Interferon-Induced Revertants of ras-Transformed Cells: Resistance to Transformation by Specific Oncogenes and Retransformation by 5-Azacytidine

DVORIT SAMID,* DENISE M. FLESSATE, AND ROBERT M. FRIEDMAN

Department of Pathology, Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences, Bethesda, Maryland 208144799

Received 17 December 1986/Accepted 26 February 1987

Prolonged alpha/beta interferon (IFN- α/β) treatment of NIH 3T3 cells transformed by a long terminal repeat-activated Ha-ras proto-oncogene resulted in revertants that maintained a nontransformed phenotype long after IFN treatment had been discontinued. Cloned persistent revertants (PRs) produced large amounts of the ras-encoded p21 and were refractile to transformation by EJras DNA and by transforming retroviruses which carried the v-Ha-ras, v-Ki-ras, v-abl, or v-fes oncogene. Transient treatment either in vitro or in vivo with cytidine analogs that alter gene expression by inhibiting DNA methylation resulted in transformation of PR, but not of NIH 3T3, cells. The PR retransformants reverted again with IFN, suggesting that DNA methylation is involved in IFN-induced persistent reversion.

Abnormalities in ras expression have been observed in a wide variety of naturally occurring or induced tumors (6, 36, 41). The effect of interferon (IFN) on ras expression is of particular interest since IFNs have been shown to inhibit the growth of tumors in vivo (1) and to induce phenotypic reversion in some transformed, cultured cells (3. 5, 11. 20. 25). The mechanism of action of IFN in such systems is unknown.

The human c-Ha-rasl, a homolog of the transforming gene of Harvey murine sarcoma virus, can acquire transforming potential for NIH 3T3 cells by ^a point mutation (EJras) (39) or by transcriptional activation after ligation to a retroviral long terminal repeat (LTR) regulatory element (4). We have previously demonstrated that with prolonged treatment, mouse IFN- α/β induced phenotypic reversion in NIH 3T3 cells transformed by an LTR-activated human c-Ha-rasl (clonal line RS485) (32, 34). The revertants retained the activated ras. In contrast, no such reversion was observed in repeated studies with IFN-treated RS504, an NIH 3T3 cell line transformed by the mutated EJras (33). Revertants of RS485 that were propagated in the continuous presence of 200 IU of IFN- α/β per ml were nontumorigenic (34) and produced four- to eightfold less ras mRNA and ras-encoded p21 than parental RS485 (32).

Our present studies showed that in contrast to the reversibility of cellular changes seen with short-term (several days) exposure to IFN (14, 20, 25, 30), the phenotypic reversion of RS485 established after ¹ to ² months of IFN treatment persisted long after treatment was discontinued. The persistent revertants (PRs) expressed high levels of p21, yet were not tumorigenic. While the PRs resisted retransformation by a variety of viral or cellular oncogenes, they were readily retransformed after exposure to DNAdemethylating drugs. The latter suggested possible mechanisms of the action of IFN.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cells and IFN. All cultures were originated by single-cell cloning and were propagated in Dulbecco modified Eagle medium supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum. Mouse L-cell IFN- α/β (specific activity, 10⁸ IU/mg) was a gift from M. Pauker, Medical College of Pennsylvania.

Azacytidine treatment. Logarithmically growing cells were treated with freshly prepared $3.0 \mu M$ 5-azacytidine (5AzaC) (Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, Mo.), $0.1 \mu M$ 5-aza-2'deoxycytidine (5AzadC) (Sigma), or $3.0 \mu M$ 6-azacytidine (6AzaC) (P-L Biochemicals, Inc., Milwaukee, Wis.) at 24 and 48 h after plating. Cells were subcultured thereafter in the absence of the nucleosides and observed for phenotypic alterations. Oncogenic transformation was determined by loss of contact inhibition, growth in soft agar, or tumorigenicity in nude mice (34). For in vivo treatment, 4- to 5-week-old female athymic nude mice (Division of Cancer Treatment, NCI Animal Program, Frederick Cancer Research Facility, Frederick, Md.) were inoculated subcutaneously with 5×10^5 cells. Twenty-four hours later, 400 μ g of freshly prepared $5AzadC$ in 200 μ l of phosphate-buffered saline was administered intraperitoneally into each treated animal (20 mg/kg).

Analysis of ras DNA, RNA, and protein p21. Our modifications to the standard techniques used for Southern blot analysis of DNA (37) and immunoprecipitation of p21 (15) have been previously described (32). Northern blot analysis of cytoplasmic RNA was performed by standard procedures (26).

Transfection with cDNA and infection with retroviruses. The cDNAs for EJras (35) or pSV2neo (38) (100 ng each) were precipitated with calcium phosphate (18). Transfection of cells and selection of transformants were as previously described (33). For infection with retroviruses, 6×10^4 cells were seeded in 60-mm plates in the presence of 4 μ g of Polybrene (Sigma) per ml. The next day the cells were infected (10) with virus (10^3) focus-forming units) and observed for focus formation at 5 to 7 days later.

RESULTS

Persistent reversion after IFN treatment. Like many other revertants of RS485 that were isolated after treatment with IFN- α/β , lines 4C3 and 4C8 (32, 34) remained nontransformed for over 6 months in the presence of 200 IU of IFN per ml. To evaluate whether IFN was required for the maintenance of the nontransformed phenotype, we discon-

^{*} Corresponding author.

TABLE 1. Growth characteristics of PR cells

| Cells | Cloning efficiency in agar $(\%)^a$ | Tumorigenicity | | |
|---------------------------------------|---|-----------------|------------------|--|
| | | Tumors/ mice | Diam (cm) | |
| NIH 3T3 | 0.01 | 0/6 | | |
| RS485 | 12.00 | 6/6 | $2.2~(\pm 0.2)$ | |
| PR ₄ | < 0.01 | 0/6 | | |
| PR ₅ | 0.01 | 1/5 | 0.3 | |
| PR10 | ND^b | 0/3 | | |
| PRK | ND | 0/3 | | |
| AzaC treatment in vitro ϵ | | | | |
| PR4/5AzaC | 1.30 | 6/6 | $2.4~(\pm 0.3)$ | |
| RR4/5AzadC | 6.50 | 3/3 | $2.6 (\pm 0.3)$ | |
| PR4/6AzaC | < 0.01 | 0/3 | | |
| NIH 3T3/5AzaC | 0.02 | 0/6 | | |
| NIH 3T3/5AzadC | 0.01 | 0/6 | | |
| 5AzadC treatment in vivo ^d | | | | |
| PR ₄ | | 3/3 | $0.8~(\pm 0.3)$ | |
| PR10 | | 2/4 | 1.5 | |
| PRK | | 3/4 | 3.2 (\pm 0.5) | |
| NIH 3T3 | | 0/4 | | |

 a For cloning efficiency $10⁴$ cells were plated in 0.36% agarose in 60-mm dishes, and colonies were scored 2 to 3 weeks later. Results are the average cloning efficiency determined from two dishes. To study tumorigenicity of cells, we injected 5×10^5 cells (subcutaneously) into nude (athymic) mice. The size of tumors was recorded 30 days after inoculation. Cells were considered nontumorigenic when no evidence of tumor formation was seen 2 months after injection.

ND. Not determined.

Cultured cells were treated with cytidine analogs (see Materials and Methods) and tested at the third passage after treatment.

Twenty-four hours after inoculation of untreated cells, the animals were injected (intraperitoneally) with 5AzadC (20 mg/kg).

tinued treatment and observed the revertants for changes in morphology and ras expression. Three to four weeks after removal of IFN the revertant cultures developed a few foci of retransformed cells; however, more than 99% of the cell population remained contact inhibited. The latter, designated PRs, were isolated and cloned. Clonal lines PR4, PR5, PR10, and PRK (isolated from 4C3 after six to eight weekly passages in IFN-free medium) are representative of numer-

FIG. 1. Production of ras-encoded protein p21 in the presence or absence of IFN treatment. Cell extracts from [35S]methioninelabeled cultures were immunoprecipitated with $(+)$ or without $(-)$ Y13-238 monoclonal antibody to p21 (15) and separated by sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis on a discontinuous ⁸ to 20% gradient gel. Lanes: 1, NIH 3T3; 2, RS485; 3, 4C3 treated with 200 IU of $IFN-\alpha/\beta$ per ml; 4 and 5, 4C3 off IFN for 3 and 10 days, respectively; 6, PR4; 7, mutated p21 encoded by EJras (cell line RS504). M, Molecular size markers in daltons. K, $\times 10^3$.

FIG. 2. Upper panel: Northern blot analysis of ras-specific mRNA in PR cells. Nick-translated $[^{32}P]$ cDNA probes: (A) SacI 3-kilobase fragment of human c-Ha-rasl; (B) BalI 760-base-pair fragment of chicken actin (Oncor). Samples were 20μ g of cytoplasmic RNA from: lane 1, RS485; lane 2, 4C3 treated with ²⁰⁰ IU of IFN- α/β per ml; lane 3, PR4; lane 4, PR4/5AzadC retransformant; lane 5, PR10. Ha-ras mRNA was undetectable in NIH 3T3 cells. Lower panel: Southern blot analysis of c-Ha-ras DNA. Samples were 20 μ g of HindIII-digested DNAs from: lane 1, RS485; lane 2, 4C3: and lane 3, PR4. Numbers on the left are size markers (kilobases).

ous other PRs having similar characteristics. The PRs did not grow in soft agar and were either nontumorigenic in nude mice (PR4, PR10, and PRK) or developed as a slow-growing tumor in one of five inoculated animals (PR5) (Table 1). This phenotype was stable in PRs for about 2 to 4 months after cloning.

PRs contained the transforming c-Ha-ras DNA and expressed high levels of ras-specific mRNA and p21. To test for ras expression, p21 was immunoprecipitated from ³⁵Slabeled cell extracts and resolved on sodium dodecyl sulfatepolyacrylamide gels. While there was a significant reduction in the levels of p21 in the revertant 4C3 in the presence of 200 IU of IFN per ml, removal of IFN from the medium resulted in a gradual elevation in p21 production (Fig. 1). Since the cloned PRs expressed high levels of p21, comparable to those in transformed RS485 cells (Fig. 1, lane 6), we investigated whether the nontransformed phenotype of PRs was associated with production of a defective p21 or with resistance to the transforming action of the protein. We found no difference in the electrophoretic mobility of p21 immunoprecipitated from PR or RS485 cells in contrast to the slower mobility of p21 encoded by the mutated EJras (Fig. 1, lanes ² and 7). In addition, the RNA transcripts for ras in PRs did not differ in amount and size from those in RS485 cells (Fig. 2, upper panel). The comparable expression of ras in PRs and RS485 cells was in agreement with the similar restriction pattern of the transfected c-Ha-ras DNA in these

| Cells | Oncogenic transformation by pEJras (no. of foci) | | Biochemical transformation by pSV2neo (no. of G418-resistant colonies) | |
|-----------------|--|--------|--|--------|
| | Expt 1 | Expt 2 | Expt 1 | Expt 2 |
| NIH 3T3 | 135 | 98 | 180 | 200 |
| PR ₄ | | | 160 | 188 |
| PR ₅ | | | 195 | 175 |

TABLE 2. Resistance of PRs to oncogenic transformation by cloned EJras DNA^a

 a Cells (10⁵) were transfected (18) with pEJras or pSV2neo DNA (100 ng each), and transformants were selected for with medium containing 10% fetal calf serum and 400 μ g of G418 per ml (pSV2neo transfection) or in medium with 5% fetal calf serum (pEJras). Results are the number of transformants recorded 21 days after transfection.

cell lines after digestion with HindIII (Fig. 2, lower panel), EcoRI, SmaI, HpaII, or MspI (data not shown).

Resistance of PRs to retransformation by transfecting pEJras DNA or by transforming retroviruses. To test the effect of transforming ras on PRs, we transfected them with cloned EJras DNA (35). PR4 and PR5 developed significantly fewer foci of transformed cells than did NIH 3T3 cultures (Table 2). The inability of ras DNA to transform PR cells was not due to inefficiency of uptake or expression of foreign DNAs, since the PR cells acquired resistance to G418 by transfection with pSV2neo DNA (38) (Table 2) and were readily transformed by cloned v-mos DNA (data not shown). These results suggested that the PR cells were refractory to transformation by EJras.

To examine further the resistance of PRs to oncogenic transformation, we infected cells with transforming retroviruses carrying the v-Ki-ras, v-Ha-ras, v-abl, v-fes, or v-mos oncogene. Except for infection with Moloney murine sarcoma virus (v-mos), the PR4 cells developed significantly fewer transformed foci than did NIH 3T3 cells (Table 3). When tested by an infectious center assay (10), PR4 cells infected with Moloney murine leukemia virus, like NIH 3T3 cells, produced viral particles with helper activity (data not shown), indicating that infection of PRs by retroviruses was not impaired. This, together with the transformation of PR4s by the v-mos-bearing retrovirus, strongly suggest that PRs were resistant to the transforming activity of the other retroviruses tested. The status of the v-onc DNAs and onc expression in this system are under further investigation.

Retransformation of PRs after treatment with azacytidine. The stable phenotype of PRs was acquired in conjunction with IFN, a nonmutagenic biological agent. One epigenetic mechanism associated with changes in gene expression and cell phenotype involves alterations in DNA methylation (8). To determine whether DNA methylation was involved in the phenomenon of persistent reversion, we treated PRs with the nucleosides 5AzaC and 5AzadC that inhibit enzymes which methylate cytosine residues of eucaryotic DNA (23, 24). 5AzaC can also induce alterations in chromosome morphology (23). In PR4, PR5, PR10, or PRK cultures treated twice during the log phase of growth with 5AzaC (3.0 μ M) or 5AzadC (0.1 μ M) and subsequently subcultured in their absence, over 50% of the cell population underwent a dramatic morphological alteration with loss of contact inhibition as early as three to six population doublings after treatment. Retransformed PR cultures developed colonies in soft agar and formed tumors in nude mice (Table 1). Preliminary studies suggested a significant and similar degree of demethylation in 5AzadC-treated PR or NIH 3T3 cells (V.

Wilson and D. Samid, unpublished data), yet in contrast to PRs, the NIH 3T3 cells remained nontransformed ³ to 6 weeks after treatment with 5AzadC (Table 1), although 5AzaC-induced transformation has been reported in cultured C3H 1OT(1/2) (21), CHEF/18 (19), and BHK (2) cells. The analog 6AzaC, which does not inhibit methylation (24), did not induce alterations in either NIH 3T3 cells or PRs.

To test whether 5AzadC would also induce tumorigenicity of PRs in vivo, we inoculated nude mice subcutaneously with G418-resistant PR4, PR10, PRK, or NIH 3T3 cells. The next day the animals were injected intraperitoneally with 20-mg/kg 5AzadC. Mice inoculated with PR cells developed tumors at the sites of cell inoculation 2 to ³ weeks after administration of 5AzadC, while those inoculated with NIH 3T3 cells did not (Table 1). Cultures established from the tumors were resistant to G418, indicating that the tumors originated from PRs.

Efficient reversion by IFN of azacytidine-transformed cells. Phenotypic reversion with varying efficiency was observed in four 5AzadC-induced retransformed lines of PR4 after 2 to 6 weeks of continuous treatment with 200 IU of IFN- α/β per ml. In PR4/5AzadC-2, the most responsive line, 30 to 50% of the cells reverted after only 2 weeks of treatment. Changes in phenotype by alternating treatments with IFN or with demethylating nucleosides support the hypothesis that DNA methylation is one of the mechanisms involved in the induction of a stable reversion by IFN.

Persistent reversion appears related to changes in genes other than ras because we found no indication that the LTR-ras sequences are hypermethylated in PR4 (data not shown). This was determined by using $32P$ probes for either the LTR of Harvey murine sarcoma virus or the c-Ha-rasl to analyze cellular DNA digested with MspI or HpaII, restriction enzymes that discriminate between methylated and unmethylated CG sequences (12). Furthermore, the high levels of ras mRNA in PR cells were not augmented after treatment with 5AzadC (Fig. 2).

DISCUSSION

One of the goals of cancer research is to identify means to arrest or reverse the transformed phenotype. It is intriguing therefore that a stable reversion and resistance to transformation by different oncogenes can be obtained with nontoxic, nonmutagenic biological agents such as IFNs. There is considerable evidence that methylation of DNA at specific regions is an epigenetic mechanism for controlling gene expression (8), and abnormalities in DNA methylation

TABLE 3. Resistance of PR cells to oncogenic transformation by retroviruses a

| Retrovirus ^b | Viral oncogene | No. of transformed foci | | | | |
|-------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|--------|-----------|--------|--|
| | | NIH 3T3 cells | | PR4 cells | | |
| | | Expt 1 | Expt 2 | Expt 1 | Expt 2 | |
| Kirsten MuSV | Ki-ras | >200 | 70 | 36 | | |
| Abelson MuLV | abl | 180 | >200 | 28 | 8 | |
| Harvey MuSV | Ha-ras | >200 | >200 | 9 | 25 | |
| Fujinami SV | fes | 160 | >200 | 5 | 10 | |
| Moloney MuSV | mos | >200 | 190 | 160 | 110 | |

² All virus preparations contained ectropic Moloney murine leukemia virus helper virus. NIH 3T3 and PR4 cells had similar sensitivity to virus infection, as determined by the infectious center assay (10) (data not shown). Results are the total number of foci in duplicate plates.

MuSV, Murine sarcoma virus; SV, sarcoma virus; MuLV, murine leukemia virus.

have been implicated in carcinogenesis (12, 21, 22, 40). Since IFNs are known to up- or down-regulate the expression of a variety of genes (14, 30), it is likely that in inducing persistent reversion in ras-transformed RS485 cells, IFN has modulated the expression of a gene(s) that plays a role in cell transformation, such as a tumor suppressor(s) or cotransforming factors. As a result, elevation in ras expression in PRs no longer correlated with oncogenic transformation, as it normally does in NIH 3T3 cells (4, 29). DNA methylation could be a secondary event imprinting the altered gene activity with no further requirement for IFN (8). In such a case, demethylation should be sufficient for gene reactivation and subsequent oncogenic retransformation. The following results support this hypothesis. (i) PR cultures underwent rapid retransformation after transient treatment either in vitro or in vivo with 5AzadC, a nonmutagenic cytidine analog that inhibits DNA methylation (23, 24), while demethylation did not result in transformation of NIH 3T3 cells; (ii) the analog 6AzaC which does not affect methylation (24) did not alter the morphology of PRs; and (iii) PRs retransformed by 5AzadC reverted again with IFN treatment.

The PRs were refractory to transformation by RNA tumor viruses bearing the v-Ki-ras, v-Ha-ras, v-abl, or v-fes oncogene, yet were transformed by v-mos. In this respect, PR cells resembled mutagen-induced revertants of Ki-rastransformed NIH 3T3 cells (27). The latter produced large amounts of p21 and suppressed tumorigenicity of other cells transformed by a variety of v-onc genes including abl and fes, but not mos. The PRs also resisted transformation by EJras DNA. In view of our previous finding that IFN did hot cause reversion in NIH 3T3 cells transformed by the mutated EJras (cell line RS504) (33), it seems that induction of phenotypic reversion and acquisition of resistance to oncogenic transformation after IFN treatment involve different mechanisms. Cell-mediated resistance to transformation by ras has been observed in a variety of primary and established cultures (7, 9, 13, 17, 28, 31).

Retransformation of PRs after treatment with 5AzaC or 5AzadC may involve changes in a gene(s) other than ras, the products of which, while not sufficient to induce transformation of NIH 3T3 cells, may modulate or interact with the activated ras in PRs, thus restoring the conditions required for oncogenic transformation. Identification of such newly expressed gene products would be of major importance in understanding mechanisms of cell transformation. The ability of 5AzadC administered to animals to induce tumor progression in nontumorigenic cells expressing an activated ras (PRs) is of special interest, since demethylating drugs are being used in treatment of human cancer (16). The potential of such drugs to induce tumor progression of premalignant lesions should be studied.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was supported by Public Health Service Grant R01CA39039 from the National Institutes of Health and by grants from the Elsa U. Pardee Foundation and the National Foundation for Cancer Research.

We thank E. Chang, F. Balkwill, D. Rimoldi, K. Kenyon, and S. Contente for help and useful discussions during this work. We also thank R. H. Bassin for providing retroviruses and assisting with virus infection.

LITERATURE CITED

1. Borden, E. C. 1983. Interferons and cancer: how the promise is being kept, p. 43-83. In I. Gresser (ed.), Interferon. Academic Press, Inc. (London), Ltd., London.

- 2. Bouck, N., D. Kokkinakis, and J. Ostrowsky. 1984. Induction of a step in carcinogenesis that is normally associated with mutagenesis by nonmutagenic concentrations of 5-azacytidine. Mol. Cell. Biol. 4:1231-1237.
- 3. Brouty-Boye, D., J. Wybier-Franqui, C. Calvo, J. Feunteun, and I. Gresser. 1984. Reversibility of the transformed and neoplastic phenotype. IV. Effects of long term interferon treatment of C3H/1OT1/2 cells transformed by methylcholanthrene and SV40 virus. Intl. J. Cancer 34:107-112.
- 4. Chang, E. H., M. E. Furth, E. M. Scolnick, and D. R. Lowy. 1982. Tumorigenic transformation of mammalian cells introduced by a normal human gene homologous to the oncogene of Harvey murine sarcoma virus. Nature (London) 297:479-483.
- 5. Chany, C., and M. Vignal. 1970. Effect of prolonged interferon treatment on mouse embryonic fibroblasts transformed by murine sarcoma virus. J. Gen. Virol. 7:203-210.
- 6. Cooper, G. M. 1982. Cellular transforming genes. Science 218:801-806.
- 7. Craig, R. W., and R. Sager. 1985. Suppression of tumorigenicity in hybrids of normal and oncogene-transformed CHEF cells. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 82:2062-2066.
- Doerfler, W. 1983. DNA methylation and gene activity. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 52:93-124.
- 9. Dotto, G. P., L. F. Parada, and R. A. Weinberg. 1985. Specific growth response of ras-transformed embryo fibroblasts to tumour promotion. Nature (London) 318:472-475.
- 10. Duran-Troise, G., R. H. Bassin, A. Rein, and B. I. Gerwin. 1977. Loss of Fv-1 restriction in Balb/3T3 cells following infection with ^a single N tropic MuLV particle. Cell 10:479-488.
- 11. Emanoil-Ravier, R., F. Pochart, M. Canivet, M. Garcette, J. Tobaly-Tapiero, and J. Peries. 1985. Interferon-mediated regulation of myc and Ki-ras oncogene expression in long-termtreated murine viral transformed cells. J. Interferon Res. 5:613-619.
- 12. Feinberg, A. P., and B. Vogelstein. 1983. Hypomethylation distinguishes genes of some human cancers from their normal counterparts. Nature (London) 301:89-91.
- 13. Franza, B. R., Jr., K. Maruyama, J. I. Garrels, and H. E. Riley. 1986. In vitro establishment is not a sufficient prerequisite for transformation by activated ras oncogenes. Cell 44:409-418.
- 14. Friedman, R. L., S. P. Manly, M. McMahon, I. M. Kerr, and G. R. Stark. 1984. Transcriptional and posttranscriptional regulation of interferon-induced gene expression in human cells. Cell 38:745-755.
- 15. Furth, M. E., L. J. Davis, B. Fleurdelys, and E. M. Scolnick. 1982. Monoclonal antibodies to the p21 products of the transforming gene of Harvey murine sarcoma virus and of the cellular ras gene family. J. Virol. 43:294-304.
- 16. Gahrton, G. 1983. Treatment of acute leukemia. Adv. Cancer Res. 40:255-329.
- 17. Geiser, A. G., C. J. Der, C. J. Marshail, and E. J. Stanbridge. 1986. Suppression of tumorigenicity with continued expression of the c-Ha-ras oncogene in EJ bladder carcinoma-human fibroblast hybrid cells. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 83:5209-5213.
- 18. Graham, F. L., and A. J. van der Eb. 1973. A new technique for the assay of infectivity of human adenovirus ⁵ DNA. Virology 52:456-467.
- 19. Harrison, J. J., A. Anisowicz, I. K. Gadi, M. Raffeld, and R. Sager. 1983. Azacytidine-induced tumorigenesis of CHEF/18 cells: correlated DNA methylation and chromosome changes. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 80:6606-6610.
- 20. Hicks, N. J., A. G. Morris, atd D. C. Burke. 1981. Partial reversion of the transformed phenotype of murine sarcoma virus-transformed cells in the presence of interferon: a possible mechanism for the anti-tumor effect of interferon. J. Cell Sci. 49:225-236.
- 21. Hsiao, W.-L. W., S. Gattoni-Celli, and I. B. Weinstein. 1985. Effects of 5-azacytidine on the progressive nature of cell transformation. Mol. Cell. Biol. 5:1800-1803.
- 22. Jones, P. A. 1983. 5-Methylcytosine, gene regulation, and cancer. Adv. Cancer Res. 40:1-30.
- 23. Jones, P. A. 1985. Altering gene expression with 5-azacytidine.

Cell 40:485-486.

- 24. Jones, P. A., and S. M. Taylor. 1980. Cellular differentiation, cytidine analogs and DNA methylation. Cell 20:85-93.
- 25. Lin, S. L., E. A. Garger, E. Wang, L. A. Caliguiri, H. Schellekens, A. R. Goldberg, and I. Tamm. 1983. Reduced synthesis of pp60^{src} and expression of the transformed-related phenotype in interferon-treated Rous sarcoma virus-transformed rat cells. Mol. Cell. Biol. 3:1656-1664.
- 26. Maniatis, T., E. F. Fritsch, and J. Sambrook. 1982. Molecular cloning, a laboratory manual. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, N.Y.
- 27. Noda, M., Z. Selinger, E. M. Scolnick, and R. H. Bassin. 1983. Flat revertants isolated from Kirsten sarcoma virus-transformed cells are resistant to the action of specific oncogenes. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 80:5602-5606.
- 28. Norton, J. D., F. Cook, P. C. Roberts, J. P. Clewley, and R. J. Avery. 1984. Expression of Kirsten murine sarcoma virus in transformed nonproducer and revertant NIH/3T3 cells: evidence for cell-mediated resistance to a viral oncogene in phenotypic reversion. J. Virol. 50:439-444.
- 29. Pulciani, S., E. Santos, L. K. Long, V. Sorrentino, and M. Barbacid. 1985. ras gene amplification and malignant transformation. Mol. Cell. Biol. 5:2836-2841.
- 30. Revel, M., and J. Chebath. 1986. Interferon-activated genes. Trends Biochem. 11:166-170.
- 31. Sager, R., K. Tanaka, C. C. Lau, Y. Ebina, and A. Anisowicz. 1983. Resistance of human cells to tumorigenesis induced by cloned transforming genes. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 80:7601-7605.
- 32. Samid, D., E. H. Chang, and R. M. Friedman. 1984. Biochemical correlates of phenotypic reversion in interferon-treated mouse cells transformed by a human oncogene. Biochem.

Biophys. Res. Commun. 119:21-28.

- 33. Samid, D., E. H. Chang, and R. M. Friedman. 1985. Development of transformed phenotype induced by a human ras oncogene is inhibited by interferon. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 126:509-516.
- 34. Samid, D., E. H. Chang, R. M. Friedman, Z. Schaff, and J. J. Greene. 1985. Biological and morphological characteristics of phenotypic revertants appearing in initerferon-treated mouse cells transformed by a human oncogene. J. Exp. Pathol. 2:211-222.
- 35. Shih, C., and R. A. Weinberg. 1982. Isolation of a transforming sequence from a human bladder carcinoma cell line. Cell 29:161-169.
- 36. Shih, T. Y., and M. 0. Weeks. 1984. Oncogenes and cancer: the p21 ras genes. Cancer Invest. 2:109-123.
- 37. Southern, E. M. 1975. Detection of specific sequences among DNA fragments separated by gel electrophoresis. J. Mol. Biol. 98:503-517.
- 38. Southern, P. J., and P. Berg. 1982. Transformation of mammalian cells to antibiotic resistance with a bacterial gene under control of the SV40 early region promoter. J. Mol. Appi. Genet. 1:327-341.
- 39. Tabin, C. J., S. M. Bradley, C. I. Bargmann, R. A. Weinberg, A. G. Papageorge, E. M. Scolnick, R. Dhar, and D. R. Lowy. 1982. Mechanism of activation of a human oncogene. Nature (London) 300:143-149.
- 40. Trainer, D. L., T. Kline, F. Mallon, R. Greig, and G. Poste. 1985. Effect of 5-azacytidine on DNA methylation and the malignant properties of B 16 melanoma cells. Cancer Res. 45:6124-6130.
- 41. Weinberg, R. A. 1985. The action of oncogenes in the cytoplasm and nucleus. Science 230:770-776.