## Supplementary Appendix for Adams et al., "Mountain Pine Beetles Colonizing Historical

and Naïve Host Trees are Associated with a Bacterial Community Highly Enriched in

Genes Contributing to Terpene Metabolism"

Table S1. Summary and phylogenetic assignment of the twelve DGGE bands sequenced.

	OTU.			% Identity to
Phyla/Class	no.	Accession no./bp	Closest match	match
Actinobacteria	27	JF810915 / 342	Micrococcus endophyticus	100
β-Proteobacteria	15	JF810916 / 345	Massilia aurea	98
γ-Proteobacteria	2	JF810917 / 213	Pseudomonas viridiflava	99
	6	JF810918 / 331	Serratia fonticola	100
	7	JF810919 / 298	Acinetobacter johnsonii	97
	16	JF810920 / 266	Serratia fonticola	97
	17	JF810921 / 327	Pseudomonas viridiflava	99
	18	JF810922 / 331	Pantoea agglomerans	99
	23	JF810923 / 331	Pseudoxanthomonas spadix	100
	24	JF810924 / 333	Serratia nematodiphila	99
	25	JF810925 / 333	Serratia nematodiphila	99
	29	JF810926 / 327	Serratia fonticola	100



**Figure S1.** Principle Component Analysis of DGGE data (A) Tree vs Beetle; (B) Hybrid pine vs Lodgepole pine (C) Alberta (AB) vs British Colombia (BC).



**Figure S2.** Frequency of association for DGGE bands across all samples from Alberta (AB) and British Colombia (BC). An OTU analysis was performed to identify the identity of specific sequences as shown at the genus level.



**Figure S3.** Phylogenetic binning analysis of four beetle-associated community metagenomes. Only those phyla/groups with greater than 1% representation are shown.



% of bp Binned

Phylogenetic Binning of Contigs containing DIT Cluster Genes

**Figure S4.** Phylogenetic binning analysis of monoterpene and diterpene genes identified in four beetle-associated metagenomes. Only those genera with greater than 1% representation are shown.



**Figure S5.** Length in amino acids of the proteins in the four mountain pine beetle-associated community metagenomes that are annotated as belonging to the KEGG Limonene and Pinene Degradation Pathway or have homology to proteins in the *dit* gene cluster.

1 SI Text

## 2 Materials and Methods

3 DGGE PCR Processing and Analysis. Individual adult beetles were surface washed in the 4 laboratory in 70% ethanol for 1 minute, and ground separately in 1 ml PBS. Phloem from gallery 5 and unattacked tree samples were rinsed in PBS, and ground separately in 1 ml PBS. Total DNA 6 was extracted as described in the DNeasy Blood & Tissue Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA). 7 PCR amplification and DGGE conditions were performed as described previously (1, 2). Briefly, 8 nested PCR was performed on all samples under sterile conditions using the conserved 16S 9 rRNA gene primers. Initial PCR was performed using 27f (5'-AGA GTT TGA TCM TGG CTC 10 AG-3') and 1492r (5'-GGT TAC CTT GTT ACG ACT T-3') primers (2). Reaction conditions 11 were as follows: 1 ul of template DNA was amplified with Promega Taq (Promega, Madison, 12 WI, USA) with thermal cycler conditions: 20 cycles of 94 °C for 30 sec, 55 °C for 30 sec and 72 13 °C for 30 sec. Targeted PCR was performed with the clamped primer 536fC (5'-CGC CCG CCG 14 CGC CCC GCG CCC GGC CCG CCC CCG CCCC CWT AAT GGC GCC GMC GAC-15 3') and 907r (5'-CCC CGT CAA TTC CTT TGA GTT T-3'), that span variable region V4 of bacterial 16S rDNA. PCR amplicons were separated via DGGE using a D-Code system (Bio-16 17 Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA). Each gel contained two sets of internal standards to allow 18 cross-gel comparisons: 100 bp ladder (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) and a separate lane 19 with approximately 700 ng of PCR product amplified from two D. ponderosae samples. A linear 20 gradient of denaturant ranging from 40 to 60 % (7 M urea : 40 % (wt / vol) formamide) in a 6 % 21 2 acrylamide gel matrix was used. Gels were run at 130 V for 5 h. Bands were visualized by staining with SYBR Safe Stain (Invitrogen Corp., Carlsbad, CA, USA) and photographically 22 23 captured (Fotodyne, Hartland, WI, USA). DGGE bands that were common across multiple

samples were excised from gels (Gene Catcher, The Gel Company, San Francisco, CA, USA)
and reamplified with 535f and 907r non-clamped primers and sequenced (University of
Wisconsin Biotechnology Center, Madison, WI, USA). Sequences of bands were compared to
those deposited in GenBank.

Band locations were analyzed using the band match function in Bionumerics (Applied 28 29 Maths, Inc., Austin, TX, USA) with a 1.5% tolerance level. Each unique band was considered an 30 operational taxonomic unit (OTU). DGGE bands found common across multiple samples were 31 excised and sequenced at the University of Wisconsin Biotechnology Center, Madison, WI. 32 Taxonomic assignments were made by comparing these sequences to the NCBI microbial 16S 33 dataset available on RefSeq (3) using BLASTN. Principle Component Analysis was performed 34 on a binary matrix of OTU presence and absence for all samples using the R module 35 FactoMineR (4). A nested analysis of similarities (ANOSIM, Primer E software v. 6) was used to 36 test the null hypothesis that association of OTUs was independent of the sampling environment, 37 i.e. D. ponderosae, their galleries, and phloem from unattacked trees.

38 **Results** 

39 DGGE Microbial Community Analysis. Thirty-one unique OTUs were detected by DGGE 40 from D. ponderosae adults, galleries, and phloem from unattacked trees from P. contorta, P. 41 banksiana, and their hybrids (Figure S1), of which bands from the 12 most commonly identified 42 OTUs were sequenced for identity (Table S1). OTU #18, most closely related to Pantoea 43 agglomerans, was more frequently detected that any other OTU across all host tree species, with 44 frequencies ranging from 50% to 71%. OTU #17, most closely related to Pseudomonas 45 *viridiflava*, was next most frequent across all host tree species, and it was the most frequently 46 detected OTU from *D. ponderosae* adults from any host species at 73% in *D. ponderosae* from

47	Р. се	ontorta. OTU #22 (not sequenced) was detected in between 40% and 50% of samples from				
48	each	host species, however it was detected in only 19% of D. ponderosae from P. contorta. OTU				
49	#16, most closely related to Serratia fonticola, was detected in over 50% of samples from P.					
50	contorta while it was relatively infrequent in other host species. The opposite trend was observed					
51	with OTU #24, also most closely related to the genus Serratia. Other sequenced OTUs that were					
52	detected in relatively high frequencies were most closely related to the Betaproteobacteria					
53	Massilia aurea (#15) and the Gammaproteobacteria Serratia nematodiphila (#24 & #25) and					
54	Pseudoxanthomonas spadix (#23). Less frequently detected OTUs include those most closely					
55	relate	ed to Micrococcus endophyticus (#27), Serratia fonticola (#6 & #29), Pseudomonas				
56	virid	liflava (#2), and Acinetobacter johnsonii (#7).				
57						
58						
59		Supplementary References				
60						
61 62	1.	Feris, K. P., P. W. Ramsey, C. Frazar, M. C. Rillig, J. E. Gannon, and W. E. Holben. 2003. Structure and seasonal dynamics of hyporheic zone microbial communities in free-				
63		stone rivers of the western United States. Microb. Ecol. 46:200-15.				
64	2.	Newberry, C. J., G. Webster, B. A. Cragg, R. J. Parkes, A. J. Weightman, and J. C.				
65		<b>Fry.</b> 2004. Diversity of prokaryotes and methanogenesis in deep subsurface sediments				
66 67		from the Nankai Trough, Ocean Drilling Program Leg 190. Environ. Microbiol. 6:2/4-				
0/ 69	2	0/. Druitt K D T Tatucovo C D Drown and D D Maglett 2012 NCDI Deference				
00 60	5.	Sequences (RefSeq): current status, new features and genome annotation policy. Nucleic				
70		Acids Res <b>40:</b> D130-5				
71	4.	Lê, S., J. Josse, and F. Husson, 2008, FactoMineR: An R Package for Multivariate				
72	- •	Analysis. J. Stat. Softw. 25:1-18.				
73		·				
74						