upon BMSV-VIGS using indicated BSMV vector, and fixed at 5 days after inoculations of an avirulent *Bgh* isolate.

Supplemental Figure 8A. Nucleotide sequence alignment of Hv*-Myb6* **and the barley MLOC_14401.**

The nucleotide sequence of MLOC_14401 shared 42% identity with Hv*-Myb6*, however, there is no contiguous identical nucleotide stretches between. Identical nucleotides in both DNA sequences are shaded in dark. The Hv*-Myb6* fragment employed in the BSMV and TIGS-mediated silencing experiments is underlined.

Supplemental Figure 8B. Protein sequence alignment of Hv-MYB6 and the barley MLOC_14401.

MLOC 14401 is the most closely related MYB to Hv-MYB6 among the 80 identified R2R3-type MYB TFs from barley with only 18% amino acid sequence identity. Identical residues in both protein sequences are shaded in dark. The highly conserved Trp (W) residues in the MYB repeats are marked with star and the putative nuclear localization signals (NLSs) are underlined.

Supplemental Figure 8C. Sequence comparison among Hv-MYB6 and its most closely related R2R3-type MYB TFs from wheat, brachypodium and rice.

Hv-MYB6 is homologous to wheat Ta-MYB22, brachypodium MYB Bradi3g10067 and rice MYB Os02g02719 (same as NM_001053059) with 95%, 51% and 32% sequence identity, respectively. Residues shaded in dark are highly conserved among four proteins and gray among three proteins. The highly conserved Trp (W) residues in the MYB repeats are marked with star and the putative nuclear localization signals (NLSs) are underlined.

Supplemental Figure 8D. Relationship of barley *Hv***-MYB6 with the R2R3-type MYB proteins from** *Arabidopsis thaliana***.**

The tree was constructed using the neighbor-joining method and 1000 bootstraps with the 125 *Arabidopsis* R2R3-type MYB TFs (Stracke et al., 2001). Similar same subgroups were obtained as before [\(Stracke et al., 2001\)](#page-22-0). The 125 *Arabidopsis* R2R3-type MYB sequences were gained from <http://www.arabidopsis.org/> using HMMER3.0 with seed alignment PF00249 download from Pfam database, and then motifs were detected using MEME4.9.0. The bar indicates 20% dissimilarity.

Supplemental Figure 9. *MYB6* **Expression is Induced by** *Bgh* **Infection, with the Maximum Increases by the Avirulent Isolate.** qRT-PCR analysis of *MYB6* expression in barley first leaves upon inoculation of *Bgh*. RNA samples were derived from the first leaf of 7-day-old seedling without or with virulent or avirulent *Bgh* isolate inoculations. Error bar indicates SE of technical triplicates. Experiments were repeated at least four times with similar results.

Plasmids	Descriptions
pLexA-MLA1_CC-NB(1-225)	pLexA carrying MLA1_CC-NB(1-225)
pLexA-HvMYB6 NT	pLexA carrying HvMYB6_NT(1-190)
pLexA-HvWRKY1∆CT	pLexA carrying HvWRKY1ACT(1-260)
pLexA-HvWRKY1 CT	pLexA carrying HvWRKY1_CT(260-355)
pB42AD-HvMYB6	pB42AD carrying HvMYB6 FL (1-392)
pB42AD-HvMYB6 NT	pB42AD carrying HvMYB6_NT (1-190)
pB42AD-HvMYB6 CT	pB42AD carrying HvMYB6 CT (166-392)
pB42AD-MLA1_CC(47-161)	pB42AD carrying MLA1 CC(47-161)
pB42AD-MLA1_CC-NB(1-225)	pB42AD carrying MLA1_CC-NB(1-225)
pB42AD-HvMYB6 (W75A)	pB42AD carrying HvMYB6 FL (1-392) with the
	substitution W75A
pB42AD-HvMYB6 (W95A)	pB42AD carrying HvMYB6 FL (1-392) with the
	substitution W95A
pB42AD-HvMYB6 (W114A)	pB42AD carrying HvMYB6 FL (1-392) with the
	substitution W114A
pB42AD-HvMYB6 (I122A)	pB42AD carrying HvMYB6 FL (1-392) with the
	substitution I122A
pB42AD-HvMYB6 (W127A)	pB42AD carrying HvMYB6 FL (1-392) with the
	substitution W127A
pB42AD-HvMYB6 (W146A)	pB42AD carrying HvMYB6 FL (1-392) with the
	substitution W146A
pB42AD-HvMYB6 (W165A)	pB42AD carrying HvMYB6 FL (1-392) with the
	substitution W165A
pGBKT7-HvWRKY1	pGBKT7 carrying HvWRKY1 FL
pGBKT7-HvWRKY1∆CT	pGBKT7 carrying HWVRKY1∆CT(1-260)
pGADT7-HvMYB6	pGADT7 carrying HvMYB6 FL
pBridge-MLA10 CC	pBridge carrying wild type MLA10_CC
pBridge-MLA10_CC (L11E)	pBridge carrying MLA10_CC with the substitution L11E
pBridge-MLA10 CC (L18E)	pBridge carrying MLA10_CC with the substitution L18E
pMALc2x-MLA1 CC	pMALc2x carrying MLA1_CC
pMALc2x-MLA6 CC	pMALc2x carrying MLA6_CC
pMALc2x-MLA10 CC	pMALc2x carrying MLA10_CC
pMALc2x-HvMYB6	pMALc2x carrying wild type HvMYB6
pET32a-MLA1 CC	pET32a carrying MLA1_CC
pET32a-MLA6 CC	pET32a carrying MLA6_CC
pET32a-MLA10_CC	pET32a carrying MLA10_CC

Supplemental Table 1. Plasmids constructed in this study.

Supplemental Table 2. Plasmids from other sources used in this study.

Supplemental Table 3. BLASTN Search Results of the Barley Genome Database with the Fragment Used for *HvMYB6-***Silencing.**

Supplemental Methods

1. Plasmid Construction

Plasmids constructed in the present study are listed in Supplemental Table 1.

Method for bait and prey plasmid construction for yeast two-hybrid assays has been previously described (Shen et al., 2007; Maekawa et al., 2011). Preys containing single amino acid substitution (W75A, W95A, W114A, I122A, W127A, W146A and W165A) in the R2R3 repeats of MYB6 (in Supplemental Table 1) were constructed using splice overlap extension technique. Baits containing single amino acid substitution (L11E, L18E, I33E, L36E, M43E and F83E) in the CC domain of MLA10_CC-NB(1-225) were directly PCR-amplified from the template constructs previously described (Maekawa et al., 2011) and subcloned.

For BiFC assays, N- or C-terminal half of YFP (YN/YC, 154 or 87 amino acid residues, respectively) was fused to the protein of interests by two different approaches, first one is using the vector pSY736 or pSY735, for example, the coding region of WRKY1 was fused to the YN fragment through ligation into the vector pSY736 while the coding region of MYB6 was fused to YC fragment through ligation into the vector pSY735 [\(Wei et al., 2009\)](#page-22-2); second one is through PCR amplification using splice overlap extension technique, for example, for MLA1 CC, MLA6 CC or MLA10 CC YN fusions. The above YN/YC fusion protein was further sub-cloned into the CTAPi vector (Bai et al., 2012) through Gateway technology (Invitrogen) for expression in *N. benthamiana*.

For LCI assays, C-terminal or N-terminal half of Luciferase protein (cLUC/nLUC) was fused to the protein of interest for further analysis. The coding region of MYB6, MYB6_NT(1-190) and MYB6_CT(161-392) was fused to cLUC by ligation into the vector of pCAMBIA-cLUC to create the cLUC-*Hv*MYB6/ *Hv*MYB6_NT/*Hv*MYB6_CT constructs [\(Chen et al., 2008\)](#page-22-1). The WRKY1, WRKY1_CT, WRKY1ΔCT and WRKY2 were fused to nLUC by ligation into the vector of pCAMBIA-nLUC to create the nLUC-*Hv*WRKY1/*Hv*WRKY1_CT/*Hv*WRKY1 ΔCT/*Hv*WRKY2 constructs.

For yeast three-hybrid assay, the coding region of MYB6 was cloned into the vector pGADT7 to generate the AD-*Hv*MYB6 prey construct. The coding

region of WRKY1 or WRKY1ΔCT was cloned into the pGBKT7 vector to generate the BD-WRKY1 or BD-WRKY1ΔCT bait construct. The coding region of wild type MLA10_CC WT or L11E or L18E was ligated into the pBridge vector to generate the competitor constructs (Li et al., 2011).

To construct the plasmids for in vitro protein-protein interaction assay, the MLA CC domains (including MLA1_CC, MLA6_CC and MLA10_CC) or MYB6 was fused to the MBP domain by ligation of the respective coding region into the pMALc2x vector (New England Biolab). The coding region of the MYB6, WRKY1, WRKY1ΔCT and WRKY2 was recombined into CTAPi-GW-3HA (Bai et al., 2012) through Gateway technology to generate the constructs for protein expression in *N. benthiamiana.*

For electrophoretic mobility-shift assays, the coding region of MYB6 was ligated into the pMALc2x to generate the construct for obtaining MBP-*Hv*MYB6 recombinant. The coding region of WRKY1, WRKY1ΔCT, WRKY2, MLA10 CC WT, L11E or L18E variant was individually ligated into pET32a for protein expression and purification in *E. coli*. The FLAG tag (Asp Tyr Lys Asp Asp Asp Asp Lys) in WRKY1-FLAG and the HA tag (Tyr Pro Tyr Asp Val Pro Asp Tyr Ala) in MLA10 CC-HA are introduced by PCR using nested primer pairs: 5'-AGCCCCTCGAGTCACTTGTCATCGTCGTCCTTGTAGTCATTGATG /5'-ATCGTCGTCCTTGTAGTCATTGATGTCCCTGGTCGGCGAGA and 5'-AGCCCCTCGAGTCAGCCCGCATAGTCAGGAACATCGTATGGGTAAAAG ATGCCGG/5'-AACATCGTATGGGTAAAAGATGCCGGAGCCCAAAGCTCGA AGACAAGGATC, respectively.

For the Arabidopsis protoplast transactivation assay, all effector plasmids containing the GAL4 DNA binding domain (DBD) were constructed by cloning the coding region of gene/fragment of interests into the vector pRT-BD [\(Wei et](#page-22-2) [al., 2009\)](#page-22-2), for example, for expressing DBD-*Hv*MYB6, DBD-*Hv*MYB6_NT, DBD-HvMYB6 CT, or DBD-VP16. Other effector plasmids without fused to the DBD were constructed by cloning into the vector pRT107, including MYB6, WRKY1, WRKY1-VP16, MLA10 CC domain (WT, or L11E and L18E variant), and MLA10 FL variants (WT, K207R or D502V variant), all driven by the 35S promoter.

For single-cell transient gene overexpression assay, the CDS region of

MYB6 was cloned into a vector driven by the maize polyubiquitin promoter to create the construct pUbi-*Hv*MYB6.

For single-cell transient-induced gene silencing assay, a fragment of the 3′untranslated region of MYB6 was amplified using the primer pairs 5'-GGGGACAAGTTTGTACAAAAAAGCAGGCTTCGTCCAGCTGTTGGTGGT GTCTC/5'-GGGGACCACTTTGTACAAGAAAGCTGGGTCAGGAACTGTCAG TTTACAGGAC, and cloned into the pIPKb007 vector Gateway technology to create the TIGS:*Hv*MYB6 construct [\(Himmelbach et al., 2007\)](#page-22-0).

For BSMV-mediated VIGS, we used pCaBS-α, pCaBS-β and pCa-γbLIC vector for transcription of respective BSMV RNAs in *N. benthiamiana* (Yuan et al., 2011). By means of ligation independent cloning technique, an antisense fragment of 368 base pairs of the 3' untranslated region of MYB6 was cloned into the pCa-γbLIC vector to create the *BSMV*:*HvMYB6as* construct using the primer pairs 5'-AAGGAAGTTTAAGTCCAGCTGTTGGTGGTG TCTC/5'- AACCACCACCACCGACAGGAACTGTCAGTTTACAGGAC.

For yeast one hybrid assay, three copies of each of the DNA cis-elements *MBS* (CACCAT), *MBS I* (TAACTG), *MBS II* (CTTTGGT), *MYBR* (TTGTTAG), *MRE* (AACCAAA), *MRE1* (CCGGCAGTTAGGAT), *MRE2* (TATAACGGTTTTTT), *MRE3* (TCTAACCTACCA) and *MRE4* (CACCTAC) were synthesized (Invitrogen, China), annealed, and cloned into the vector pHIS2. The CDS region of MYB6 was cloned into the vector pAD-GAL4-2.1 to generate the construct pAD-MYB6.

2. Single-Cell Transient Gene Expression, Transient-Induced Gene Silencing Assay and BSMV-Mediated Virus Induced Gene Silencing

The single-cell transient gene expression was conducted essentially as described (Shen et al., 2007; Maekawa et al., 2011). The β-glucuronidase (GUS) reporter gene was codelivered into the barley epidermal cell to mark the transformed cells and better visualization of fungal haustorium in these cells. The pUbi or TIGS constructs were mixed with the GUS reporter vector at the 1:1 molar ratio before coating the DNA microcarrier. The exogenous DNA on the microcarrier were delivered into the barley epidermal cell through the particle inflow gun (Bio-Rad, Model PDS-1000/He). The inoculation of

B. graminis conidia spores was performed 14 hrs post bombardment in transient overexpression assay and at least 60 hrs post bombardment in transient–induced gene silencing assay. The leaf segments were stained for GUS activity 48 hrs post *Bgh* spore inoculation and kept in the destaining solution. Before mounting for microscopy, the leaves were stained with coomassie blue to visualize the fungal epiphytic structure.

For BSMV-mediated VIGS, construct DNA of pCaBS-α, pCaBS-β, and pCa-γbLIC derivatives (BSMV:EV/BSMV:*MLA12as* / BSMV:*HvMYB6as*) were transformed into the *A. tumefaciens* strain GV3101, individually. The Agrobacteria culture was harvested and resuspended in the infiltration buffer (10 mM MgCl₂, 100 µM acetosyringone and 10 mM MES). The cell suspension containing pCaBS-α, pCaBS-β and pCa-γbLIC derivatives were mixed at the 1:1:1 ratio and infiltrated the *N. benthamiana* leaves*.* The *N. benthamiana* sap from leaves with *BSMV* infection symptom about 12 days post Agroinfiltration was used to inoculate the first two emerging leaves of barley I10 plants. The upper leaves with virus symptom about 14 days post BSMV-infection was collected and challenged with powdery mildew conidiospores (*Bgh* isolate A6). Leaf segments were fixed and stained with coomassie blue to visualize the fungal structure at 48 hrs post conidia spore inoculation.

3. Phylogenetic Analysis

The 125 Arabidopsis R2R3-type MYB sequences were gained from <http://www.arabidopsis.org/> using HMMER3.0 (Finn et al., 2011) with seed alignment PF00249 download from Pfam database (Finn et al., 2010) with E-value threshold 0.01, then motifs were detected using MEME4.9.0 (Bailey et al., 2009) with default options. Sequences were aligned using CLUSTALW (Thompson et al., 1994) with default options, and the alignment was corrected manually using GeneDoc (Nicholas et al., 1997). The evolutionary history was inferred using the Neighbor-Joining method (Saitou and Nei, 1987). The evolutionary distances were computed using the Poisson correction method (Zuckerkandl and Pauling, 1965) and are in the units of the number of amino acid substitutions per site. Evolutionary analyses were conducted in MEGA5 (Tamura et al., 2011) with default options and internal branch support was estimated with 1000 bootstrap replicates. The bar indicates 20% dissimilarity.

Supplemental References

- **Bailey, T.L., Boden, M., Buske, F.A., Frith, M., Grant, C.E., Clementi, L., Ren, J., Li, W.W., and Noble, W.S.** (2009). MEME Suite: tools for motif discovery and searching. Nucleic Acids Research **37:** W202-W208.
- **Chen, H., Zou, Y., Shang, Y., Lin, H., Wang, Y., Cai, R., Tang, X., and Zhou, J.M.** (2008). Firefly luciferase complementation imaging assay for protein-protein interactions in plants. Plant Physiol. **146:** 368-376.
- **Finn, R.D., Clements, J., and Eddy, S.R.** (2011). HMMER web server: interactive sequence similarity searching. Nucleic Acids Research **39:** W29-W37.
- **Finn, R.D., Mistry, J., Tate, J., Coggill, P., Heger, A., Pollington, J.E., Gavin, O.L., Gunasekaran, P., Ceric, G., Forslund, K., et al.** (2010). The Pfam protein families database. Nucleic Acids Research **38:** D211-D222.
- **Himmelbach, A., Zierold, U., Hensel, G., Riechen, J., Douchkov, D., Schweizer, P., and Kumlehn, J.** (2007). A set of modular binary vectors for transformation of cereals. Plant Physiol. **145:** 1192-1200.
- Laemmli, U.K. (1970). Cleavage of structural proteins during the assembly of the head of bacteriophage T4. Nature **227:** 680-685.
- **Nicholas, K.B., Nicholas, H., and Deerfield, D.** (1997). GeneDoc: analysis and visualization of genetic variation. Embnew news 4, 2.
- **Qi, T., Song, S., Ren, Q., Wu, D., Huang, H., Chen, Y., Fan, M., Peng, W., Ren, C., and Xie, D.** (2011). The Jasmonate-ZIM-domain proteins interact with the WD-Repeat/bHLH/MYB complexes to regulate jasmonate-mediated anthocyanin accumulation and trichome initiation in Arabidopsis thaliana. Plant Cell **23:** 1795-1814.
- **Saitou, N., and Nei, M.** (1987). The neighbor-joining method: a new method for reconstructing phylogenetic trees. Molecular Biology and Evolution **4:** 406-425.
- **Tamura, K., Peterson, D., Peterson, N., Stecher, G., Nei, M., and Kumar, S.** (2011). MEGA5: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis Using Maximum Likelihood, Evolutionary Distance, and Maximum Parsimony Methods. Molecular Biology and Evolution **28:** 2731-2739.
- **Thompson, J.D., Higgins, D.G., and Gibson, T.J.** (1994). CLUSTAL W: improving the sensitivity of progressive multiple sequence alignment through sequence weighting, position-specific gap penalties and weight matrix choice. Nucleic Acids Research **22**: 4673-4680.
- **Wei, W., Huang, J., Hao, Y.J., Zou, H.F., Wang, H.W., Zhao, J.Y., Liu, X.Y., Zhang, W.K., Ma, B., Zhang, J.S., and Chen, S.Y.** (2009). Soybean GmPHD-type transcription regulators improve stress tolerance in transgenic Arabidopsis plants. PLoS One **4:** e7209.
- **Zuckerkandl, E., and Pauling, L.** (1965). Evolutionary divergence and convergence in proteins. Evolving Genes and Proteins **97**: 166.