

Supplementary Table 1: Summary of tumor-bearing NIC/ShcA^{fI/fl} transgenic females.

5863 Virgin2 0 2 (BT1, BT2) 1. This female was mated with a male from 8 weeks of age but never had a visible pregnancy. She was nonetheless placed into the multiparous cohort to account for the possibility of a failed pregnancy.

2. These females were never mated with a male and thus are part of the virgin cohort.

Supplementary Table 2: Differentially-expressed chemokines and their receptors in NIC/ShcA-null mammary tumors.

^aFold change (log2) from the Agilent array for each individual NIC/ShcA^{fI/fI}tumor relative to a pool of five NIC/ShcA^{+/+}tumors.
^bAverage fold change for each gene over all eight NIC/ShcA^{fI/fI}tumors.
^cGenes that

Supplementary Table 3: A 43 gene ShcA-regulated immune signature (SRIS) that is differentially regulated in NIC/ShcAfl/fl mammary tumors*^a* **Mouse Gene Human Gene Entrez ID Gene Function # DE tumors^b Avg. FC***^c* **Avg FC (DE)^d Avg. P Value^e Ref**

 3 The 43 gene SRIS is composed of genes that are differentially expressed in NIC/ShcA^{fI/fl} tumors and are associated with pan T cells (yellow), activated T cells (blue), the Th1/CTL response (green) and the Th2/humoral immune response (red).

 $^{\text{b}}$ Number of NIC/ShcA^{fI/fl} tumors in which each gene is differentially expressed by Agilent arrays.

 c^c Average fold change (log2 values) on Agilent arrays over all 8 individual NIC/ShcA^{fI/fl} tumors relative to a pool of 5 NIC/ShcA^{+/+} tumors.

 dA verage fold change (log2 values) on Agilent arrays NIC/ShcA^{fl/fl} tumors that are differentially expressed (p≤0.01) relative to a pool of 5 NIC/ShcA^{+/+} tumors.

 e^{ϵ} Average p value in NIC/ShcA^{fl/fl} tumors in which the gene is differentially expressed.

^fThree genes were not differentially expressed in 6/8 of of NIC/ShcA^{fi/fl} tumors based on Agilent arrays but validated as being differentially expressed by qRT-PCR or IHC analyses and thus are included within the SRIS.

References

1. Weissman AM, Hou D, Orloff DG, Modi WS, Seuanez H, O'Brien SJ, et al. Molecular cloning and chromosomal localization of the human T-cell receptor zeta chain: distinction from the molecular CD3 complex. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1988;85:9709-13.

2. Frank SJ, Samelson LE, Klausner RD. The structure and signalling functions of the invariant T cell receptor components. Semin Immunol. 1990;2:89-97.

3. Miceli MC, Parnes JR. Role of CD4 and CD8 in T cell activation and differentiation. Adv Immunol. 1993;53:59-122.

4. Rudd CE, Taylor A, Schneider H. CD28 and CTLA-4 coreceptor expression and signal transduction. Immunol Rev. 2009;229:12-26.

5. Vandercappellen J, Van Damme J, Struyf S. The role of CXC chemokines and their receptors in cancer. Cancer Lett. 2008;267:226-44.

6. Mach B, Steimle V, Martinez-Soria E, Reith W. Regulation of MHC class II genes: lessons from a disease. Annu Rev Immunol. 1996;14:301-31.

7. Roy D, Sarkar S, Felty Q. Levels of IL-1 beta control stimulatory/inhibitory growth of cancer cells. Front Biosci. 2006;11:889-98.

8. Readinger JA, Mueller KL, Venegas AM, Horai R, Schwartzberg PL. Tec kinases regulate T-lymphocyte development and function: new insights into the roles of Itk and Rlk/Txk. Immunol Rev. 2009;228:93-114.

9. Triebel F. LAG-3: a regulator of T-cell and DC responses and its use in therapeutic vaccination. Trends Immunol. 2003;24:619-22.

10. Salmond RJ, Filby A, Qureshi I, Caserta S, Zamoyska R. T-cell receptor proximal signaling via the Src-family kinases, Lck and Fyn, influences T-cell activation, differentiation, and tolerance. Immunol Rev. 2009;228:9-22.

11. Peng SL, Gerth AJ, Ranger AM, Glimcher LH. NFATc1 and NFATc2 together control both T and B cell activation and differentiation. Immunity. 2001;14:13- 20.

12. Colgan J, Asmal M, Yu B, Luban J. Cyclophilin A-deficient mice are resistant to immunosuppression by cyclosporine. J Immunol. 2005;174:6030-8.

13. Hermiston ML, Zikherman J, Zhu JW. CD45, CD148, and Lyp/Pep: critical phosphatases regulating Src family kinase signaling networks in immune cells. Immunol Rev. 2009;228:288-311.

14. Ma CS, Nichols KE, Tangye SG. Regulation of cellular and humoral immune responses by the SLAM and SAP families of molecules. Annu Rev Immunol. 2007;25:337-79.

15. Minden MD, Mak TW. The structure of the T cell antigen receptor genes in normal and malignant T cells. Blood. 1986;68:327-36.

16. Sica GL, Zhu G, Tamada K, Liu D, Ni J, Chen L. RELT, a new member of the tumor necrosis factor receptor superfamily, is selectively expressed in hematopoietic tissues and activates transcription factor NF-kappaB. Blood. 2001;97:2702-7.

17. Croft M. The role of TNF superfamily members in T-cell function and diseases. Nat Rev Immunol. 2009;9:271-85.

18. Yi KH, Chen L. Fine tuning the immune response through B7-H3 and B7-H4. Immunol Rev. 2009;229:145-51.

19. Duke-Cohan JS, Tang W, Schlossman SF. Attractin: a cub-family protease involved in T cell-monocyte/macrophage interactions. Adv Exp Med Biol. 2000;477:173-85.

20. Ziegler SF, Ramsdell F, Hjerrild KA, Armitage RJ, Grabstein KH, Hennen KB, et al. Molecular characterization of the early activation antigen CD69: a type II membrane glycoprotein related to a family of natural killer cell activation antigens. Eur J Immunol. 1993;23:1643-8.

21. van Berkel ME, Oosterwegel MA. CD28 and ICOS: similar or separate costimulators of T cells? Immunol Lett. 2006;105:115-22.

22. Colpitts SL, Dalton NM, Scott P. IL-7 receptor expression provides the potential for long-term survival of both CD62Lhigh central memory T cells and Th1 effector cells during Leishmania major infection. J Immunol. 2009;182:5702-11.

23. Cresswell P, Ackerman AL, Giodini A, Peaper DR, Wearsch PA. Mechanisms of MHC class I-restricted antigen processing and cross-presentation. Immunol Rev. 2005;207:145-57.

24. Chowdhury D, Lieberman J. Death by a thousand cuts: granzyme pathways of programmed cell death. Annu Rev Immunol. 2008;26:389-420.

25. Schroder K, Hertzog PJ, Ravasi T, Hume DA. Interferon-gamma: an overview of signals, mechanisms and functions. J Leukoc Biol. 2004;75:163-89.

26. Del Vecchio M, Bajetta E, Canova S, Lotze MT, Wesa A, Parmiani G, et al. Interleukin-12: biological properties and clinical application. Clin Cancer Res. 2007;13:4677-85.

27. Anderson KJ, Allen RL. Regulation of T-cell immunity by leucocyte immunoglobulin-like receptors: innate immune receptors for self on antigenpresenting cells. Immunology. 2009;127:8-17.

28. Anderson P. TIA-1: structural and functional studies on a new class of cytolytic effector molecule. Curr Top Microbiol Immunol. 1995;198:131-43.

29. Wu HJ, Bondada S. Positive and negative roles of CD72 in B cell function. Immunol Res. 2002;25:155-66.

30. Berman JE, Mellis SJ, Pollock R, Smith CL, Suh H, Heinke B, et al. Content and organization of the human Ig VH locus: definition of three new VH families and linkage to the Ig CH locus. EMBO J. 1988;7:727-38.

31. Niles MJ, Matsuuchi L, Koshland ME. Polymer IgM assembly and secretion in lymphoid and nonlymphoid cell lines: evidence that J chain is required for pentamer IgM synthesis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1995;92:2884-8.

32. Li-Weber M, Krammer PH. Regulation of IL4 gene expression by T cells and therapeutic perspectives. Nat Rev Immunol. 2003;3:534-43.

33. Duncan RC, Wijeyewickrema LC, Pike RN. The initiating proteases of the complement system: controlling the cleavage. Biochimie. 2008;90:387-95.

	Variable	Hazard Ratio	Standard Error	P-Value
⋖ Luminal	Grade II	1.732	0.287	0.056
	Grade III	2.617	0.392	$0.014*$
	$LN +ve$	1.717	0.246	$0.028*$
	Her ₂₊	2.505	0.530	0.083
	ER+	0.853	0.531	0.76
	SRIS-Low	0.751	0.386	0.46
m Luminal	Grade II	1.999	0.328	$0.034*$
	Grade III	2.556	0.339	$0.0056**$
	$LN +ve$	1.893	0.187	$0.0007**$
	Her ₂₊	0.979	0.601	0.97
	ER+	0.524	0.394	0.1
	SRIS-Low	1.394	0.284	0.24
Normal	Grade II	2.075	0.463	0.12
	Grade III	1.917	0.621	0.29
	$LN +ve$	2.252	0.361	$0.025*$
	Her ₂₊	0.541	0.643	0.34
	ER+	0.430	0.417	$0.043*$
Her ₂ asal m	SRIS-Low	2.246	0.647	0.21
	Grade II	1.627	1.007	0.31
	Grade III	1.609	0.979	0.33
	$LN +ve$	1.240	1.017	0.31
	$Her2+$	1.043	0.180	0.86
	ER+	0.768	-1.184	0.24
	SRIS-Low	2.088	3.068	$0.002**$
	Grade II	1.044	0.614	0.94
	Grade III	1.000	0.599	1.0
	$LN +ve$	1.373	0.205	0.12
	Her ₂₊	0.515	0.460	0.15
	ER+	0.840	0.209	0.4
	SRIS-Low	2.551	0.210	$0.000009**$

Supplementary Table 4: The SRIS functions as an independent prognostic marker within the HER2 and basal subtypes among 12 publically available datasets.

*p<0.05 **p<0.01

Supplementary Table 5: Published datasets used to interrogate the relationship between expression of the SRIS and patient outcome.

^aTotal number of patients classified within each group

References

1. Anders CK, Acharya CR, Hsu DS, Broadwater G, Garman K, Foekens JA, et al. Age-specific differences in oncogenic pathway deregulation seen in human breast tumors. PLoS One. 2008;3:e1373.

2. Bild AH, Yao G, Chang JT, Wang Q, Potti A, Chasse D, et al. Oncogenic pathway signatures in human cancers as a guide to targeted therapies. Nature. 2006;439:353-7.
3. Chin K, I

3. Chin K, DeVries S, Fridlyand J, Spellman PT, Roydasgupta R, Kuo WL, et al. Genomic and transcriptional aberrations linked to breast cancer pathophysiologies. Cancer Cell. 2006;10:529-41.

4. Desmedt C, Piette F, Loi S, Wang Y, Lallemand F, Haibe-Kains B, et al. Strong time dependence of the 76-gene prognostic signature for node-negative breast cancer patients in the TRANSBIG multicenter independent validation series. Clin Cancer Res. 2007;13:3207-14.

5. Ivshina AV, George J, Senko O, Mow B, Putti TC, Smeds J, et al. Genetic reclassification of histologic grade delineates new clinical subtypes of breast cancer. Cancer Res. 2006;66:10292-301.
6 Loi S. Haibe-Kains B. Desmedt C.

Loi S, Haibe-Kains B, Desmedt C, Wirapati P, Lallemand F, Tutt AM, et al. Predicting prognosis using molecular profiling in estrogen receptor-positive breast cancer treated with tamoxifen. BMC Genomics. 2008;9:239.
7. van de Vijver MJ, He YD, van't Veer LJ, Dai H, Hart AA, Vo

van de Vijver MJ, He YD, van't Veer LJ, Dai H, Hart AA, Voskuil DW, et al. A gene-expression signature as a predictor of survival in breast cancer. N Engl J Med. 2002;347:1999-2009.

8. Parker JS, Mullins M, Cheang MC, Leung S, Voduc D, Vickery T, et al. Supervised risk predictor of breast cancer based on intrinsic subtypes. J Clin Oncol. 2009;27:1160-7.

9. Pawitan Y, Bjohle J, Amler L, Borg AL, Egyhazi S, Hall P, et al. Gene expression profiling spares early breast cancer patients from adjuvant therapy: derived and validated in two population-based cohorts. Breast Cancer Res. 2005;7:R953-64.

10. Schmidt M, Bohm D, von Torne C, Steiner E, Puhl A, Pilch H, et al. The humoral immune system has a key prognostic impact in node-negative breast cancer. Cancer Res. 2008;68:5405-13.
11. Sotiriou C, Wirapati P, Loi S, Ha

Sotiriou C, Wirapati P, Loi S, Harris A, Fox S, Smeds J, et al. Gene expression profiling in breast cancer: understanding the molecular basis of histologic grade to improve prognosis. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2006;98:262-72.

12. Wang Y, Klijn JG, Zhang Y, Sieuwerts AM, Look MP, Yang F, et al. Gene-expression profiles to predict distant metastasis of lymph-node-negative primary breast cancer. Lancet. 2005;365:671-9.

Supplementary Table 6: Primer sequences and conditions used for quantitative real-time polymerase chain reactions.