SUPPLEMENTAL DIGITAL CONTENT (SDC)

Table of Contents

Workflow of comparative pathway analysis	2
mRNA datasets for comparative analysis	2
qRT-PCR validation	6
References	10

Workflow of comparative pathway analysis

After miRNA profiling and statistical work-up comparing control and study groups, target genes of the identified differentially regulated miRNAs were determined utilizing various prediction models as well as experimentally verified targets, complemented by further functional characterization on the molecular process level. For allowing comparative analysis on the molecular process and pathway level the same bioinformatics procedures were applied for differentially regulated mRNAs derived from patients developing acute rejection or delayed graft function. Pathways identified as affected on both, direct mRNA and miRNA target level were used for functional interpretation.

mRNA datasets for comparative analysis

A gene expression dataset of post-transplant biopsies is publicly available for download in the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) at NCBI with the identification GSE1563 as published by Flechner et al. (1). The dataset covers 23 gene expression profiles from post-transplant biopsies for the following groups: Samples from patients with well-functioning transplants with no clinical evidence of rejection (PBx, n = 10), samples from transplant patients with kidneys undergoing acute rejection (combining AREJ and ABMR, n=7), and samples from transplant patients with renal allograft dysfunction without rejection (n=5; 2 CNI toxicity, 2 ATN, 1 focal segmental glomerulosclerosis). We re-analyzed the Affymetrix raw datafiles by utilizing the Bioconductor package SimpleAffy to assess data quality (2), followed by preprocessing, normalization, and annotation using the robust multi-average (RMA) method and quantile normalization as implemented in the Bioconductor packages affy, gcrma and annaffy (3). Significance Analysis of Microarray (SAM) was used to evaluate differences between control and study groups. Genes with a fold change of 2 or higher and a delta value of 3.5 was considered as differentially regulated between groups and used for further analysis.

Saint-Mezard et al. published an analysis of independent microarray datasets of renal allograft biopsies (1, 4, 5) revealing an acute rejection transcript set(ARTS) consisting of 70 unique genes (4), subsequently also used as reference mRNA data set.

2

The Edmonton data set (Müller et al. (6)) covering gene expression profiles of 28 biopsies for T-cell-mediated rejection, 8 biopsies characterized by antibody mediated rejection, and 72 biopsies without sign of rejection were additionally included in our comparative analysis. Microarray data files are available raw at http://transplants.med.ualberta.ca. We used the same bioinformatics workflow for identification of differentially regulated genes as applied for the Cleveland dataset (Flechner et al. (1)). Significance Analysis of Microarray (SAM) was used to evaluate differences between control and study groups. Genes with a fold change of 2 or higher and a delta value of 2 were considered as differentially regulated between groups. This dataset allowed us to separately analyse acute cellular rejection (AREJ) and antibody mediated rejection (ABMR) as also derived on the level of miRNA profiles.

Table S1. Number of predicted targets (DIANAmT, miRanda and Targetscan), number of experimentally validated targets (miRTarBase) of significantly differentially regulated miRNAs. The number in bracket indicates the no. of unique genes.

			No. of
		No. of predicted	experimentally
DGE versus PE		targets	verified targets
		0005	
up-regulated	nsa-miR-182	2305	9
	nsa-miR-106b	2976	16
	hsa-miR-20a	2960	26
	hsa-miR-21*	0	0
	hsa-miR-18a	1402	16
	hsa-miR-17	2588	39
	hsa-miR-106a	2664	10
delayed graft fu	nction	14895 (5320)	116 (67)
AREJ versus F	PBx		
up-regulated	hsa-miR-150	3133	5
	hsa-miR-155	1600	161
	hsa-miR-663a	1145	2
	hsa-miR-638	295	0
acute cellular reje	ection (up-regulated miRNAs)	6173 (4960)	168 (167)
down-regulated	hsa-miR-138	1634	11
-	hsa-miR-125a	2354	16
	hsa-miR-455	1473	0
	hsa-miR-30c-2*	0	0
	hsa-miR-574-3p	261	0
	hsa-miR-502-3p	968	0
	hsa-miR-181b	2339	12
	hsa-miR-99b	105	1
	hsa-miR-139-5p	1710	0
	hsa-miR-27b	2843	8
	hsa-miR-424*	0	1
	hsa-miR-193b	1199	5
	hsa-miR-99b*	0	0
	hsa-let-7b	1570	146
	hsa-miR-181a	2340	14
	hsa-miR-23b	2280	4
	hsa-miR-361-5p	1629	1
	hsa-miR-125b-2*	0	0
acute cellular	rejection (down-regulated		
miRNAs)	, , , ,	22705 (8765)	219 (208)
acute cellular re	jection	28878 (9833)	387 (369)
ABMR versus	, PRx		
up-regulated	hsa-miR-663	1145	2
ap rogalatou	hsa-miR-146h-5n	1855	2 8
	hsa-miR-1228	1000 N	0
	hsa-let-7i	1560	1
	hsa-miR-21*	1009	1 0
	hsa_miD_182	0 2305	0
humoral rojectic	115a-11111-102	6874 (5110)	ع 20 (10)
	(total)	35752 (10251)	20 (19) /07 /20/\
	IUIAI)	337321102311	407 (304)

Table S2. The number of differentially regulated transcripts between control group and study groups. Raw data were obtained from the Cleveland study (Flechner et al.(1)) and the Edmonton study (Müller et al.(6)).

	No. of up- regulated transcripts	No. of down- regulated transcripts	SAM delta value	fold change
Cleveland study				
renal allograft dysfunction (n=5) versus controls (n=10)	67	579	3.5	>2
acute tubular necrosis (n=2) versus controls (n=10)	144	284	3.5	>2
acute rejection (n=7) versus controls (n=10)	47	389	3.5	>2
Edmonton study				
acute cellular rejection (n=28) versus no rejection (n=72)	430	0	2	>2
antibody-mediated rejection (n=8) versus no rejection (n=72)	105	0	2	>2

Table S3. Pathway enrichment analysis of the validation data set from Edmonton. Enriched pathways in the predicted and validated miRNA target lists in AREJ or ABMR and differentially regulated transcript lists are represented.

Acute cellular rejection	predicted targets	exp. validated targets	regulated transcripts (SAM)
Pathways	p-value	p-value	p-value
Inflammation mediated by chemokine and			
cytokine signaling pathway	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
Apoptosis signaling pathway	<0.001	0.007	<0.001
Interleukin signaling pathway	<0.001	0.009	0.004
Nicotinic acetylcholine receptor signaling pathway	0.001	0.009	0.033
Cytoskeletal regulation by Rho GTPase	0.002	0.009	0.034
Antibody-mediated rejection			
Inflammation mediated by chemokine and			
cytokine signaling pathway	<0.001	<0.001	0.003
Interleukin signaling pathway	0.002	<0.001	0.018
Apoptosis signaling pathway	<0.001	0.006	0.005

qRT-PCR validation

Methods

The TaqMan® MicroRNA Reverse Transcription Kit were used to synthesize single stranded cDNA. Real-time PCR was performed using the TaqMan® Gene Expression Master Mix, TaqMan® miRNA expression assays (see table below) with the ABI 7300 Real-Time PCR System. All instruments and reagents were purchased from Applied Biosystems. Relative gene expression values were evaluated with the 2^{-ΔΔCt} method using U6 snRNA as control small RNA and Stratagene Universal human reference RNA (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA) as reference RNA. qRT-PCR conditions were set according to the manufacturer's recommendations: 10min 95°C, 40 cycles (15sec 95°C, 1min 60°C) with fluorescence reading during annealing step.

TaqMan miRNA assays

Assay Name	miRBase ID	Mature miRNA Sequence
hsa-miR-182	hsa-miR-182-5p	UUUGGCAAUGGUAGAACUCACACU
hsa-miR-21*	hsa-miR-21-3p	CAACACCAGUCGAUGGGCUGU
hsa-miR-155	hsa-miR-155-5p	UUAAUGCUAAUCGUGAUAGGGGU
hsa-miR-146b	hsa-miR-146b-5p	UGAGAACUGAAUUCCAUAGGCU
hsa-miR-125a	hsa-miR-125a-5p	UCCCUGAGACCCUUUAACCUGUGA

TaqMan miRNA assay control

Assay Name	NCBI Accession	Control Sequence
U6 snRNA	NR_004394	GTGCTCGCTTCGGCAGCACATATACTAAAATT
		GGAACGATACAGAGAAGATTAGCATGGCCCC
		TGCGCAAGGATGACACGCAAATTCGTGAAGC
		GTTCCATATTTT



Figure S1. qRT-PCR validation of the most significantly differentially regulated miRNAs between DGF and control group (PBx). Log₂ (relative expression) values are shown for the qRT-PCR and the array experiment. The red line indicates the median.



Figure S2. qRT-PCR validation of the most significantly differentially regulated miRNAs between AREJ and control group (PBx). Log₂ (relative expression) values are shown for the qRT-PCR and the array experiment. The red line indicates the median.



Figure S3. qRT-PCR validation of the most significantly differentially regulated miRNAs between ABMR and control group (PBx) or of miRNAs being also differentially regulated in other groups. Log₂ (relative expression) values are shown for the qRT-PCR and the array experiment. The red line indicates the median.

References

- 1. Flechner SM, Kurian SM, Head SR, et al. Kidney transplant rejection and tissue injury by gene profiling of biopsies and peripheral blood lymphocytes. *Am J Transplant* 2004; 4 (9): 1475.
- 2. Wilson, Miller. Simpleaffy: a BioConductor package for Affymetrix quality control and data analysis. *Bioinformatics* 2005.
- 3. Irizarry RA, Hobbs B, Collin F, et al. Exploration, normalization, and summaries of high density oligonucleotide array probe level data. *Biostatistics* 2003; 4 (2): 249.
- 4. Saint-Mezard P, Berthier CC, Zhang H, et al. Analysis of independent microarray datasets of renal biopsies identifies a robust transcript signature of acute allograft rejection. *Transpl Int* 2009; 22 (3): 293.
- 5. Sarwal M, Chua MS, Kambham N, et al. Molecular heterogeneity in acute renal allograft rejection identified by DNA microarray profiling. *N Engl J Med* 2003; 349 (2): 125.
- 6. Mueller TF, Einecke G, Reeve J, et al. Microarray analysis of rejection in human kidney transplants using pathogenesis-based transcript sets. *Am J Transplant* 2007; 7 (12): 2712.