

SUPPLEMENTARY FIG. S6. Histological analysis of neovascularization. **(A)** Cross sections of CAM stained by H&E. A-1: CAM implanted with the HDF-free collagen gel, A-2: with the HDF-encapsulating collagen gel, A-3: with the HDF-free collagen–PEGDE gel, The arrows indicate the blood vessels. **(B)** The HDF-encapsulating collagen gel stimulated host inflammation, while the HDF-free collagen gel and collagen–PEGDE, and the HDF-encapsulating collagen–PEGDE gel minimally stimulated it, as evidenced by the larger area of inflammation at the implantation site. **(C)** Implantation of the HDF-encapsulating collagen–PEGDE gel with an elastic modulus (E) of 1.6 kPa resulted in the larger blood vessel density of the CAM than the HDF-free collagen and collagen–PEGDE gel, and the HDF-encapsulating collagen gel with E of 0.7 kPa. Statistical significance between conditions (*p<0.05).