



**Objectively measured sedentary time and physical activity
in women with fibromyalgia**

Journal:	<i>BMJ Open</i>
Manuscript ID:	bmjopen-2013-002722
Article Type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	13-Feb-2013
Complete List of Authors:	Ruiz, Jonatan; Karolinska Institutet, Department of Biosciences and Nutrition Segura-Jiménez, Victor Ortega, Francisco; University of Granada and Karolinska Institutet Alvarez, Inmaculada; University of Granada, Physical Education and Sport Camiletti, Daniel; University of Granada, Department of Physiology Aparicio, Virginia Carbonell-Baeza, Ana; University of Granada, 1. Department of Physical Education and Sport Femia, Pedro; University of Granada, Department of Physiology Munguia-Izquierdo, Diego Delgado-Fernández, Manuel; University of Granada, Department of Physical Education and Sport
Primary Subject Heading:	Public health
Secondary Subject Heading:	Epidemiology, Rheumatology
Keywords:	EPIDEMIOLOGY, PREVENTIVE MEDICINE, PUBLIC HEALTH, RHEUMATOLOGY

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 **Objectively measured sedentary time and physical activity in women with fibromyalgia;**

8
9 **A cross-sectional study**

10
11
12
13
14
15
16 Jonatan R Ruiz^{1*}, Víctor Segura-Jiménez¹, Francisco B Ortega¹, Inmaculada C Álvarez-
17 Gallardo¹, Daniel Camiletti-Moirón^{1,2}, Virginia A Aparicio^{1,2}, Ana Carbonell-Baeza^{1,3}, Pedro
18 Femia⁴, Diego Munguía-Izquierdo⁵, Manuel Delgado-Fernández¹
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

27 ¹Department of Physical Education and Sport, School of Sport Sciences, University of
28 Granada, Granada, Spain
29
30

31 ²Department of Physiology, School of Pharmacy, University of Granada, Granada, Spain
32
33

34 ³Department of Physical Education, School of Education, University of Cadiz, Cadiz, Spain
35
36

37 ⁴Department of Biostatistics, School of Medicine, University of Granada, Granada, Spain
38
39

40 ⁵Department of Physical Education and Sports, University Pablo de Olavide, Seville, Spain
41
42

43 ***Address for correspondence:** Jonatan R. Ruiz, PhD. Department of Physical Education and
44 Sport, School of Sport Sciences. University of Granada, Granada, Spain; ruizj@ugr.es
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

ARTICLE SUMMARY

Article focus:

- To characterize the levels of objectively measure (i.e. through accelerometry) sedentary time and physical activity among women with fibromyalgia.
- To provide estimates of the adherence to recommended levels of physical activity assessed by accelerometer (30 minutes of at least moderate intensity physical activity on 5 of 7 days).

Key Messages

- Over 60% of women with fibromyalgia meet the physical activity recommendations, that is, 30 min/day of at least moderate intensity physical activity on 5 or more days a week.
- These women spent on average 71% (approximately 10 hours/day) of their waking time in activities that expend little energy.
- Women with fibromyalgia spent at least on average 10 min less on MVPA and 22 min less on sedentary behaviours during weekends compared with week days.

Strengths and Limitations

- Strict standardization of the fieldwork, and the fact that all women were compliant with the measurements procedures is a strength.
- All women had 7 valid days with at least 10 hours of registered time during waking hours.
- To avoid any kind of immediate reactivity, we removed from the analysis the first day of monitoring.
- The cross-sectional design of our study does not allow however establishing any causal relationships. The sample is of convenience, which includes the known limitations of all non-probability

- The accelerometer underestimates physical activities that involve upper body movement, those with minimal vertical displacement such as cycling, water-based activities such as swimming, and it does not capture well the extra energy cost of load-bearing activities.

For peer review only

ABSTRACT

Objectives: To characterize levels of objectively measured sedentary time and physical activity in women with fibromyalgia.

Design: Cross-sectional study.

Setting: Local Association of Fibromyalgia (Granada, Spain).

Participants: The study comprised 94 women with diagnosed fibromyalgia who did not have other severe somatic or psychiatric disorders, or other diseases that prevent physical loading, able to ambulate and to communicate, and capable and willing to provide informed consent.

Primary outcome measures: Sedentary time and physical activity was measured by accelerometry and was expressed as time spent in sedentary behaviours, average intensity (counts/minute) and amount of time (minutes/day) spent in moderate and in moderate-to vigorous-intensity physical activity (MVPA).

Results: The proportion of women meeting the physical activity recommendations of 30 min/day of at least moderate intensity physical activity on 5 or more days a week was 60.6%. Women spent on average 71% of their waking time (approximately 10 hours/day) in sedentary behaviours. Both sedentary behaviour and physical activity levels were similar across age groups, waist circumference and percentage body fat categories, years since clinical diagnosis, marital status, educational level, occupational status, as well as regardless the severity of the disease (all $P > 0.1$). Time spent at moderate physical activity and MVPA was however lower in those with greater BMI (-6.6 min and -7 min, respectively, per body mass index category increase, < 25 , $25-30$, > 30 kg/m²; P values for trend were 0.056 and 0.051, respectively). Women spent on average 10 min less on MVPA ($P < 0.001$) and 22 min less on sedentary behaviours during weekends compared with week days ($P = 0.051$).

Conclusions: These data provide an objective measure of amount of time spent in sedentary activities and in physical activity in women with fibromyalgia.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Extra data is available by emailing ruizj@ugr.es

For peer review only

INTRODUCTION

Fibromyalgia is a pain regulation-related disorder¹. Patients usually present an increased sensitivity to painful stimuli (hyperalgesia) and lowered pain threshold (allodynia). Additionally to pain, fibromyalgia symptoms typically include severe fatigue, sleep disturbances, paresthesia of extremities, depression, anxiety, joint stiffness, and memory and cognitive difficulties^{1,2}. Fibromyalgia is becoming a common syndrome in Western European countries, and estimates indicate a point prevalence of 2.9% that would translate to approximately 6 million people with fibromyalgia³.

There is increasing evidence about the potential benefits of regular physical activity on fibromyalgia-related symptoms⁴⁻⁷, and International organizations supports the use of physical activity-based interventions as a complementary tool in the therapeutic armamentarium against fibromyalgia⁸. Physical inactivity is one of the major public health problems of the 21st century⁹, and several longitudinal studies showed the negative consequences for health of a sedentary lifestyle^{10,11}.

The average amount of daily sedentary time as well as physical activity levels and patterns in women with fibromyalgia is rather unknown, and the available information is mainly based on questionnaire data¹²⁻¹⁶. However, physical activities are difficult to recall, quantify and categorize, and it might be even more complex in people with memory and cognitive difficulties such as fibromyalgia patients¹⁴. Given the limitations of self-report methods, accelerometry (i.e. movement sensors) has become the method of choice for objectively measuring physical activity in free-living conditions. To have an objective diagnosis of the sedentary time as well as of the physical activity levels and patterns in patients with fibromyalgia is of public health and of clinical interest, and might be informative for developing intervention studies directed to the promotion of physical activity in women with fibromyalgia¹⁷.

1
2
3 The purpose of the present study was to characterize the levels of objectively measure
4 (i.e. through accelerometry) sedentary time and physical activity among women with
5 fibromyalgia, and to provide estimates of the adherence to recommended levels of physical
6 activity assessed by accelerometer (30 minutes of at least moderate intensity physical activity
7 on 5 of 7 days).
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

For peer review only

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Study participants

We sent a formal invitation to participate in the study to all members of a Local Association of Fibromyalgia (Granada, Spain). A total of 116 patients responded, and gave their written informed consent after receiving detailed information about the aims and study procedures. Participants were included in the study if: (i) they met the diagnosis of fibromyalgia according to the American College of Rheumatology criteria¹⁸, (ii) did not have other severe somatic or psychiatric disorders, or other diseases that prevent physical loading (answer “no” to all questions on the Physical Activity Readiness Questionnaire-PAR-Q^{19 20}, (iii) were able to ambulate and to communicate, (iv) and were capable and willing to provide informed consent. Men were not included in the study (n=6), and women with incomplete physical activity data (n=5) or technical errors in the instrument (n=11) were excluded. A final sample of 94 women with fibromyalgia participated in the study. Age, weight and height, and fibromyalgia severity (assessed by the fibromyalgia impact questionnaire, FIQ)^{21 22} was similar between the included and excluded participants (all P>0.1). The study protocol was reviewed and approved by the Ethics Committee of the Hospital Virgen de las Nieves (Granada, Spain). The STROBE guidelines were followed during the course of the research²³.

Measurements

Women were interviewed in the Association of Fibromyalgia (Granada, Spain). They were asked to wear an accelerometer (ActigraphTM GT1M, Pensacola, FL, USA) for 9 consecutive days starting the same day that they received the monitor. The accelerometer was carried over the whole day (24 hrs) except during water-based activities such as bathing or swimming. Accelerometers were initialized as described by the manufacturer, and data were saved in 5 s epochs. Women wore the device on the lower back, secured with an elastic belt, underneath

1
2
3 clothing, near to the center of gravity. The data were downloaded onto a computer using the
4
5 manufacturer software. Data reduction, cleaning, and analyses were performed using the
6
7 MAHUFFe program (see www.mrc-epid.cam.ac.uk).
8

9
10 Monitor wearing time was calculated by subtracting the sleeping reported time
11
12 (recorded through a diary) from the total registered time for the entire day (i.e. 1440 minutes).
13
14 Bouts of 60 continuous minutes of 0 activity intensity counts were also excluded from the
15
16 analysis, considering these periods as non-wearing time. A recording of more than 20,000
17
18 counts per minute (cpm) was considered as a potential malfunction of the accelerometer and
19
20 the value was excluded from the analyses. The first day of recording was not included in the
21
22 analysis, neither did the last one. A total of 7 days of recording with a minimum of 10 or more
23
24 hours of registration per day was necessary to be included in the study analysis.
25
26

27
28 Sedentary time was estimated as the amount of time accumulated below 100 cpm
29
30 during periods of wear time²⁴. Time spent being sedentary was expressed as total duration
31
32 (hours/day). Physical activity levels were estimated as follows: (i) Average physical activity,
33
34 expressed as mean cpm, is a measure of overall physical activity. We calculated mean counts
35
36 per minute by dividing the sum of total counts per epoch for a valid day by the number of
37
38 minutes of wear time in that day across all valid days (n=7). (ii) Time engaged in moderate
39
40 physical activity. We calculated the time engaged in moderate physical activity based upon a
41
42 standardized cut-off of 1952-5724 cpm^{25 26}, where 1952 cpm corresponds to walking at 4
43
44 km/hour²⁶. (iii) We also calculated the time engaged in at least moderate physical activity,
45
46 including also vigorous intensity (so called, moderate-vigorous physical activity, MVPA), as
47
48 the amount of time accumulated ≥ 1925 cpm. Sedentary time, as well as the study physical
49
50 activity variables was calculated for week days and weekends. We calculated the proportion
51
52 of women meeting the physical activity recommendations, that is 30 minutes/day of at least
53
54 moderate-intensity activity on at least 5 of 7 days^{27 28}.
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Weight and height were measured following standard procedures with a scale (InBody
4 720, Biospace, Seoul, Korea) and a stadiometer (Seca 22, Hamburg, Germany), respectively,
5 and body mass index (BMI, weight in kg divided by height in m²) was calculated. Percentage
6 body fat was measured with bioelectrical impedance analysis (InBody R20; Biospace,
7 Gateshead, UK). Waist circumference was measured at the level of the umbilicus (Harpenden
8 anthropometric tape Holtain Ltd). Adiposity exposure groups were based on standard clinical
9 definitions for BMI (normal weight: 18.5-24.9 kg/m², overweight: 25.0-29.9 kg/m², obese:
10 30.0 kg/m² or higher); percent body fat (normal: <30%; obese: ≥30%); and waist
11 circumference (normal: ≤ 80.0 cm; abdominal obesity: > 80 cm). Only one women had a BMI
12 below 18.5 (18 kg/m²), and was considered to be as normal weight.
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

25 Fibromyalgia severity was assessed with the fibromyalgia impact questionnaire (FIQ)
26
27^{21 22}. FIQ is composed of ten subscales: physical impairment, overall well being, work missed,
28 job difficulty, pain, fatigue, morning tiredness, stiffness, anxiety and depression. The score of
29 each subscale is standardized from 0 to 10. We summed the score of all items, so that the total
30 score range from 0 to 100, with a higher score indicating greater severity. Women were
31 categorized into two groups based on the FIQ total score following published thresholds as
32 FIQ <70 and FIQ ≥70, which corresponds to having moderate or severe fibromyalgia,
33 respectively²⁹.
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44

45 **Statistical analysis**

46 All statistical analyses were performed with PASW (Predictive Analytics SoftWare, v. 18.0
47 SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA), and the level of significance was set at $\alpha = 0.05$. Physical
48 activity and sedentary outcome variables were logarithmically transformed to obtain a normal
49 distribution.
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 We calculated the estimated means of sedentary time, average physical activity,
4 moderate physical activity and MVPA by age group, body mass index and waist
5 circumference category, years since clinical diagnosis, fibromyalgia severity, marital status,
6 educational level and occupational status with analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) adjusting
7 for registered time. Lineal regression analyses were conducted to examine the association of
8 sedentary time, average physical activity, moderate physical activity and MVPA with age
9 group, body mass index, waist circumference and percentage body fat category, years since
10 clinical diagnosis, fibromyalgia severity, marital status, educational level and occupational
11 status by inserting sedentary time and each physical activity outcome variable in separate
12 models as dependent variable; age group, body mass index, waist circumference and
13 percentage body fat category, years since clinical diagnosis, fibromyalgia severity, marital
14 status, educational level and occupational status as independent variables (inserted as ordinal
15 variables); and registered time as confounder.
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31

32 Mean differences of average sedentary time, physical activity, moderate physical
33 activity and MVPA on week days (Monday to Friday) and on weekend (Saturday and
34 Sunday) were calculated with ANOVA for repeated measures.
35
36
37

38 We analysed the association of meeting the physical activity recommendations (at
39 least 30 minutes/day of MVPA on 5 of 7 days a week) with age, body mass index, waist
40 circumference and percentage body fat, years since clinical diagnosis, fibromyalgia severity,
41 marital status, educational level and occupational status using binary logistic regression
42 analysis.
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

RESULTS

All participants had 7 valid days of registration. Mean registered time during waking time was 842±108 minutes/day (~14±1.8 hours). Sedentary time and physical activity intensity levels were similar across age groups, waist circumference and percentage body fat categories, years since clinical diagnosis, fibromyalgia severity, marital status, educational level and occupational status (all $P>0.1$, Table 1). Moderate physical activity and MVPA were lower in women with greater BMI (-6.6 min and -7 min, respectively, per BMI category increase; P values for trend were 0.056 and 0.051, respectively). Mean estimates of sedentary time and physical activity intensity levels were similar in women with FIQ <70 compared with those with FIQ ≥ 70 (all $P>0.1$, Table 1), and the findings persisted when other FIQ threshold (>59 vs. ≥ 59) was used³⁰ (data not shown).

The proportion of women meeting the physical activity recommendations by age group, BMI, waist circumference and percentage body fat categories, years since clinical diagnosis, fibromyalgia severity, marital status, educational level and occupational status are shown in Table 2. The proportion of women meeting the physical activity recommendations were 60.6% ($n=57$, 95%CI: 55.9-65.3%). The OR of meeting the physical activity recommendations tend to be lower in the older group (OR: 0.542, 95%CI: 0.231-1.237, $P=0.160$), in those with a high waist circumference (OR: 0.556, 95%CI: 0.235-1.312, $P=0.180$), and in those diagnosed more than 5 years ago (OR: 0.485, 95%CI: 0.206-1.142, $P=0.098$) (Table 3). The OR of meeting the physical activity recommendations was higher in non-overweight (BMI <25kg/m²) and in the overweight (BMI=25-30kg/m²) group compared with the obese peers (OR: 2.046, 95%CI: 0.698-5.997, $P=0.192$; OR: 2.252, 95%CI: 0.794-6.385, $P=0.127$) (Table 4). Unemployed women had also higher OR of meeting the recommendations (OR: 2.545, 95%CI: 0.902-7.187, $P=0.078$). The OR of meeting the physical activity recommendations were lower in women with FIQ ≥ 70 (OR: 0.690, 95%CI:

1
2
3 0.294-1.620, P=0.395). The findings persisted when another suggested FIQ threshold (>59 vs.
4 ≥59) was used ³⁰ (data not shown). Women spent on average 71% of their waking time
5
6 (approximately 10 hours/day) in sedentary behaviours.
7
8

9
10 Sedentary time and physical activity levels during week time (Monday to Friday) and
11 during weekend time (Saturday and Sunday) in women with fibromyalgia are shown in table
12 4. Mean levels of physical activity were lower during weekend compared with those
13 registered during week days (mean difference: 24 cpm, 95%CI: 7.8-40.2, P=0.004). Likewise,
14 registered time at moderate physical activity and at MVPA was lower during weekend days
15 (mean difference: 10.5 min/day, 95%CI: 5.5-15.5, P<0.001; and 10.6 min/day, 95%CI: 5.5-
16 15.6, P<0.001), respectively). Registered sedentary time was also lower during weekends
17 (mean difference: 22.6 min/day, 95%CI: 0-45.3, P=0.051). Figure 1 shows the sedentary time
18 and physical activity mean time by week and weekend days.
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

29 The results did not change when the analyses were adjusted for registered time, or
30 when all variables were entered together in the model (data not shown).
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

DISCUSSION

The main purpose of the present study was to characterize sedentary time and physical activity in women with diagnosed fibromyalgia, as well as to describe the adherence to recommended levels of physical activity assessed by accelerometer. Over half of the women (60.6%) met the physical activity recommendations (30 min/day of at least moderate intensity physical activity on 5 or more days a week). These women spent on average 71% (approximately 10 hours/day) of their waking time in sedentary behaviours, that is, in activities that expend little energy. We observed that both sedentary behaviour times and physical activity levels were similar across the study demographic factors as well as regardless the severity of the disease. Physical activity (i.e. moderate and MVPA) was however lower in those with greater BMI. Women spent at least on average 10 min less on MVPA and 22 min less on sedentary behaviours during weekends compared with week days.

To our knowledge, this is the first comparable objective quantification of sedentary time and physical activity in women with fibromyalgia. Despite the number of participants in the present study is relatively small, to date, this is the largest series described in adults. We do not know whether these findings apply to men, therefore, future studies should quantify both sedentary time and physical activity in this group of patients. We³¹ and others³² observed gender differences in patients with fibromyalgia, therefore studies focused on examining gender differences on sedentary time and physical activity will provide further insights on whether preventive and interventions strategies should be gender-specific. Modifiable lifestyle factors, such as physical activity, may have a great potential as a public health instrument to prevent and contribute to the treatment of fibromyalgia. Longitudinal studies are also needed to further understand the predictive value of sedentary behaviours and physical activity over the course of the disease, and whether preventive strategies should start already at the early stages of the disease development. To have an objective estimate of the

1
2
3 patient's sedentary behaviour as well as the engagement in physical activity could be used as
4
5 a potential tool to increase the effectiveness of treatment approaches to reduce disability and
6
7 enhance quality of life in people with fibromyalgia. Indeed, Fontaine et al.³³ observed that
8
9 accumulating 30 minutes of lifestyle physical activity throughout the day produces clinically
10
11 relevant changes in perceived physical function and pain in previously minimally active
12
13 adults with fibromyalgia.
14

15
16 The present study showed that 60.6% percent of women met the recommendation to
17
18 accumulate 30 or more min/day of physical activity of at least moderate intensity on most
19
20 days of the week. The variation in meeting the recommendations was not associated with the
21
22 study demographic factors, and despite the prevalence of meeting the recommendations tend
23
24 to be lower in the older group, in the overweight group and in those with a higher waist
25
26 circumference, in those diagnosed with fibromyalgia more than 5 years ago, and in the retired
27
28 group, the associations were not statistically significant. Time spent at moderate physical
29
30 activity and MVPA was however lower in those with greater BMI (-6.6 min and -7 min,
31
32 respectively, per BMI category increase, <25, 25-30, >30 kg/m²), which concur with studies
33
34 in healthy adults²⁵. This may have important health implications since obese female
35
36 fibromyalgia patients seem to have higher levels of pain, anxiety and depression and worse
37
38 quality of life, as well as lower functional capacity than their normal-weight peers³⁴.
39
40
41
42

43 Despite several attempts have been made to objectively quantify sedentary behaviours
44
45 and physical activity levels and patterns^{12 13 35-38}, to our knowledge, there are no previous
46
47 studies showing the prevalence of meeting the physical activity recommendations in women
48
49 with fibromyalgia, which hamper between study comparisons. McLoughlin et al.¹³ measured
50
51 physical activity with accelerometry in 26 female fibromyalgia patients aged 42.7±12 years,
52
53 yet they did not show the prevalence of meeting the recommendations. They showed however
54
55 that time spent at moderate intensity using the same intensity threshold as used in the present
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 study ²⁶ was 15±8 minutes/day which is on average ~35 min lower (taking the 51-75 years
4
5 age group as a reference) than the time observed in the present study. Kaleth et al. ¹² also
6
7 measured physical activity with accelerometry in 30 fibromyalgia patients (27 women), but
8
9 unfortunately, they showed no physical activity estimates. Kashikar-Zuck et al. ³⁸ measured
10
11 physical activity with accelerometry in a juvenile primary fibromyalgia syndrome group of
12
13 adolescents and showed that only 23% achieved 30 minutes/day of at least moderate intensity
14
15 physical activity, and that only 1 patient achieved the recommended levels of physical activity
16
17 for their age, that is 60 minutes/day of at least moderate intensity physical activity ^{27 28}. Data
18
19 coming from apparently healthy women showed lower rates of meeting the recommendation
20
21 than those observed in the present study. Hagströmer et al. ²⁵ reported that 48% of a
22
23 representative sample of Swedish women accumulated 30 minutes/day of MVPA, whereas
24
25 Troiano et al. ³⁹ showed that less than 5% of a representative sample of women from U.S
26
27 (2003–2004 National Health and Nutritional Examination Survey) met the physical activity
28
29 recommendations. Both cultural and methodological procedures followed to measure physical
30
31 activity may partially explain these differences.
32
33
34
35

36 Sedentary behaviours refer to those activities that do not increase resting energy
37
38 expenditure substantially, that is, no more than 1.5 times resting energy expenditure ⁴⁰. These
39
40 activities involved sitting, reclining and lying down such as watching television, studying,
41
42 reading, etc. In the present study we observed that women spend on average 10 hours/day
43
44 (~71%) of their waking time in sedentary activities. Mcloughlin et al. ¹³ also measured
45
46 sedentary time with accelerometry, yet data are not comparable with our study because they
47
48 included sleeping time as a sedentary activity, despite they described that women removed the
49
50 accelerometer when they planned to sleep. They reported that women with fibromyalgia
51
52 spend 1154±59 minutes/day at sedentary behaviours, which together with the registered time
53
54 in other physical activity intensities summed ~1440 min, which is a full day. We observed no
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 association of sedentary time with any of the study demographic factors. Similarly, women
4
5 with a higher severity of the disease ($FIQ \geq 70$) showed similar sedentary patterns as those with
6
7 a $FIQ < 70$, which concur with other studies ¹³.

8
9
10 In the present study, women with fibromyalgia spend less time (~10 minutes/day) on
11
12 at least moderate intensity physical activity and on sedentary time (~22 minutes/day) during
13
14 weekends compared with week days. These findings are in agreement with others studies ⁴¹.
15
16 The observed physical activity reduction during weekends could be partially explained by a
17
18 reduced transport-related physical activity when commuting to or from work, whereas the
19
20 reduction of sedentary time could be due to a reduced work-related sitting time. More studies
21
22 quantifying and characterizing physical activity and sedentary patterns during weekends and
23
24 week days are needed.
25
26

27
28 The present study has several limitations. The cross-sectional design of our study does
29
30 not allow establishing any causal relationships. The sample is of convenience, which includes
31
32 the known limitations of all non-probability samples, including less representativeness and
33
34 unknown levels of sampling error. Further studies involving randomly recruited, consecutive
35
36 patients with fibromyalgia are needed. It should be mentioned that the accelerometer
37
38 underestimates physical activities that involve upper body movement, those with minimal
39
40 vertical displacement such as cycling, water-based activities such as swimming, and it does
41
42 not capture well the extra energy cost of load-bearing activities. However, walking is the most
43
44 prevalent leisure-time physical activity among women with fibromyalgia ¹⁵, and we believe is
45
46 the type of activity they do at work and for transportation. We do not present data on vigorous
47
48 physical activity because the time at this intensity range from 0 to 2 min, as it can be observed
49
50 in table 1 (subtract moderate intensity to MVPA). On average, ~98% of the time spend at
51
52 MVPA is moderate intensity physical activity. This is consistent with our clinical knowledge
53
54 of this population, and concur with data from apparently healthy women from Sweden ²⁵.
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 These low levels of vigorous physical activity could also reflect that the cut point for this
4 intensity was too high, thereby missing many minutes of activity in our population that should
5 have been classified as vigorous physical activity. We have no data on an age-matched group
6 of healthy women, so that direct comparison cannot be made. McLoughlin et al.¹³ observed
7 that female fibromyalgia patients (n=26) were less active than a group of healthy women
8 (n=26), yet the healthy group was younger and had higher level of education than the patients
9 group. One of the advantages of the present study was however the strict standardization of
10 the fieldwork, and the fact that all women were compliant with the measurements procedures.
11 All women had 7 valid days with at least 10 hours of registered time during waking hours.
12 Indeed, the mean daily accelerometer wear time was 14±1.8 hours/day. We do not know
13 whether women modified their habitual sedentary behavior or physical activity during the
14 days they were monitored despite they were advised to keep on with their normal life. To
15 avoid any kind of immediate reactivity, we removed from the analysis the first day of
16 monitoring.
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33

34 In summary, these data provide an objective measure of amount of time spent in
35 sedentary activities and in physical activity in women with fibromyalgia. These estimates can
36 be used for comparisons with other rheumatologic diseases, as baseline reference levels for
37 monitoring, and to assess the effectiveness of intervention strategies promoting physical
38 activity in women with fibromyalgia.
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46

47 **Acknowledgments**

48
49 The authors gratefully acknowledge all women for their collaboration. We also acknowledge
50 the AGRAFIM (association of fibromyalgia from Granada, southern Spain) members
51 involved in the field work for their effort and great enthusiasm.
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Funding

This study was supported by the Consejería de Turismo, Comercio y Deporte (CTCD-201000019242-TRA), the Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation (I+D+I DEP2010-15639, grants: BES-2009-013442, BES-2011-047133, RYC-2010-05957, RYC-2011-09011), the Spanish Ministry of Education (AP-2009-3173 and AP2010-0963), Granada Research of Excellence Initiative on Biohealth (GREIB), Campus BioTic, University of Granada, Spain and the European University of Madrid, Escuela de Estudios Universitarios Real Madrid (2010/04RM).

Competing Interests

None

Author contributions

JRR: Conception and design of the study, data collection, statistical analysis and interpretation of data, drafting the article

VS: Data collection, interpretation of data, and revising the article critically for important intellectual content

FOP: Conception and design of the study, interpretation of data, and revising the article critically for important intellectual content

IAG: Data collection, interpretation of data, and revising the article critically for important intellectual content

DCM: Data collection, interpretation of data, and revising the article critically for important intellectual content

VAA: Conception and design of the study, data collection, interpretation of data, and revising the article critically for important intellectual content

ACB: Conception and design of the study, data collection, interpretation of data, and revising the article critically for important intellectual content

PF: Statistical analysis, interpretation of data, and revising the article critically for important intellectual content

DMI: Interpretation of data, and revising the article critically for important intellectual content

MDF: Conception and design of the study, data collection, interpretation of data, and revising the article critically for important intellectual content

Data Sharing

Extra data is available by emailing ruizj@ugr.es

REFERENCES

1. Bennett RM. Clinical manifestations and diagnosis of fibromyalgia. *Rheum Dis Clin North Am* 2009;**35**(2):215-32.
2. Wilson HD, Robinson JP, Turk DC. Toward the identification of symptom patterns in people with fibromyalgia. *Arthritis Rheum* 2009;**61**(4):527-34.
3. Branco JC, Bannwarth B, Failde I, et al. Prevalence of fibromyalgia: a survey in five European countries. *Semin Arthritis Rheum* 2010;**39**(6):448-53.
4. Thomas EN, Blotman F. Aerobic exercise in fibromyalgia: a practical review. *Rheumatol Int* 2010;**30**(9):1143-50.
5. Busch AJ, Webber SC, Brachaniec M, et al. Exercise therapy for fibromyalgia. *Curr Pain Headache Rep* 2011;**15**(5):358-67.
6. Kelley GA, Kelley KS, Hootman JM, et al. Exercise and global well-being in community-dwelling adults with fibromyalgia: a systematic review with meta-analysis. *BMC Public Health* 2010;**10**:198.
7. Hauser W, Klose P, Langhorst J, et al. Efficacy of different types of aerobic exercise in fibromyalgia syndrome: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. *Arthritis Res Ther* 2010;**12**(3):R79.
8. Brosseau L, Wells GA, Tugwell P, et al. Ottawa Panel evidence-based clinical practice guidelines for aerobic fitness exercises in the management of fibromyalgia: part 1. *Phys Ther* 2008;**88**(7):857-71.
9. Blair SN. Physical inactivity: the biggest public health problem of the 21st century. *Br J Sports Med* 2009;**43**(1):1-2.
10. Matthews CE, George SM, Moore SC, et al. Amount of time spent in sedentary behaviors and cause-specific mortality in US adults. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2012;**95**(2):437-45.

- 1
2
3 11. Thorp AA, Owen N, Neuhaus M, et al. Sedentary behaviors and subsequent health
4
5 outcomes in adults a systematic review of longitudinal studies, 1996-2011. *Am J Prev*
6
7 *Med* 2011;**41**(2):207-15.
8
- 9
10 12. Kaleth AS, Ang DC, Chakr R, et al. Validity and reliability of community health activities
11
12 model program for seniors and short-form international physical activity questionnaire
13
14 as physical activity assessment tools in patients with fibromyalgia. *Disabil Rehabil*
15
16 2010;**32**(5):353-9.
17
- 18
19 13. McLoughlin MJ, Colbert LH, Stegner AJ, et al. Are women with fibromyalgia less
20
21 physically active than healthy women? *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2011;**43**(5):905-12.
22
- 23
24 14. Munguia-Izquierdo D, Legaz-Arrese A, Mannerkorpi K. Transcultural adaptation and
25
26 psychometric properties of a Spanish-language version of physical activity
27
28 instruments for patients with fibromyalgia. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2011;**92**(2):284-
29
30 94.
31
- 32
33 15. Mannerkorpi K, Hernelid C. Leisure Time Physical Activity Instrument and Physical
34
35 Activity at Home and Work Instrument. Development, face validity, construct validity
36
37 and test-retest reliability for subjects with fibromyalgia. *Disabil Rehabil*
38
39 2005;**27**(12):695-701.
40
- 41
42 16. Mork PJ, Vasseljen O, Nilsen TI. Association between physical exercise, body mass
43
44 index, and risk of fibromyalgia: longitudinal data from the Norwegian Nord-
45
46 Trondelag Health Study. *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken)* 2010;**62**(5):611-7.
47
- 48
49 17. Carbonell-Baeza A, Ruiz JR, Aparicio VA, et al. Land- and water-based exercise
50
51 intervention in women with fibromyalgia: the al-Andalus physical activity randomised
52
53 control trial. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord* 2012;**13**(1):18.
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 18. Wolfe F, Smythe HA, Yunus MB, et al. The American College of Rheumatology 1990
4 Criteria for the Classification of Fibromyalgia. Report of the Multicenter Criteria
5 Committee. *Arthritis Rheum* 1990;**33**(2):160-72.
6
7
8
9
10 19. Cardinal BJ, Esters J, Cardinal MK. Evaluation of the revised physical activity readiness
11 questionnaire in older adults. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 1996;**28**(4):468-72.
12
13 20. Rodriguez FA. Spanish version of the Physical Activity Readiness Questionnaire (C-
14 AAF/rPAR-Q)]. *Arch Med Deporte* 1996;**13**(51):63-68.
15
16
17 21. Rivera J, Gonzalez T. The Fibromyalgia Impact Questionnaire: a validated Spanish
18 version to assess the health status in women with fibromyalgia. *Clin Exp Rheumatol*
19 2004;**22**(5):554-60.
20
21
22 22. Burckhardt CS, Clark SR, Bennett RM. The fibromyalgia impact questionnaire:
23 development and validation. *J Rheumatol* 1991;**18**(5):728-33.
24
25
26 23. von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, et al. The Strengthening the Reporting of Observational
27 Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting observational
28 studies. *Ann Intern Med* 2007;**147**(8):573-7.
29
30
31 24. Matthews CE, Chen KY, Freedson PS, et al. Amount of time spent in sedentary behaviors
32 in the United States, 2003-2004. *Am J Epidemiol* 2008;**167**(7):875-81.
33
34
35 25. Hagstromer M, Oja P, Sjostrom M. Physical activity and inactivity in an adult population
36 assessed by accelerometry. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2007;**39**(9):1502-8.
37
38
39 26. Freedson PS, Melanson E, Sirard J. Calibration of the Computer Science and
40 Applications, Inc. accelerometer. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 1998;**30**(5):777-81.
41
42
43 27. WHO. Global Recommendations on Physical Activity for Health. World Health Organ
44 publications. Geneva, Switzerland. 2010.
45
46
47 28. U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES. Physical Activity and
48 Health: A Report of the Surgeon General. Atlanta.GA: U.S. Department of Health and
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- Human Services, Centres for Disease Control and Prevention, National Centre for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion.
(<http://www.cdc.gov/nccdphp/sgr/sgr.htm>, accessed 11 March 2008).
29. Bennett R. The Fibromyalgia Impact Questionnaire (FIQ): a review of its development, current version, operating characteristics and uses. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 2005;**23**(5 Suppl 39):S154-62.
30. Bennett RM, Bushmakin AG, Cappelleri JC, et al. Minimal clinically important difference in the fibromyalgia impact questionnaire. *J Rheumatol* 2009;**36**(6):1304-11.
31. Aparicio VA, Ortega FB, Carbonell-Baeza A, et al. Are there gender differences in quality of life and fibromyalgia symptomatology? *Am J Mens Health* In press.
32. Miro E, Diener FN, Martinez MP, et al. [Fibromyalgia in men and women: comparison of the main clinical symptoms]. *Psicothema* 2012;**24**(1):10-5.
33. Fontaine KR, Conn L, Clauw DJ. Effects of lifestyle physical activity on perceived symptoms and physical function in adults with fibromyalgia: results of a randomized trial. *Arthritis Res Ther* 2010;**12**(2):R55.
34. Aparicio VA, Ortega FB, Carbonell-Baeza A, et al. Relationship of weight status with mental and physical health in female fibromyalgia patients. *Obes Facts* 2011;**4**(6):443-8.
35. Kop WJ, Lyden A, Berlin AA, et al. Ambulatory monitoring of physical activity and symptoms in fibromyalgia and chronic fatigue syndrome. *Arthritis Rheum* 2005;**52**(1):296-303.
36. Korszun A, Young EA, Engleberg NC, et al. Use of actigraphy for monitoring sleep and activity levels in patients with fibromyalgia and depression. *J Psychosom Res* 2002;**52**(6):439-43.

- 1
2
3 37. Ellingson LD, Shields MR, Stegner AJ, et al. Physical activity, sustained sedentary
4
5 behavior, and pain modulation in women with fibromyalgia. *J Pain* 2012;**13**(2):195-
6
7 206.
8
9
10 38. Kashikar-Zuck S, Flowers SR, Verkamp E, et al. Actigraphy-based physical activity
11
12 monitoring in adolescents with juvenile primary fibromyalgia syndrome. *J Pain*
13
14 2010;**11**(9):885-93.
15
16 39. Troiano RP, Berrigan D, Dodd KW, et al. Physical activity in the United States measured
17
18 by accelerometer. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2008;**40**(1):181-8.
19
20
21 40. Pate RR, O'Neill JR, Lobelo F. The evolving definition of "sedentary". *Exerc Sport Sci*
22
23 *Rev* 2008;**36**(4):173-8.
24
25 41. Cooper AR, Page A, Fox KR, et al. Physical activity patterns in normal, overweight and
26
27 obese individuals using minute-by-minute accelerometry. *Eur J Clin Nutr*
28
29 2000;**54**(12):887-94.
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Table 1. Physical activity levels in women with fibromyalgia, by age group, body mass index, waist circumference and percentage body fat category, years since clinical diagnosis, fibromyalgia impact, marital status, educational level and occupational status^a.

	Sedentary (hours/day)			Average PA (counts/minutes)			Moderate PA (min/day)			MVPA (min/day)		
	N	Mean	95% CI	Mean	95% CI	Mean	95% CI	Mean	95% CI	Mean	95% CI	
Age groups (years)												
18-50	41	10.0	9.6 10.5	222	196 248	51	43 59	52	44 60			
51-75	52	9.9	9.5 10.3	219	195 242	50	43 58	52	44 59			
P value			0.65		0.86		0.96		0.98			
Body mass index ^b category (kg/m ²)												
< 25	29	10.3	9.7 10.8	229	199 260	56	47 65	58	48 67			
25-30	34	9.5	9.0 10.0	235	207 263	53	44 61	54	45 63			
> 30	27	10.1	9.6 10.7	195	162 227	42	32 52	43	33 54			
P for trend			0.63		0.14		0.056		0.051			
Waist circumference category (cm)												
≤80	44	10.2	9.7 10.6	233	208 257	54	47 62	56	48 64			
>80	46	9.7	9.3 10.2	209	185 234	47	39 54	48	40 56			
P value			0.157		0.187		0.155		0.139			
Body fat (%)												
< 30	13	10.6	9.8 11.4	210	164 256	48	34 63	50	35 65			
≥ 30	76	9.8	9.5 10.2	223	204 242	51	45 57	52	46 58			
P value			0.93		0.605		0.709		0.774			
Years since clinical diagnosis												
≤ 5 years	47	9.9	9.5 10.3	224	200 248	53	46 61	54	47 62			
> 5 years	45	9.8	9.3 10.2	219	194 244	49	41 56	50	43 58			
P value			0.650		0.765		0.420		0.489			
Fibromyalgia severity (score) ^c												
< 70	42	9.9	9.4 10.3	226	200 251	51	43 60	53	45 61			
≥ 70	50	10.0	9.6 10.5	215	191 238	49	42 57	50	43 58			
P value			0.632		0.546		0.725		0.636			
Marital status												

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49

Married	72	9.9	9.6	10.3	217	198	237	50	43	56	51	44	57
Unmarried	22	10.0	9.4	10.7	229	194	264	54	43	65	56	44	67
P value			0.710				0.564		0.510				0.436
Educational level													
Below university degree	71	9.9	9.6	10.3	222	203	242	51	45	57	53	46	59
University degree	22	10.2	9.5	10.8	218	182	253	50	39	61	51	40	63
P value			0.519				0.814		0.791				0.830
Occupational status													
Working	29	9.8	9.3	10.3	222	196	247	51	43	59	52	44	60
Unemployed	41	10.1	9.6	10.7	236	205	266	55	45	64	57	47	66
Retired	24	10.0	9.3	10.6	197	163	232	45	34	56	46	35	57
P for trend			0.619				0.107		0.187				0.159

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; PA, physical activity; MVPA, moderate- to vigorous-intensity physical activity.

^a Estimates and P values were adjusted for registered time.

^b Weight (kg)/height (m)².

^c Assessed with the Fibromyalgia Impact Questionnaire.

Analyses were conducted with physical activity and sedentary outcome variables logarithmically transformed to obtain a normal distribution, yet crude values are presented in the table for easier interpretation.

Table 2. Prevalence of meeting the physical activity recommendations (30 min/day of at least moderate intensity physical activity) on at least 5 days a week.

	N	%	95%CI		Frequency
Age groups (years)					
18-50	41	68.3	51.9%	81.9%	28
51-75	52	53.8	39.5%	67.8%	28
Body mass index category (kg/m ²)					
< 25	29	65.5	45.7%	82.1%	19
25-30	34	67.6	49.5%	82.6%	23
> 30	27	48.1	28.7%	68.1%	13
Waist circumference category (cm)					
≤80	44	68.2	52.4%	81.4%	30
>80	46	54.3	39.0%	69.1%	25
Body fat (%)					
< 30	13	61.5	31.6%	86.1%	8
≥ 30	76	61.8	50.0%	72.8%	47
Years since clinical diagnosis					
≤ 5 years	47	70.2	55.1%	82.7%	33
> 5 years	45	53.3	37.9%	68.3%	24
Fibromyalgia severity					
< 70	42	66.7	50.5%	80.4%	28
≥ 70	50	58	43.2%	71.8%	29
Marital status					
Married	72	61.1	48.9%	72.4%	44
Unmarried	22	59.1	36.4%	79.3%	13
Educational level					
Below university degree	71	62.0	49.7%	73.2%	44
University degree	22	59.1	0.0%	0.0%	13
Occupational status					
Working	29	62.1	42.3%	79.3%	18
Unemployed	41	68.3	51.9%	81.9%	28
Retired	24	45.8	25.6%	67.2%	11
All	94	60.6	52.5%	73.2%	57

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval.

^a Assessed with the Fibromyalgia Impact Questionnaire.

Table 3. Odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (CI) of meeting the physical activity recommendations (30 min/day of at least moderate intensity, 5 of 7 days) by age group, body mass index, waist circumference and percentage body fat category, years since clinical diagnosis, marital status, educational level and occupational status.

	OR	95% CI		P value
Age groups (years)				
18-50	Ref.			
51-75	0.542	0.231	1.273	0.160
Body mass index category (kg/m ²)				
> 30	Ref.			
25-30	2.252	0.794	6.385	0.127
< 25	2.046	0.698	5.997	0.192
Waist circumference category (cm)				
≤80	Ref.			
>80	0.556	0.235	1.312	0.180
Body fat category (%)				
< 30	Ref.			
≥ 30	0.987	0.297	3.309	0.983
Years since clinical diagnosis				
≤ 5 years	Ref.			
> 5 years	0.485	0.206	1.142	0.098
Fibromyalgia severity (score) ^a				
< 70	Ref.			
≥ 70	0.690	0.294	1.620	0.395
Marital status				
Married	Ref.			
Unmarried	0.919	0.347	2.432	0.865
Educational level				
Below university degree	Ref.			
University degree	0.886	0.334	2.351	0.809
Occupational status				
Retired	Ref.			
Unemployed	2.545	0.902	7.187	0.078
Working	1.934	0.645	5.803	0.239

Abbreviations: Ref., Reference group.

^a Assessed with the Fibromyalgia Impact Questionnaire.

Table 4. Sedentary time and physical activity levels during week time (Monday to Friday) and during weekend time (Saturday and Sunday) in women with fibromyalgia.

	Week		Weekend		Difference		
	mean	sd	mean	sd	mean	95% CI	P value
Sedentary (hours/day)	10.0	2.2	9.6	2.5	0.4	0.0 0.8	0.051
Average PA (counts/min)	225.1	88.5	201.0	98.0	24.0	7.8 40.2	0.004
Moderate PA (min/day)	53.1	28.4	42.6	28.0	10.5	5.5 15.5	<0.001
MVPA (min/day)	54.3	29.1	43.8	29.7	10.6	5.5 15.6	<0.001

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; PA, physical activity; MVPA, moderate- to vigorous-intensity physical activity; sd, Standard deviation.

Analyses were conducted with physical activity and sedentary outcome variables logarithmically transformed to obtain a normal distribution, yet crude values are presented in the table for easier interpretation.


1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Figure legend

Figure 1. Sedentary time and physical activity (PA) mean time by week and weekend days.

For peer review only

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60



739x452mm (150 x 150 DPI)

Review only

STROBE 2007 (v4) checklist of items to be included in reports of observational studies in epidemiology*
Checklist for cohort, case-control, and cross-sectional studies (combined)

Section/Topic	Item #	Recommendation	Reported on page #
Title and abstract	1	(a) Indicate the study’s design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract	1
		(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found	2
Introduction			
Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported	3
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any pre-specified hypotheses	4
Methods			
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	6
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection	6
Participants	6	(a) <i>Cohort study</i> —Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants. Describe methods of follow-up <i>Case-control study</i> —Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of case ascertainment and control selection. Give the rationale for the choice of cases and controls <i>Cross-sectional study</i> —Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants	6
		(b) <i>Cohort study</i> —For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed and unexposed <i>Case-control study</i> —For matched studies, give matching criteria and the number of controls per case	6
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable	6-9
Data sources/ measurement	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group	6-9
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	6
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	6
Quantitative variables	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why	9
Statistical methods	12	(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding	9
		(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions	9
		(c) Explain how missing data were addressed	9
		(d) <i>Cohort study</i> —If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed <i>Case-control study</i> —If applicable, explain how matching of cases and controls was addressed	9

		<i>Cross-sectional study</i> —If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy	
		(e) Describe any sensitivity analyses	9
Results			
Participants	13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage (c) Consider use of a flow diagram	10-11
Descriptive data	14*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confounders (b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest (c) <i>Cohort study</i> —Summarise follow-up time (eg, average and total amount)	10-11
Outcome data	15*	<i>Cohort study</i> —Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures over time <i>Case-control study</i> —Report numbers in each exposure category, or summary measures of exposure <i>Cross-sectional study</i> —Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures	10-11
Main results	16	(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included (b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized (c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period	
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses	
Discussion			
Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives	12
Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias	15-16
Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence	15-16
Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	15-16
Other information			
Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based	17

*Give information separately for cases and controls in case-control studies and, if applicable, for exposed and unexposed groups in cohort and cross-sectional studies.

Note: An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at <http://www.plosmedicine.org/>, Annals of Internal Medicine at <http://www.annals.org/>, and Epidemiology at <http://www.epidem.com/>). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at www.strobe-statement.org.



**Objectively measured sedentary time and physical activity
in women with fibromyalgia**

Journal:	<i>BMJ Open</i>
Manuscript ID:	bmjopen-2013-002722.R1
Article Type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	28-Mar-2013
Complete List of Authors:	Ruiz, Jonatan; Karolinska Institutet, Department of Biosciences and Nutrition Segura-Jiménez, Victor Ortega, Francisco; University of Granada and Karolinska Institutet Alvarez, Inmaculada; University of Granada, Physical Education and Sport Camiletti, Daniel; University of Granada, Department of Physiology Aparicio, Virginia Carbonell-Baeza, Ana; University of Granada, 1. Department of Physical Education and Sport Femia, Pedro; University of Granada, Department of Physiology Munguia-Izquierdo, Diego Delgado-Fernández, Manuel; University of Granada, Department of Physical Education and Sport
Primary Subject Heading:	Public health
Secondary Subject Heading:	Epidemiology, Rheumatology
Keywords:	EPIDEMIOLOGY, PREVENTIVE MEDICINE, PUBLIC HEALTH, RHEUMATOLOGY

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 **Objectively measured sedentary time and physical activity in women with fibromyalgia;**

8
9 **A cross-sectional study**

10
11
12
13
14
15
16 Jonatan R Ruiz^{1*}, Víctor Segura-Jiménez², Francisco B Ortega¹, Inmaculada C Álvarez-
17 Gallardo¹, Daniel Camiletti-Moirón^{2,3}, Virginia A Aparicio^{2,3}, Ana Carbonell-Baeza^{2,4}, Pedro
18 Femia⁵, Diego Munguía-Izquierdo⁶, Manuel Delgado-Fernández²
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

27 ¹ PROFITH “PROmoting FITness and Health through physical activity” research group,
28 Department of Physical Education and Sports, Faculty of Sport Sciences, University of
29 Granada, Spain.
30
31
32

33
34 ²Department of Physical Education and Sport, School of Sport Sciences, University of
35 Granada, Granada, Spain
36
37

38 ³Department of Physiology, School of Pharmacy, University of Granada, Granada, Spain
39

40 ⁴Department of Physical Education, School of Education, University of Cadiz, Cadiz, Spain
41
42

43 ⁵Department of Biostatistics, School of Medicine, University of Granada, Granada, Spain
44

45 ⁶Department of Sports and Informatics. Section of Physical education and Sports. Faculty of
46 Sport. University Pablo de Olavide, Seville, Spain
47
48

49 ***Address for correspondence:** Jonatan R. Ruiz, PhD. Department of Physical Education and
50 Sport, School of Sport Sciences. University of Granada, Granada, Spain; ruizj@ugr.es
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

ARTICLE SUMMARY

Article focus:

- To characterize the levels of objectively measured sedentary time and physical activity (using accelerometry) in women with fibromyalgia.
- To provide estimates of the adherence to recommended levels of physical activity assessed by accelerometry (30 minutes of moderate-to-vigorous-intensity physical activity on 5 of 7 days).

Key Messages

- Over 60% of women with fibromyalgia meet the physical activity recommendations, that is, 30 min/day of moderate-to-vigorous-intensity physical activity on 5 or more days a week.
- These women spent about 71% (approximately 10 hours/day) of their waking time in activities that expend little energy.
- Women with fibromyalgia spent on average 10 min less of moderate-to-vigorous-intensity physical activity and 22 min less of sedentary behaviours during weekends compared with weekdays.

Strengths and Limitations

- Strict standardization of the methodology used to measure physical activity and the fact that all women were compliant with the measurements procedures is a strength.
- All women had 7 valid days with at least 10 hours of registered time during waking hours.
- To avoid any kind of immediate reactivity, we removed from the analysis the first day of monitoring.

- The cross-sectional design of our study does not allow however establishing any causal relationships. The sample is of convenience, which includes the known limitations of all non-probability samples.
- The accelerometer underestimates physical activities that involve upper body movement, those with minimal vertical displacement such as cycling, water-based activities such as swimming. Moreover, it does not capture well the extra energy cost of load-bearing activities such as walking while carrying a backpack.

ABSTRACT

Objectives: To characterize levels of objectively measured sedentary time and physical activity in women with fibromyalgia.

Design: Cross-sectional study.

Setting: Local Association of Fibromyalgia (Granada, Spain).

Participants: The study comprised 94 women with diagnosed fibromyalgia who did not have other severe somatic or psychiatric disorders, or other diseases that prevent physical loading, able to ambulate and to communicate, and capable and willing to provide informed consent.

Primary outcome measures: Sedentary time and physical activity was measured by accelerometry and was expressed as time spent in sedentary behaviours, total physical activity (counts/minute) and amount of time (minutes/day) spent in moderate-intensity and in moderate-to vigorous-intensity physical activity (MVPA).

Results: The proportion of women meeting the physical activity recommendations of 30 min/day of MVPA on 5 or more days a week was 60.6%. Women spent on average 71% of their waking time (approximately 10 hours/day) in sedentary behaviours. Both sedentary behaviour and physical activity levels were similar across age groups, waist circumference and percentage body fat categories, years since clinical diagnosis, marital status, educational level, occupational status, as well as regardless the severity of the disease (all $P > 0.1$). Time spent at moderate-intensity physical activity and MVPA was however lower in those with greater BMI (-6.6 min and -7 min respectively, per BMI category increase, <25, 25-30, >30 kg/m²; P values for trend were 0.056 and 0.051 respectively). Women spent on average 10 min less on MVPA ($P < 0.001$) and 22 min less on sedentary behaviours during weekends compared with weekdays ($P = 0.051$).

Conclusions: These data provide an objective measure of the amount of time spent in sedentary activities and in physical activity in women with fibromyalgia.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Extra data is available by emailing ruizj@ugr.es

For peer review only

INTRODUCTION

Fibromyalgia is a pain regulation-related disorder ¹. Patients usually present an increased sensitivity to painful stimuli (hyperalgesia) and lowered pain threshold (allodynia). In addition to pain, fibromyalgia symptoms typically include severe fatigue, sleep disturbances, paresthesia of extremities, depression, anxiety, joint stiffness, and memory and cognitive difficulties ^{1 2}. Fibromyalgia is becoming a common syndrome in Western European countries, and estimates indicate a point prevalence of 2.9% which translates to approximately 6 million people with fibromyalgia ³.

There is increasing evidence about the potential benefits of regular physical activity on fibromyalgia-related symptoms ⁴⁻⁷, and International organizations supports the use of physical activity-based interventions as a complementary tool in the therapeutic armamentarium against fibromyalgia ⁸. Physical inactivity is one of the major public health problems of the 21st century ⁹, and several longitudinal studies showed the negative consequences for health of a sedentary lifestyle ^{10 11}.

The average amount of daily sedentary time as well as physical activity in women with fibromyalgia is rather unknown, and the available information is mainly questionnaire-based ¹²⁻¹⁶. However, physical activities are difficult to recall, quantify and categorize ¹⁷, and it might be even more complex in people with memory and cognitive difficulties such as fibromyalgia patients ¹⁴. Given the limitations of self-report methods, accelerometry (i.e. movement sensors) has become the method of choice for objectively measuring physical activity in free-living conditions ¹⁸. To have an objective diagnosis of the sedentary time as well as of the physical activity levels in patients with fibromyalgia is of public health and of clinical interest, and might be informative for developing intervention studies directed to the promotion of physical activity in women with fibromyalgia ¹⁹.

1
2
3 The purpose of the present study was to characterize the levels of objectively
4 measured (using accelerometry) sedentary time and physical activity among women with
5 fibromyalgia, and to provide estimates of the adherence to recommended levels of physical
6 activity [30 minutes of moderate-to-vigorous-intensity physical activity (MVPA) on 5 of 7
7 days] ^{20 21} .
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

For peer review only

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Study participants

We sent a formal invitation to participate in the study to all members (n=400) of a Local Association of Fibromyalgia (Granada, Spain). A total of 116 patients responded (response rate 29%), and gave their written informed consent after receiving detailed information about the aims and study procedures. Participants were included in the study if: (i) they met the diagnosis of fibromyalgia according to the American College of Rheumatology criteria²² (widespread pain for more than 3 months, and pain with 4 kg/cm² of pressure reported for 11 or more of 18 tender points), (ii) did not have other severe somatic or psychiatric disorders, or other diseases that prevent physical loading (answer “no” to all questions on the Physical Activity Readiness Questionnaire-PAR-Q^{23 24}), (iii) were able to ambulate and to communicate, (iv) and were capable and willing to provide informed consent. Men were not included in the study (n=6), and women with incomplete physical activity data (n=5) or technical errors in the instrument (n=11) were excluded. A final sample of 94 women with fibromyalgia participated in the study. Age, weight and height, and fibromyalgia severity (assessed by the fibromyalgia impact questionnaire, FIQ)^{25 26} was similar between the included and excluded participants (all P>0.1). The study protocol was reviewed and approved by the Ethics Committee of the Hospital Virgen de las Nieves (Granada, Spain). The STROBE guidelines were followed during the course of the research²⁷.

Measurements

Women were interviewed in the Association of Fibromyalgia (Granada, Spain). They were asked to wear an accelerometer (ActigraphTM GT1M, Pensacola, FL, USA) for 9 consecutive days starting the same day they received the monitor. The accelerometer was carried over the whole day (24 hrs) except during water-based activities such as bathing or swimming.

1
2
3 Accelerometers were initialized as described by the manufacturer, and data were recorded in 5
4
5 seconds epochs. Women wore the device on the lower back, secured with an elastic belt,
6
7 underneath clothing, near to the center of gravity. The data were downloaded onto a computer
8
9 using the manufacturer software. Data reduction, cleaning and analyses were performed using
10
11 the MAHUFFE program (see [http://www.mrc-](http://www.mrc-epid.cam.ac.uk/Research/Programmes/Programme_5/InDepth/Programme%20Downloads.html)
12
13 [epid.cam.ac.uk/Research/Programmes/Programme_5/InDepth/Programme%20Downloads.](http://www.mrc-epid.cam.ac.uk/Research/Programmes/Programme_5/InDepth/Programme%20Downloads.html)
14
15 [html](http://www.mrc-epid.cam.ac.uk/Research/Programmes/Programme_5/InDepth/Programme%20Downloads.html)).
16
17

18 Monitor wearing time was calculated by subtracting the sleeping reported time
19
20 (recorded through a diary) from the total registered time for the entire day (i.e. 1440 minutes).
21
22 Bouts of 60 continuous minutes of 0 activity intensity counts were also excluded from the
23
24 analysis, considering these periods as non-wearing time²⁸. There was no allowance for any
25
26 minute with counts between 0-100 in the non-wear periods. A recording of more than 20,000
27
28 counts per minute (cpm) was considered as a potential malfunction of the accelerometer and
29
30 the value was excluded from the analyses^{28 29}. The first and last days of recording were not
31
32 included in the analysis. A total of 7 days (full week) of recording with a minimum of 10 or
33
34 more hours of registration per day was necessary to be included in the study analysis.
35
36
37

38 Sedentary time was estimated as the amount of time accumulated below 100 cpm
39
40 during periods of wear time³⁰. Time spent being sedentary was expressed as total duration
41
42 (hours/day). Physical activity levels were estimated as follows: (i) Total physical activity was
43
44 expressed as mean cpm, and is a measure of overall physical activity. We calculated mean
45
46 cpm as the sum of total counts per day divided by the number of minutes of wear time in that
47
48 day; finally calculating the average of all valid days (n=7). (ii) Time engaged in moderate
49
50 physical activity. We calculated the time engaged in moderate-intensity physical activity
51
52 based upon a standardized cut-off of 1952-5724 cpm^{29 31}, where 1952 cpm corresponds to
53
54 walking at 4 km/hour³¹. (iii) We also calculated the time engaged in MVPA as the amount of
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 time accumulated ≥ 1952 cpm. Sedentary time, as well as the study physical activity variables
4
5 was calculated for weekdays and weekends. We calculated the proportion of women meeting
6
7 the physical activity recommendations, that is 30 minutes/day of MVPA at least 5 of 7 days²⁰
8
9
10²¹.

11
12 Weight and height were measured following standard procedures with a scale (InBody
13
14 720, Biospace, Seoul, Korea) and a stadiometer (Seca 22, Hamburg, Germany) respectively,
15
16 and body mass index (BMI, weight in kg divided by height in m²) was calculated. Percentage
17
18 body fat was measured with bioelectrical impedance analysis (InBody R20; Biospace,
19
20 Gateshead, UK). Waist circumference was measured at the level of the umbilicus with an
21
22 anthropometric un-elastic tape (Harpenden anthropometric tape Holtain Ltd). Weight status
23
24 groups were based on standard clinical definitions for BMI (normal weight: 18.5-24.9 kg/m²,
25
26 overweight: 25.0-29.9 kg/m², obese: 30.0 kg/m² or higher); percentage body fat (normal:
27
28 <30%; obese: $\geq 30\%$); and waist circumference (normal: ≤ 80.0 cm; abdominal obesity: > 80
29
30 cm). One woman had a BMI below 18.5 kg/m² (18 kg/m²) and was included in the normal
31
32 weight group.
33
34
35

36
37 Fibromyalgia severity was assessed with the fibromyalgia impact questionnaire (FIQ)
38
39^{25 26}. FIQ is composed of ten subscales: physical impairment, overall well-being, work missed,
40
41 job difficulty, pain, fatigue, morning tiredness, stiffness, anxiety and depression. The score of
42
43 each subscale was standardized from 0 to 10. We summed the score of all items, so that the
44
45 total score ranged from 0 to 100, with a higher score indicating greater severity. Women were
46
47 categorized into two groups based on the FIQ total score as FIQ <70 and FIQ ≥ 70 . These
48
49 thresholds corresponds with having moderate or severe fibromyalgia respectively³².
50
51
52
53

54 **Statistical analysis**

55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 All statistical analyses were performed with PASW (Predictive Analytics SoftWare, v. 18.0
4 SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA), and the level of significance was set at $\alpha = 0.05$. Physical
5 activity and sedentary outcome variables were logarithmically transformed to obtain a normal
6 distribution.
7
8
9

10
11 We calculated the estimated means of sedentary time, total physical activity, moderate
12 physical activity and MVPA by age group, BMI and waist circumference categories, years
13 since clinical diagnosis, fibromyalgia severity, marital status, educational level and
14 occupational status, after adjusting for registered time. Linear regression analysis was
15 conducted to examine the association of sedentary time, total physical activity, moderate-
16 intensity physical activity and MVPA (inserted as dependent variables) with age group, BMI,
17 waist circumference and percentage body fat categories, years since clinical diagnosis,
18 fibromyalgia severity and educational level (inserted as independent variables). Independent
19 variables were inserted as ordinal variables. As marital status and occupational status
20 categories were not ordinal variables, we conducted one-way analysis of covariance to
21 determine mean differences in sedentary time and physical activity levels among marital
22 status and occupational status categories. Separate analyses were conducted for each
23 dependent and independent variable. Registered time was entered as confounder in all models.
24 Mean differences of sedentary time, physical activity, moderate physical activity and MVPA
25 levels on week days (Monday to Friday) vs. weekend (Saturday and Sunday) were estimated
26 with one-way analysis of variance for repeated measures.
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46

47 We analysed the association of meeting the physical activity recommendations (≥ 30
48 minutes/day of MVPA on 5 of 7 days a week) with age, waist circumference and percentage
49 body fat, years since clinical diagnosis, fibromyalgia severity, marital status, educational level
50 and using binary logistic regression analysis. Multinomial regression analysis was conducted
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 to examine the association of meeting the physical activity recommendations with BMI and
4
5 occupational status categories.
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

For peer review only

RESULTS

All participants had 7 valid days of registration. Mean registered time during waking time was 842±108 minutes/day (~14±1.8 hours). There was no significant association of sedentary time and physical activity with age group, waist circumference and percentage body fat categories, years since clinical diagnosis, fibromyalgia severity, marital status, educational level and occupational status (all $P>0.1$, Table 1). Levels of moderate-intensity physical activity and MVPA were lower in women with greater BMI ($\hat{\beta} = -6.6\pm3.4$ and -7 ± 3.6 min respectively, per BMI category increase (i.e. 18.5-24.9 kg/m², 25.0-29.9 kg/m², and ≥ 30.0 kg/m²; P values for trend were 0.056 and 0.051 respectively, Table 1). Mean estimates of sedentary time and physical activity intensity levels were similar in women with FIQ <70 compared with those with FIQ ≥ 70 (all $P>0.5$, Table 1). For sensitivity analyses, we explored whether the association between physical activity intensity levels and FIQ differ when a different FIQ threshold (FIQ ≥ 59)³³ was used, yet the findings persisted (data not shown).

The proportion of women meeting the physical activity recommendations by age group, BMI, waist circumference and percentage body fat categories, years since clinical diagnosis, fibromyalgia severity, marital status, educational level and occupational status are shown in Table 2. The proportion of women meeting the physical activity recommendations was 60.6% (n=57, 95%CI: 52.5-73.2%). The OR of meeting the physical activity recommendations were lower, yet not reaching statistical significance, in the oldest group (OR: 0.542, 95%CI: 0.231-1.237, $P=0.160$), in those with a high waist circumference (OR: 0.556, 95%CI: 0.235-1.312, $P=0.180$), and in those diagnosed with fibromyalgia more than 5 years ago (OR: 0.485, 95%CI: 0.206-1.142, $P=0.098$) (Table 3). The OR of meeting the physical activity recommendations was higher, yet not reaching statistical significance, in non-overweight (BMI <25kg/m²) and in the overweight (BMI=25-30kg/m²) group compared with the obese peers (OR: 2.046, 95%CI: 0.698-5.997, $P=0.192$; OR: 2.252, 95%CI: 0.794-

1
2
3 6.385, P=0.127) (Table 4). Unemployed women had also higher OR of meeting the
4
5 recommendations (OR: 2.545, 95%CI: 0.902-7.187, P=0.078). The OR of meeting the
6
7 physical activity recommendations was lower in women with FIQ ≥ 70 (OR: 0.690, 95%CI:
8
9 0.294-1.620, P=0.395). The findings persisted when another suggested FIQ threshold (>59 vs.
10
11 ≥ 59) was used³³ (data not shown). Women spent on average 71% of their waking time
12
13 (approximately 10 hours/day) in sedentary behaviours. The results did not change after
14
15 adjusting for registered time (data not shown).
16
17

18
19 Sedentary time and physical activity levels during weekdays (Monday to Friday) and
20
21 during weekend time (Saturday and Sunday) in women with fibromyalgia are shown in table
22
23 4. Mean levels of physical activity were lower during weekends compared with weekdays
24
25 (mean difference: 24 cpm, 95%CI: 7.8-40.2, P=0.004). Likewise, registered time at moderate-
26
27 intensity physical activity and MVPA was lower during weekends (mean difference: 10.5
28
29 min/day, 95%CI: 5.5-15.5, P<0.001; and 10.6 min/day, 95%CI: 5.5-15.6, P<0.001),
30
31 respectively). Mean levels of sedentary time were also lower during weekends (mean
32
33 difference: 22.6 min/day, 95%CI: 0-45.3, P=0.051). Figure 1 shows the sedentary time and
34
35 physical activity mean time by week and weekend days.
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

DISCUSSION

The main purpose of the present study was to characterize levels of sedentary time and physical activity in women with diagnosed fibromyalgia as well as to describe the adherence to recommended levels of physical activity assessed by accelerometry. Over half of the women (60.6%) met the physical activity recommendations (30 min/day of MVPA on 5 or more days a week). These women spent on average 71% (approximately 10 hours/day) of their waking time in sedentary behaviours, that is, in activities that expend little energy. We observed that both sedentary behaviour and physical activity levels were similar across the study demographic factors as well as regardless the severity of the disease. Women spent on average 10 min less on MVPA and 22 min less on sedentary behaviours during weekends compared with weekdays.

The present study showed that 60.6% of women met the recommendation to accumulate 30 or more min/day of physical activity of MVPA on most days of the week. The variation in meeting the recommendations was not associated with the study demographic factors, and despite the prevalence of meeting the recommendations tend to be lower in the oldest group, in the overweight group and in those with a higher waist circumference, in those with fibromyalgia being diagnosed more than 5 years ago, and in the retired group, the associations were not statistically significant. Time spent at moderate-intensity physical activity and MVPA tended to be however lower in those with greater BMI (-6.6 min and -7 min, respectively, per BMI category increase, <25, 25-30, >30 kg/m²), which concur with studies in healthy adults²⁹. This may have important health implications since obese female fibromyalgia patients seem to have higher levels of pain, anxiety and depression and worse quality of life, as well as lower functional capacity than their normal weight peers³⁴.

Despite several attempts have been made to objectively quantify sedentary behaviours and physical activity levels in people with fibromyalgia^{12 13 35-38}, to our knowledge, there are

1
2
3 no previous studies showing the prevalence of meeting the physical activity recommendations
4
5 in women with fibromyalgia, which hamper between study comparisons. Mcloughlin et al.¹³
6
7 measured physical activity with accelerometry in 26 female fibromyalgia patients aged
8
9 42.7±12 years, yet they did not show the prevalence of meeting the recommendations. They
10
11 showed however that time spent at moderate intensity using the same intensity threshold as
12
13 used in the present study³¹ was 15±8 minutes/day which is on average ~35 minutes lower
14
15 (using the 51-75 years age group as a reference group) than the time observed in the present
16
17 study. Kaleth et al.¹² also measured physical activity with accelerometry in 30 fibromyalgia
18
19 patients (27 women), but unfortunately, they did not show physical activity estimates.
20
21 Kashikar-Zuck et al.³⁸ measured physical activity with accelerometry in a juvenile primary
22
23 fibromyalgia syndrome group of adolescents and showed that only 23% achieved 30
24
25 minutes/day of MVPA, and that only 1 patient achieved the recommended levels of physical
26
27 activity for their age, that is 60 minutes/day of MVPA^{20 21}. Data coming from apparently
28
29 healthy women showed lower rates of meeting the recommendation than those observed in
30
31 the present study. Hagströmer et al.²⁹ reported that 48% of a representative sample of
32
33 Swedish women accumulated 30 minutes/day of MVPA, whereas Troiano et al.²⁸ showed
34
35 that less than 5% of a representative sample of women from U.S (2003–2004 National Health
36
37 and Nutritional Examination Survey) met the physical activity recommendations.
38
39 Methodological procedures used to measure physical activity may partially explain these
40
41 differences. Several methodological differences can be noted between our study and the
42
43 American study: First, whereas in our study all women had 7 valid days with at least 10 hours
44
45 of registered time during waking hours, in the American study, only 26% of the total sample
46
47 (adolescent included) had 7 valid days. Of note is that the American study included
48
49 participants with just with one or more valid days when calculated population adherence
50
51 estimates; second, while we did not include in the analysis the first day of recording to avoid
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 any source of reactivity, the American study included all measured days. Besides the
4 mentioned methodological difference, it cannot be discarded that cultural differences might
5 also explain the observed discrepancies between our study and the American study.
6
7
8

9
10 Sedentary behaviours refer to those activities that do not increase resting energy
11 expenditure substantially, that is, no more than 1.5 times resting energy expenditure³⁹. These
12 activities involved sitting, reclining and lying down such as watching television, studying,
13 reading, etc. In the present study we observed that women spend on average 10 hours/day
14 (~71%) of their waking time in sedentary activities, which is similar to that observed in
15 Portuguese women⁴⁰, and slightly higher than American and Swedish women (about 7-8
16 hours)²⁹. McLoughlin et al.¹³ also measured sedentary time with accelerometry, yet data are
17 not comparable with our study because they included sleeping time as a sedentary activity.
18 They reported that women with fibromyalgia spend 1,154±59 minutes/day at sedentary
19 behaviours, which together with the registered time in other physical activity intensities
20 summed ~1,440 min, which is a full day. We observed no association of sedentary time with
21 any of the study demographic factors, which concur with the Swedish study by Hagströmer et
22 al.²⁹. Similarly, women with a higher severity of the disease (FIQ≥70) showed similar
23 sedentary patterns as those with a FIQ<70, which concur with the findings reported by
24 McLoughlin et al.¹³.
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42

43 In our study, women with fibromyalgia spend less time (~10 minutes/day) on MVPA
44 and on sedentary time (~22 minutes/day) during weekends compared with weekdays. These
45 findings are in agreement with other study⁴¹. The observed physical activity reduction during
46 weekends could be partially explained by a reduced transport-related physical activity when
47 commuting to or from work, whereas the reduction of sedentary time could be due to a
48 reduced work-related sitting time. More studies quantifying and characterizing physical
49 activity and sedentary patterns during weekends and weekdays are needed.
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 The present study has several limitations. The cross-sectional design of our study does
4 not allow establishing any causal relationship. The sample is of convenience and includes the
5 known limitations of all non-probability samples, including less representativeness and
6 unknown levels of sampling error. Further studies involving randomly recruited patients with
7 fibromyalgia are needed. Of note is also the relatively low response rate (29%). We cannot
8 discard that women who accepted to participate in this study are those more aware of the
9 importance of having an active lifestyle, which may have influenced the results. It should also
10 be mentioned that the accelerometer underestimates physical activities that involve upper
11 body movement, those with minimal vertical displacement such as cycling, water-based
12 activities such as swimming, and it does not capture well the extra energy cost of load-bearing
13 activities, such as walking while carrying a backpack. Nevertheless, walking is the most
14 prevalent leisure-time physical activity among women with fibromyalgia¹⁵, and is likely the
15 type of activity they do at work and for transportation. We used the same cut-points for all
16 ages and BMI levels as suggested elsewhere²⁹. Use of a single cut point for all ages and BMI
17 levels may however lead to an underestimate of moderate-intensity activity for the older and
18 heavier group by not accounting for the decline in exercise capacity with age and weight. We
19 do not present data on vigorous physical activity because the time spent at this intensity
20 ranged from 0 to 2 min (see table 1, subtract moderate intensity to MVPA). On average,
21 ~98% of the time spend at MVPA is moderate-intensity physical activity. This is consistent
22 with the available clinical knowledge on this population, and concur with data from
23 apparently healthy women from Sweden²⁹. The observed low levels of vigorous physical
24 activity could also suggest that the cut point for this intensity was too high, thereby missing
25 many minutes of activity in our population that should have been classified as vigorous
26 physical activity. Unfortunately, we have no data on an age- and culturally-matched group of
27 healthy women, so that direct comparison cannot be made. McLoughlin et al.¹³ observed that

1
2
3 female fibromyalgia patients (n=26) were less active than a group of healthy women (n=26),
4
5 yet the healthy group was younger and had higher level of education than the patients group.
6
7 Despite the number of participants in the present study is relatively small, to date, this is the
8
9 largest series described in adults. We do not know whether these findings apply to men,
10
11 therefore, future studies should quantify both sedentary time and physical activity in this
12
13 group of patients. We ⁴² and others ⁴³ observed gender differences in patients with
14
15 fibromyalgia, therefore studies focused on examining gender differences on sedentary time
16
17 and physical activity will provide further insights on whether preventive and interventions
18
19 strategies should be gender-specific.
20
21

22
23 One of the strengths of the present study was however the strict standardization of
24
25 methodology used to measure physical activity, and the fact that all women were compliant
26
27 with the measurements procedures. All women had 7 valid days with at least 10 hours of
28
29 registered time during waking hours. Indeed, the mean daily accelerometer wear time was
30
31 14±1.8 hours/day. We do not know whether women modified their habitual sedentary
32
33 behavior or physical activity during the days they were monitored despite they were advised
34
35 to keep on with their normal life. To avoid any kind of immediate reactivity, we removed
36
37 from the analysis the first day of monitoring.
38
39

40
41 Modifiable lifestyle factors, such as physical activity, may have a great potential as a
42
43 public health instrument to prevent and contribute to the treatment of fibromyalgia.
44
45 Longitudinal studies are also needed to further understand the predictive value of sedentary
46
47 behaviours and physical activity over the course of the disease, and whether preventive
48
49 strategies should start at the early stages of the disease development. To have an objective
50
51 estimate of the patient's sedentary behaviour as well as the engagement in physical activity
52
53 could be used as a potential tool to increase the effectiveness of treatment approaches as well
54
55 as to reduce disability and enhance quality of life in people with fibromyalgia. Indeed,
56
57

1
2
3 Fontaine et al.⁴⁴ observed that accumulating 30 minutes of moderate-intensity physical
4
5 activity throughout the day produces clinically relevant changes in perceived physical
6
7 function and pain in previously minimally active adults with fibromyalgia.
8

9
10 In summary, these data provide an objective measure of amount of time spent in
11
12 sedentary activities and in physical activity in women with fibromyalgia. These estimates can
13
14 be used for comparisons with other rheumatologic diseases, as baseline reference levels for
15
16 monitoring, and to assess the effectiveness of intervention strategies promoting physical
17
18 activity in women with fibromyalgia.
19

20 21 22 **Acknowledgments**

23
24 The authors gratefully acknowledge all women for their collaboration. We also acknowledge
25
26 the AGRAFIM (association of fibromyalgia from Granada, southern Spain) members
27
28 involved in the field work for their effort and great enthusiasm.
29
30
31

32 33 **Funding**

34
35 This study was supported by the Consejería de Turismo, Comercio y Deporte (CTCD-
36
37 201000019242-TRA), the Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation (I+D+I DEP2010-
38
39 15639, grants: BES-2009-013442, BES-2011-047133, RYC-2010-05957, RYC-2011-09011),
40
41 the Spanish Ministry of Education (AP-2009-3173 and AP2010-0963), Granada Research of
42
43 Excellence Initiative on Biohealth (GREIB), Campus BioTic, University of Granada, Spain
44
45 and the European University of Madrid, Escuela de Estudios Universitarios Real Madrid
46
47 (2010/04RM).
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Author contributions

JRR: Conception and design of the study, data collection, statistical analysis and interpretation of data, drafting the article

VSJ: Data collection, interpretation of data, and revising the article critically for important intellectual content

FOP: Conception and design of the study, interpretation of data, and revising the article critically for important intellectual content

IAG: Data collection, interpretation of data, and revising the article critically for important intellectual content

DCM: Data collection, interpretation of data, and revising the article critically for important intellectual content

VAA: Conception and design of the study, data collection, interpretation of data, and revising the article critically for important intellectual content

ACB: Conception and design of the study, data collection, interpretation of data, and revising the article critically for important intellectual content

PF: Statistical analysis, interpretation of data, and revising the article critically for important intellectual content

DMI: Interpretation of data, and revising the article critically for important intellectual content

MDF: Conception and design of the study, data collection, interpretation of data, and revising the article critically for important intellectual content

REFERENCES

1. Bennett RM. Clinical manifestations and diagnosis of fibromyalgia. *Rheum Dis Clin North Am* 2009;35(2):215-32.
2. Wilson HD, Robinson JP, Turk DC. Toward the identification of symptom patterns in people with fibromyalgia. *Arthritis Rheum* 2009;61(4):527-34.
3. Branco JC, Bannwarth B, Failde I, Abello Carbonell J, Blotman F, Spaeth M, et al. Prevalence of fibromyalgia: a survey in five European countries. *Semin Arthritis Rheum* 2010;39(6):448-53.
4. Thomas EN, Blotman F. Aerobic exercise in fibromyalgia: a practical review. *Rheumatol Int* 2010;30(9):1143-50.
5. Busch AJ, Webber SC, Brachaniec M, Bidonde J, Bello-Haas VD, Danyliw AD, et al. Exercise therapy for fibromyalgia. *Curr Pain Headache Rep* 2011;15(5):358-67.
6. Kelley GA, Kelley KS, Hootman JM, Jones DL. Exercise and global well-being in community-dwelling adults with fibromyalgia: a systematic review with meta-analysis. *BMC Public Health* 2010;10:198.
7. Hauser W, Klose P, Langhorst J, Moradi B, Steinbach M, Schiltenswolf M, et al. Efficacy of different types of aerobic exercise in fibromyalgia syndrome: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. *Arthritis Res Ther* 2010;12(3):R79.
8. Brosseau L, Wells GA, Tugwell P, Egan M, Wilson KG, Dubouloz CJ, et al. Ottawa Panel evidence-based clinical practice guidelines for aerobic fitness exercises in the management of fibromyalgia: part 1. *Phys Ther* 2008;88(7):857-71.
9. Blair SN. Physical inactivity: the biggest public health problem of the 21st century. *Br J Sports Med* 2009;43(1):1-2.

- 1
2
3 10. Matthews CE, George SM, Moore SC, Bowles HR, Blair A, Park Y, et al. Amount of time
4
5 spent in sedentary behaviors and cause-specific mortality in US adults. *Am J Clin Nutr*
6
7 2012;95(2):437-45.
8
- 9
10 11. Thorp AA, Owen N, Neuhaus M, Dunstan DW. Sedentary behaviors and subsequent
11
12 health outcomes in adults a systematic review of longitudinal studies, 1996-2011. *Am*
13
14 *J Prev Med* 2011;41(2):207-15.
15
- 16 12. Kaleth AS, Ang DC, Chakr R, Tong Y. Validity and reliability of community health
17
18 activities model program for seniors and short-form international physical activity
19
20 questionnaire as physical activity assessment tools in patients with fibromyalgia.
21
22 *Disabil Rehabil* 2010;32(5):353-9.
23
- 24 13. McLoughlin MJ, Colbert LH, Stegner AJ, Cook DB. Are women with fibromyalgia less
25
26 physically active than healthy women? *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2011;43(5):905-12.
27
28
- 29 14. Munguia-Izquierdo D, Legaz-Arrese A, Mannerkorpi K. Transcultural adaptation and
30
31 psychometric properties of a Spanish-language version of physical activity
32
33 instruments for patients with fibromyalgia. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2011;92(2):284-
34
35 94.
36
37
- 38 15. Mannerkorpi K, Hernelid C. Leisure Time Physical Activity Instrument and Physical
39
40 Activity at Home and Work Instrument. Development, face validity, construct validity
41
42 and test-retest reliability for subjects with fibromyalgia. *Disabil Rehabil*
43
44 2005;27(12):695-701.
45
46
- 47 16. Mork PJ, Vasseljen O, Nilsen TI. Association between physical exercise, body mass
48
49 index, and risk of fibromyalgia: longitudinal data from the Norwegian Nord-
50
51 Trondelag Health Study. *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken)* 2010;62(5):611-7.
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 17. van Poppel MN, Chinapaw MJ, Mokkink LB, van Mechelen W, Terwee CB. Physical
4
5 activity questionnaires for adults: a systematic review of measurement properties.
6
7 *Sports Med* 2010;40(7):565-600.
8
- 9
10 18. Freedson P, Bowles HR, Troiano R, Haskell W. Assessment of physical activity using
11
12 wearable monitors: recommendations for monitor calibration and use in the field. *Med*
13
14 *Sci Sports Exerc* 2012;44(1 Suppl 1):S1-4.
15
- 16
17 19. Carbonell-Baeza A, Ruiz JR, Aparicio VA, Ortega FB, Munguia-Izquierdo D, Alvarez-
18
19 Gallardo IC, et al. Land- and water-based exercise intervention in women with
20
21 fibromyalgia: the al-Andalus physical activity randomised control trial. *BMC*
22
23 *Musculoskelet Disord* 2012;13(1):18.
24
- 25
26 20. WHO. Global Recommendations on Physical Activity for Health. World Health Organ
27
28 publications. Geneva, Switzerland. 2010.
- 29
30 21. U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES. Physical Activity and
31
32 Health: A Report of the Surgeon General. Atlanta, GA: U.S. Department of Health and
33
34 Human Services, Centres for Disease Control and Prevention, National Centre for
35
36 Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion.
37
38 (<http://www.cdc.gov/nccdphp/sgr/sgr.htm>, accessed 11 March 2008).
39
- 40
41 22. Wolfe F, Smythe HA, Yunus MB, Bennett RM, Bombardier C, Goldenberg DL, et al. The
42
43 American College of Rheumatology 1990 Criteria for the Classification of
44
45 Fibromyalgia. Report of the Multicenter Criteria Committee. *Arthritis Rheum*
46
47 1990;33(2):160-72.
48
- 49
50 23. Cardinal BJ, Esters J, Cardinal MK. Evaluation of the revised physical activity readiness
51
52 questionnaire in older adults. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 1996;28(4):468-72.
53
- 54
55 24. Rodriguez FA. Spanish version of the Physical Activity Readiness Questionnaire (C-
56
57 AAF/rPAR-Q)]. *Arch Med Deporte* 1996;13(51):63-68.
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 25. Rivera J, Gonzalez T. The Fibromyalgia Impact Questionnaire: a validated Spanish
4
5 version to assess the health status in women with fibromyalgia. *Clin Exp Rheumatol*
6
7 2004;22(5):554-60.
8
9
10 26. Burckhardt CS, Clark SR, Bennett RM. The fibromyalgia impact questionnaire:
11
12 development and validation. *J Rheumatol* 1991;18(5):728-33.
13
14 27. von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, Pocock SJ, Gotsche PC, Vandenbroucke JP. The
15
16 Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE)
17
18 statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies. *Ann Intern Med*
19
20 2007;147(8):573-7.
21
22
23 28. Troiano RP, Berrigan D, Dodd KW, Masse LC, Tilert T, McDowell M. Physical activity
24
25 in the United States measured by accelerometer. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*
26
27 2008;40(1):181-8.
28
29
30 29. Hagstromer M, Oja P, Sjostrom M. Physical activity and inactivity in an adult population
31
32 assessed by accelerometry. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2007;39(9):1502-8.
33
34 30. Matthews CE, Chen KY, Freedson PS, Buchowski MS, Beech BM, Pate RR, et al.
35
36 Amount of time spent in sedentary behaviors in the United States, 2003-2004. *Am J*
37
38 *Epidemiol* 2008;167(7):875-81.
39
40
41 31. Freedson PS, Melanson E, Sirard J. Calibration of the Computer Science and
42
43 Applications, Inc. accelerometer. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 1998;30(5):777-81.
44
45 32. Bennett R. The Fibromyalgia Impact Questionnaire (FIQ): a review of its development,
46
47 current version, operating characteristics and uses. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 2005;23(5
48
49 Suppl 39):S154-62.
50
51
52 33. Bennett RM, Bushmakina AG, Cappelleri JC, Zlateva G, Sadosky AB. Minimal clinically
53
54 important difference in the fibromyalgia impact questionnaire. *J Rheumatol*
55
56 2009;36(6):1304-11.
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 34. Aparicio VA, Ortega FB, Carbonell-Baeza A, Camiletti D, Ruiz JR, Delgado-Fernandez
4
5 M. Relationship of weight status with mental and physical health in female
6
7 fibromyalgia patients. *Obes Facts* 2011;4(6):443-8.
8
9
10 35. Kop WJ, Lyden A, Berlin AA, Ambrose K, Olsen C, Gracely RH, et al. Ambulatory
11
12 monitoring of physical activity and symptoms in fibromyalgia and chronic fatigue
13
14 syndrome. *Arthritis Rheum* 2005;52(1):296-303.
15
16 36. Korszun A, Young EA, Engleberg NC, Brucksch CB, Greden JF, Crofford LA. Use of
17
18 actigraphy for monitoring sleep and activity levels in patients with fibromyalgia and
19
20 depression. *J Psychosom Res* 2002;52(6):439-43.
21
22 37. Ellingson LD, Shields MR, Stegner AJ, Cook DB. Physical activity, sustained sedentary
23
24 behavior, and pain modulation in women with fibromyalgia. *J Pain* 2012;13(2):195-
25
26 206.
27
28 38. Kashikar-Zuck S, Flowers SR, Verkamp E, Ting TV, Lynch-Jordan AM, Graham TB, et
29
30 al. Actigraphy-based physical activity monitoring in adolescents with juvenile primary
31
32 fibromyalgia syndrome. *J Pain* 2010;11(9):885-93.
33
34 39. Pate RR, O'Neill JR, Lobelo F. The evolving definition of "sedentary". *Exerc Sport Sci*
35
36
37
38
39
40
41 40. Baptista F, Santos DA, Silva AM, Mota J, Santos R, Vale S, et al. Prevalence of the
42
43 Portuguese population attaining sufficient physical activity. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*
44
45 2012;44(3):466-73.
46
47 41. Cooper AR, Page A, Fox KR, Misson J. Physical activity patterns in normal, overweight
48
49 and obese individuals using minute-by-minute accelerometry. *Eur J Clin Nutr*
50
51 2000;54(12):887-94.
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 42. Aparicio VA, Ortega FB, Carbonell-Baeza A, Tercedor P, Ruiz JR, Delgado-Fernandez
4
5 M. Are there gender differences in quality of life and fibromyalgia symptomatology?
6
7 *Am J Mens Health* In press.
8
9
10 43. Miro E, Diener FN, Martinez MP, Sanchez AI, Valenza MC. [Fibromyalgia in men and
11
12 women: comparison of the main clinical symptoms]. *Psicothema* 2012;24(1):10-5.
13
14 44. Fontaine KR, Conn L, Clauw DJ. Effects of lifestyle physical activity on perceived
15
16 symptoms and physical function in adults with fibromyalgia: results of a randomized
17
18 trial. *Arthritis Res Ther* 2010;12(2):R55.
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Table 1. Sedentary time and physical activity levels in women with fibromyalgia, by age group, body mass index, waist circumference and percentage body fat category, years since clinical diagnosis, fibromyalgia impact, marital status, educational level and occupational status^a.

	Sedentary (hours/day)			Total PA (counts/minutes)			Moderate PA (min/day)			MVPA (min/day)		
	N	Mean	95% CI	Mean	95% CI	Mean	95% CI	Mean	95% CI	Mean	95% CI	
Age groups (years)												
18-50	41	10.0	9.6 10.5	222	196 248	51	43 59	52	44 60			
51-75	52	9.9	9.5 10.3	219	195 242	50	43 58	52	44 59			
P value			0.65		0.86		0.96		0.98			
Body mass index ^b category (kg/m ²)												
< 25	29	10.3	9.7 10.8	229	199 260	56	47 65	58	48 67			
25-30	34	9.5	9.0 10.0	235	207 263	53	44 61	54	45 63			
> 30	27	10.1	9.6 10.7	195	162 227	42	32 52	43	33 54			
P for trend			0.63		0.14		0.056		0.051			
Waist circumference category (cm)												
≤80	44	10.2	9.7 10.6	233	208 257	54	47 62	56	48 64			
>80	46	9.7	9.3 10.2	209	185 234	47	39 54	48	40 56			
P value			0.157		0.187		0.155		0.139			
Body fat (%)												
< 30	13	10.6	9.8 11.4	210	164 256	48	34 63	50	35 65			
≥ 30	76	9.8	9.5 10.2	223	204 242	51	45 57	52	46 58			
P value			0.93		0.605		0.709		0.774			
Years since clinical diagnosis												
≤ 5 years	47	9.9	9.5 10.3	224	200 248	53	46 61	54	47 62			
> 5 years	45	9.8	9.3 10.2	219	194 244	49	41 56	50	43 58			
P value			0.650		0.765		0.420		0.489			
Fibromyalgia severity (score) ^c												
< 70	42	9.9	9.4 10.3	226	200 251	51	43 60	53	45 61			
≥ 70	50	10.0	9.6 10.5	215	191 238	49	42 57	50	43 58			
P value			0.632		0.546		0.725		0.636			
Marital status												

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49

Married	72	9.9	9.6	10.3	217	198	237	50	43	56	51	44	57
Unmarried	22	10.0	9.4	10.7	229	194	264	54	43	65	56	44	67
P value			0.710			0.564			0.510			0.436	
Educational level													
Below university degree	71	9.9	9.6	10.3	222	203	242	51	45	57	53	46	59
University degree	22	10.2	9.5	10.8	218	182	253	50	39	61	51	40	63
P value			0.519			0.814			0.791			0.830	
Occupational status													
Working	29	9.8	9.3	10.3	222	196	247	51	43	59	52	44	60
Unemployed	41	10.1	9.6	10.7	236	205	266	55	45	64	57	47	66
Retired	24	10.0	9.3	10.6	197	163	232	45	34	56	46	35	57
P value			0.619			0.107			0.187			0.159	

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; PA, physical activity; MVPA, moderate- to vigorous-intensity physical activity.

^a Estimates and P values were adjusted for registered time.

^b Weight (kg)/height (m)².

^c Assessed with the Fibromyalgia Impact Questionnaire.

Analyses were conducted with physical activity and sedentary outcome variables logarithmically transformed to obtain a normal distribution, yet crude values are presented in the table for easier interpretation.

Table 2. Prevalence of meeting the physical activity recommendations (30 min/day of moderate-to-vigorous-intensity physical activity) on at least 5 days a week.

	N	%	95%CI		Frequency
Age groups (years)					
18-50	41	68.3	51.9	81.9	28
51-75	52	53.8	39.5	67.8	28
Body mass index category (kg/m ²)					
< 25	29	65.5	45.7	82.1	19
25-30	34	67.6	49.5	82.6	23
> 30	27	48.1	28.7	68.1	13
Waist circumference category (cm)					
≤80	44	68.2	52.4	81.4	30
>80	46	54.3	39.0	69.1	25
Body fat (%)					
< 30	13	61.5	31.6	86.1	8
≥ 30	76	61.8	50.0	72.8	47
Years since clinical diagnosis					
≤ 5 years	47	70.2	55.1	82.7	33
> 5 years	45	53.3	37.9	68.3	24
Fibromyalgia severity					
< 70	42	66.7	50.5	80.4	28
≥ 70	50	58	43.2	71.8	29
Marital status					
Married	72	61.1	48.9	72.4	44
Unmarried	22	59.1	36.4	79.3	13
Educational level					
Below university degree	71	62.0	49.7	73.2	44
University degree	22	59.1	0.0	0.0	13
Occupational status					
Working	29	62.1	42.3	79.3	18
Unemployed	41	68.3	51.9	81.9	28
Retired	24	45.8	25.6	67.2	11
All	94	60.6	52.5	73.2	57

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval (expressed in %).

^a Assessed with the Fibromyalgia Impact Questionnaire.

Table 3. Odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (CI) of meeting the physical activity recommendations (30 min/day of moderate-to-vigorous-intensity physical activity, 5 of 7 days) by age group, body mass index, waist circumference and percentage body fat category, years since clinical diagnosis, marital status, educational level and occupational status.

	OR	95% CI		P value
Age groups (years)				
18-50	Ref.			
51-75	0.542	0.231	1.273	0.160
Body mass index category (kg/m ²)				
> 30	Ref.			
25-30	2.252	0.794	6.385	0.127
< 25	2.046	0.698	5.997	0.192
Waist circumference category (cm)				
≤80	Ref.			
>80	0.556	0.235	1.312	0.180
Body fat category (%)				
< 30	Ref.			
≥ 30	0.987	0.297	3.309	0.983
Years since clinical diagnosis				
≤ 5 years	Ref.			
> 5 years	0.485	0.206	1.142	0.098
Fibromyalgia severity (score)*				
< 70	Ref.			
≥ 70	0.690	0.294	1.620	0.395
Marital status				
Married	Ref.			
Unmarried	0.919	0.347	2.432	0.865
Educational level				
Below university degree	Ref.			
University degree	0.886	0.334	2.351	0.809
Occupational status				
Retired	Ref.			
Unemployed	2.545	0.902	7.187	0.078
Working	1.934	0.645	5.803	0.239

Abbreviations: Ref., Reference group.

* Assessed with the Fibromyalgia Impact Questionnaire.

Table 4. Sedentary time and physical activity levels during weekdays (Monday to Friday) and during weekends (Saturday and Sunday) in women with fibromyalgia.

	Week		Weekend		Difference		
	mean	sd	mean	sd	mean	95% CI	P value
Sedentary (hours/day)	10.0	2.2	9.6	2.5	0.4	0.0 0.8	0.051
Total PA (counts/min)	225.1	88.5	201.0	98.0	24.0	7.8 40.2	0.004
Moderate PA (min/day)	53.1	28.4	42.6	28.0	10.5	5.5 15.5	<0.001
MVPA (min/day)	54.3	29.1	43.8	29.7	10.6	5.5 15.6	<0.001

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; PA, physical activity; MVPA, moderate-to-vigorous-intensity physical activity; sd, Standard deviation.

Analyses were conducted with physical activity and sedentary outcome variables logarithmically transformed to obtain a normal distribution, yet crude values are presented in the table for easier interpretation.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Figure legend

Figure 1. Sedentary time and physical activity (PA) mean time by week and weekend days.

For peer review only

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 **Objectively measured sedentary time and physical activity in women with fibromyalgia;**

8
9 **A cross-sectional study**

10
11
12
13
14
15
16 Jonatan R Ruiz^{1*}, Víctor Segura-Jiménez², Francisco B Ortega¹, Inmaculada C Álvarez-
17 Gallardo¹, Daniel Camiletti-Moirón^{2,3}, Virginia A Aparicio^{2,3}, Ana Carbonell-Baeza^{2,4}, Pedro
18 Femia⁵, Diego Munguía-Izquierdo⁶, Manuel Delgado-Fernández²

19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27 ¹ PROFITH “PROmoting FITness and Health through physical activity” research group,
28 Department of Physical Education and Sports, Faculty of Sport Sciences, University of
29 Granada, Spain.

30
31
32
33
34 ²Department of Physical Education and Sport, School of Sport Sciences, University of
35 Granada, Granada, Spain

36
37
38 ³Department of Physiology, School of Pharmacy, University of Granada, Granada, Spain

39
40
41 ⁴Department of Physical Education, School of Education, University of Cadiz, Cadiz, Spain

42
43
44 ⁵Department of Biostatistics, School of Medicine, University of Granada, Granada, Spain

45
46
47 ⁶Department of Sports and Informatics. Section of Physical education and Sports. Faculty of
48 Sport. University Pablo de Olavide, Seville, Spain

49
50 ***Address for correspondence:** Jonatan R. Ruiz, PhD. Department of Physical Education and
51 Sport, School of Sport Sciences. University of Granada, Granada, Spain; ruizj@ugr.es

ARTICLE SUMMARY

Article focus:

- To characterize the levels of objectively measured sedentary time and physical activity (using accelerometry) in women with fibromyalgia.
- To provide estimates of the adherence to recommended levels of physical activity assessed by accelerometry (30 minutes of moderate-to-vigorous-intensity physical activity on 5 of 7 days).

Key Messages

- Over 60% of women with fibromyalgia meet the physical activity recommendations, that is, 30 min/day of moderate-to-vigorous-intensity physical activity on 5 or more days a week.
- These women spent about 71% (approximately 10 hours/day) of their waking time in activities that expend little energy.
- Women with fibromyalgia spent on average 10 min less of moderate-to-vigorous-intensity physical activity and 22 min less of sedentary behaviours during weekends compared with weekdays.

Strengths and Limitations

- Strict standardization of the methodology used to measure physical activity and the fact that all women were compliant with the measurements procedures is a strength.
- All women had 7 valid days with at least 10 hours of registered time during waking hours.
- To avoid any kind of immediate reactivity, we removed from the analysis the first day of monitoring.

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
- The cross-sectional design of our study does not allow however establishing any causal relationships. The sample is of convenience, which includes the known limitations of all **non-probability samples**.
 - The accelerometer underestimates physical activities that involve upper body movement, those with minimal vertical displacement such as cycling, water-based activities such as swimming. Moreover, it does not capture well the extra energy cost of load-bearing activities such **as walking while carrying a backpack**.

ABSTRACT

Objectives: To characterize levels of objectively measured sedentary time and physical activity in women with fibromyalgia.

Design: Cross-sectional study.

Setting: Local Association of Fibromyalgia (Granada, Spain).

Participants: The study comprised 94 women with diagnosed fibromyalgia who did not have other severe somatic or psychiatric disorders, or other diseases that prevent physical loading, able to ambulate and to communicate, and capable and willing to provide informed consent.

Primary outcome measures: Sedentary time and physical activity was measured by accelerometry and was expressed as time spent in sedentary behaviours, total physical activity (counts/minute) and amount of time (minutes/day) spent in moderate-intensity and in moderate-to vigorous-intensity physical activity (MVPA).

Results: The proportion of women meeting the physical activity recommendations of 30 min/day of MVPA on 5 or more days a week was 60.6%. Women spent on average 71% of their waking time (approximately 10 hours/day) in sedentary behaviours. Both sedentary behaviour and physical activity levels were similar across age groups, waist circumference and percentage body fat categories, years since clinical diagnosis, marital status, educational level, occupational status, as well as regardless the severity of the disease (all $P > 0.1$). Time spent at moderate-intensity physical activity and MVPA was however lower in those with greater BMI (-6.6 min and -7 min respectively, per BMI category increase, <25, 25-30, >30 kg/m²; P values for trend were 0.056 and 0.051 respectively). Women spent on average 10 min less on MVPA ($P < 0.001$) and 22 min less on sedentary behaviours during weekends compared with weekdays ($P = 0.051$).

Conclusions: These data provide an objective measure of the amount of time spent in sedentary activities and in physical activity in women with fibromyalgia.

1
2
3 Extra data is available by emailing ruizj@ugr.es
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

For peer review only

INTRODUCTION

Fibromyalgia is a pain regulation-related disorder ¹. Patients usually present an increased sensitivity to painful stimuli (hyperalgesia) and lowered pain threshold (allodynia). In addition to pain, fibromyalgia symptoms typically include severe fatigue, sleep disturbances, paresthesia of extremities, depression, anxiety, joint stiffness, and memory and cognitive difficulties ^{1 2}. Fibromyalgia is becoming a common syndrome in Western European countries, and estimates indicate a point prevalence of 2.9% which translates to approximately 6 million people with fibromyalgia ³.

There is increasing evidence about the potential benefits of regular physical activity on fibromyalgia-related symptoms ⁴⁻⁷, and International organizations supports the use of physical activity-based interventions as a complementary tool in the therapeutic armamentarium against fibromyalgia ⁸. Physical inactivity is one of the major public health problems of the 21st century ⁹, and several longitudinal studies showed the negative consequences for health of a sedentary lifestyle ^{10 11}.

The average amount of daily sedentary time as well as physical activity in women with fibromyalgia is rather unknown, and the available information is mainly questionnaire-based ¹²⁻¹⁶. However, physical activities are difficult to recall, quantify and categorize ¹⁷, and it might be even more complex in people with memory and cognitive difficulties such as fibromyalgia patients ¹⁴. Given the limitations of self-report methods, accelerometry (i.e. movement sensors) has become the method of choice for objectively measuring physical activity in free-living conditions ¹⁸. To have an objective diagnosis of the sedentary time as well as of the physical activity levels in patients with fibromyalgia is of public health and of clinical interest, and might be informative for developing intervention studies directed to the promotion of physical activity in women with fibromyalgia ¹⁹.

1
2
3 The purpose of the present study was to characterize the levels of objectively
4 measured (using accelerometry) sedentary time and physical activity among women with
5 fibromyalgia, and to provide estimates of the adherence to recommended levels of physical
6 activity [30 minutes of moderate-to-vigorous-intensity physical activity (MVPA) on 5 of 7
7 days] ^{20 21} .
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

For peer review only

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Study participants

We sent a formal invitation to participate in the study to all members (n=400) of a Local Association of Fibromyalgia (Granada, Spain). A total of 116 patients responded (response rate 29%), and gave their written informed consent after receiving detailed information about the aims and study procedures. Participants were included in the study if: (i) they met the diagnosis of fibromyalgia according to the American College of Rheumatology criteria²² (widespread pain for more than 3 months, and pain with 4 kg/cm² of pressure reported for 11 or more of 18 tender points), (ii) did not have other severe somatic or psychiatric disorders, or other diseases that prevent physical loading (answer “no” to all questions on the Physical Activity Readiness Questionnaire-PAR-Q^{23 24}, (iii) were able to ambulate and to communicate, (iv) and were capable and willing to provide informed consent. Men were not included in the study (n=6), and women with incomplete physical activity data (n=5) or technical errors in the instrument (n=11) were excluded. A final sample of 94 women with fibromyalgia participated in the study. Age, weight and height, and fibromyalgia severity (assessed by the fibromyalgia impact questionnaire, FIQ)^{25 26} was similar between the included and excluded participants (all P>0.1). The study protocol was reviewed and approved by the Ethics Committee of the Hospital Virgen de las Nieves (Granada, Spain). The STROBE guidelines were followed during the course of the research²⁷.

Measurements

Women were interviewed in the Association of Fibromyalgia (Granada, Spain). They were asked to wear an accelerometer (ActigraphTM GT1M, Pensacola, FL, USA) for 9 consecutive days starting the same day they received the monitor. The accelerometer was carried over the whole day (24 hrs) except during water-based activities such as bathing or swimming.

1
2
3 Accelerometers were initialized as described by the manufacturer, and data were recorded in 5
4 seconds epochs. Women wore the device on the lower back, secured with an elastic belt,
5 underneath clothing, near to the center of gravity. The data were downloaded onto a computer
6 using the manufacturer software. Data reduction, cleaning and analyses were performed using
7 the MAHUFFe program (see [http://www.mrc-
8 epid.cam.ac.uk/Research/Programmes/Programme_5/InDepth/Programme%205_Downloads.
9 html](http://www.mrc-epid.cam.ac.uk/Research/Programmes/Programme_5/InDepth/Programme%205_Downloads.html)).

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19 Monitor wearing time was calculated by subtracting the sleeping reported time
20 (recorded through a diary) from the total registered time for the entire day (i.e. 1440 minutes).
21
22 Bouts of 60 continuous minutes of 0 activity intensity counts were also excluded from the
23 analysis, considering these periods as non-wearing time²⁸. There was no allowance for any
24 minute with counts between 0-100 in the non-wear periods. A recording of more than 20,000
25 counts per minute (cpm) was considered as a potential malfunction of the accelerometer and
26 the value was excluded from the analyses^{28 29}. The first and last days of recording were not
27 included in the analysis. A total of 7 days (full week) of recording with a minimum of 10 or
28 more hours of registration per day was necessary to be included in the study analysis.
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37

38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Sedentary time was estimated as the amount of time accumulated below 100 cpm during periods of wear time³⁰. Time spent being sedentary was expressed as total duration (hours/day). Physical activity levels were estimated as follows: (i) Total physical activity was expressed as mean cpm, and is a measure of overall physical activity. We calculated mean cpm as the sum of total counts per day divided by the number of minutes of wear time in that day; finally calculating the average of all valid days (n=7). (ii) Time engaged in moderate physical activity. We calculated the time engaged in moderate-intensity physical activity based upon a standardized cut-off of 1952-5724 cpm^{29 31}, where 1952 cpm corresponds to walking at 4 km/hour³¹. (iii) We also calculated the time engaged in MVPA as the amount of

1
2
3 time accumulated ≥ 1952 cpm. Sedentary time, as well as the study physical activity variables
4
5 was calculated for weekdays and weekends. We calculated the proportion of women meeting
6
7 the physical activity recommendations, that is 30 minutes/day of MVPA at least 5 of 7 days²⁰
8
9
10²¹.

11
12 Weight and height were measured following standard procedures with a scale (InBody
13
14 720, Biospace, Seoul, Korea) and a stadiometer (Seca 22, Hamburg, Germany) respectively,
15
16 and body mass index (BMI, weight in kg divided by height in m²) was calculated. Percentage
17
18 body fat was measured with bioelectrical impedance analysis (InBody R20; Biospace,
19
20 Gateshead, UK). Waist circumference was measured at the level of the umbilicus with an
21
22 anthropometric un-elastic tape (Harpenden anthropometric tape Holtain Ltd). Weight status
23
24 groups were based on standard clinical definitions for BMI (normal weight: 18.5-24.9 kg/m²,
25
26 overweight: 25.0-29.9 kg/m², obese: 30.0 kg/m² or higher); percentage body fat (normal:
27
28 <30%; obese: $\geq 30\%$); and waist circumference (normal: ≤ 80.0 cm; abdominal obesity: > 80
29
30 cm). One woman had a BMI below 18.5 kg/m² (18 kg/m²) and was included in the normal
31
32 weight group.
33
34
35

36
37 Fibromyalgia severity was assessed with the fibromyalgia impact questionnaire (FIQ)
38
39^{25 26}. FIQ is composed of ten subscales: physical impairment, overall well-being, work missed,
40
41 job difficulty, pain, fatigue, morning tiredness, stiffness, anxiety and depression. The score of
42
43 each subscale was standardized from 0 to 10. We summed the score of all items, so that the
44
45 total score ranged from 0 to 100, with a higher score indicating greater severity. Women were
46
47 categorized into two groups based on the FIQ total score as FIQ <70 and FIQ ≥ 70 . These
48
49 thresholds corresponds with having moderate or severe fibromyalgia respectively³².
50
51
52
53

54 **Statistical analysis**

55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 All statistical analyses were performed with PASW (Predictive Analytics SoftWare, v. 18.0
4 SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA), and the level of significance was set at $\alpha = 0.05$. Physical
5 activity and sedentary outcome variables were logarithmically transformed to obtain a normal
6 distribution.
7
8
9

10
11 We calculated the estimated means of sedentary time, total physical activity, moderate
12 physical activity and MVPA by age group, BMI and waist circumference categories, years
13 since clinical diagnosis, fibromyalgia severity, marital status, educational level and
14 occupational status, after adjusting for registered time. Linear regression analysis was
15 conducted to examine the association of sedentary time, total physical activity, moderate-
16 intensity physical activity and MVPA (inserted as dependent variables) with age group, BMI,
17 waist circumference and percentage body fat categories, years since clinical diagnosis,
18 fibromyalgia severity and educational level (inserted as independent variables). Independent
19 variables were inserted as ordinal variables. As marital status and occupational status
20 categories were not ordinal variables, we conducted one-way analysis of covariance to
21 determine mean differences in sedentary time and physical activity levels among marital
22 status and occupational status categories. Separate analyses were conducted for each
23 dependent and independent variable. Registered time was entered as confounder in all models.
24 Mean differences of sedentary time, physical activity, moderate physical activity and MVPA
25 levels on week days (Monday to Friday) vs. weekend (Saturday and Sunday) were estimated
26 with one-way analysis of variance for repeated measures.
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46

47 We analysed the association of meeting the physical activity recommendations (≥ 30
48 minutes/day of MVPA on 5 of 7 days a week) with age, waist circumference and percentage
49 body fat, years since clinical diagnosis, fibromyalgia severity, marital status, educational level
50 and using binary logistic regression analysis. Multinomial regression analysis was conducted
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

to examine the association of meeting the physical activity recommendations with BMI and occupational status categories.

For peer review only

RESULTS

All participants had 7 valid days of registration. Mean registered time during waking time was 842±108 minutes/day (~14±1.8 hours). There was no significant association of sedentary time and physical activity with age group, waist circumference and percentage body fat categories, years since clinical diagnosis, fibromyalgia severity, marital status, educational level and occupational status (all P>0.1, Table 1). Levels of moderate-intensity physical activity and MVPA were lower in women with greater BMI ($\hat{\beta}$ = -6.6±3.4 and -7±3.6 min respectively, per BMI category increase (i.e. 18.5-24.9 kg/m², 25.0-29.9 kg/m², and ≥30.0 kg/m²; P values for trend were 0.056 and 0.051 respectively, Table 1). Mean estimates of sedentary time and physical activity intensity levels were similar in women with FIQ <70 compared with those with FIQ ≥70 (all P>0.5, Table 1). For sensitivity analyses, we explored whether the association between physical activity intensity levels and FIQ differ when a different FIQ threshold (FIQ ≥59)³³ was used, yet the findings persisted (data not shown).

The proportion of women meeting the physical activity recommendations by age group, BMI, waist circumference and percentage body fat categories, years since clinical diagnosis, fibromyalgia severity, marital status, educational level and occupational status are shown in Table 2. The proportion of women meeting the physical activity recommendations was 60.6% (n=57, 95%CI: 52.5-73.2%). The OR of meeting the physical activity recommendations were lower, yet not reaching statistical significance, in the oldest group (OR: 0.542, 95%CI: 0.231-1.237, P=0.160), in those with a high waist circumference (OR: 0.556, 95%CI: 0.235-1.312, P=0.180), and in those diagnosed with fibromyalgia more than 5 years ago (OR: 0.485, 95%CI: 0.206-1.142, P=0.098) (Table 3). The OR of meeting the physical activity recommendations was higher, yet not reaching statistical significance, in non-overweight (BMI <25kg/m²) and in the overweight (BMI=25-30kg/m²) group compared with the obese peers (OR: 2.046, 95%CI: 0.698-5.997, P=0.192; OR: 2.252, 95%CI: 0.794-

13

1
2
3 6.385, P=0.127) (Table 4). Unemployed women had also higher OR of meeting the
4
5 recommendations (OR: 2.545, 95%CI: 0.902-7.187, P=0.078). The OR of meeting the
6
7 physical activity recommendations was lower in women with FIQ ≥ 70 (OR: 0.690, 95%CI:
8
9 0.294-1.620, P=0.395). The findings persisted when another suggested FIQ threshold (>59 vs.
10
11 ≥ 59) was used³³ (data not shown). Women spent on average 71% of their waking time
12
13 (approximately 10 hours/day) in sedentary behaviours. The results did not change after
14
15 adjusting for registered time (data not shown).
16
17

18
19 Sedentary time and physical activity levels during weekdays (Monday to Friday) and
20
21 during weekend time (Saturday and Sunday) in women with fibromyalgia are shown in table
22
23 4. Mean levels of physical activity were lower during weekends compared with weekdays
24
25 (mean difference: 24 cpm, 95%CI: 7.8-40.2, P=0.004). Likewise, registered time at moderate-
26
27 intensity physical activity and MVPA was lower during weekends (mean difference: 10.5
28
29 min/day, 95%CI: 5.5-15.5, P<0.001; and 10.6 min/day, 95%CI: 5.5-15.6, P<0.001),
30
31 respectively). Mean levels of sedentary time were also lower during weekends (mean
32
33 difference: 22.6 min/day, 95%CI: 0-45.3, P=0.051). Figure 1 shows the sedentary time and
34
35 physical activity mean time by week and weekend days.
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

DISCUSSION

The main purpose of the present study was to characterize levels of sedentary time and physical activity in women with diagnosed fibromyalgia as well as to describe the adherence to recommended levels of physical activity assessed by accelerometry. Over half of the women (60.6%) met the physical activity recommendations (30 min/day of MVPA on 5 or more days a week). These women spent on average 71% (approximately 10 hours/day) of their waking time in sedentary behaviours, that is, in activities that expend little energy. We observed that both sedentary behaviour and physical activity levels were similar across the study demographic factors as well as regardless the severity of the disease. Women spent on average 10 min less on MVPA and 22 min less on sedentary behaviours during weekends compared with weekdays.

The present study showed that 60.6% of women met the recommendation to accumulate 30 or more min/day of physical activity of MVPA on most days of the week. The variation in meeting the recommendations was not associated with the study demographic factors, and despite the prevalence of meeting the recommendations tend to be lower in the oldest group, in the overweight group and in those with a higher waist circumference, in those with fibromyalgia being diagnosed more than 5 years ago, and in the retired group, the associations were not statistically significant. Time spent at moderate-intensity physical activity and MVPA tended to be however lower in those with greater BMI (-6.6 min and -7 min, respectively, per BMI category increase, <25, 25-30, >30 kg/m²), which concur with studies in healthy adults²⁹. This may have important health implications since obese female fibromyalgia patients seem to have higher levels of pain, anxiety and depression and worse quality of life, as well as lower functional capacity than their normal weight peers³⁴.

Despite several attempts have been made to objectively quantify sedentary behaviours and physical activity levels in people with fibromyalgia^{12 13 35-38}, to our knowledge, there are

1
2
3 no previous studies showing the prevalence of meeting the physical activity recommendations
4
5 in women with fibromyalgia, which hamper between study comparisons. Mcloughlin et al.¹³
6
7 measured physical activity with accelerometry in 26 female fibromyalgia patients aged
8
9 42.7±12 years, yet they did not show the prevalence of meeting the recommendations. They
10
11 showed however that time spent at moderate intensity using the same intensity threshold as
12
13 used in the present study³¹ was 15±8 minutes/day which is on average ~35 minutes lower
14
15 (using the 51-75 years age group as a reference group) than the time observed in the present
16
17 study. Kaleth et al.¹² also measured physical activity with accelerometry in 30 fibromyalgia
18
19 patients (27 women), but unfortunately, they did not show physical activity estimates.
20
21 Kashikar-Zuck et al.³⁸ measured physical activity with accelerometry in a juvenile primary
22
23 fibromyalgia syndrome group of adolescents and showed that only 23% achieved 30
24
25 minutes/day of MVPA, and that only 1 patient achieved the recommended levels of physical
26
27 activity for their age, that is 60 minutes/day of MVPA^{20 21}. Data coming from apparently
28
29 healthy women showed lower rates of meeting the recommendation than those observed in
30
31 the present study. Hagströmer et al.²⁹ reported that 48% of a representative sample of
32
33 Swedish women accumulated 30 minutes/day of MVPA, whereas Troiano et al.²⁸ showed
34
35 that less than 5% of a representative sample of women from U.S (2003–2004 National Health
36
37 and Nutritional Examination Survey) met the physical activity recommendations.
38
39 Methodological procedures used to measure physical activity may partially explain these
40
41 differences. Several methodological differences can be noted between our study and the
42
43 American study: First, whereas in our study all women had 7 valid days with at least 10 hours
44
45 of registered time during waking hours, in the American study, only 26% of the total sample
46
47 (adolescent included) had 7 valid days. Of note is that the American study included
48
49 participants with just with one or more valid days when calculated population adherence
50
51 estimates; second, while we did not include in the analysis the first day of recording to avoid
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 any source of reactivity, the American study included all measured days. Besides the
4
5 mentioned methodological difference, it cannot be discarded that cultural differences might
6
7 also explain the observed discrepancies between our study and the American study.
8

9
10 Sedentary behaviours refer to those activities that do not increase resting energy
11 expenditure substantially, that is, no more than 1.5 times resting energy expenditure³⁹. These
12 activities involved sitting, reclining and lying down such as watching television, studying,
13 reading, etc. In the present study we observed that women spend on average 10 hours/day
14 (~71%) of their waking time in sedentary activities, which is similar to that observed in
15 Portuguese women⁴⁰, and slightly higher than American and Swedish women (about 7-8
16 hours)²⁹. McLoughlin et al.¹³ also measured sedentary time with accelerometry, yet data are
17 not comparable with our study because they included sleeping time as a sedentary activity.
18 They reported that women with fibromyalgia spend 1,154±59 minutes/day at sedentary
19 behaviours, which together with the registered time in other physical activity intensities
20 summed ~1,440 min, which is a full day. We observed no association of sedentary time with
21 any of the study demographic factors, which concur with the Swedish study by Hagströmer et
22 al.²⁹. Similarly, women with a higher severity of the disease (FIQ≥70) showed similar
23 sedentary patterns as those with a FIQ<70, which concur with the findings reported by
24 McLoughlin et al.¹³.

25
26 In our study, women with fibromyalgia spend less time (~10 minutes/day) on MVPA
27 and on sedentary time (~22 minutes/day) during weekends compared with weekdays. These
28 findings are in agreement with other study⁴¹. The observed physical activity reduction during
29 weekends could be partially explained by a reduced transport-related physical activity when
30 commuting to or from work, whereas the reduction of sedentary time could be due to a
31 reduced work-related sitting time. More studies quantifying and characterizing physical
32 activity and sedentary patterns during weekends and weekdays are needed.
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 The present study has several limitations. The cross-sectional design of our study does
4 not allow establishing any causal relationship. The sample is of convenience and includes the
5 known limitations of all non-probability samples, including less representativeness and
6 unknown levels of sampling error. Further studies involving randomly recruited patients with
7 fibromyalgia are needed. Of note is also the relatively low response rate (29%). We cannot
8 discard that women who accepted to participate in this study are those more aware of the
9 importance of having an active lifestyle, which may have influenced the results. It should also
10 be mentioned that the accelerometer underestimates physical activities that involve upper
11 body movement, those with minimal vertical displacement such as cycling, water-based
12 activities such as swimming, and it does not capture well the extra energy cost of load-bearing
13 activities, such as walking while carrying a backpack. Nevertheless, walking is the most
14 prevalent leisure-time physical activity among women with fibromyalgia¹⁵, and is likely the
15 type of activity they do at work and for transportation. We used the same cut-points for all
16 ages and BMI levels as suggested elsewhere²⁹. Use of a single cut point for all ages and BMI
17 levels may however lead to an underestimate of moderate-intensity activity for the older and
18 heavier group by not accounting for the decline in exercise capacity with age and weight. We
19 do not present data on vigorous physical activity because the time spent at this intensity
20 ranged from 0 to 2 min (see table 1, subtract moderate intensity to MVPA). On average,
21 ~98% of the time spend at MVPA is moderate-intensity physical activity. This is consistent
22 with the available clinical knowledge on this population, and concur with data from
23 apparently healthy women from Sweden²⁹. The observed low levels of vigorous physical
24 activity could also suggest that the cut point for this intensity was too high, thereby missing
25 many minutes of activity in our population that should have been classified as vigorous
26 physical activity. Unfortunately, we have no data on an age- and culturally-matched group of
27 healthy women, so that direct comparison cannot be made. McLoughlin et al.¹³ observed that

1
2
3 female fibromyalgia patients (n=26) were less active than a group of healthy women (n=26),
4
5 yet the healthy group was younger and had higher level of education than the patients group.
6
7 Despite the number of participants in the present study is relatively small, to date, this is the
8
9 largest series described in adults. We do not know whether these findings apply to men,
10
11 therefore, future studies should quantify both sedentary time and physical activity in this
12
13 group of patients. We ⁴² and others ⁴³ observed gender differences in patients with
14
15 fibromyalgia, therefore studies focused on examining gender differences on sedentary time
16
17 and physical activity will provide further insights on whether preventive and interventions
18
19 strategies should be gender-specific.
20
21

22
23 One of the strengths of the present study was however the strict standardization of
24
25 methodology used to measure physical activity, and the fact that all women were compliant
26
27 with the measurements procedures. All women had 7 valid days with at least 10 hours of
28
29 registered time during waking hours. Indeed, the mean daily accelerometer wear time was
30
31 14±1.8 hours/day. We do not know whether women modified their habitual sedentary
32
33 behavior or physical activity during the days they were monitored despite they were advised
34
35 to keep on with their normal life. To avoid any kind of immediate reactivity, we removed
36
37 from the analysis the first day of monitoring.
38
39

40
41 Modifiable lifestyle factors, such as physical activity, may have a great potential as a
42
43 public health instrument to prevent and contribute to the treatment of fibromyalgia.
44
45 Longitudinal studies are also needed to further understand the predictive value of sedentary
46
47 behaviours and physical activity over the course of the disease, and whether preventive
48
49 strategies should start at the early stages of the disease development. To have an objective
50
51 estimate of the patient's sedentary behaviour as well as the engagement in physical activity
52
53 could be used as a potential tool to increase the effectiveness of treatment approaches as well
54
55 as to reduce disability and enhance quality of life in people with fibromyalgia. Indeed,
56
57

1
2
3 Fontaine et al.⁴⁴ observed that accumulating 30 minutes of moderate-intensity physical
4
5 activity throughout the day produces clinically relevant changes in perceived physical
6
7 function and pain in previously minimally active adults with fibromyalgia.
8

9
10 In summary, these data provide an objective measure of amount of time spent in
11
12 sedentary activities and in physical activity in women with fibromyalgia. These estimates can
13
14 be used for comparisons with other rheumatologic diseases, as baseline reference levels for
15
16 monitoring, and to assess the effectiveness of intervention strategies promoting physical
17
18 activity in women with fibromyalgia.
19

20 21 22 **Acknowledgments**

23
24 The authors gratefully acknowledge all women for their collaboration. We also acknowledge
25
26 the AGRAFIM (association of fibromyalgia from Granada, southern Spain) members
27
28 involved in the field work for their effort and great enthusiasm.
29
30
31

32 33 **Funding**

34
35 This study was supported by the Consejería de Turismo, Comercio y Deporte (CTCD-
36
37 201000019242-TRA), the Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation (I+D+I DEP2010-
38
39 15639, grants: BES-2009-013442, BES-2011-047133, RYC-2010-05957, RYC-2011-09011),
40
41 the Spanish Ministry of Education (AP-2009-3173 and AP2010-0963), Granada Research of
42
43 Excellence Initiative on Biohealth (GREIB), Campus BioTic, University of Granada, Spain
44
45 and the European University of Madrid, Escuela de Estudios Universitarios Real Madrid
46
47 (2010/04RM).
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Author contributions

JRR: Conception and design of the study, data collection, statistical analysis and interpretation of data, drafting the article

VSJ: Data collection, interpretation of data, and revising the article critically for important intellectual content

FOP: Conception and design of the study, interpretation of data, and revising the article critically for important intellectual content

IAG: Data collection, interpretation of data, and revising the article critically for important intellectual content

DCM: Data collection, interpretation of data, and revising the article critically for important intellectual content

VAA: Conception and design of the study, data collection, interpretation of data, and revising the article critically for important intellectual content

ACB: Conception and design of the study, data collection, interpretation of data, and revising the article critically for important intellectual content

PF: Statistical analysis, interpretation of data, and revising the article critically for important intellectual content

DMI: Interpretation of data, and revising the article critically for important intellectual content

MDF: Conception and design of the study, data collection, interpretation of data, and revising the article critically for important intellectual content

REFERENCES

1. Bennett RM. Clinical manifestations and diagnosis of fibromyalgia. *Rheum Dis Clin North Am* 2009;35(2):215-32.
2. Wilson HD, Robinson JP, Turk DC. Toward the identification of symptom patterns in people with fibromyalgia. *Arthritis Rheum* 2009;61(4):527-34.
3. Branco JC, Bannwarth B, Failde I, Abello Carbonell J, Blotman F, Spaeth M, et al. Prevalence of fibromyalgia: a survey in five European countries. *Semin Arthritis Rheum* 2010;39(6):448-53.
4. Thomas EN, Blotman F. Aerobic exercise in fibromyalgia: a practical review. *Rheumatol Int* 2010;30(9):1143-50.
5. Busch AJ, Webber SC, Brachaniec M, Bidonde J, Bello-Haas VD, Danyliw AD, et al. Exercise therapy for fibromyalgia. *Curr Pain Headache Rep* 2011;15(5):358-67.
6. Kelley GA, Kelley KS, Hootman JM, Jones DL. Exercise and global well-being in community-dwelling adults with fibromyalgia: a systematic review with meta-analysis. *BMC Public Health* 2010;10:198.
7. Hauser W, Klose P, Langhorst J, Moradi B, Steinbach M, Schiltenswolf M, et al. Efficacy of different types of aerobic exercise in fibromyalgia syndrome: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. *Arthritis Res Ther* 2010;12(3):R79.
8. Brosseau L, Wells GA, Tugwell P, Egan M, Wilson KG, Dubouloz CJ, et al. Ottawa Panel evidence-based clinical practice guidelines for aerobic fitness exercises in the management of fibromyalgia: part 1. *Phys Ther* 2008;88(7):857-71.
9. Blair SN. Physical inactivity: the biggest public health problem of the 21st century. *Br J Sports Med* 2009;43(1):1-2.

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
10. Matthews CE, George SM, Moore SC, Bowles HR, Blair A, Park Y, et al. Amount of time spent in sedentary behaviors and cause-specific mortality in US adults. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2012;95(2):437-45.
 11. Thorp AA, Owen N, Neuhaus M, Dunstan DW. Sedentary behaviors and subsequent health outcomes in adults a systematic review of longitudinal studies, 1996-2011. *Am J Prev Med* 2011;41(2):207-15.
 12. Kaleth AS, Ang DC, Chakr R, Tong Y. Validity and reliability of community health activities model program for seniors and short-form international physical activity questionnaire as physical activity assessment tools in patients with fibromyalgia. *Disabil Rehabil* 2010;32(5):353-9.
 13. McLoughlin MJ, Colbert LH, Stegner AJ, Cook DB. Are women with fibromyalgia less physically active than healthy women? *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2011;43(5):905-12.
 14. Munguia-Izquierdo D, Legaz-Arrese A, Mannerkorpi K. Transcultural adaptation and psychometric properties of a Spanish-language version of physical activity instruments for patients with fibromyalgia. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2011;92(2):284-94.
 15. Mannerkorpi K, Hernelid C. Leisure Time Physical Activity Instrument and Physical Activity at Home and Work Instrument. Development, face validity, construct validity and test-retest reliability for subjects with fibromyalgia. *Disabil Rehabil* 2005;27(12):695-701.
 16. Mork PJ, Vasseljen O, Nilsen TI. Association between physical exercise, body mass index, and risk of fibromyalgia: longitudinal data from the Norwegian Nord-Trondelag Health Study. *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken)* 2010;62(5):611-7.

- 1
2
3 17. van Poppel MN, Chinapaw MJ, Mokkink LB, van Mechelen W, Terwee CB. Physical
4 activity questionnaires for adults: a systematic review of measurement properties.
5
6 *Sports Med* 2010;40(7):565-600.
7
8
- 9 18. Freedson P, Bowles HR, Troiano R, Haskell W. Assessment of physical activity using
10 wearable monitors: recommendations for monitor calibration and use in the field. *Med*
11
12 *Sci Sports Exerc* 2012;44(1 Suppl 1):S1-4.
13
14
- 15 19. Carbonell-Baeza A, Ruiz JR, Aparicio VA, Ortega FB, Munguia-Izquierdo D, Alvarez-
16
17 Gallardo IC, et al. Land- and water-based exercise intervention in women with
18
19 fibromyalgia: the al-Andalus physical activity randomised control trial. *BMC*
20
21 *Musculoskelet Disord* 2012;13(1):18.
22
23
- 24 20. WHO. Global Recommendations on Physical Activity for Health. World Health Organ
25
26 publications. Geneva, Switzerland. 2010.
27
28
- 29 21. U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES. Physical Activity and
30
31 Health: A Report of the Surgeon General. Atlanta, GA: U.S. Department of Health and
32
33 Human Services, Centres for Disease Control and Prevention, National Centre for
34
35 Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion.
36
37
38 (<http://www.cdc.gov/nccdphp/sgr/sgr.htm>, accessed 11 March 2008).
39
40
- 41 22. Wolfe F, Smythe HA, Yunus MB, Bennett RM, Bombardier C, Goldenberg DL, et al. The
42
43 American College of Rheumatology 1990 Criteria for the Classification of
44
45 Fibromyalgia. Report of the Multicenter Criteria Committee. *Arthritis Rheum*
46
47 1990;33(2):160-72.
48
- 49 23. Cardinal BJ, Esters J, Cardinal MK. Evaluation of the revised physical activity readiness
50
51 questionnaire in older adults. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 1996;28(4):468-72.
52
53
- 54 24. Rodriguez FA. Spanish version of the Physical Activity Readiness Questionnaire (C-
55
56 AAF/rPAR-Q)]. *Arch Med Deporte* 1996;13(51):63-68.
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 25. Rivera J, Gonzalez T. The Fibromyalgia Impact Questionnaire: a validated Spanish
4
5 version to assess the health status in women with fibromyalgia. *Clin Exp Rheumatol*
6
7 2004;22(5):554-60.
8
9
10 26. Burckhardt CS, Clark SR, Bennett RM. The fibromyalgia impact questionnaire:
11
12 development and validation. *J Rheumatol* 1991;18(5):728-33.
13
14 27. von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, Pocock SJ, Gotsche PC, Vandenbroucke JP. The
15
16 Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE)
17
18 statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies. *Ann Intern Med*
19
20 2007;147(8):573-7.
21
22
23 28. Troiano RP, Berrigan D, Dodd KW, Masse LC, Tilert T, McDowell M. Physical activity
24
25 in the United States measured by accelerometer. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*
26
27 2008;40(1):181-8.
28
29
30 29. Hagstromer M, Oja P, Sjostrom M. Physical activity and inactivity in an adult population
31
32 assessed by accelerometry. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2007;39(9):1502-8.
33
34 30. Matthews CE, Chen KY, Freedson PS, Buchowski MS, Beech BM, Pate RR, et al.
35
36 Amount of time spent in sedentary behaviors in the United States, 2003-2004. *Am J*
37
38 *Epidemiol* 2008;167(7):875-81.
39
40
41 31. Freedson PS, Melanson E, Sirard J. Calibration of the Computer Science and
42
43 Applications, Inc. accelerometer. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 1998;30(5):777-81.
44
45 32. Bennett R. The Fibromyalgia Impact Questionnaire (FIQ): a review of its development,
46
47 current version, operating characteristics and uses. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 2005;23(5
48
49 Suppl 39):S154-62.
50
51
52 33. Bennett RM, Bushmakina AG, Cappelleri JC, Zlateva G, Sadosky AB. Minimal clinically
53
54 important difference in the fibromyalgia impact questionnaire. *J Rheumatol*
55
56 2009;36(6):1304-11.
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 34. Aparicio VA, Ortega FB, Carbonell-Baeza A, Camiletti D, Ruiz JR, Delgado-Fernandez
4
5 M. Relationship of weight status with mental and physical health in female
6
7 fibromyalgia patients. *Obes Facts* 2011;4(6):443-8.
8
9
10 35. Kop WJ, Lyden A, Berlin AA, Ambrose K, Olsen C, Gracely RH, et al. Ambulatory
11
12 monitoring of physical activity and symptoms in fibromyalgia and chronic fatigue
13
14 syndrome. *Arthritis Rheum* 2005;52(1):296-303.
15
16 36. Korszun A, Young EA, Engleberg NC, Brucksch CB, Greden JF, Crofford LA. Use of
17
18 actigraphy for monitoring sleep and activity levels in patients with fibromyalgia and
19
20 depression. *J Psychosom Res* 2002;52(6):439-43.
21
22 37. Ellingson LD, Shields MR, Stegner AJ, Cook DB. Physical activity, sustained sedentary
23
24 behavior, and pain modulation in women with fibromyalgia. *J Pain* 2012;13(2):195-
25
26 206.
27
28 38. Kashikar-Zuck S, Flowers SR, Verkamp E, Ting TV, Lynch-Jordan AM, Graham TB, et
29
30 al. Actigraphy-based physical activity monitoring in adolescents with juvenile primary
31
32 fibromyalgia syndrome. *J Pain* 2010;11(9):885-93.
33
34 39. Pate RR, O'Neill JR, Lobelo F. The evolving definition of "sedentary". *Exerc Sport Sci*
35
36
37
38
39
40
41 40. Baptista F, Santos DA, Silva AM, Mota J, Santos R, Vale S, et al. Prevalence of the
42
43 Portuguese population attaining sufficient physical activity. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*
44
45 2012;44(3):466-73.
46
47 41. Cooper AR, Page A, Fox KR, Misson J. Physical activity patterns in normal, overweight
48
49 and obese individuals using minute-by-minute accelerometry. *Eur J Clin Nutr*
50
51 2000;54(12):887-94.
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 42. Aparicio VA, Ortega FB, Carbonell-Baeza A, Tercedor P, Ruiz JR, Delgado-Fernandez
4
5 M. Are there gender differences in quality of life and fibromyalgia symptomatology?
6
7 *Am J Mens Health* In press.
8
9
10 43. Miro E, Diener FN, Martinez MP, Sanchez AI, Valenza MC. [Fibromyalgia in men and
11
12 women: comparison of the main clinical symptoms]. *Psicothema* 2012;24(1):10-5.
13
14 44. Fontaine KR, Conn L, Clauw DJ. Effects of lifestyle physical activity on perceived
15
16 symptoms and physical function in adults with fibromyalgia: results of a randomized
17
18 trial. *Arthritis Res Ther* 2010;12(2):R55.
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Table 1. Sedentary time and physical activity levels in women with fibromyalgia, by age group, body mass index, waist circumference and percentage body fat category, years since clinical diagnosis, fibromyalgia impact, marital status, educational level and occupational status^a.

	Sedentary (hours/day)			Total PA (counts/minutes)			Moderate PA (min/day)			MVPA (min/day)		
	N	Mean	95% CI	Mean	95% CI	Mean	95% CI	Mean	95% CI	Mean	95% CI	
Age groups (years)												
18-50	41	10.0	9.6 10.5	222	196 248	51	43 59	52	44 60			
51-75	52	9.9	9.5 10.3	219	195 242	50	43 58	52	44 59			
P value			0.65		0.86		0.96		0.98			
Body mass index ^b category (kg/m ²)												
< 25	29	10.3	9.7 10.8	229	199 260	56	47 65	58	48 67			
25-30	34	9.5	9.0 10.0	235	207 263	53	44 61	54	45 63			
> 30	27	10.1	9.6 10.7	195	162 227	42	32 52	43	33 54			
P for trend			0.63		0.14		0.056		0.051			
Waist circumference category (cm)												
≤80	44	10.2	9.7 10.6	233	208 257	54	47 62	56	48 64			
>80	46	9.7	9.3 10.2	209	185 234	47	39 54	48	40 56			
P value			0.157		0.187		0.155		0.139			
Body fat (%)												
< 30	13	10.6	9.8 11.4	210	164 256	48	34 63	50	35 65			
≥ 30	76	9.8	9.5 10.2	223	204 242	51	45 57	52	46 58			
P value			0.93		0.605		0.709		0.774			
Years since clinical diagnosis												
≤ 5 years	47	9.9	9.5 10.3	224	200 248	53	46 61	54	47 62			
> 5 years	45	9.8	9.3 10.2	219	194 244	49	41 56	50	43 58			
P value			0.650		0.765		0.420		0.489			
Fibromyalgia severity (score) ^c												
< 70	42	9.9	9.4 10.3	226	200 251	51	43 60	53	45 61			
≥ 70	50	10.0	9.6 10.5	215	191 238	49	42 57	50	43 58			
P value			0.632		0.546		0.725		0.636			
Marital status												

Married	72	9.9	9.6	10.3	217	198	237	50	43	56	51	44	57
Unmarried	22	10.0	9.4	10.7	229	194	264	54	43	65	56	44	67
P value			0.710			0.564			0.510			0.436	
Educational level													
Below university degree	71	9.9	9.6	10.3	222	203	242	51	45	57	53	46	59
University degree	22	10.2	9.5	10.8	218	182	253	50	39	61	51	40	63
P value			0.519			0.814			0.791			0.830	
Occupational status													
Working	29	9.8	9.3	10.3	222	196	247	51	43	59	52	44	60
Unemployed	41	10.1	9.6	10.7	236	205	266	55	45	64	57	47	66
Retired	24	10.0	9.3	10.6	197	163	232	45	34	56	46	35	57
P value			0.619			0.107			0.187			0.159	

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; PA, physical activity; MVPA, moderate- to vigorous-intensity physical activity.

^a Estimates and P values were adjusted for registered time.

^b Weight (kg)/height (m)².

^c Assessed with the Fibromyalgia Impact Questionnaire.

Analyses were conducted with physical activity and sedentary outcome variables logarithmically transformed to obtain a normal distribution, yet crude values are presented in the table for easier interpretation.

Table 2. Prevalence of meeting the physical activity recommendations (30 min/day of moderate-to-vigorous-intensity physical activity) on at least 5 days a week.

	N	%	95%CI		Frequency
Age groups (years)					
18-50	41	68.3	51.9	81.9	28
51-75	52	53.8	39.5	67.8	28
Body mass index category (kg/m ²)					
< 25	29	65.5	45.7	82.1	19
25-30	34	67.6	49.5	82.6	23
> 30	27	48.1	28.7	68.1	13
Waist circumference category (cm)					
≤80	44	68.2	52.4	81.4	30
>80	46	54.3	39.0	69.1	25
Body fat (%)					
< 30	13	61.5	31.6	86.1	8
≥ 30	76	61.8	50.0	72.8	47
Years since clinical diagnosis					
≤ 5 years	47	70.2	55.1	82.7	33
> 5 years	45	53.3	37.9	68.3	24
Fibromyalgia severity					
< 70	42	66.7	50.5	80.4	28
≥ 70	50	58	43.2	71.8	29
Marital status					
Married	72	61.1	48.9	72.4	44
Unmarried	22	59.1	36.4	79.3	13
Educational level					
Below university degree	71	62.0	49.7	73.2	44
University degree	22	59.1	0.0	0.0	13
Occupational status					
Working	29	62.1	42.3	79.3	18
Unemployed	41	68.3	51.9	81.9	28
Retired	24	45.8	25.6	67.2	11
All	94	60.6	52.5	73.2	57

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval (expressed in %).

^a Assessed with the Fibromyalgia Impact Questionnaire.

Table 3. Odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (CI) of meeting the physical activity recommendations (30 min/day of moderate-to-vigorous-intensity physical activity, 5 of 7 days) by age group, body mass index, waist circumference and percentage body fat category, years since clinical diagnosis, marital status, educational level and occupational status.

	OR	95% CI		P value
Age groups (years)				
18-50	Ref.			
51-75	0.542	0.231	1.273	0.160
Body mass index category (kg/m ²)				
> 30	Ref.			
25-30	2.252	0.794	6.385	0.127
< 25	2.046	0.698	5.997	0.192
Waist circumference category (cm)				
≤80	Ref.			
>80	0.556	0.235	1.312	0.180
Body fat category (%)				
< 30	Ref.			
≥ 30	0.987	0.297	3.309	0.983
Years since clinical diagnosis				
≤ 5 years	Ref.			
> 5 years	0.485	0.206	1.142	0.098
Fibromyalgia severity (score)*				
< 70	Ref.			
≥ 70	0.690	0.294	1.620	0.395
Marital status				
Married	Ref.			
Unmarried	0.919	0.347	2.432	0.865
Educational level				
Below university degree	Ref.			
University degree	0.886	0.334	2.351	0.809
Occupational status				
Retired	Ref.			
Unemployed	2.545	0.902	7.187	0.078
Working	1.934	0.645	5.803	0.239

Abbreviations: Ref., Reference group.

* Assessed with the Fibromyalgia Impact Questionnaire.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49

Table 4. Sedentary time and physical activity levels during weekdays (Monday to Friday) and during weekends (Saturday and Sunday) in women with fibromyalgia.

	Week		Weekend		Difference		
	mean	sd	mean	sd	mean	95% CI	P value
Sedentary (hours/day)	10.0	2.2	9.6	2.5	0.4	0.0 0.8	0.051
Total PA (counts/min)	225.1	88.5	201.0	98.0	24.0	7.8 40.2	0.004
Moderate PA (min/day)	53.1	28.4	42.6	28.0	10.5	5.5 15.5	<0.001
MVPA (min/day)	54.3	29.1	43.8	29.7	10.6	5.5 15.6	<0.001


Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; PA, physical activity; MVPA, moderate-to-vigorous-intensity physical activity; sd, Standard deviation. Analyses were conducted with physical activity and sedentary outcome variables logarithmically transformed to obtain a normal distribution, yet crude values are presented in the table for easier interpretation.

1
2
3 **Figure legend**
4

5 **Figure 1.** Sedentary time and physical activity (PA) mean time by week and weekend days.
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

For peer review only

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60



739x452mm (150 x 150 DPI)

Review only

STROBE 2007 (v4) checklist of items to be included in reports of observational studies in epidemiology*
Checklist for cohort, case-control, and cross-sectional studies (combined)

Section/Topic	Item #	Recommendation	Reported on page #
Title and abstract	1	(a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract	1
		(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found	2
Introduction			
Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported	3
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any pre-specified hypotheses	4
Methods			
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	6
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection	6
Participants	6	(a) <i>Cohort study</i> —Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants. Describe methods of follow-up <i>Case-control study</i> —Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of case ascertainment and control selection. Give the rationale for the choice of cases and controls <i>Cross-sectional study</i> —Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants	6
		(b) <i>Cohort study</i> —For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed and unexposed <i>Case-control study</i> —For matched studies, give matching criteria and the number of controls per case	6
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable	6-9
Data sources/ measurement	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group	6-9
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	6
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	6
Quantitative variables	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why	9
Statistical methods	12	(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding	9
		(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions	9
		(c) Explain how missing data were addressed	9
		(d) <i>Cohort study</i> —If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed <i>Case-control study</i> —If applicable, explain how matching of cases and controls was addressed	9

		<i>Cross-sectional study</i> —If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy	
		(e) Describe any sensitivity analyses	9
Results			
Participants	13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage (c) Consider use of a flow diagram	10-11
Descriptive data	14*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confounders (b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest (c) <i>Cohort study</i> —Summarise follow-up time (eg, average and total amount)	10-11
Outcome data	15*	<i>Cohort study</i> —Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures over time <i>Case-control study</i> —Report numbers in each exposure category, or summary measures of exposure <i>Cross-sectional study</i> —Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures	10-11
Main results	16	(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included (b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized (c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period	
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses	
Discussion			
Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives	12
Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias	15-16
Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence	15-16
Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	15-16
Other information			
Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based	17

*Give information separately for cases and controls in case-control studies and, if applicable, for exposed and unexposed groups in cohort and cross-sectional studies.

Note: An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at <http://www.plosmedicine.org/>, Annals of Internal Medicine at <http://www.annals.org/>, and Epidemiology at <http://www.epidem.com/>). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at www.strobe-statement.org.



**'Objectively measured sedentary time and physical activity
in women with fibromyalgia; A cross-sectional study'**

Journal:	<i>BMJ Open</i>
Manuscript ID:	bmjopen-2013-002722.R2
Article Type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	12-Apr-2013
Complete List of Authors:	Ruiz, Jonatan; Karolinska Institutet, Department of Biosciences and Nutrition Segura-Jiménez, Victor; University of Granada, Department of Physical Education and Sport, School of Sport Sciences Ortega, Francisco; University of Granada and Karolinska Institutet Alvarez, Inmaculada; University of Granada, Physical Education and Sport Camiletti, Daniel; University of Granada, Department of Physiology Aparicio, Virginia; University of Granada, Department of Physical Education and Sport, School of Sport Sciences Carbonell-Baeza, Ana; University of Granada, 1. Department of Physical Education and Sport Femia, Pedro; University of Granada, Department of Physiology Munguia-Izquierdo, Diego; University Pablo de Olavide, 6Department of Sports and Informatics. Section of Physical education and Sports. Faculty of Sport. Delgado-Fernández, Manuel; University of Granada, Department of Physical Education and Sport
Primary Subject Heading:	Public health
Secondary Subject Heading:	Epidemiology, Rheumatology
Keywords:	EPIDEMIOLOGY, PREVENTIVE MEDICINE, PUBLIC HEALTH, RHEUMATOLOGY

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 **‘Objectively measured sedentary time and physical activity in women with**
8 **fibromyalgia; A cross-sectional study’**
9

10
11
12
13
14
15
16 Jonatan R Ruiz^{1*}, Víctor Segura-Jiménez², Francisco B Ortega¹, Inmaculada C Álvarez-
17 Gallardo¹, Daniel Camiletti-Moirón^{2,3}, Virginia A Aparicio^{2,3}, Ana Carbonell-Baeza^{2,4}, Pedro
18 Femia⁵, Diego Munguía-Izquierdo⁶, Manuel Delgado-Fernández²
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

27 ¹ PROFITH “PROmoting FITness and Health through physical activity” research group,
28 Department of Physical Education and Sports, Faculty of Sport Sciences, University of
29 Granada, Spain.
30
31
32

33
34 ²Department of Physical Education and Sport, School of Sport Sciences, University of
35 Granada, Granada, Spain
36
37

38 ³Department of Physiology, School of Pharmacy, University of Granada, Granada, Spain
39

40 ⁴Department of Physical Education, School of Education, University of Cadiz, Cadiz, Spain
41
42

43 ⁵Department of Biostatistics, School of Medicine, University of Granada, Granada, Spain
44

45 ⁶Department of Sports and Informatics. Section of Physical education and Sports. Faculty of
46 Sport. University Pablo de Olavide, Seville, Spain
47
48

49 ***Address for correspondence:** Jonatan R. Ruiz, PhD. Department of Physical Education and
50 Sport, School of Sport Sciences. University of Granada, Granada, Spain; ruizj@ugr.es
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

ARTICLE SUMMARY

Article focus:

- To characterize the levels of objectively measured sedentary time and physical activity (using accelerometry) in women with fibromyalgia.
- To provide estimates of the adherence to recommended levels of physical activity assessed by accelerometry (30 minutes of moderate-to-vigorous-intensity physical activity on 5 of 7 days).

Key Messages

- Over 60% of women with fibromyalgia meet the physical activity recommendations, that is, 30 min/day of moderate-to-vigorous-intensity physical activity on 5 or more days a week.
- These women spent about 71% (approximately 10 hours/day) of their waking time in activities that expend little energy.
- Women with fibromyalgia spent on average 10 min less of moderate-to-vigorous-intensity physical activity and 22 min less of sedentary behaviours during weekends compared with weekdays.

Strengths and Limitations

- Strict standardization of the methodology used to measure physical activity and the fact that all women were compliant with the measurements procedures is a strength.
- All women had 7 valid days with at least 10 hours of registered time during waking hours.
- To avoid any kind of immediate reactivity, we removed from the analysis the first day of monitoring.

- The cross-sectional design of our study does not allow however establishing any causal relationships. The sample is of convenience, which includes the known limitations of all non-probability samples.
- The accelerometer underestimates physical activities that involve upper body movement, those with minimal vertical displacement such as cycling, water-based activities such as swimming. Moreover, it does not capture well the extra energy cost of load-bearing activities such as walking while carrying a backpack.

ABSTRACT

Objectives: To characterize levels of objectively measured sedentary time and physical activity in women with fibromyalgia.

Design: Cross-sectional study.

Setting: Local Association of Fibromyalgia (Granada, Spain).

Participants: The study comprised 94 women with diagnosed fibromyalgia who did not have other severe somatic or psychiatric disorders, or other diseases that prevent physical loading, able to ambulate and to communicate, and capable and willing to provide informed consent.

Primary outcome measures: Sedentary time and physical activity was measured by accelerometry and was expressed as time spent in sedentary behaviours, average physical activity intensity (counts/minute) and amount of time (minutes/day) spent in moderate-intensity and in moderate-to vigorous-intensity physical activity (MVPA).

Results: The proportion of women meeting the physical activity recommendations of 30 min/day of MVPA on 5 or more days a week was 60.6%. Women spent on average 71% of their waking time (approximately 10 hours/day) in sedentary behaviours. Both sedentary behaviour and physical activity levels were similar across age groups, waist circumference and percentage body fat categories, years since clinical diagnosis, marital status, educational level, occupational status, as well as regardless the severity of the disease (all $P > 0.1$). Time spent at moderate-intensity physical activity and MVPA was however lower in those with greater BMI (-6.6 min and -7 min respectively, per BMI category increase, <25, 25-30, >30 kg/m²; P values for trend were 0.056 and 0.051 respectively). Women spent on average 10 min less on MVPA ($P < 0.001$) and 22 min less on sedentary behaviours during weekends compared with weekdays ($P = 0.051$).

Conclusions: These data provide an objective measure of the amount of time spent in sedentary activities and in physical activity in women with fibromyalgia.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Extra data is available by emailing ruizj@ugr.es

For peer review only

INTRODUCTION

Fibromyalgia is a pain regulation-related disorder ¹. Patients usually present an increased sensitivity to painful stimuli (hyperalgesia) and lowered pain threshold (allodynia). In addition to pain, fibromyalgia symptoms typically include severe fatigue, sleep disturbances, paresthesia of extremities, depression, anxiety, joint stiffness, and memory and cognitive difficulties ^{1 2}. Fibromyalgia is becoming a common syndrome in Western European countries, and estimates indicate a point prevalence of 2.9% which translates to approximately 6 million people with fibromyalgia ³.

There is increasing evidence about the potential benefits of regular physical activity on fibromyalgia-related symptoms ⁴⁻⁷, and International organizations supports the use of physical activity-based interventions as a complementary tool in the therapeutic armamentarium against fibromyalgia ⁸. Physical inactivity is one of the major public health problems of the 21st century ⁹, and several longitudinal studies showed the negative consequences for health of a sedentary lifestyle ^{10 11}.

The average amount of daily sedentary time as well as physical activity in women with fibromyalgia is rather unknown, and the available information is mainly questionnaire-based ¹²⁻¹⁶. However, physical activities are difficult to recall, quantify and categorize ¹⁷, and it might be even more complex in people with memory and cognitive difficulties such as fibromyalgia patients ¹⁴. Given the limitations of self-report methods, accelerometry (i.e. movement sensors) has become the method of choice for objectively measuring physical activity in free-living conditions ¹⁸. To have an objective diagnosis of the sedentary time as well as of the physical activity levels in patients with fibromyalgia is of public health and of clinical interest, and might be informative for developing intervention studies directed to the promotion of physical activity in women with fibromyalgia ¹⁹.

1
2
3 The purpose of the present study was to characterize the levels of objectively
4 measured (using accelerometry) sedentary time and physical activity among women with
5 fibromyalgia, and to provide estimates of the adherence to recommended levels of physical
6 activity [30 minutes of moderate-to-vigorous-intensity physical activity (MVPA) on 5 of 7
7 days] ^{20 21} .
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

For peer review only

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Study participants

We sent a formal invitation to participate in the study to all members (n=400) of a Local Association of Fibromyalgia (Granada, Spain). A total of 116 patients responded (response rate 29%), and gave their written informed consent after receiving detailed information about the aims and study procedures. Participants were included in the study if: (i) they met the diagnosis of fibromyalgia according to the American College of Rheumatology criteria²² (widespread pain for more than 3 months, and pain with 4 kg/cm² of pressure reported for 11 or more of 18 tender points), (ii) did not have other severe somatic or psychiatric disorders, or other diseases that prevent physical loading (answer “no” to all questions on the Physical Activity Readiness Questionnaire-PAR-Q^{23 24}), (iii) were able to ambulate and to communicate, (iv) and were capable and willing to provide informed consent. Men were not included in the study (n=6), and women with incomplete physical activity data (n=5) or technical errors in the instrument (n=11) were excluded. A final sample of 94 women with fibromyalgia participated in the study. Age, weight and height, and fibromyalgia severity (assessed by the fibromyalgia impact questionnaire, FIQ)^{25 26} was similar between the included and excluded participants (all P>0.1). The study protocol was reviewed and approved by the Ethics Committee of the Hospital Virgen de las Nieves (Granada, Spain). The STROBE guidelines were followed during the course of the research²⁷.

Measurements

Women were interviewed in the Association of Fibromyalgia (Granada, Spain). They were asked to wear an accelerometer (ActigraphTM GT1M, Pensacola, FL, USA) for 9 consecutive days starting the same day they received the monitor. The accelerometer was carried over the whole day (24 hrs) except during water-based activities such as bathing or swimming.

1
2
3 Accelerometers were initialized as described by the manufacturer, and data were recorded in 5
4 seconds epochs. Women wore the device on the lower back, secured with an elastic belt,
5 underneath clothing, near to the center of gravity. The data were downloaded onto a computer
6 using the manufacturer software. Data reduction, cleaning and analyses were performed using
7 the MAHUFFE program (see [http://www.mrc-
8 epid.cam.ac.uk/Research/Programmes/Programme_5/InDepth/Programme%205_Downloads.
9 html](http://www.mrc-epid.cam.ac.uk/Research/Programmes/Programme_5/InDepth/Programme%205_Downloads.html)).
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17

18 Monitor wearing time was calculated by subtracting the sleeping reported time
19 (recorded through a diary) from the total registered time for the entire day (i.e. 1440 minutes).
20 Bouts of 60 continuous minutes of 0 activity intensity counts were also excluded from the
21 analysis, considering these periods as non-wearing time²⁸. There was no allowance for any
22 minute with counts between 0-100 in the non-wear periods. A recording of more than 20,000
23 counts per minute (cpm) was considered as a potential malfunction of the accelerometer and
24 the value was excluded from the analyses^{28 29}. The first and last days of recording were not
25 included in the analysis. A total of 7 days (full week) of recording with a minimum of 10 or
26 more hours of registration per day was necessary to be included in the study analysis.
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37

38 Sedentary time was estimated as the amount of time accumulated below 100 cpm
39 during periods of wear time³⁰. Time spent being sedentary was expressed as total duration
40 (hours/day). Physical activity levels were estimated as follows: (i) Average physical activity
41 intensity was expressed as mean cpm, and is a measure of overall physical activity. We
42 calculated mean cpm as the sum of total counts per day divided by the number of minutes of
43 wear time in that day; finally calculating the average of all valid days (n=7). (ii) Time
44 engaged in moderate physical activity. We calculated the time engaged in moderate-intensity
45 physical activity based upon a standardized cut-off of 1952-5724 cpm^{29 31}, where 1952 cpm
46 corresponds to walking at 4 km/hour³¹. (iii) We also calculated the time engaged in MVPA as
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 the amount of time accumulated ≥ 1952 cpm. Sedentary time, as well as the study physical
4
5 activity variables was calculated for weekdays and weekends. We calculated the proportion of
6
7 women meeting the physical activity recommendations, that is 30 minutes/day of MVPA at
8
9 least 5 of 7 days^{20 21}.

10
11 Weight and height were measured following standard procedures with a scale (InBody
12
13 720, Biospace, Seoul, Korea) and a stadiometer (Seca 22, Hamburg, Germany) respectively,
14
15 and body mass index (BMI, weight in kg divided by height in m²) was calculated. Percentage
16
17 body fat was measured with bioelectrical impedance analysis (InBody R20; Biospace,
18
19 Gateshead, UK). Waist circumference was measured at the level of the umbilicus with an
20
21 anthropometric un-elastic tape (Harpenden anthropometric tape Holtain Ltd). Weight status
22
23 groups were based on standard clinical definitions for BMI (normal weight: 18.5-24.9 kg/m²,
24
25 overweight: 25.0-29.9 kg/m², obese: 30.0 kg/m² or higher); percentage body fat (normal:
26
27 <30%; obese: $\geq 30\%$); and waist circumference (normal: ≤ 80.0 cm; abdominal obesity: > 80
28
29 cm). One woman had a BMI below 18.5 kg/m² (18 kg/m²) and was included in the normal
30
31 weight group.
32
33
34
35

36 Fibromyalgia severity was assessed with the fibromyalgia impact questionnaire (FIQ)
37
38^{25 26}. FIQ is composed of ten subscales: physical impairment, overall well-being, work missed,
39
40 job difficulty, pain, fatigue, morning tiredness, stiffness, anxiety and depression. The score of
41
42 each subscale was standardized from 0 to 10. We summed the score of all items, so that the
43
44 total score ranged from 0 to 100, with a higher score indicating greater severity. Women were
45
46 categorized into two groups based on the FIQ total score as FIQ < 70 and FIQ ≥ 70 . These
47
48 thresholds corresponds with having moderate or severe fibromyalgia respectively³².
49
50
51
52
53

54 **Statistical analysis**

55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 All statistical analyses were performed with PASW (Predictive Analytics SoftWare, v. 18.0
4 SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA), and the level of significance was set at $\alpha = 0.05$. Physical
5 activity and sedentary outcome variables were logarithmically transformed to obtain a normal
6 distribution.
7
8
9

10
11 We calculated the estimated means of sedentary time, average physical activity
12 intensity, moderate physical activity and MVPA by age group, BMI and waist circumference
13 categories, years since clinical diagnosis, fibromyalgia severity, marital status, educational
14 level and occupational status, after adjusting for registered time. Linear regression analysis
15 was conducted to examine the association of sedentary time, average physical activity
16 intensity, moderate-intensity physical activity and MVPA (inserted as dependent variables)
17 with age group, BMI, waist circumference and percentage body fat categories, years since
18 clinical diagnosis, fibromyalgia severity and educational level (inserted as independent
19 variables). Independent variables were inserted as ordinal variables. As marital status and
20 occupational status categories were not ordinal variables, we conducted one-way analysis of
21 covariance to determine mean differences in sedentary time and physical activity levels
22 among marital status and occupational status categories. Separate analyses were conducted for
23 each dependent and independent variable. Registered time was entered as confounder in all
24 models. Mean differences of sedentary time, physical activity, moderate physical activity and
25 MVPA levels on week days (Monday to Friday) vs. weekend (Saturday and Sunday) were
26 estimated with one-way analysis of variance for repeated measures.
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46

47 We analysed the association of meeting the physical activity recommendations (≥ 30
48 minutes/day of MVPA on 5 of 7 days a week) with age, waist circumference and percentage
49 body fat, years since clinical diagnosis, fibromyalgia severity, marital status, educational level
50 and using binary logistic regression analysis. Multinomial regression analysis was conducted
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 to examine the association of meeting the physical activity recommendations with BMI and
4
5 occupational status categories.
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

For peer review only

RESULTS

All participants had 7 valid days of registration. Mean registered time during waking time was 842±108 minutes/day (~14±1.8 hours). There was no significant association of sedentary time and physical activity with age group, waist circumference and percentage body fat categories, years since clinical diagnosis, fibromyalgia severity, marital status, educational level and occupational status (all P>0.1, Table 1). Levels of moderate-intensity physical activity and MVPA were lower in women with greater BMI ($\hat{\beta}$ = -6.6±3.4 and -7±3.6 min respectively, per BMI category increase (i.e. 18.5-24.9 kg/m², 25.0-29.9 kg/m², and ≥30.0 kg/m²; P values for trend were 0.056 and 0.051 respectively, Table 1). Mean estimates of sedentary time and physical activity intensity levels were similar in women with FIQ <70 compared with those with FIQ ≥70 (all P>0.5, Table 1). For sensitivity analyses, we explored whether the association between physical activity intensity levels and FIQ differ when a different FIQ threshold (FIQ ≥59)³³ was used, yet the findings persisted (data not shown).

The proportion of women meeting the physical activity recommendations by age group, BMI, waist circumference and percentage body fat categories, years since clinical diagnosis, fibromyalgia severity, marital status, educational level and occupational status are shown in Table 2. The proportion of women meeting the physical activity recommendations was 60.6% (n=57, 95%CI: 52.5-73.2%). The OR of meeting the physical activity recommendations were lower, yet not reaching statistical significance, in the oldest group (OR: 0.542, 95%CI: 0.231-1.237, P=0.160), in those with a high waist circumference (OR: 0.556, 95%CI: 0.235-1.312, P=0.180), and in those diagnosed with fibromyalgia more than 5 years ago (OR: 0.485, 95%CI: 0.206-1.142, P=0.098) (Table 3). The OR of meeting the physical activity recommendations was higher, yet not reaching statistical significance, in non-overweight (BMI <25kg/m²) and in the overweight (BMI=25-30kg/m²) group compared with the obese peers (OR: 2.046, 95%CI: 0.698-5.997, P=0.192; OR: 2.252, 95%CI: 0.794-

1
2
3 6.385, P=0.127) (Table 4). Unemployed women had also higher OR of meeting the
4
5 recommendations (OR: 2.545, 95%CI: 0.902-7.187, P=0.078). The OR of meeting the
6
7 physical activity recommendations was lower in women with FIQ ≥ 70 (OR: 0.690, 95%CI:
8
9 0.294-1.620, P=0.395). The findings persisted when another suggested FIQ threshold (>59 vs.
10
11 ≥ 59) was used³³ (data not shown). Women spent on average 71% of their waking time
12
13 (approximately 10 hours/day) in sedentary behaviours. The results did not change after
14
15 adjusting for registered time (data not shown).
16
17

18
19 Sedentary time and physical activity levels during weekdays (Monday to Friday) and
20
21 during weekend time (Saturday and Sunday) in women with fibromyalgia are shown in table
22
23 4. Mean levels of physical activity were lower during weekends compared with weekdays
24
25 (mean difference: 24 cpm, 95%CI: 7.8-40.2, P=0.004). Likewise, registered time at moderate-
26
27 intensity physical activity and MVPA was lower during weekends (mean difference: 10.5
28
29 min/day, 95%CI: 5.5-15.5, P<0.001; and 10.6 min/day, 95%CI: 5.5-15.6, P<0.001),
30
31 respectively). Mean levels of sedentary time were also lower during weekends (mean
32
33 difference: 22.6 min/day, 95%CI: 0-45.3, P=0.051). Figure 1 shows the sedentary time and
34
35 physical activity mean time by week and weekend days.
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

DISCUSSION

The main purpose of the present study was to characterize levels of sedentary time and physical activity in women with diagnosed fibromyalgia as well as to describe the adherence to recommended levels of physical activity assessed by accelerometry. Over half of the women (60.6%) met the physical activity recommendations (30 min/day of MVPA on 5 or more days a week). These women spent on average 71% (approximately 10 hours/day) of their waking time in sedentary behaviours, that is, in activities that expend little energy. We observed that both sedentary behaviour and physical activity levels were similar across the study demographic factors as well as regardless the severity of the disease. Women spent on average 10 min less on MVPA and 22 min less on sedentary behaviours during weekends compared with weekdays.

The present study showed that 60.6% of women met the recommendation to accumulate 30 or more min/day of physical activity of MVPA on most days of the week. The variation in meeting the recommendations was not associated with the study demographic factors, and despite the prevalence of meeting the recommendations tend to be lower in the oldest group, in the overweight group and in those with a higher waist circumference, in those with fibromyalgia being diagnosed more than 5 years ago, and in the retired group, the associations were not statistically significant. Time spent at moderate-intensity physical activity and MVPA tended to be however lower in those with greater BMI (-6.6 min and -7 min, respectively, per BMI category increase, <25, 25-30, >30 kg/m²), which concur with studies in healthy adults²⁹. This may have important health implications since obese female fibromyalgia patients seem to have higher levels of pain, anxiety and depression and worse quality of life, as well as lower functional capacity than their normal weight peers³⁴.

Despite several attempts have been made to objectively quantify sedentary behaviours and physical activity levels in people with fibromyalgia^{12 13 35-38}, to our knowledge, there are

1
2
3 no previous studies showing the prevalence of meeting the physical activity recommendations
4
5 in women with fibromyalgia, which hamper between study comparisons. Mcloughlin et al.¹³
6
7 measured physical activity with accelerometry in 26 female fibromyalgia patients aged
8
9 42.7±12 years, yet they did not show the prevalence of meeting the recommendations. They
10
11 showed however that time spent at moderate intensity using the same intensity threshold as
12
13 used in the present study³¹ was 15±8 minutes/day which is on average ~35 minutes lower
14
15 (using the 51-75 years age group as a reference group) than the time observed in the present
16
17 study. Kaleth et al.¹² also measured physical activity with accelerometry in 30 fibromyalgia
18
19 patients (27 women), but unfortunately, they did not show physical activity estimates.
20
21 Kashikar-Zuck et al.³⁸ measured physical activity with accelerometry in a juvenile primary
22
23 fibromyalgia syndrome group of adolescents and showed that only 23% achieved 30
24
25 minutes/day of MVPA, and that only 1 patient achieved the recommended levels of physical
26
27 activity for their age, that is 60 minutes/day of MVPA^{20,21}.

31
32 Data coming from apparently healthy women showed lower rates of meeting the
33
34 recommendation than those observed in the present study^{28, 29, 39, 40}. Hagströmer et al.²⁹
35
36 reported that 48% of a representative sample of Swedish women accumulated 30 minutes/day
37
38 of MVPA, and data from the Canadian Health Measures Survey⁴⁰ showed that less than 5%
39
40 engaged in 30 minutes/day of MVPA at least 5 of 7 days. Similarly, findings from the
41
42 National Health and Nutritional Examination Survey (NHANES) 2003–2004 indicated that
43
44 less than 5% of a representative sample of women from U.S.²⁸ met the physical activity
45
46 recommendations, and 7% of U.S women met the physical activity recommendations in
47
48 2005–2006 (NHANES)³⁹. Methodological procedures used to measure physical activity such
49
50 as number of valid days included in the analysis, exclusion of the first recording day to avoid
51
52 reactivity and criteria used to define compliance, may partially explain the observed
53
54 differences among studies. Whereas in our study all women had 7 valid days with at least 10
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 hours of registered time during waking hours, in the other studies participants with 4 valid
4
5 days were included in the study. Of note is that, for example, the NHANES 2003–2004 ²⁸
6
7 study included participants with just with one or more valid days when calculated population
8
9 adherence estimates. Besides the above-mentioned methodological difference, it cannot be
10
11 discarded however that cultural differences might also explain the observed discrepancies.
12

13
14 Sedentary behaviours refer to those activities that do not increase resting energy
15
16 expenditure substantially, that is, no more than 1.5 times resting energy expenditure ⁴¹. These
17
18 activities involved sitting, reclining and lying down such as watching television, studying,
19
20 reading, etc. In the present study we observed that women spend on average 10 hours/day
21
22 (~71%) of their waking time in sedentary activities, which is similar to that observed in
23
24 Portuguese women ⁴², and slightly higher than American and Swedish women (about 7-8
25
26 hours) ²⁹. McLoughlin et al. ¹³ also measured sedentary time with accelerometry, yet data are
27
28 not comparable with our study because they included sleeping time as a sedentary activity.
29
30 They reported that women with fibromyalgia spend 1,154±59 minutes/day at sedentary
31
32 behaviours, which together with the registered time in other physical activity intensities
33
34 summed ~1,440 min, which is a full day. We observed no association of sedentary time with
35
36 any of the study demographic factors, which concur with the Swedish study by Hagströmer et
37
38 al. ²⁹. Similarly, women with a higher severity of the disease (FIQ≥70) showed similar
39
40 sedentary patterns as those with a FIQ<70, which concur with the findings reported by
41
42 McLoughlin et al. ¹³.
43
44
45
46

47
48 In our study, women with fibromyalgia spend less time (~10 minutes/day) on MVPA
49
50 and on sedentary time (~22 minutes/day) during weekends compared with weekdays. These
51
52 findings are in agreement with the results reported by Cooper et al. ⁴³. The observed physical
53
54 activity reduction during weekends could be partially explained by a reduced transport-related
55
56 physical activity when commuting to or from work, whereas the reduction of sedentary time
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 could be due to a reduced work-related sitting time. More studies quantifying and
4
5 characterizing physical activity and sedentary patterns during weekends and weekdays are
6
7 needed.
8

9
10 The present study has several limitations. The cross-sectional design of our study does
11
12 not allow establishing any causal relationship. The sample is of convenience and includes the
13
14 known limitations of all non-probability samples, including less representativeness and
15
16 unknown levels of sampling error. Further studies involving randomly recruited patients with
17
18 fibromyalgia are needed. Of note is also the relatively low response rate (29%). We cannot
19
20 discard that women who accepted to participate in this study are those more aware of the
21
22 importance of having an active lifestyle, which may have influenced the results. It should also
23
24 be mentioned that the accelerometer underestimates physical activities that involve upper
25
26 body movement, those with minimal vertical displacement such as cycling, water-based
27
28 activities such as swimming, and it does not capture well the extra energy cost of load-bearing
29
30 activities, such as walking while carrying a backpack. Nevertheless, walking is the most
31
32 prevalent leisure-time physical activity among women with fibromyalgia¹⁵, and is likely the
33
34 type of activity they do at work and for transportation. We used the same cut-points for all
35
36 ages and BMI levels as has been done in previous studies^{28 29}. Use of a single cut point for all
37
38 ages and BMI levels may however lead to an underestimate of moderate-intensity activity for
39
40 the older and heavier group by not accounting for the decline in exercise capacity with age
41
42 and weight. We do not present data on vigorous physical activity because the time spent at
43
44 this intensity ranged from 0 to 2 min (see table 1, subtract moderate intensity to MVPA). On
45
46 average, ~98% of the time spend at MVPA is moderate-intensity physical activity. This is
47
48 consistent with the available clinical knowledge on this population, and concur with data from
49
50 apparently healthy women from Sweden²⁹. The observed low levels of vigorous physical
51
52 activity could also suggest that the cut point for this intensity was too high, thereby missing
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 many minutes of activity in our population that should have been classified as vigorous
4
5 physical activity. Unfortunately, we have no data on an age- and culturally-matched group of
6
7 healthy women, so that direct comparison cannot be made. Mcloughlin et al.¹³ observed that
8
9 female fibromyalgia patients (n=26) were less active than a group of healthy women (n=26),
10
11 yet the healthy group was younger and had higher level of education than the patients group.
12
13 Despite the number of participants in the present study is relatively small, to date, this is the
14
15 largest series described in adults. We do not know whether these findings apply to men,
16
17 therefore, future studies should quantify both sedentary time and physical activity in this
18
19 group of patients. We⁴⁴ and others⁴⁵ observed gender differences in patients with
20
21 fibromyalgia, therefore studies focused on examining gender differences on sedentary time
22
23 and physical activity will provide further insights on whether preventive and interventions
24
25 strategies should be gender-specific.
26
27
28

29
30 One of the strengths of the present study was however the strict standardization of
31
32 methodology used to measure physical activity, and the fact that all women were compliant
33
34 with the measurements procedures. All women had 7 valid days with at least 10 hours of
35
36 registered time during waking hours. Indeed, the mean daily accelerometer wear time was
37
38 14 ± 1.8 hours/day. We do not know whether women modified their habitual sedentary
39
40 behavior or physical activity during the days they were monitored despite they were advised
41
42 to keep on with their normal life. To avoid any kind of immediate reactivity, we removed
43
44 from the analysis the first day of monitoring.
45
46

47
48 Modifiable lifestyle factors, such as physical activity, may have a great potential as a
49
50 public health instrument to prevent and contribute to the treatment of fibromyalgia.
51
52 Longitudinal studies are also needed to further understand the predictive value of sedentary
53
54 behaviours and physical activity over the course of the disease, and whether preventive
55
56 strategies should start at the early stages of the disease development. To have an objective
57
58

1
2
3 estimate of the patient's sedentary behaviour as well as the engagement in physical activity
4
5 could be used as a potential tool to increase the effectiveness of treatment approaches as well
6
7 as to reduce disability and enhance quality of life in people with fibromyalgia. Indeed,
8
9 Fontaine et al.⁴⁶ observed that accumulating 30 minutes of moderate-intensity physical
10
11 activity throughout the day produces clinically relevant changes in perceived physical
12
13 function and pain in previously minimally active adults with fibromyalgia.
14
15

16
17 In summary, these data provide an objective measure of amount of time spent in
18
19 sedentary activities and in physical activity in women with fibromyalgia. These estimates can
20
21 be used for comparisons with other rheumatologic diseases, as baseline reference levels for
22
23 monitoring, and to assess the effectiveness of intervention strategies promoting physical
24
25 activity in women with fibromyalgia.
26
27

28 29 **Acknowledgments**

30
31 The authors gratefully acknowledge all women for their collaboration. We also acknowledge
32
33 the AGRAFIM (association of fibromyalgia from Granada, southern Spain) members
34
35 involved in the fieldwork for their effort and great enthusiasm.
36
37

38 39 **Funding**

40
41 This study was supported by the Consejería de Turismo, Comercio y Deporte (CTCD-
42
43 201000019242-TRA), the Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation (I+D+I DEP2010-
44
45 15639, grants: BES-2009-013442, BES-2011-047133, RYC-2010-05957, RYC-2011-09011),
46
47 the Spanish Ministry of Education (AP-2009-3173 and AP2010-0963), Granada Research of
48
49 Excellence Initiative on Biohealth (GREIB), Campus BioTic, University of Granada, Spain
50
51 and the European University of Madrid, Escuela de Estudios Universitarios Real Madrid
52
53 (2010/04RM).
54
55
56
57

Author contributions

JRR: Conception and design of the study, data collection, statistical analysis and interpretation of data, drafting the article

VSJ: Data collection, interpretation of data, and revising the article critically for important intellectual content

FOP: Conception and design of the study, interpretation of data, and revising the article critically for important intellectual content

IAG: Data collection, interpretation of data, and revising the article critically for important intellectual content

DCM: Data collection, interpretation of data, and revising the article critically for important intellectual content

VAA: Conception and design of the study, data collection, interpretation of data, and revising the article critically for important intellectual content

ACB: Conception and design of the study, data collection, interpretation of data, and revising the article critically for important intellectual content

PF: Statistical analysis, interpretation of data, and revising the article critically for important intellectual content

DMI: Interpretation of data, and revising the article critically for important intellectual content

MDF: Conception and design of the study, data collection, interpretation of data, and revising the article critically for important intellectual content

REFERENCES

1. Bennett RM. Clinical manifestations and diagnosis of fibromyalgia. *Rheum Dis Clin North Am* 2009;35(2):215-32.
2. Wilson HD, Robinson JP, Turk DC. Toward the identification of symptom patterns in people with fibromyalgia. *Arthritis Rheum* 2009;61(4):527-34.
3. Branco JC, Bannwarth B, Failde I, et al. Prevalence of fibromyalgia: a survey in five European countries. *Semin Arthritis Rheum* 2010;39(6):448-53.
4. Thomas EN, Blotman F. Aerobic exercise in fibromyalgia: a practical review. *Rheumatol Int* 2010;30(9):1143-50.
5. Busch AJ, Webber SC, Brachaniec M, et al. Exercise therapy for fibromyalgia. *Curr Pain Headache Rep* 2011;15(5):358-67.
6. Kelley GA, Kelley KS, Hootman JM, et al. Exercise and global well-being in community-dwelling adults with fibromyalgia: a systematic review with meta-analysis. *BMC Public Health* 2010;10:198.
7. Hauser W, Klose P, Langhorst J, et al. Efficacy of different types of aerobic exercise in fibromyalgia syndrome: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. *Arthritis Res Ther* 2010;12(3):R79.
8. Brosseau L, Wells GA, Tugwell P, et al. Ottawa Panel evidence-based clinical practice guidelines for aerobic fitness exercises in the management of fibromyalgia: part 1. *Phys Ther* 2008;88(7):857-71.
9. Blair SN. Physical inactivity: the biggest public health problem of the 21st century. *Br J Sports Med* 2009;43(1):1-2.
10. Matthews CE, George SM, Moore SC, et al. Amount of time spent in sedentary behaviors and cause-specific mortality in US adults. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2012;95(2):437-45.

- 1
2
3 11. Thorp AA, Owen N, Neuhaus M, et al. Sedentary behaviors and subsequent health
4
5 outcomes in adults a systematic review of longitudinal studies, 1996-2011. *Am J Prev*
6
7 *Med* 2011;41(2):207-15.
8
9
10 12. Kaleth AS, Ang DC, Chakr R, et al. Validity and reliability of community health activities
11
12 model program for seniors and short-form international physical activity questionnaire
13
14 as physical activity assessment tools in patients with fibromyalgia. *Disabil Rehabil*
15
16 2010;32(5):353-9.
17
18 13. McLoughlin MJ, Colbert LH, Stegner AJ, et al. Are women with fibromyalgia less
19
20 physically active than healthy women? *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2011;43(5):905-12.
21
22
23 14. Munguia-Izquierdo D, Legaz-Arrese A, Mannerkorpi K. Transcultural adaptation and
24
25 psychometric properties of a Spanish-language version of physical activity
26
27 instruments for patients with fibromyalgia. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2011;92(2):284-
28
29 94.
30
31
32 15. Mannerkorpi K, Hernelid C. Leisure Time Physical Activity Instrument and Physical
33
34 Activity at Home and Work Instrument. Development, face validity, construct validity
35
36 and test-retest reliability for subjects with fibromyalgia. *Disabil Rehabil*
37
38 2005;27(12):695-701.
39
40
41 16. Mork PJ, Vasseljen O, Nilsen TI. Association between physical exercise, body mass
42
43 index, and risk of fibromyalgia: longitudinal data from the Norwegian Nord-
44
45 Trondelag Health Study. *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken)* 2010;62(5):611-7.
46
47
48 17. van Poppel MN, Chinapaw MJ, Mokkink LB, et al. Physical activity questionnaires for
49
50 adults: a systematic review of measurement properties. *Sports Med* 2010;40(7):565-
51
52 600.
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 18. Freedson P, Bowles HR, Troiano R, et al. Assessment of physical activity using wearable
4
5 monitors: recommendations for monitor calibration and use in the field. *Med Sci*
6
7 *Sports Exerc* 2012;44(1 Suppl 1):S1-4.
8
9
10 19. Carbonell-Baeza A, Ruiz JR, Aparicio VA, et al. Land- and water-based exercise
11
12 intervention in women with fibromyalgia: the al-Andalus physical activity randomised
13
14 control trial. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord* 2012;13(1):18.
15
16
17 20. WHO. Global Recommendations on Physical Activity for Health. World Health Organ
18
19 publications. Geneva, Switzerland. 2010.
20
21 21. U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES. Physical Activity and
22
23 Health: A Report of the Surgeon General. Atlanta.GA: U.S. Department of Health and
24
25 Human Services, Centres for Disease Control and Prevention, National Centre for
26
27 Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion.
28
29 (<http://www.cdc.gov/nccdphp/sgr/sgr.htm>, accessed 11 March 2008).
30
31
32 22. Wolfe F, Smythe HA, Yunus MB, et al. The American College of Rheumatology 1990
33
34 Criteria for the Classification of Fibromyalgia. Report of the Multicenter Criteria
35
36 Committee. *Arthritis Rheum* 1990;33(2):160-72.
37
38
39 23. Cardinal BJ, Esters J, Cardinal MK. Evaluation of the revised physical activity readiness
40
41 questionnaire in older adults. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 1996;28(4):468-72.
42
43
44 24. Rodriguez FA. Spanish version of the Physical Activity Readiness Questionnaire (C-
45
46 AAF/rPAR-Q)]. *Arch Med Deporte* 1996;13(51):63-68.
47
48
49 25. Rivera J, Gonzalez T. The Fibromyalgia Impact Questionnaire: a validated Spanish
50
51 version to assess the health status in women with fibromyalgia. *Clin Exp Rheumatol*
52
53 2004;22(5):554-60.
54
55 26. Burckhardt CS, Clark SR, Bennett RM. The fibromyalgia impact questionnaire:
56
57 development and validation. *J Rheumatol* 1991;18(5):728-33.
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 27. von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, et al. The Strengthening the Reporting of Observational
4
5 Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting observational
6
7 studies. *Ann Intern Med* 2007;147(8):573-7.
8
9
10 28. Troiano RP, Berrigan D, Dodd KW, et al. Physical activity in the United States measured
11
12 by accelerometer. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2008;40(1):181-8.
13
14 29. Hagstromer M, Oja P, Sjostrom M. Physical activity and inactivity in an adult population
15
16 assessed by accelerometry. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2007;39(9):1502-8.
17
18 30. Matthews CE, Chen KY, Freedson PS, et al. Amount of time spent in sedentary behaviors
19
20 in the United States, 2003-2004. *Am J Epidemiol* 2008;167(7):875-81.
21
22 31. Freedson PS, Melanson E, Sirard J. Calibration of the Computer Science and
23
24 Applications, Inc. accelerometer. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 1998;30(5):777-81.
25
26 32. Bennett R. The Fibromyalgia Impact Questionnaire (FIQ): a review of its development,
27
28 current version, operating characteristics and uses. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 2005;23(5
29
30 Suppl 39):S154-62.
31
32 33. Bennett RM, Bushmakina AG, Cappelleri JC, et al. Minimal clinically important difference
33
34 in the fibromyalgia impact questionnaire. *J Rheumatol* 2009;36(6):1304-11.
35
36 34. Aparicio VA, Ortega FB, Carbonell-Baeza A, et al. Relationship of weight status with
37
38 mental and physical health in female fibromyalgia patients. *Obes Facts*
39
40 2011;4(6):443-8.
41
42 35. Kop WJ, Lyden A, Berlin AA, et al. Ambulatory monitoring of physical activity and
43
44 symptoms in fibromyalgia and chronic fatigue syndrome. *Arthritis Rheum*
45
46 2005;52(1):296-303.
47
48 36. Korszun A, Young EA, Engleberg NC, et al. Use of actigraphy for monitoring sleep and
49
50 activity levels in patients with fibromyalgia and depression. *J Psychosom Res*
51
52 2002;52(6):439-43.
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 37. Ellingson LD, Shields MR, Stegner AJ, et al. Physical activity, sustained sedentary
4
5 behavior, and pain modulation in women with fibromyalgia. *J Pain* 2012;13(2):195-
6
7 206.
8
9
10 38. Kashikar-Zuck S, Flowers SR, Verkamp E, et al. Actigraphy-based physical activity
11
12 monitoring in adolescents with juvenile primary fibromyalgia syndrome. *J Pain*
13
14 2010;11(9):885-93.
15
16 39. Tucker JM, Welk GJ, Beyler NK. Physical activity in U.S.: adults compliance with the
17
18 Physical Activity Guidelines for Americans. *Am J Prev Med* 2011;40(4):454-61.
19
20
21 40. Colley RC, Garriguet D, Janssen I, et al. Physical activity of Canadian adults:
22
23 accelerometer results from the 2007 to 2009 Canadian Health Measures Survey.
24
25 *Health reports / Statistics Canada, Canadian Centre for Health Information =*
26
27 *Rapports sur la sante / Statistique Canada, Centre canadien d'information sur la sante*
28
29 2011;22(1):7-14.
30
31
32 41. Pate RR, O'Neill JR, Lobelo F. The evolving definition of "sedentary". *Exerc Sport Sci*
33
34 *Rev* 2008;36(4):173-8.
35
36 42. Baptista F, Santos DA, Silva AM, et al. Prevalence of the Portuguese population attaining
37
38 sufficient physical activity. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2012;44(3):466-73.
39
40
41 43. Cooper AR, Page A, Fox KR, et al. Physical activity patterns in normal, overweight and
42
43 obese individuals using minute-by-minute accelerometry. *Eur J Clin Nutr*
44
45 2000;54(12):887-94.
46
47 44. Aparicio VA, Ortega FB, Carbonell-Baeza A, et al. Are there gender differences in quality
48
49 of life and fibromyalgia symptomatology? *Am J Mens Health* In press.
50
51
52 45. Miro E, Diener FN, Martinez MP, et al. [Fibromyalgia in men and women: comparison of
53
54 the main clinical symptoms]. *Psicothema* 2012;24(1):10-5.
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 46. Fontaine KR, Conn L, Clauw DJ. Effects of lifestyle physical activity on perceived
4
5 symptoms and physical function in adults with fibromyalgia: results of a randomized
6
7 trial. *Arthritis Res Ther* 2010;12(2):R55.
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

For peer review only

Table 1. Sedentary time and physical activity levels in women with fibromyalgia, by age group, body mass index, waist circumference and percentage body fat category, years since clinical diagnosis, fibromyalgia impact, marital status, educational level and occupational status^a.

	Sedentary (hours/day)			Average PA (counts/minutes)			Moderate PA (min/day)			MVPA (min/day)		
	N	Mean	95% CI	Mean	95% CI	Mean	95% CI	Mean	95% CI	Mean	95% CI	
Age groups (years)												
18-50	41	10.0	9.6 10.5	222	196 248	51	43 59	52	44 60			
51-75	52	9.9	9.5 10.3	219	195 242	50	43 58	52	44 59			
P value			0.65		0.86		0.96		0.98			
Body mass index ^b category (kg/m ²)												
< 25	29	10.3	9.7 10.8	229	199 260	56	47 65	58	48 67			
25-30	34	9.5	9.0 10.0	235	207 263	53	44 61	54	45 63			
> 30	27	10.1	9.6 10.7	195	162 227	42	32 52	43	33 54			
P for trend			0.63		0.14		0.056		0.051			
Waist circumference category (cm)												
≤80	44	10.2	9.7 10.6	233	208 257	54	47 62	56	48 64			
>80	46	9.7	9.3 10.2	209	185 234	47	39 54	48	40 56			
P value			0.157		0.187		0.155		0.139			
Body fat (%)												
< 30	13	10.6	9.8 11.4	210	164 256	48	34 63	50	35 65			
≥ 30	76	9.8	9.5 10.2	223	204 242	51	45 57	52	46 58			
P value			0.93		0.605		0.709		0.774			
Years since clinical diagnosis												
≤ 5 years	47	9.9	9.5 10.3	224	200 248	53	46 61	54	47 62			
> 5 years	45	9.8	9.3 10.2	219	194 244	49	41 56	50	43 58			
P value			0.650		0.765		0.420		0.489			
Fibromyalgia severity (score) ^c												
< 70	42	9.9	9.4 10.3	226	200 251	51	43 60	53	45 61			
≥ 70	50	10.0	9.6 10.5	215	191 238	49	42 57	50	43 58			
P value			0.632		0.546		0.725		0.636			
Marital status												

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49

Married	72	9.9	9.6	10.3	217	198	237	50	43	56	51	44	57
Unmarried	22	10.0	9.4	10.7	229	194	264	54	43	65	56	44	67
P value			0.710			0.564			0.510			0.436	
Educational level													
Below university degree	71	9.9	9.6	10.3	222	203	242	51	45	57	53	46	59
University degree	22	10.2	9.5	10.8	218	182	253	50	39	61	51	40	63
P value			0.519			0.814			0.791			0.830	
Occupational status													
Working	29	9.8	9.3	10.3	222	196	247	51	43	59	52	44	60
Unemployed	41	10.1	9.6	10.7	236	205	266	55	45	64	57	47	66
Retired	24	10.0	9.3	10.6	197	163	232	45	34	56	46	35	57
P value			0.619			0.107			0.187			0.159	

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; PA, physical activity; MVPA, moderate- to vigorous-intensity physical activity.

^a Estimates and P values were adjusted for registered time.

^b Weight (kg)/height (m)².

^c Assessed with the Fibromyalgia Impact Questionnaire.

Analyses were conducted with physical activity and sedentary outcome variables logarithmically transformed to obtain a normal distribution, yet crude values are presented in the table for easier interpretation.

Table 2. Prevalence of meeting the physical activity recommendations (30 min/day of moderate-to-vigorous-intensity physical activity) on at least 5 days a week.

	N	%	95%CI		Frequency
Age groups (years)					
18-50	41	68.3	51.9	81.9	28
51-75	52	53.8	39.5	67.8	28
Body mass index category (kg/m ²)					
< 25	29	65.5	45.7	82.1	19
25-30	34	67.6	49.5	82.6	23
> 30	27	48.1	28.7	68.1	13
Waist circumference category (cm)					
≤80	44	68.2	52.4	81.4	30
>80	46	54.3	39.0	69.1	25
Body fat (%)					
< 30	13	61.5	31.6	86.1	8
≥ 30	76	61.8	50.0	72.8	47
Years since clinical diagnosis					
≤ 5 years	47	70.2	55.1	82.7	33
> 5 years	45	53.3	37.9	68.3	24
Fibromyalgia severity					
< 70	42	66.7	50.5	80.4	28
≥ 70	50	58	43.2	71.8	29
Marital status					
Married	72	61.1	48.9	72.4	44
Unmarried	22	59.1	36.4	79.3	13
Educational level					
Below university degree	71	62.0	49.7	73.2	44
University degree	22	59.1	0.0	0.0	13
Occupational status					
Working	29	62.1	42.3	79.3	18
Unemployed	41	68.3	51.9	81.9	28
Retired	24	45.8	25.6	67.2	11
All	94	60.6	52.5	73.2	57

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval (expressed in %).

^a Assessed with the Fibromyalgia Impact Questionnaire.

Table 3. Odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (CI) of meeting the physical activity recommendations (30 min/day of moderate-to-vigorous-intensity physical activity, 5 of 7 days) by age group, body mass index, waist circumference and percentage body fat category, years since clinical diagnosis, marital status, educational level and occupational status.

	OR	95% CI		P value
Age groups (years)				
18-50	Ref.			
51-75	0.542	0.231	1.273	0.160
Body mass index category (kg/m ²)				
> 30	Ref.			
25-30	2.252	0.794	6.385	0.127
< 25	2.046	0.698	5.997	0.192
Waist circumference category (cm)				
≤80	Ref.			
>80	0.556	0.235	1.312	0.180
Body fat category (%)				
< 30	Ref.			
≥ 30	0.987	0.297	3.309	0.983
Years since clinical diagnosis				
≤ 5 years	Ref.			
> 5 years	0.485	0.206	1.142	0.098
Fibromyalgia severity (score)*				
< 70	Ref.			
≥ 70	0.690	0.294	1.620	0.395
Marital status				
Married	Ref.			
Unmarried	0.919	0.347	2.432	0.865
Educational level				
Below university degree	Ref.			
University degree	0.886	0.334	2.351	0.809
Occupational status				
Retired	Ref.			
Unemployed	2.545	0.902	7.187	0.078
Working	1.934	0.645	5.803	0.239

Abbreviations: Ref., Reference group.

* Assessed with the Fibromyalgia Impact Questionnaire.

Table 4. Sedentary time and physical activity levels during weekdays (Monday to Friday) and during weekends (Saturday and Sunday) in women with fibromyalgia.

	Week		Weekend		Difference		
	mean	sd	mean	sd	mean	95% CI	P value
Sedentary (hours/day)	10.0	2.2	9.6	2.5	0.4	0.0 0.8	0.051
Average PA (counts/min)	225.1	88.5	201.0	98.0	24.0	7.8 40.2	0.004
Moderate PA (min/day)	53.1	28.4	42.6	28.0	10.5	5.5 15.5	<0.001
MVPA (min/day)	54.3	29.1	43.8	29.7	10.6	5.5 15.6	<0.001

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; PA, physical activity; MVPA, moderate-to-vigorous-intensity physical activity; sd, Standard deviation.

Analyses were conducted with physical activity and sedentary outcome variables logarithmically transformed to obtain a normal distribution, yet crude values are presented in the table for easier interpretation.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Figure legend

Figure 1. Sedentary time and physical activity (PA) mean time by week and weekend days.

For peer review only

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 **Objectively measured sedentary time and physical activity in women with fibromyalgia;**

8
9 **A cross-sectional study**

10
11
12
13
14
15
16 Jonatan R Ruiz^{1*}, Víctor Segura-Jiménez², Francisco B Ortega¹, Inmaculada C Álvarez-
17 Gallardo¹, Daniel Camiletti-Moirón^{2,3}, Virginia A Aparicio^{2,3}, Ana Carbonell-Baeza^{2,4}, Pedro
18 Femia⁵, Diego Munguía-Izquierdo⁶, Manuel Delgado-Fernández²
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

27 ¹ PROFITH “PROmoting FITness and Health through physical activity” research group,
28 Department of Physical Education and Sports, Faculty of Sport Sciences, University of
29 Granada, Spain.
30
31
32

33
34 ²Department of Physical Education and Sport, School of Sport Sciences, University of
35 Granada, Granada, Spain
36
37

38 ³Department of Physiology, School of Pharmacy, University of Granada, Granada, Spain
39

40 ⁴Department of Physical Education, School of Education, University of Cadiz, Cadiz, Spain
41
42

43 ⁵Department of Biostatistics, School of Medicine, University of Granada, Granada, Spain
44

45 ⁶Department of Sports and Informatics. Section of Physical education and Sports. Faculty of
46 Sport. University Pablo de Olavide, Seville, Spain
47
48

49 ***Address for correspondence:** Jonatan R. Ruiz, PhD. Department of Physical Education and
50 Sport, School of Sport Sciences. University of Granada, Granada, Spain; ruizj@ugr.es
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

ARTICLE SUMMARY

Article focus:

- To characterize the levels of objectively measured sedentary time and physical activity (using accelerometry) in women with fibromyalgia.
- To provide estimates of the adherence to recommended levels of physical activity assessed by accelerometry (30 minutes of moderate-to-vigorous-intensity physical activity on 5 of 7 days).

Key Messages

- Over 60% of women with fibromyalgia meet the physical activity recommendations, that is, 30 min/day of moderate-to-vigorous-intensity physical activity on 5 or more days a week.
- These women spent about 71% (approximately 10 hours/day) of their waking time in activities that expend little energy.
- Women with fibromyalgia spent on average 10 min less of moderate-to-vigorous-intensity physical activity and 22 min less of sedentary behaviours during weekends compared with weekdays.

Strengths and Limitations

- Strict standardization of the methodology used to measure physical activity and the fact that all women were compliant with the measurements procedures is a strength.
- All women had 7 valid days with at least 10 hours of registered time during waking hours.
- To avoid any kind of immediate reactivity, we removed from the analysis the first day of monitoring.

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
- The cross-sectional design of our study does not allow however establishing any causal relationships. The sample is of convenience, which includes the known limitations of all non-probability samples.
 - The accelerometer underestimates physical activities that involve upper body movement, those with minimal vertical displacement such as cycling, water-based activities such as swimming. Moreover, it does not capture well the extra energy cost of load-bearing activities such as walking while carrying a backpack.

ABSTRACT

Objectives: To characterize levels of objectively measured sedentary time and physical activity in women with fibromyalgia.

Design: Cross-sectional study.

Setting: Local Association of Fibromyalgia (Granada, Spain).

Participants: The study comprised 94 women with diagnosed fibromyalgia who did not have other severe somatic or psychiatric disorders, or other diseases that prevent physical loading, able to ambulate and to communicate, and capable and willing to provide informed consent.

Primary outcome measures: Sedentary time and physical activity was measured by accelerometry and was expressed as time spent in sedentary behaviours, **average physical activity intensity** (counts/minute) and amount of time (minutes/day) spent in moderate-intensity and in moderate-to vigorous-intensity physical activity (MVPA).

Results: The proportion of women meeting the physical activity recommendations of 30 min/day of MVPA on 5 or more days a week was 60.6%. Women spent on average 71% of their waking time (approximately 10 hours/day) in sedentary behaviours. Both sedentary behaviour and physical activity levels were similar across age groups, waist circumference and percentage body fat categories, years since clinical diagnosis, marital status, educational level, occupational status, as well as regardless the severity of the disease (all $P > 0.1$). Time spent at moderate-intensity physical activity and MVPA was however lower in those with greater BMI (-6.6 min and -7 min respectively, per BMI category increase, <25, 25-30, >30 kg/m²; P values for trend were 0.056 and 0.051 respectively). Women spent on average 10 min less on MVPA ($P < 0.001$) and 22 min less on sedentary behaviours during weekends compared with weekdays ($P = 0.051$).

Conclusions: These data provide an objective measure of the amount of time spent in sedentary activities and in physical activity in women with fibromyalgia.

1
2
3 Extra data is available by emailing ruizj@ugr.es
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

For peer review only

INTRODUCTION

Fibromyalgia is a pain regulation-related disorder ¹. Patients usually present an increased sensitivity to painful stimuli (hyperalgesia) and lowered pain threshold (allodynia). In addition to pain, fibromyalgia symptoms typically include severe fatigue, sleep disturbances, paresthesia of extremities, depression, anxiety, joint stiffness, and memory and cognitive difficulties ^{1 2}. Fibromyalgia is becoming a common syndrome in Western European countries, and estimates indicate a point prevalence of 2.9% which translates to approximately 6 million people with fibromyalgia ³.

There is increasing evidence about the potential benefits of regular physical activity on fibromyalgia-related symptoms ⁴⁻⁷, and International organizations supports the use of physical activity-based interventions as a complementary tool in the therapeutic armamentarium against fibromyalgia ⁸. Physical inactivity is one of the major public health problems of the 21st century ⁹, and several longitudinal studies showed the negative consequences for health of a sedentary lifestyle ^{10 11}.

The average amount of daily sedentary time as well as physical activity in women with fibromyalgia is rather unknown, and the available information is mainly questionnaire-based ¹²⁻¹⁶. However, physical activities are difficult to recall, quantify and categorize ¹⁷, and it might be even more complex in people with memory and cognitive difficulties such as fibromyalgia patients ¹⁴. Given the limitations of self-report methods, accelerometry (i.e. movement sensors) has become the method of choice for objectively measuring physical activity in free-living conditions ¹⁸. To have an objective diagnosis of the sedentary time as well as of the physical activity levels in patients with fibromyalgia is of public health and of clinical interest, and might be informative for developing intervention studies directed to the promotion of physical activity in women with fibromyalgia ¹⁹.

1
2
3 The purpose of the present study was to characterize the levels of objectively
4 measured (using accelerometry) sedentary time and physical activity among women with
5 fibromyalgia, and to provide estimates of the adherence to recommended levels of physical
6 activity [30 minutes of moderate-to-vigorous-intensity physical activity (MVPA) on 5 of 7
7 days] ^{20 21} .
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

For peer review only

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Study participants

We sent a formal invitation to participate in the study to all members (n=400) of a Local Association of Fibromyalgia (Granada, Spain). A total of 116 patients responded (response rate 29%), and gave their written informed consent after receiving detailed information about the aims and study procedures. Participants were included in the study if: (i) they met the diagnosis of fibromyalgia according to the American College of Rheumatology criteria²² (widespread pain for more than 3 months, and pain with 4 kg/cm² of pressure reported for 11 or more of 18 tender points), (ii) did not have other severe somatic or psychiatric disorders, or other diseases that prevent physical loading (answer “no” to all questions on the Physical Activity Readiness Questionnaire-PAR-Q^{23 24}), (iii) were able to ambulate and to communicate, (iv) and were capable and willing to provide informed consent. Men were not included in the study (n=6), and women with incomplete physical activity data (n=5) or technical errors in the instrument (n=11) were excluded. A final sample of 94 women with fibromyalgia participated in the study. Age, weight and height, and fibromyalgia severity (assessed by the fibromyalgia impact questionnaire, FIQ)^{25 26} was similar between the included and excluded participants (all P>0.1). The study protocol was reviewed and approved by the Ethics Committee of the Hospital Virgen de las Nieves (Granada, Spain). The STROBE guidelines were followed during the course of the research²⁷.

Measurements

Women were interviewed in the Association of Fibromyalgia (Granada, Spain). They were asked to wear an accelerometer (ActigraphTM GT1M, Pensacola, FL, USA) for 9 consecutive days starting the same day they received the monitor. The accelerometer was carried over the whole day (24 hrs) except during water-based activities such as bathing or swimming.

1
2
3 Accelerometers were initialized as described by the manufacturer, and data were recorded in 5
4 seconds epochs. Women wore the device on the lower back, secured with an elastic belt,
5 underneath clothing, near to the center of gravity. The data were downloaded onto a computer
6 using the manufacturer software. Data reduction, cleaning and analyses were performed using
7 the MAHUFFE program (see [http://www.mrc-
8 epid.cam.ac.uk/Research/Programmes/Programme_5/InDepth/Programme%20Downloads.
9 html](http://www.mrc-epid.cam.ac.uk/Research/Programmes/Programme_5/InDepth/Programme%20Downloads.html)).
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17

18 Monitor wearing time was calculated by subtracting the sleeping reported time
19 (recorded through a diary) from the total registered time for the entire day (i.e. 1440 minutes).
20 Bouts of 60 continuous minutes of 0 activity intensity counts were also excluded from the
21 analysis, considering these periods as non-wearing time²⁸. There was no allowance for any
22 minute with counts between 0-100 in the non-wear periods. A recording of more than 20,000
23 counts per minute (cpm) was considered as a potential malfunction of the accelerometer and
24 the value was excluded from the analyses^{28 29}. The first and last days of recording were not
25 included in the analysis. A total of 7 days (full week) of recording with a minimum of 10 or
26 more hours of registration per day was necessary to be included in the study analysis.
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37

38 Sedentary time was estimated as the amount of time accumulated below 100 cpm
39 during periods of wear time³⁰. Time spent being sedentary was expressed as total duration
40 (hours/day). Physical activity levels were estimated as follows: (i) Average physical activity
41 intensity was expressed as mean cpm, and is a measure of overall physical activity. We
42 calculated mean cpm as the sum of total counts per day divided by the number of minutes of
43 wear time in that day; finally calculating the average of all valid days (n=7). (ii) Time
44 engaged in moderate physical activity. We calculated the time engaged in moderate-intensity
45 physical activity based upon a standardized cut-off of 1952-5724 cpm^{29 31}, where 1952 cpm
46 corresponds to walking at 4 km/hour³¹. (iii) We also calculated the time engaged in MVPA as
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 the amount of time accumulated ≥ 1952 cpm. Sedentary time, as well as the study physical
4
5 activity variables was calculated for weekdays and weekends. We calculated the proportion of
6
7 women meeting the physical activity recommendations, that is 30 minutes/day of MVPA at
8
9 least 5 of 7 days^{20 21}.

10
11 Weight and height were measured following standard procedures with a scale (InBody
12
13 720, Biospace, Seoul, Korea) and a stadiometer (Seca 22, Hamburg, Germany) respectively,
14
15 and body mass index (BMI, weight in kg divided by height in m²) was calculated. Percentage
16
17 body fat was measured with bioelectrical impedance analysis (InBody R20; Biospace,
18
19 Gateshead, UK). Waist circumference was measured at the level of the umbilicus with an
20
21 anthropometric un-elastic tape (Harpenden anthropometric tape Holtain Ltd). Weight status
22
23 groups were based on standard clinical definitions for BMI (normal weight: 18.5-24.9 kg/m²,
24
25 overweight: 25.0-29.9 kg/m², obese: 30.0 kg/m² or higher); percentage body fat (normal:
26
27 <30%; obese: $\geq 30\%$); and waist circumference (normal: ≤ 80.0 cm; abdominal obesity: > 80
28
29 cm). One woman had a BMI below 18.5 kg/m² (18 kg/m²) and was included in the normal
30
31 weight group.
32
33
34
35

36 Fibromyalgia severity was assessed with the fibromyalgia impact questionnaire (FIQ)
37
38^{25 26}. FIQ is composed of ten subscales: physical impairment, overall well-being, work missed,
39
40 job difficulty, pain, fatigue, morning tiredness, stiffness, anxiety and depression. The score of
41
42 each subscale was standardized from 0 to 10. We summed the score of all items, so that the
43
44 total score ranged from 0 to 100, with a higher score indicating greater severity. Women were
45
46 categorized into two groups based on the FIQ total score as FIQ < 70 and FIQ ≥ 70 . These
47
48 thresholds corresponds with having moderate or severe fibromyalgia respectively³².
49
50
51
52
53

54 **Statistical analysis**

55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 All statistical analyses were performed with PASW (Predictive Analytics SoftWare, v. 18.0
4 SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA), and the level of significance was set at $\alpha = 0.05$. Physical
5 activity and sedentary outcome variables were logarithmically transformed to obtain a normal
6 distribution.
7
8
9

10
11 We calculated the estimated means of sedentary time, average physical activity
12 intensity, moderate physical activity and MVPA by age group, BMI and waist circumference
13 categories, years since clinical diagnosis, fibromyalgia severity, marital status, educational
14 level and occupational status, after adjusting for registered time. Linear regression analysis
15 was conducted to examine the association of sedentary time, average physical activity
16 intensity, moderate-intensity physical activity and MVPA (inserted as dependent variables)
17 with age group, BMI, waist circumference and percentage body fat categories, years since
18 clinical diagnosis, fibromyalgia severity and educational level (inserted as independent
19 variables). Independent variables were inserted as ordinal variables. As marital status and
20 occupational status categories were not ordinal variables, we conducted one-way analysis of
21 covariance to determine mean differences in sedentary time and physical activity levels
22 among marital status and occupational status categories. Separate analyses were conducted for
23 each dependent and independent variable. Registered time was entered as confounder in all
24 models. Mean differences of sedentary time, physical activity, moderate physical activity and
25 MVPA levels on week days (Monday to Friday) vs. weekend (Saturday and Sunday) were
26 estimated with one-way analysis of variance for repeated measures.
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46

47 We analysed the association of meeting the physical activity recommendations (≥ 30
48 minutes/day of MVPA on 5 of 7 days a week) with age, waist circumference and percentage
49 body fat, years since clinical diagnosis, fibromyalgia severity, marital status, educational level
50 and using binary logistic regression analysis. Multinomial regression analysis was conducted
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

to examine the association of meeting the physical activity recommendations with BMI and occupational status categories.

For peer review only

RESULTS

All participants had 7 valid days of registration. Mean registered time during waking time was 842±108 minutes/day (~14±1.8 hours). There was no significant association of sedentary time and physical activity with age group, waist circumference and percentage body fat categories, years since clinical diagnosis, fibromyalgia severity, marital status, educational level and occupational status (all $P>0.1$, Table 1). Levels of moderate-intensity physical activity and MVPA were lower in women with greater BMI ($\hat{\beta} = -6.6\pm3.4$ and -7 ± 3.6 min respectively, per BMI category increase (i.e. 18.5-24.9 kg/m², 25.0-29.9 kg/m², and ≥ 30.0 kg/m²; P values for trend were 0.056 and 0.051 respectively, Table 1). Mean estimates of sedentary time and physical activity intensity levels were similar in women with FIQ <70 compared with those with FIQ ≥ 70 (all $P>0.5$, Table 1). For sensitivity analyses, we explored whether the association between physical activity intensity levels and FIQ differ when a different FIQ threshold (FIQ ≥ 59)³³ was used, yet the findings persisted (data not shown).

The proportion of women meeting the physical activity recommendations by age group, BMI, waist circumference and percentage body fat categories, years since clinical diagnosis, fibromyalgia severity, marital status, educational level and occupational status are shown in Table 2. The proportion of women meeting the physical activity recommendations was 60.6% (n=57, 95%CI: 52.5-73.2%). The OR of meeting the physical activity recommendations were lower, yet not reaching statistical significance, in the oldest group (OR: 0.542, 95%CI: 0.231-1.237, $P=0.160$), in those with a high waist circumference (OR: 0.556, 95%CI: 0.235-1.312, $P=0.180$), and in those diagnosed with fibromyalgia more than 5 years ago (OR: 0.485, 95%CI: 0.206-1.142, $P=0.098$) (Table 3). The OR of meeting the physical activity recommendations was higher, yet not reaching statistical significance, in non-overweight (BMI <25kg/m²) and in the overweight (BMI=25-30kg/m²) group compared with the obese peers (OR: 2.046, 95%CI: 0.698-5.997, $P=0.192$; OR: 2.252, 95%CI: 0.794-

1
2
3 6.385, P=0.127) (Table 4). Unemployed women had also higher OR of meeting the
4
5 recommendations (OR: 2.545, 95%CI: 0.902-7.187, P=0.078). The OR of meeting the
6
7 physical activity recommendations was lower in women with FIQ ≥ 70 (OR: 0.690, 95%CI:
8
9 0.294-1.620, P=0.395). The findings persisted when another suggested FIQ threshold (>59 vs.
10
11 ≥ 59) was used³³ (data not shown). Women spent on average 71% of their waking time
12
13 (approximately 10 hours/day) in sedentary behaviours. The results did not change after
14
15 adjusting for registered time (data not shown).
16
17

18
19 Sedentary time and physical activity levels during weekdays (Monday to Friday) and
20
21 during weekend time (Saturday and Sunday) in women with fibromyalgia are shown in table
22
23 4. Mean levels of physical activity were lower during weekends compared with weekdays
24
25 (mean difference: 24 cpm, 95%CI: 7.8-40.2, P=0.004). Likewise, registered time at moderate-
26
27 intensity physical activity and MVPA was lower during weekends (mean difference: 10.5
28
29 min/day, 95%CI: 5.5-15.5, P<0.001; and 10.6 min/day, 95%CI: 5.5-15.6, P<0.001),
30
31 respectively). Mean levels of sedentary time were also lower during weekends (mean
32
33 difference: 22.6 min/day, 95%CI: 0-45.3, P=0.051). Figure 1 shows the sedentary time and
34
35 physical activity mean time by week and weekend days.
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

DISCUSSION

The main purpose of the present study was to characterize levels of sedentary time and physical activity in women with diagnosed fibromyalgia as well as to describe the adherence to recommended levels of physical activity assessed by accelerometry. Over half of the women (60.6%) met the physical activity recommendations (30 min/day of MVPA on 5 or more days a week). These women spent on average 71% (approximately 10 hours/day) of their waking time in sedentary behaviours, that is, in activities that expend little energy. We observed that both sedentary behaviour and physical activity levels were similar across the study demographic factors as well as regardless the severity of the disease. Women spent on average 10 min less on MVPA and 22 min less on sedentary behaviours during weekends compared with weekdays.

The present study showed that 60.6% of women met the recommendation to accumulate 30 or more min/day of physical activity of MVPA on most days of the week. The variation in meeting the recommendations was not associated with the study demographic factors, and despite the prevalence of meeting the recommendations tend to be lower in the oldest group, in the overweight group and in those with a higher waist circumference, in those with fibromyalgia being diagnosed more than 5 years ago, and in the retired group, the associations were not statistically significant. Time spent at moderate-intensity physical activity and MVPA tended to be however lower in those with greater BMI (-6.6 min and -7 min, respectively, per BMI category increase, <25, 25-30, >30 kg/m²), which concur with studies in healthy adults²⁹. This may have important health implications since obese female fibromyalgia patients seem to have higher levels of pain, anxiety and depression and worse quality of life, as well as lower functional capacity than their normal weight peers³⁴.

Despite several attempts have been made to objectively quantify sedentary behaviours and physical activity levels in people with fibromyalgia^{12 13 35-38}, to our knowledge, there are

1
2
3 no previous studies showing the prevalence of meeting the physical activity recommendations
4
5 in women with fibromyalgia, which hamper between study comparisons. Mcloughlin et al.¹³
6
7 measured physical activity with accelerometry in 26 female fibromyalgia patients aged
8
9 42.7±12 years, yet they did not show the prevalence of meeting the recommendations. They
10
11 showed however that time spent at moderate intensity using the same intensity threshold as
12
13 used in the present study³¹ was 15±8 minutes/day which is on average ~35 minutes lower
14
15 (using the 51-75 years age group as a reference group) than the time observed in the present
16
17 study. Kaleth et al.¹² also measured physical activity with accelerometry in 30 fibromyalgia
18
19 patients (27 women), but unfortunately, they did not show physical activity estimates.
20
21 Kashikar-Zuck et al.³⁸ measured physical activity with accelerometry in a juvenile primary
22
23 fibromyalgia syndrome group of adolescents and showed that only 23% achieved 30
24
25 minutes/day of MVPA, and that only 1 patient achieved the recommended levels of physical
26
27 activity for their age, that is 60 minutes/day of MVPA^{20,21}.

31
32 Data coming from apparently healthy women showed lower rates of meeting the
33
34 recommendation than those observed in the present study^{28, 29, 39, 40}. Hagströmer et al.²⁹
35
36 reported that 48% of a representative sample of Swedish women accumulated 30 minutes/day
37
38 of MVPA, and data from the Canadian Health Measures Survey⁴⁰ showed that less than 5%
39
40 engaged in 30 minutes/day of MVPA at least 5 of 7 days. Similarly, findings from the
41
42 National Health and Nutritional Examination Survey (NHANES) 2003–2004 indicated that
43
44 less than 5% of a representative sample of women from U.S.²⁸ met the physical activity
45
46 recommendations, and 7% of U.S women met the physical activity recommendations in
47
48 2005–2006 (NHANES)³⁹. Methodological procedures used to measure physical activity such
49
50 as number of valid days included in the analysis, exclusion of the first recording day to avoid
51
52 reactivity and criteria used to define compliance, may partially explain the observed
53
54 differences among studies. Whereas in our study all women had 7 valid days with at least 10
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 hours of registered time during waking hours, in the other studies participants with 4 valid
4 days were included in the study. Of note is that, for example, the NHANES 2003–2004²⁸
5 study included participants with just with one or more valid days when calculated population
6 adherence estimates. Besides the above-mentioned methodological difference, it cannot be
7 discarded however that cultural differences might also explain the observed discrepancies.
8
9

10
11
12
13
14 Sedentary behaviours refer to those activities that do not increase resting energy
15 expenditure substantially, that is, no more than 1.5 times resting energy expenditure⁴¹. These
16 activities involved sitting, reclining and lying down such as watching television, studying,
17 reading, etc. In the present study we observed that women spend on average 10 hours/day
18 (~71%) of their waking time in sedentary activities, which is similar to that observed in
19 Portuguese women⁴², and slightly higher than American and Swedish women (about 7-8
20 hours)²⁹. McLoughlin et al.¹³ also measured sedentary time with accelerometry, yet data are
21 not comparable with our study because they included sleeping time as a sedentary activity.
22 They reported that women with fibromyalgia spend 1,154±59 minutes/day at sedentary
23 behaviours, which together with the registered time in other physical activity intensities
24 summed ~1,440 min, which is a full day. We observed no association of sedentary time with
25 any of the study demographic factors, which concur with the Swedish study by Hagströmer et
26 al.²⁹. Similarly, women with a higher severity of the disease (FIQ≥70) showed similar
27 sedentary patterns as those with a FIQ<70, which concur with the findings reported by
28 McLoughlin et al.¹³.
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46

47 In our study, women with fibromyalgia spend less time (~10 minutes/day) on MVPA
48 and on sedentary time (~22 minutes/day) during weekends compared with weekdays. These
49 findings are in agreement with the results reported by Cooper et al.⁴³. The observed physical
50 activity reduction during weekends could be partially explained by a reduced transport-related
51 physical activity when commuting to or from work, whereas the reduction of sedentary time
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 could be due to a reduced work-related sitting time. More studies quantifying and
4
5 characterizing physical activity and sedentary patterns during weekends and weekdays are
6
7 needed.
8

9
10 The present study has several limitations. The cross-sectional design of our study does
11
12 not allow establishing any causal relationship. The sample is of convenience and includes the
13
14 known limitations of all non-probability samples, including less representativeness and
15
16 unknown levels of sampling error. Further studies involving randomly recruited patients with
17
18 fibromyalgia are needed. Of note is also the relatively low response rate (29%). We cannot
19
20 discard that women who accepted to participate in this study are those more aware of the
21
22 importance of having an active lifestyle, which may have influenced the results. It should also
23
24 be mentioned that the accelerometer underestimates physical activities that involve upper
25
26 body movement, those with minimal vertical displacement such as cycling, water-based
27
28 activities such as swimming, and it does not capture well the extra energy cost of load-bearing
29
30 activities, such as walking while carrying a backpack. Nevertheless, walking is the most
31
32 prevalent leisure-time physical activity among women with fibromyalgia¹⁵, and is likely the
33
34 type of activity they do at work and for transportation. We used the same cut-points for all
35
36 ages and BMI levels as has been done in previous studies^{28 29}. Use of a single cut point for all
37
38 ages and BMI levels may however lead to an underestimate of moderate-intensity activity for
39
40 the older and heavier group by not accounting for the decline in exercise capacity with age
41
42 and weight. We do not present data on vigorous physical activity because the time spent at
43
44 this intensity ranged from 0 to 2 min (see table 1, subtract moderate intensity to MVPA). On
45
46 average, ~98% of the time spend at MVPA is moderate-intensity physical activity. This is
47
48 consistent with the available clinical knowledge on this population, and concur with data from
49
50 apparently healthy women from Sweden²⁹. The observed low levels of vigorous physical
51
52 activity could also suggest that the cut point for this intensity was too high, thereby missing
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 many minutes of activity in our population that should have been classified as vigorous
4
5 physical activity. Unfortunately, we have no data on an age- and culturally-matched group of
6
7 healthy women, so that direct comparison cannot be made. Mcloughlin et al.¹³ observed that
8
9 female fibromyalgia patients (n=26) were less active than a group of healthy women (n=26),
10
11 yet the healthy group was younger and had higher level of education than the patients group.
12
13 Despite the number of participants in the present study is relatively small, to date, this is the
14
15 largest series described in adults. We do not know whether these findings apply to men,
16
17 therefore, future studies should quantify both sedentary time and physical activity in this
18
19 group of patients. We⁴⁴ and others⁴⁵ observed gender differences in patients with
20
21 fibromyalgia, therefore studies focused on examining gender differences on sedentary time
22
23 and physical activity will provide further insights on whether preventive and interventions
24
25 strategies should be gender-specific.
26
27
28

29
30 One of the strengths of the present study was however the strict standardization of
31
32 methodology used to measure physical activity, and the fact that all women were compliant
33
34 with the measurements procedures. All women had 7 valid days with at least 10 hours of
35
36 registered time during waking hours. Indeed, the mean daily accelerometer wear time was
37
38 14 ± 1.8 hours/day. We do not know whether women modified their habitual sedentary
39
40 behavior or physical activity during the days they were monitored despite they were advised
41
42 to keep on with their normal life. To avoid any kind of immediate reactivity, we removed
43
44 from the analysis the first day of monitoring.
45
46

47
48 Modifiable lifestyle factors, such as physical activity, may have a great potential as a
49
50 public health instrument to prevent and contribute to the treatment of fibromyalgia.
51
52 Longitudinal studies are also needed to further understand the predictive value of sedentary
53
54 behaviours and physical activity over the course of the disease, and whether preventive
55
56 strategies should start at the early stages of the disease development. To have an objective
57
58

1
2
3 estimate of the patient's sedentary behaviour as well as the engagement in physical activity
4
5 could be used as a potential tool to increase the effectiveness of treatment approaches as well
6
7 as to reduce disability and enhance quality of life in people with fibromyalgia. Indeed,
8
9 Fontaine et al.⁴⁶ observed that accumulating 30 minutes of moderate-intensity physical
10
11 activity throughout the day produces clinically relevant changes in perceived physical
12
13 function and pain in previously minimally active adults with fibromyalgia.
14
15

16
17 In summary, these data provide an objective measure of amount of time spent in
18
19 sedentary activities and in physical activity in women with fibromyalgia. These estimates can
20
21 be used for comparisons with other rheumatologic diseases, as baseline reference levels for
22
23 monitoring, and to assess the effectiveness of intervention strategies promoting physical
24
25 activity in women with fibromyalgia.
26
27

28 29 30 **Acknowledgments**

31
32 The authors gratefully acknowledge all women for their collaboration. We also acknowledge
33
34 the AGRAFIM (association of fibromyalgia from Granada, southern Spain) members
35
36 involved in the fieldwork for their effort and great enthusiasm.
37
38

39 40 41 **Funding**

42
43 This study was supported by the Consejería de Turismo, Comercio y Deporte (CTCD-
44
45 201000019242-TRA), the Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation (I+D+I DEP2010-
46
47 15639, grants: BES-2009-013442, BES-2011-047133, RYC-2010-05957, RYC-2011-09011),
48
49 the Spanish Ministry of Education (AP-2009-3173 and AP2010-0963), Granada Research of
50
51 Excellence Initiative on Biohealth (GREIB), Campus BioTic, University of Granada, Spain
52
53 and the European University of Madrid, Escuela de Estudios Universitarios Real Madrid
54
55 (2010/04RM).
56
57

Author contributions

JRR: Conception and design of the study, data collection, statistical analysis and interpretation of data, drafting the article

VSJ: Data collection, interpretation of data, and revising the article critically for important intellectual content

FOP: Conception and design of the study, interpretation of data, and revising the article critically for important intellectual content

IAG: Data collection, interpretation of data, and revising the article critically for important intellectual content

DCM: Data collection, interpretation of data, and revising the article critically for important intellectual content

VAA: Conception and design of the study, data collection, interpretation of data, and revising the article critically for important intellectual content

ACB: Conception and design of the study, data collection, interpretation of data, and revising the article critically for important intellectual content

PF: Statistical analysis, interpretation of data, and revising the article critically for important intellectual content

DMI: Interpretation of data, and revising the article critically for important intellectual content

MDF: Conception and design of the study, data collection, interpretation of data, and revising the article critically for important intellectual content

REFERENCES

1. Bennett RM. Clinical manifestations and diagnosis of fibromyalgia. *Rheum Dis Clin North Am* 2009;35(2):215-32.
2. Wilson HD, Robinson JP, Turk DC. Toward the identification of symptom patterns in people with fibromyalgia. *Arthritis Rheum* 2009;61(4):527-34.
3. Branco JC, Bannwarth B, Failde I, Abello Carbonell J, Blotman F, Spaeth M, et al. Prevalence of fibromyalgia: a survey in five European countries. *Semin Arthritis Rheum* 2010;39(6):448-53.
4. Thomas EN, Blotman F. Aerobic exercise in fibromyalgia: a practical review. *Rheumatol Int* 2010;30(9):1143-50.
5. Busch AJ, Webber SC, Brachaniec M, Bidonde J, Bello-Haas VD, Danyliw AD, et al. Exercise therapy for fibromyalgia. *Curr Pain Headache Rep* 2011;15(5):358-67.
6. Kelley GA, Kelley KS, Hootman JM, Jones DL. Exercise and global well-being in community-dwelling adults with fibromyalgia: a systematic review with meta-analysis. *BMC Public Health* 2010;10:198.
7. Hauser W, Klose P, Langhorst J, Moradi B, Steinbach M, Schiltenswolf M, et al. Efficacy of different types of aerobic exercise in fibromyalgia syndrome: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. *Arthritis Res Ther* 2010;12(3):R79.
8. Brosseau L, Wells GA, Tugwell P, Egan M, Wilson KG, Dubouloz CJ, et al. Ottawa Panel evidence-based clinical practice guidelines for aerobic fitness exercises in the management of fibromyalgia: part 1. *Phys Ther* 2008;88(7):857-71.
9. Blair SN. Physical inactivity: the biggest public health problem of the 21st century. *Br J Sports Med* 2009;43(1):1-2.

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
10. Matthews CE, George SM, Moore SC, Bowles HR, Blair A, Park Y, et al. Amount of time spent in sedentary behaviors and cause-specific mortality in US adults. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2012;95(2):437-45.
 11. Thorp AA, Owen N, Neuhaus M, Dunstan DW. Sedentary behaviors and subsequent health outcomes in adults a systematic review of longitudinal studies, 1996-2011. *Am J Prev Med* 2011;41(2):207-15.
 12. Kaleth AS, Ang DC, Chakr R, Tong Y. Validity and reliability of community health activities model program for seniors and short-form international physical activity questionnaire as physical activity assessment tools in patients with fibromyalgia. *Disabil Rehabil* 2010;32(5):353-9.
 13. McLoughlin MJ, Colbert LH, Stegner AJ, Cook DB. Are women with fibromyalgia less physically active than healthy women? *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2011;43(5):905-12.
 14. Munguia-Izquierdo D, Legaz-Arrese A, Mannerkorpi K. Transcultural adaptation and psychometric properties of a Spanish-language version of physical activity instruments for patients with fibromyalgia. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2011;92(2):284-94.
 15. Mannerkorpi K, Hernelid C. Leisure Time Physical Activity Instrument and Physical Activity at Home and Work Instrument. Development, face validity, construct validity and test-retest reliability for subjects with fibromyalgia. *Disabil Rehabil* 2005;27(12):695-701.
 16. Mork PJ, Vasseljen O, Nilsen TI. Association between physical exercise, body mass index, and risk of fibromyalgia: longitudinal data from the Norwegian Nord-Trondelag Health Study. *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken)* 2010;62(5):611-7.

- 1
2
3 17. van Poppel MN, Chinapaw MJ, Mokkink LB, van Mechelen W, Terwee CB. Physical
4
5 activity questionnaires for adults: a systematic review of measurement properties.
6
7 *Sports Med* 2010;40(7):565-600.
8
- 9
10 18. Freedson P, Bowles HR, Troiano R, Haskell W. Assessment of physical activity using
11
12 wearable monitors: recommendations for monitor calibration and use in the field. *Med*
13
14 *Sci Sports Exerc* 2012;44(1 Suppl 1):S1-4.
15
- 16
17 19. Carbonell-Baeza A, Ruiz JR, Aparicio VA, Ortega FB, Munguia-Izquierdo D, Alvarez-
18
19 Gallardo IC, et al. Land- and water-based exercise intervention in women with
20
21 fibromyalgia: the al-Andalus physical activity randomised control trial. *BMC*
22
23 *Musculoskelet Disord* 2012;13(1):18.
24
- 25
26 20. WHO. Global Recommendations on Physical Activity for Health. World Health Organ
27
28 publications. Geneva, Switzerland. 2010.
- 29
30 21. U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES. Physical Activity and
31
32 Health: A Report of the Surgeon General. Atlanta, GA: U.S. Department of Health and
33
34 Human Services, Centres for Disease Control and Prevention, National Centre for
35
36 Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion.
37
38 (<http://www.cdc.gov/nccdphp/sgr/sgr.htm>, accessed 11 March 2008).
39
- 40
41 22. Wolfe F, Smythe HA, Yunus MB, Bennett RM, Bombardier C, Goldenberg DL, et al. The
42
43 American College of Rheumatology 1990 Criteria for the Classification of
44
45 Fibromyalgia. Report of the Multicenter Criteria Committee. *Arthritis Rheum*
46
47 1990;33(2):160-72.
48
- 49
50 23. Cardinal BJ, Esters J, Cardinal MK. Evaluation of the revised physical activity readiness
51
52 questionnaire in older adults. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 1996;28(4):468-72.
53
- 54
55 24. Rodriguez FA. Spanish version of the Physical Activity Readiness Questionnaire (C-
56
57 AAF/rPAR-Q)]. *Arch Med Deporte* 1996;13(51):63-68.
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 25. Rivera J, Gonzalez T. The Fibromyalgia Impact Questionnaire: a validated Spanish
4
5 version to assess the health status in women with fibromyalgia. *Clin Exp Rheumatol*
6
7 2004;22(5):554-60.
8
9
10 26. Burckhardt CS, Clark SR, Bennett RM. The fibromyalgia impact questionnaire:
11
12 development and validation. *J Rheumatol* 1991;18(5):728-33.
13
14 27. von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, Pocock SJ, Gotsche PC, Vandenbroucke JP. The
15
16 Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE)
17
18 statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies. *Ann Intern Med*
19
20 2007;147(8):573-7.
21
22
23 28. Troiano RP, Berrigan D, Dodd KW, Masse LC, Tilert T, McDowell M. Physical activity
24
25 in the United States measured by accelerometer. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*
26
27 2008;40(1):181-8.
28
29
30 29. Hagstromer M, Oja P, Sjostrom M. Physical activity and inactivity in an adult population
31
32 assessed by accelerometry. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2007;39(9):1502-8.
33
34 30. Matthews CE, Chen KY, Freedson PS, Buchowski MS, Beech BM, Pate RR, et al.
35
36 Amount of time spent in sedentary behaviors in the United States, 2003-2004. *Am J*
37
38 *Epidemiol* 2008;167(7):875-81.
39
40
41 31. Freedson PS, Melanson E, Sirard J. Calibration of the Computer Science and
42
43 Applications, Inc. accelerometer. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 1998;30(5):777-81.
44
45 32. Bennett R. The Fibromyalgia Impact Questionnaire (FIQ): a review of its development,
46
47 current version, operating characteristics and uses. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 2005;23(5
48
49 Suppl 39):S154-62.
50
51
52 33. Bennett RM, Bushmakina AG, Cappelleri JC, Zlateva G, Sadosky AB. Minimal clinically
53
54 important difference in the fibromyalgia impact questionnaire. *J Rheumatol*
55
56 2009;36(6):1304-11.
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 34. Aparicio VA, Ortega FB, Carbonell-Baeza A, Camiletti D, Ruiz JR, Delgado-Fernandez
4
5 M. Relationship of weight status with mental and physical health in female
6
7 fibromyalgia patients. *Obes Facts* 2011;4(6):443-8.
8
9
10 35. Kop WJ, Lyden A, Berlin AA, Ambrose K, Olsen C, Gracely RH, et al. Ambulatory
11
12 monitoring of physical activity and symptoms in fibromyalgia and chronic fatigue
13
14 syndrome. *Arthritis Rheum* 2005;52(1):296-303.
15
16 36. Korszun A, Young EA, Engleberg NC, Brucksch CB, Greden JF, Crofford LA. Use of
17
18 actigraphy for monitoring sleep and activity levels in patients with fibromyalgia and
19
20 depression. *J Psychosom Res* 2002;52(6):439-43.
21
22 37. Ellingson LD, Shields MR, Stegner AJ, Cook DB. Physical activity, sustained sedentary
23
24 behavior, and pain modulation in women with fibromyalgia. *J Pain* 2012;13(2):195-
25
26 206.
27
28 38. Kashikar-Zuck S, Flowers SR, Verkamp E, Ting TV, Lynch-Jordan AM, Graham TB, et
29
30 al. Actigraphy-based physical activity monitoring in adolescents with juvenile primary
31
32 fibromyalgia syndrome. *J Pain* 2010;11(9):885-93.
33
34
35 39. Tucker JM, Welk GJ, Beyler NK. Physical activity in U.S.: adults compliance with the
36
37 Physical Activity Guidelines for Americans. *Am J Prev Med* 2011;40(4):454-61.
38
39 40. Colley RC, Garriguet D, Janssen I, Craig CL, Clarke J, Tremblay MS. Physical activity of
40
41 Canadian adults: accelerometer results from the 2007 to 2009 Canadian Health
42
43 Measures Survey. *Health reports / Statistics Canada, Canadian Centre for Health*
44
45 *Information = Rapports sur la sante / Statistique Canada, Centre canadien*
46
47 *d'information sur la sante* 2011;22(1):7-14.
48
49
50 41. Pate RR, O'Neill JR, Lobelo F. The evolving definition of "sedentary". *Exerc Sport Sci*
51
52 *Rev* 2008;36(4):173-8.
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 42. Baptista F, Santos DA, Silva AM, Mota J, Santos R, Vale S, et al. Prevalence of the
4
5 Portuguese population attaining sufficient physical activity. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*
6
7 2012;44(3):466-73.
8
9
10 43. Cooper AR, Page A, Fox KR, Misson J. Physical activity patterns in normal, overweight
11
12 and obese individuals using minute-by-minute accelerometry. *Eur J Clin Nutr*
13
14 2000;54(12):887-94.
15
16 44. Aparicio VA, Ortega FB, Carbonell-Baeza A, Tercedor P, Ruiz JR, Delgado-Fernandez
17
18 M. Are there gender differences in quality of life and fibromyalgia symptomatology?
19
20 *Am J Mens Health* In press.
21
22 45. Miro E, Diener FN, Martinez MP, Sanchez AI, Valenza MC. [Fibromyalgia in men and
23
24 women: comparison of the main clinical symptoms]. *Psicothema* 2012;24(1):10-5.
25
26
27 46. Fontaine KR, Conn L, Clauw DJ. Effects of lifestyle physical activity on perceived
28
29 symptoms and physical function in adults with fibromyalgia: results of a randomized
30
31 trial. *Arthritis Res Ther* 2010;12(2):R55.
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Table 1. Sedentary time and physical activity levels in women with fibromyalgia, by age group, body mass index, waist circumference and percentage body fat category, years since clinical diagnosis, fibromyalgia impact, marital status, educational level and occupational status^a.

	Sedentary (hours/day)			Average PA (counts/minutes)			Moderate PA (min/day)			MVPA (min/day)		
	N	Mean	95% CI	Mean	95% CI	Mean	95% CI	Mean	95% CI	Mean	95% CI	
Age groups (years)												
18-50	41	10.0	9.6 10.5	222	196 248	51	43 59	52	44 60			
51-75	52	9.9	9.5 10.3	219	195 242	50	43 58	52	44 59			
P value			0.65		0.86		0.96		0.98			
Body mass index ^b category (kg/m ²)												
< 25	29	10.3	9.7 10.8	229	199 260	56	47 65	58	48 67			
25-30	34	9.5	9.0 10.0	235	207 263	53	44 61	54	45 63			
> 30	27	10.1	9.6 10.7	195	162 227	42	32 52	43	33 54			
P for trend			0.63		0.14		0.056		0.051			
Waist circumference category (cm)												
≤80	44	10.2	9.7 10.6	233	208 257	54	47 62	56	48 64			
>80	46	9.7	9.3 10.2	209	185 234	47	39 54	48	40 56			
P value			0.157		0.187		0.155		0.139			
Body fat (%)												
< 30	13	10.6	9.8 11.4	210	164 256	48	34 63	50	35 65			
≥ 30	76	9.8	9.5 10.2	223	204 242	51	45 57	52	46 58			
P value			0.93		0.605		0.709		0.774			
Years since clinical diagnosis												
≤ 5 years	47	9.9	9.5 10.3	224	200 248	53	46 61	54	47 62			
> 5 years	45	9.8	9.3 10.2	219	194 244	49	41 56	50	43 58			
P value			0.650		0.765		0.420		0.489			
Fibromyalgia severity (score) ^c												
< 70	42	9.9	9.4 10.3	226	200 251	51	43 60	53	45 61			
≥ 70	50	10.0	9.6 10.5	215	191 238	49	42 57	50	43 58			
P value			0.632		0.546		0.725		0.636			
Marital status												

Married	72	9.9	9.6	10.3	217	198	237	50	43	56	51	44	57
Unmarried	22	10.0	9.4	10.7	229	194	264	54	43	65	56	44	67
P value			0.710			0.564			0.510			0.436	
Educational level													
Below university degree	71	9.9	9.6	10.3	222	203	242	51	45	57	53	46	59
University degree	22	10.2	9.5	10.8	218	182	253	50	39	61	51	40	63
P value			0.519			0.814			0.791			0.830	
Occupational status													
Working	29	9.8	9.3	10.3	222	196	247	51	43	59	52	44	60
Unemployed	41	10.1	9.6	10.7	236	205	266	55	45	64	57	47	66
Retired	24	10.0	9.3	10.6	197	163	232	45	34	56	46	35	57
P value			0.619			0.107			0.187			0.159	

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; PA, physical activity; MVPA, moderate- to vigorous-intensity physical activity.

^a Estimates and P values were adjusted for registered time.

^b Weight (kg)/height (m)².

^c Assessed with the Fibromyalgia Impact Questionnaire.

Analyses were conducted with physical activity and sedentary outcome variables logarithmically transformed to obtain a normal distribution, yet crude values are presented in the table for easier interpretation.

Table 2. Prevalence of meeting the physical activity recommendations (30 min/day of moderate-to-vigorous-intensity physical activity) on at least 5 days a week.

	N	%	95%CI		Frequency
Age groups (years)					
18-50	41	68.3	51.9	81.9	28
51-75	52	53.8	39.5	67.8	28
Body mass index category (kg/m ²)					
< 25	29	65.5	45.7	82.1	19
25-30	34	67.6	49.5	82.6	23
> 30	27	48.1	28.7	68.1	13
Waist circumference category (cm)					
≤80	44	68.2	52.4	81.4	30
>80	46	54.3	39.0	69.1	25
Body fat (%)					
< 30	13	61.5	31.6	86.1	8
≥ 30	76	61.8	50.0	72.8	47
Years since clinical diagnosis					
≤ 5 years	47	70.2	55.1	82.7	33
> 5 years	45	53.3	37.9	68.3	24
Fibromyalgia severity					
< 70	42	66.7	50.5	80.4	28
≥ 70	50	58	43.2	71.8	29
Marital status					
Married	72	61.1	48.9	72.4	44
Unmarried	22	59.1	36.4	79.3	13
Educational level					
Below university degree	71	62.0	49.7	73.2	44
University degree	22	59.1	0.0	0.0	13
Occupational status					
Working	29	62.1	42.3	79.3	18
Unemployed	41	68.3	51.9	81.9	28
Retired	24	45.8	25.6	67.2	11
All	94	60.6	52.5	73.2	57

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval (expressed in %).

^a Assessed with the Fibromyalgia Impact Questionnaire.

Table 3. Odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (CI) of meeting the physical activity recommendations (30 min/day of moderate-to-vigorous-intensity physical activity, 5 of 7 days) by age group, body mass index, waist circumference and percentage body fat category, years since clinical diagnosis, marital status, educational level and occupational status.

	OR	95% CI		P value
Age groups (years)				
18-50	Ref.			
51-75	0.542	0.231	1.273	0.160
Body mass index category (kg/m ²)				
> 30	Ref.			
25-30	2.252	0.794	6.385	0.127
< 25	2.046	0.698	5.997	0.192
Waist circumference category (cm)				
≤80	Ref.			
>80	0.556	0.235	1.312	0.180
Body fat category (%)				
< 30	Ref.			
≥ 30	0.987	0.297	3.309	0.983
Years since clinical diagnosis				
≤ 5 years	Ref.			
> 5 years	0.485	0.206	1.142	0.098
Fibromyalgia severity (score)*				
< 70	Ref.			
≥ 70	0.690	0.294	1.620	0.395
Marital status				
Married	Ref.			
Unmarried	0.919	0.347	2.432	0.865
Educational level				
Below university degree	Ref.			
University degree	0.886	0.334	2.351	0.809
Occupational status				
Retired	Ref.			
Unemployed	2.545	0.902	7.187	0.078
Working	1.934	0.645	5.803	0.239

Abbreviations: Ref., Reference group.

* Assessed with the Fibromyalgia Impact Questionnaire.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49

Table 4. Sedentary time and physical activity levels during weekdays (Monday to Friday) and during weekends (Saturday and Sunday) in women with fibromyalgia.

	Week		Weekend		Difference		
	mean	sd	mean	sd	mean	95% CI	P value
Sedentary (hours/day)	10.0	2.2	9.6	2.5	0.4	0.0 0.8	0.051
Average PA (counts/min)	225.1	88.5	201.0	98.0	24.0	7.8 40.2	0.004
Moderate PA (min/day)	53.1	28.4	42.6	28.0	10.5	5.5 15.5	<0.001
MVPA (min/day)	54.3	29.1	43.8	29.7	10.6	5.5 15.6	<0.001


Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; PA, physical activity; MVPA, moderate-to-vigorous-intensity physical activity; sd, Standard deviation. Analyses were conducted with physical activity and sedentary outcome variables logarithmically transformed to obtain a normal distribution, yet crude values are presented in the table for easier interpretation.

1
2
3 **Figure legend**
4

5 **Figure 1.** Sedentary time and physical activity (PA) mean time by week and weekend days.
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

For peer review only

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60



146x90mm (300 x 300 DPI)

Review only

STROBE 2007 (v4) checklist of items to be included in reports of observational studies in epidemiology*
Checklist for cohort, case-control, and cross-sectional studies (combined)

Section/Topic	Item #	Recommendation	Reported on page #
Title and abstract	1	(a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract	1
		(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found	2
Introduction			
Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported	3
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any pre-specified hypotheses	4
Methods			
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	6
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection	6
Participants	6	(a) <i>Cohort study</i> —Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants. Describe methods of follow-up <i>Case-control study</i> —Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of case ascertainment and control selection. Give the rationale for the choice of cases and controls <i>Cross-sectional study</i> —Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants	6
		(b) <i>Cohort study</i> —For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed and unexposed <i>Case-control study</i> —For matched studies, give matching criteria and the number of controls per case	6
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable	6-9
Data sources/ measurement	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group	6-9
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	6
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	6
Quantitative variables	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why	9
Statistical methods	12	(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding	9
		(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions	9
		(c) Explain how missing data were addressed	9
		(d) <i>Cohort study</i> —If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed <i>Case-control study</i> —If applicable, explain how matching of cases and controls was addressed	9

		<i>Cross-sectional study</i> —If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy	
		(e) Describe any sensitivity analyses	9
Results			
Participants	13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage (c) Consider use of a flow diagram	10-11
Descriptive data	14*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confounders (b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest (c) <i>Cohort study</i> —Summarise follow-up time (eg, average and total amount)	10-11
Outcome data	15*	<i>Cohort study</i> —Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures over time <i>Case-control study</i> —Report numbers in each exposure category, or summary measures of exposure <i>Cross-sectional study</i> —Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures	10-11
Main results	16	(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included (b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized (c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period	
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses	
Discussion			
Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives	12
Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias	15-16
Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence	15-16
Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	15-16
Other information			
Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based	17

*Give information separately for cases and controls in case-control studies and, if applicable, for exposed and unexposed groups in cohort and cross-sectional studies.

Note: An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at <http://www.plosmedicine.org/>, Annals of Internal Medicine at <http://www.annals.org/>, and Epidemiology at <http://www.epidem.com/>). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at www.strobe-statement.org.