

1 **Supplemental Materials**

2

3 FIG S1 Electron micrographs of *T. forsythia* exposed to serum (low-power field).

4 The *T. forsythia* WT (A, B) and S-layer-deficient mutant (C, D) were treated with (A, C) or
5 without 100% CS (B, D) at 37°C for 2 h, and then samples were prepared for transmission
6 electron microscopy (TEM) observation as described in the Materials and Methods.

7

8 FIG S2 Immunofluorescence CLMS analysis of Factor H binding on the bacterial surface
9 of the *T. forsythia* WT and S-layer-deficient mutant.

10 The *T. forsythia* WT (A) and S-layer-deficient mutant (B) were exposed to 30% HS at
11 37°C for 30 min. After washing, the cells were reacted with anti-Factor H antibody and
12 then reacted with Alexa Fluor® 594-conjugated anti-mouse IgG. Also, DAPI staining was
13 performed. Blue and red cells indicate whole cells and the binding of Factor H to the cell
14 surface, respectively.

15 (C) The efficiency of Factor H binding on the bacterial cell surface was analyzed in the
16 CLSM images. Details are described in the Materials and Methods. Data shown represent
17 means \pm standard deviations (SDs) of measurements performed in triplicate.

18

19 FIG S3 Immunofluorescence CLMS analysis of C4BP binding on the bacterial surface of
20 the *T. forsythia* WT and S-layer-deficient mutant.

21 The *T. forsythia* WT (A) and S-layer-deficient mutant (B) were exposed to 30% HS at
22 37°C for 30 min. After washing, the cells were reacted with anti-C4BP antibody and then
23 reacted with Alexa Fluor® 594-conjugated anti-mouse IgG. Also, DAPI staining was
24 performed. Blue and red cells indicate whole cells and the binding of C4BP to the cell
25 surface, respectively. (C) The efficiency of C4BP binding on the bacterial cell surface was
26 analyzed in the CLSM images. Details are described in the Materials and Methods. Data
27 shown represent means \pm standard deviations (SDs) of measurements performed in
28 triplicate.