Table S2. Logistic regression estimates for judgment of whether inequality increased or decreased.

		Inconsistent Scenarios			Consistent Scenarios	
Variable	Estimate	Difference decreases, ratio increases	Difference constant, ratio increases	Difference decreases, ratio constant	Difference decreases, ratio decreases	Difference increases, ratio increases
Post vs. Pre	*β**	-0.373	0.000	-0.429	1.587	0.315
	95% CI	(-1.02,0.27)	(-0.70,0.70)	(-1.09,0.24)	(0.28,2.90)	(-1.34,1.97)
Ratio vs. Difference	*β*	0.114	-0.231	-0.000	0.830	-0.000
	95% CI	(-0.43,0.66)	(-1.52,1.05)	(-0.57,0.57)	(-0.42,2.08)	(-1.78,1.78)
Post X Ratio	*β*	1.865	1.484	2.281	-0.830	0.000
	95% CI	(0.96,2.77)	(0.32,2.65)	(1.21,3.35)	(-2.61,0.95)	(-2.14,2.14)
Large vs. small change†	*β*	0.538	0.451	-0.000	0.909	-0.000
	95% CI	(0.03,1.04)	(0.16,0.74)	(-0.47,0.47)	(-0.28,2.10)	(-0.88,0.88)
Constant	*β*	-1.012	-0.852	-0.302	0.993	2.197
	95% CI	(-1.72,-0.30)	(-1.73,0.02)	(-0.95,0.35)	(0.11,1.88)	(1.27,3.12)
Observations		160	160	160	160	160

*Log odds of agreement with ratio measure of inequality. CI, confidence interval (clustered by subject).
† p-values for treatment heterogeneity by Large vs. small change (i.e., Post X Ratio X Large) were 0.236, 0.152, 0.400, 0.573, and 0.471 across the 5 scenarios.