Peptide crystal simulations reveal hidden dynamics

Pawel A. Janowski¹, David S. Cerutti¹, James Holton², and David A. Case¹

Supporting Information

Parameters for special residue Aib.

% RES	IDUE	AIB	[1	3 aton	ıs,	-0.	0000	net	charg	ge]
Atom	Name		Charge	Ty_{1}	pe Na	me	LJ	Sigma	LJ	Epsilon
	N	-	0.415693		N		3	.2499	99	0.17000
	H	0	0.271895		H		1	.0690	78	0.01570
	CA	U	0.117598		CT		3	.3996	70	0.10940
	CBI	_	0.182497		CT		3	.3996	70	0.10940
	HBII		0.060299		HC		2	.6495	33	0.01570
	HB12		0.060299		HC		2	.6495	33	0.01570
	HB13	i u	0.100299		HC CT		2	.6495	33	0.01570
	UD01	_	0.182497				3	.3996	10	0.10940
	HB21		0.060299		HC		2	.0495	33	0.01570
	HB22		0.060299		HC		2	.6495	33	0.01570
	пь23		507200		С		2	.0495	33 70	0.01570
	õ	U	0 567800		Ő		3	.3990	10	0.08600
	0	_	0.507890		0		2	.9599.	22	0.21000
Bon	d A:B	S I	tiffness	Eq.	Leng	$^{\mathrm{th}}$				
	. UD91			1	00000					
CB2	· HR22	340		1	09000	10				
CB2	· HB23	340	000000	1	09000	20				
CB1	· HB11	340	000000	1	09000	0				
CB1	· HB12	340	000000	1	09000	0				
CB1	· HR13	340	0000000	1	00000	20				
N	· н	434	000000	1	01000	0				
Ĉ	.0	570	000000	1	22900	0				
ČA	: CB1	310	.000000	1	52600) Ő				
CA	: CB2	310	.000000	1	52600	0				
CA	·C	317	000000	1	52200	0				
N	:CA	337	.000000	1.	44900	00				
Ang	le A:	B:C	Stif	fness	Eq.	Ar	ıgle			
HB22	· CB2	· HB23	35.00	0000	1	91	1136			
HB21	· CB2	· HB22	35.00	00000	1	91	1136			
HB21	· CB2	· HB23	35.00	00000	1	91	1136			
HB12	·CB1	· HB13	35.00	00000	1	91	1136			
HB11	· CB1	· HB12	35.00	00000	1	91	1136			
HB11	: CB1	: HB13	35.00	00000	1	.91	1136			
CA	: CB1	:HB11	50.00	00000	1	.91	1136			
CA	: CB1	: HB12	50.00	00000	1	.91	1136			
CA	: CB1	: HB13	50.00	00000	1	.91	1136			
CA	:CB2	: HB21	50.00	00000	1	.91	1136			
CA	: CB2	:HB22	50.00	00000	1	.91	1136			
CA	:CB2	: HB23	50.00	00000	1	.91	1136			
Н	: N	:CA	50.00	00000	2	.06	0188			
CB2	:CA	: C	63.00	00000	1	.93	9062			
CB1	:CA	: CB2	40.00	00000	1	.91	1136			
CB1	:CA	: C	63.00	00000	1	.93	9062			
CA	: C	:O	80.00	00000	2	.10	1377			
Ν	:CA	: CB1	80.00	00000	1	.91	4627			
Ν	:CA	: CB2	80.00	00000	1	.91	4627			
Ν	:CA	$: \mathbf{C}$	63.0	00000	1	.92	1608			
Бір	edral	A · P ·	C·D	Po	nalty		Pa	riod		Phase
	Gural	л.р:		re		_				1 nase
HB23	: CB2	:CA	$: \mathbf{C}$	0.15	55556		3.0	00000	(0.000000
HB22	:CB2	:CA	: C	0.15	55556		3.0	00000	(0.000000
HB21	: CB2	:CA	:C	0.15	55556		3.0	00000	(0.000000
HB13	:CB1	:CA	: CB2	0.16	0000		3.0	00000	(0.000000

HB13	B:CB1	:CA	:C	0.155556	3.000000	0.000000
HB12	2:CB1	:CA	: CB2	0.160000	3.000000	0.000000
HB12	2:CB1	:CA	:C	0.155556	3.000000	0.000000
HB11	: CB1	:CA	:CB2	0.160000	3.000000	0.000000
HB11	: CB1	:CA	:C	0.155556	3.000000	0.000000
CB1	:CA	: CB2	:HB21	0.160000	3.000000	0.000000
CB1	:CA	: CB2	:HB22	0.160000	3.000000	0.000000
CB1	:CA	: CB2	:HB23	0.160000	3.000000	0.000000
Н	: N	:CA	: CB1	0.000000	2.000000	0.000000
Н	: N	:CA	: CB2	0.000000	2.000000	0.000000
Н	: N	:CA	:C	0.000000	2.000000	0.000000
Ν	:CA	: CB1	:HB11	0.155556	3.000000	0.000000
Ν	:CA	: CB1	:HB12	0.155556	3.000000	0.000000
Ν	:CA	: CB1	:HB13	0.155556	3.000000	0.000000
Ν	:CA	: CB2	:HB21	0.155556	3.000000	0.000000
Ν	:CA	: CB2	:HB22	0.155556	3.000000	0.000000
Ν	:CA	: CB2	: HB23	0.155556	3.000000	0.000000
CB2	:CA	: C	:O	0.000000	2.000000	0.000000
CB1	:CA	: C	:O	0.000000	2.000000	0.000000
Ν	:CA	: C	:O	0.000000	2.000000	0.000000

Parameters for special residue Boc.

% RES Atom	IDUE Name	BOC	[16 Charge	atom Typ	ns, pe Nan	0.00 ne	00 LJ	net Sig	cha ma	urge LJ] Epsilon
	C2	- 0	.360090		CT		3.	3996	370		0.109400
	H1	0	.070719		HC		2.	6495	533		0.015700
	H2	0	.070719		HC		2.	6495	533		0.015700
	H3	0	.070719		HC		2.	6495	533		0.015700
	C1	0	.586731		CT		3.	3996	370		0.109400
	C3	-0	.360090		CT		3.	3996	370		0.109400
	H4	0	.070719		HC		2.	6495	533		0.015700
	H5 H6	0	070719		HC		2.	6493 6403	533		0.015700
	C4	-0	360090		CT		3	3006	370		0.013700
	H7	0	.070719		HC		2.	649	533		0.015700
	H8	0	.070719		HC		2.	6495	533		0.015700
	H9	0	.070719		HC		2.	6495	533		0.015700
	O1	- 0	0.383349		OS		3.	0000	012		0.170000
	C5	0	.744134		С		3.	3996	370		0.086000
	02	— C	0.503715		0		2.	9599	922		0.210000
Bon	d A:B	S t	iffness	Eq.	Lengt	h					
C4	: H7	340	.000000	1.	09000	0					
C4	:H8	340	.000000	1.	09000	0					
C4	: H9	340	.000000	1.	09000	0					
C3	:H4	340	.000000	1.	09000	0					
C3	: H5	340	.000000	1.	09000	0					
C3 C3	: H6 . II1	340	.000000	1.	09000	0					
C2	:HI .U0	340	.000000	1.	09000	0					
C2	: п2 • Н3	340	.0000000	1.	09000	0					
C5	: 02	570	.000000	1.	22900	0					
01	: C5	450	.000000	1.	32300	Õ					
C1	: C3	310	.000000	1.	52600	0					
C1	: C4	310	.000000	1.	52600	0					
C1	:01	320	.000000	1.	41000	0					
C2	: C1	310	.000000	1.	52600	0					
Ang	le A:	B:C	Stiffr	1ess	Eq.	Angl	le				
H8	: C4	: H9	35.000	0000	1.	9111	36				
H7	: C4	: H8	35.000	0000	1.	9111	36				
H7	: C4	: H9	35.000	0000	1.	9111	36				
H5	: C3	: H6	35.000	0000	1.	9111	36				
H4 114	: C3 . C2	: H5	35.000	0000	1.	9111	36				
П4 С1	: C3	: H0 · H4	50.000		1.	9111	36				
C1	: C3	: H5	50.000		1.	9111	36				
C1	: C3	: H6	50.000	0000	1.	9111	36				
C1	: C4	: H7	50.000	0000	1.	9111	36				
C1	: C4	: H8	50.000	0000	1.	9111	36				
C1	: C4	: H9	50.000	0000	1.	9111	36				
H3	: C2	: C1	50.000	0000	1.	9111	36				
H2	: C2	: H3	35.000	0000	1.	9111	36				
H2 H1	: C2 · C2	: UI • Н2	35 000	0000	1.	9111	30				
H1	· C2	· H3	35.000	0000	1.	9111	36				
H1	: C2	: C1	50.000	0000	1.	9111	36				
01	: C5	:02	80.000	0000	2.	1816	62				
C4	: C1	: O1	50.000	0000	1.	9111	36				
C3	: C1	: C4	40.000	0000	1.	9111	36				
C3	: C1	: O1	50.000	0000	1.	9111	36				
C1	:01	: C5	60.000	0000	2.	0420	36				
C2	: C1	: C3	40.000	0000	1.	9111	36 26				
C2	· C1	.04	40.000	0000	1.	9111	30 36				
04	. UI	. 01	50.000	,000	1.	~ I I I	00				

Di	ihedra	al A:B	: C : D	Penalty	Period	Phase
H9	: C4	: C1	:01	0.250000	1.000000	0.000000
H9	: C4	: C1	:01	0.000000	3.000000	0.000000
H8	: C4	: C1	:01	0.250000	1.000000	0.000000
H8	: C4	: C1	:O1	0.000000	3.000000	0.000000
H7	: C4	: C1	:O1	0.250000	1.000000	0.000000
H7	: C4	: C1	:O1	0.000000	3.000000	0.000000
H6	: C3	: C1	: C4	0.160000	3.000000	0.000000
H6	: C3	: C1	:O1	0.250000	1.000000	0.000000
H6	: C3	: C1	:O1	0.000000	3.000000	0.000000
H_{2}	: C3	: C1	: C4	0.160000	3.000000	0.000000
H_{2}	: C3	: C1	:O1	0.250000	1.000000	0.000000
H_{2}	: C3	: C1	:O1	0.000000	3.000000	0.000000
H4	: C3	: C1	: C4	0.160000	3.000000	0.000000
H4	: C3	: C1	:O1	0.250000	1.000000	0.000000
H4	: C3	: C1	:O1	0.000000	3.000000	0.000000
C3	: C1	: C4	: H7	0.160000	3.000000	0.000000
C3	: C1	: C4	: H8	0.160000	3.000000	0.000000
C3	: C1	: C4	: H9	0.160000	3.000000	0.000000
H3	: C2	: C1	: C3	0.160000	3.000000	0.000000
H3	: C2	: C1	: C4	0.160000	3.000000	0.000000
H3	: C2	: C1	: O1	0.250000	1.000000	0.000000
H3	: C2	: C1	: O1	0.000000	3.000000	0.000000
H2	: C2	: C1	: C3	0.160000	3.000000	0.000000
H2	: C2	: C1	: C4	0.160000	3.000000	0.000000
H2	: C2	: C1	:01	0.250000	1.000000	0.000000
H2	: C2	: C1	:01	0.000000	3.000000	0.000000
H1	: C2	: C1	: C3	0.160000	3.000000	0.000000
H1	: C2	: C1	: C4	0.160000	3.000000	0.000000
H1	: C2	: C1	:01	0.250000	1.000000	0.000000
H1	: C2	: C1	:01	0.000000	3.000000	0.000000
C2	: C1	: C3	: H4	0.160000	3.000000	0.000000
C2	: C1	: C3	: H5	0.160000	3.000000	0.000000
C2	: C1	: C3	: H6	0.160000	3.000000	0.000000
C2	: C1	: C4	: H7	0.160000	3.000000	0.000000
C2	: C1	: C4	: H8	0.160000	3.000000	0.000000
C2	: C1	: C4	: H9	0.160000	3.000000	0.000000
C4	: C1	:01	: C5	0.800000	1.000000	3.141594
C4	: C1	:01	: C5	0.383000	3.000000	0.000000
C3	: C1	:01	: C5	0.800000	1.000000	3.141594
C3	: C1	:01	: C5	0.383000	3.000000	0.000000
C1	:01	: C5	:02	1.400000	1.000000	3.141594
Cl	:01	: C5	:02	2.700000	2.000000	3.141594
C_2	: C1	:01	: C5	0.800000	1.000000	3.141594
C2	: C1	: O1	: C5	0.383000	3.000000	0.000000

Parameters for special residue Ome.

$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	silon
$\begin{array}{ccccc} C & -0.099998 & CT & 3.399670 & 0.109^{9} \\ H1 & 0.097598 & H1 & 2.471353 & 0.015^{\circ} \\ H2 & 0.097598 & H1 & 2.471353 & 0.015^{\circ} \\ H3 & 0.097598 & H1 & 2.471353 & 0.015^{\circ} \end{array}$	0000
H1 0.097598 H1 2.471353 0.015' H2 0.097598 H1 2.471353 0.015' H3 0.097598 H1 2.471353 0.015'	9400
H2 0.097598 H1 2.471353 0.015' H3 0.097598 H1 2.471353 0.015'	5700
H3 0.097598 H1 2.471353 0.015	5700
	5700
Bond A:B Stiffness Eq. Length	
C : H1 = 340.000000 = 1.090000	
C :H2 340.000000 1.090000	
C :H3 340.000000 1.090000	
O :C 320.000000 1.410000	
Angle A:B:C Stiffness Eq. Angle	
H2 :C :H3 35.000000 1.911136	
H1 :C :H2 35.000000 1.911136	
H1 :C :H3 35.000000 1.911136	
O :C :H1 50.000000 1.911136	
O :C :H2 50.000000 1.911136	
O :C :H3 50.000000 1.911136	

Parameters for Amber molecular dynamics.

```
General flags:
\min = 0, mropt = 0
```

Nature and format of input: ntx = 5, irest = 1, ntrx = 1

```
Nature and format of output:
  ntxo = 1, ntpr = 10000, ntrx = 1, ntwr = 0
  iwrap = 0, ntwx = 10000, ntwv = 0, ntwe = 0
  ioutfm = 1, ntwprt = 0, idecomp = 0, rbornstat = 0
Potential function:
  ntf = 2, ntb = 2, igb = 0, nsnb = 25
  ipol = 0, gbsa = 0, iesp = 0
  dielc = 1.00000, cut = 9.00000, intdiel = 1.00000
Frozen or restrained atoms:
  ibelly = 0, ntr = 0
Molecular dynamics:
  nstlim = 20000000, nscm = 10000, nrespa = 1
  t = 0.00000, dt = 0.00200, vlimit = -1.00000
Langevin dynamics temperature regulation:
  ig = 353685
  temp0 = 294.00000, tempi = 277.00000, gamma ln = 1.00000
Pressure regulation:
  ntp = 1
  pres0 = 1.00000, comp = -44.60000, taup = 5.00000
SHAKE:
  ntc = 2, jfastw = 0
  tol = 0.00000
 Intermolecular bonds treatment:
   no intermolecular bonds = 1
| Energy averages sample interval:
   ene avg sampling = 10000
Ewald parameters:
  verbose = 0, ew_type = 0, nbflag = 1, use_pme = 1
  vdwmeth = 1, eedmeth = 1, netfrc = 1
  Box X = 43.171 Box Y = 49.041 Box Z = 53.513
  Alpha = 116.410 Beta = 95.530 Gamma = 93.160
  NFFT1 = 48 NFFT2 = 56 NFFT3 = 56
  Cutoff= 9.000 Tol =0.100E-04
  Ewald Coefficient = 0.30768
  Interpolation order = 4
  Setting ifbox to 3 for non-orthogonal unit cell
```



Figure S1: Positional RMSDs of heavy atoms relative to the X-ray structure for the three 1.6 s. Plot for the 2.4 s simulation is given in the main article. Details of each metric are given in the main text. Purple: asymmetric unit RMSD for backbone (N,CA,C) atoms. Orange: asymmetric unit RMSD for side-chain heavy atoms. Blue: lattice RMSD for backbone atoms.



Figure S2: Supercell volume presented as a percentage of experimental supercell volume over the course of the three 1.6 s trajectories. Volume of the supercell over the course of the 2.4 s simulation is presented in the main article. In all cases average volume is maintained to within 0.2% of experiment, although convergence is not clear in two cases. Instantaneous fluctuations of the volume have amplitudes of an additional 0.2%.



Figure S5: Comparison of four different approaches to calculating B-factors from a crystal simulation. "RMSD" and "Reverse Symmetry" are describe in the main text. "Supercell" approach consists in fitting each trajectory snapshot of the entire supercell to the reference supercell created from experimental coordinates, then calculating B-factors from positional variance for each atom in the supercell separately, then averaging over the 36 equivalent crystal copies of each atom (one in each unit cell) to obtain the final B-factor. This approach is rejected becuase of the unnatural way in which the structures are superimposed when trying to rmsd-fit multiple unit cells at once. The "ASU-average" method consists in rotationaly/translationaly fitting all trajectory snapshots and calculating B-factors for each unit cell (asymmetric unit in the P1 case) separately; then averaging the B-factors overal all 36 unit cells. This approach is rejected because it amounts to taking a mean of the same variances as the "RMSD" approach which will mathematically always underestimate the true variance.



Figure S6: "RMSD" method B-factors (see main text for additional details) for the heavy atoms of each of the 36 unit cells separately. The B-factors correspond to the intra-molecular positional fluctuations in each of the unit cells.



Figure S7: Diffusion of individual water molecules in each unit cell. One panel is plotted for each of the 36 unit cells, each of which initially contained four water molecules. The plots show the distance of each water molecule from the CA atom of residue 7 (chosen as a common point of reference) over the course of the first 500ns of the 2.4 s trajectory. In each plot, the corresponding water molecules related by crystal vector translations are plotted with the same color. All four of the crystallographic water position is special in this regard.



Figure S8: Mean square displacements (msd) of water molecules over the first 500 ns of the 2.4 s trajectory along each of the three crystal vectors. Water is highly mobile along the channels (blue, channels are co-linear with the crystal a-vector) but constrained by them (green and red). Water channels are estimated to be about 3-4 Å wide based on an msd of about 12 Å² in the b and c directions.



Figure S9: Water density observed in the 2.4 μs simulation. The time-averaged density of water was obtained by using crystal symmetry operations to superimpose all simulated waters onto a single unit cell. The mesh encloses 90% of the water density. Crystallographic peptide is shown in orange and crystallographic water oxygens as red spheres. The image depicts a periodic system to illustrate the relationships between water positions and neighboring unit cells.



Figure S10: Scatter plot showing the correlation between each pair of the following three structural characteristics: Valine B8 rotamer in crystal conformation (χ_1 torsion is in gauche(-)), water "defective" unit cell content (unit cell contains only one or two water molecules) and 3_{10} helical propensity. Each point corresponds to one unit cell and plots the percentage of simulation time (2.4 µs trajectory) that a given unit cell exhibited the given structural characteristic. Best linear fits are shown with a red line together with their coefficient of determination.



Figure S11: Per unit cell simulation time percentage that the given unit cell exhibits propensity for chain B to form a 3_{10} helix, Val B8 to adapt a gauche(-) rotamer and water defects to occur in the simulated unit cells.



Figure S12: Comparison of the B-factors originally calculated by Aravinda *et al.* and the B-factors obtained in our re-refinement against the experimental density. For consistency of methods when analyzing the results of the simulation density refinement, we have compared against the results of our experimental density refinement throughout this paper.



Figure S3: RMSD of individual unit cells to crystal. Top image shows rmsd of entire unit cell (both peptide chains). Middle image shows rmsd of chain A and bottom image shows rmsd of chain B. The average rmsd for monomer A is 0.23 Å while for monomer B it is 0.58 Å. Taken together these results indicate that the simulation converges very quickly to a structure that is generally in good agreement with the X-ray data but presenting some deviations near the C-terminus of monomer B. A subpopulation of unit cells with much higher monomer B rmsd is evident (unit cells 4, 5, 12, 17, 20, 24, 25, 28 and 36). The average monomer B rmsd of these cells is 0.84 Å while the average for the remaining cells is 0.51Å. Furthermore, a residue by residue analysis revealed that in the majority of the unit cells, Val18 and Aib19 contributed most significantly to the elevated rmsd (0.80Å and 0.25Å respectively while all remaining residues had rmsd's lower than 0.09Å). A calculation of monomer B rmsd for these 27 cells without Val18 and Aib19 gives a mean of 0.23Å (compared to 0.51Å if including Val18 and Aib19). On the other hand for the other 9 unit cells all residues contribute about equally to the rmsd and rmsd calcualted without Val18 and Aib19 is 0.63 (compared to .84).



Supercell Method

Figure S4: Comparison of "RMSD" B-factors obtained with only translational vs. translational and rotational fitting of supercell frames. The "RMSD" approach to calculating Bfactors is described in the main text. It is mainly characterized by a fitting of each snapshot of each asymmetric unit to the experimental structure before calculating positional variance. Here fitting was carried out either as only a translational fit (centers of mass aligned) or a translational/rotational fit (centers of mass aligned and RMSD minimized). The result shows almost no impact on B-factors indicating that very little rigid body rotational motion of the asymmetric units relative to each other is present in the simulation.