## **Supporting Information**

## Do and Yau 10.1073/pnas.1304039110

## SI Text

We calculated the number of photoactivated melanopsin molecules in the following way. Previously, we found that the light intensity required to produce one single-photon response,  $I_{\phi}$ , upon illuminating the soma of an intrinsically photosensitive retinal ganglion cell (ipRGC) is ~4 ×10<sup>5</sup> photons per square micron (1). Illumination of both the soma and dendrites would increase sensitivity by approximately 10-fold, giving  $I_{\phi} = \sim 4 \times 10^4$  photons per square micron (1). To calculate the number of photoactivated melanopsin molecules during a stimulus, we simply divide the stimulus intensity by the appropriate  $I_{\phi}$ . Because we observed a large dispersion in  $I_{\phi}$  values ( $1.2 \times 10^4 - 2.7 \times 10^6$  photons per square micron for 17 cells) (1), we consider this a broad estimate.

1. Do MTH, et al. (2009) Photon capture and signalling by melanopsin retinal ganglion cells. *Nature* 457(7227):281–287.



Fig. S1. Responses of an ipRGC to 3-min steps of light. Diffuse illumination with 480-nm light of, from top to bottom, 13,400, 108,000, and 890,000 photons per square micron per second. Relative intensities are indicated on the right. Note the different scalings of ordinates. Perforated-patch, voltage-clamp recording (-80 mV) was obtained in flat-mount retina at 23 °C. Bath solution was Ames medium with blockers of fast-synaptic transmission.



**Fig. 52.** Comparison of adaptation between ipRGCs and rods and cones. (*A* and *B*) Data on ipRGCs from Fig. 2 *A* and *B* are reproduced here. *C* and *D* are adapted and reproduced with permission from ref. 1, plotted on modified axes for comparison with *A* and *B*. Normalized flash sensitivity ( $S_F/S_F^D$ ; Results) was plotted against normalized background-light intensity ( $I_B/I_O$ ; Results). Fit (solid black line) is the Weber–Fechner relation,  $S_F/S_F^D = 1/[1 + (I_B/I_O)]$  (Results). (*E*) Weber–Fechner fits to adaptation data for mouse ipRGCs ( $I_O = 1.1 \times 10^5$  photons per square micron per second), rat rods ( $I_O = 85$  photons per square micron per second) (2), salamander cones ( $I_O = 1.6 \times 10^4$  photons per square micron per second) (1), and primate cones ( $I_O = 7.1 \times 10^4$  photons per square micron per second) (3) are plotted together for comparison. Background-light intensities are reported in terms of wavelengths at or near the  $\lambda_{max}$  of each photoreceptor. The dashed line corresponds to  $S_F/S_F^D = 0.5$ , thus giving the respective  $I_O$  values. IpRGC data were obtained at 23 °C. At near-physiological temperature, the speed and sensitivity of ipRGCs are higher by approximately threefold (4).

- 1. Matthews HR, Fain GL, Murphy RL, Lamb TD (1990) Light adaptation in cone photoreceptors of the salamander: A role for cytoplasmic calcium. J Physiol 420:447–469.
- 2. Nakatani K, Tamura T, Yau KW (1991) Light adaptation in retinal rods of the rabbit and two other nonprimate mammals. J Gen Physiol 97(3):413-435.
- 3. Schnapf JL, Nunn BJ, Meister M, Baylor DA (1990) Visual transduction in cones of the monkey Macaca fascicularis. J Physiol 427:681–713.
- 4. Do MTH, et al. (2009) Photon capture and signalling by melanopsin retinal ganglion cells. Nature 457(7227):281-287.



**Fig. S3.** Spiking of ipRGCs during steps of light at 35 °C. Capacitive/extracellular currents associated with spikes were recorded in loose-patch configuration ( $V_{hold} = 0$  mV; Hepes-buffered Ames intrapipette solution). (*A, Left*) Sample traces from an ipRGC, with increasing intensity from top to bottom (diffuse, 480 nm or white expressed as equivalent 480-nm photons, ranging from  $3.4 \times 10^4$  to  $2.4 \times 10^{10}$  photons per square micron per second). Light monitor is shown at the bottom, with relative intensities marked on the right. (*A, Right*) Same traces shown on an expanded time base at light onset. (*B, Left*) Peristimulus time histograms (PSTHs) of spike activity for the same cell as in *A*, with relative intensity increasing from top to bottom. PSTHs are derived from single or multiple sweeps, with a bin width of 500 ms. (*B, Right*) Same PSTHs shown on an expanded time base at light onset. The spike rate seemingly increases before the stimulus, simply because the stimulus monitor trace has not been binned. (*C*) Plots of peak (*Left*) and steady (*Right*) firing rates versus light-step intensity. The cell from *A* and *B* is indicated with black squares (with some points being unrepresented in *B*); other cells are indicated with other symbols. Peak spike rates were measured at the end of the light step. IpRGCs were recorded in flat-mount retina with superfused Ames medium and fast-synaptic transmission blocked pharmacologically (*Materials and Methods*).



**Fig. 54.** Effect of  $Ca^{2+}$  influx on light responses of dissociated ipRGCs. (*Upper*) Dim-flash response of an acutely dissociated ipRGC in control solution containing 1.5 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub> (black trace) versus a solution with CaCl<sub>2</sub> replaced by MgCl<sub>2</sub> (no EGTA; red trace). (*Lower*) Same responses plotted on an expanded time base for resolution of the rising phase. Stimulus was 50-ms flash of diffuse, 480-nm light delivering  $1.1 \times 10^8$  photons per square micron. Perforated-patch recording in voltage clamp (-80 mV) was obtained at 23 °C. The current is small (and, therefore, noisy) because the flash intensity is at the low end of this cell's intensity–response relation.