## **Supplementary Methods**

#### RNA isolation and qRT-PCR from serum and cell culture medium

RNA extraction and miRNA enrichment from all sera and culture media samples were performed using the Qiagen miRNeasy Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA). Briefly, 250 uL of serum or medium was thawed on ice and centrifuged at 10,000 rpm for 5 minutes to remove cell debris. Next, same amount of the starting material (200 uL) of supernatant was lysed in 5 volumes of Qiazol solution. To normalize any inadvertent sample-to-sample variations during the RNA isolation procedure, Reverse Transcription (RT) and PCR reaction, 25 fmol of synthetic C. elegans miRNA (cel-miR-39) was added to each denatured sample. Small RNAs were then enriched and purified following the manufacturer's protocol, with the exception that the enriched small RNAs were eluted in 40 uL of nuclease-free water. For miRNA-based RT-PCR assays, 1.67 uL of enriched small RNAs from serum or cell-culture medium were reverse-transcribed using the TaqMan MicroRNA Reverse Transcription Kit (Applied Biosystems, San Diego, CA) in a total volume of 5.0 uL. A 1:15 dilution of RT products was used as template for the PCR. PCR reactions for quantifying miR-21, miR-31 and cel-miR-39 were performed in duplicate, with TaqMan 2× Universal PCR Master Mix, using conditions previously described (1).

#### RNA isolation and qRT-PCR from formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tissues

Total RNA was isolated from FFPE samples using the RecoverAll Total Nucleic Acid Isolation Kit (Ambion Inc., Austin, TX). Briefly, tissue sections were microdissected to enrich for neoplastic cells, followed by deparaffinization and RNA extraction using the manufacturer's protocol. Total RNA was eluted in the appropriate buffer, and quantified using a NanoDrop Spectrophotometer (NanoDrop Technologies, Wilmington, DE). Reverse transcription reactions were carried out using the TaqMan MicroRNA Reverse Transcription Kit (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) in a total reaction volume of 15 uL. *MiR-21, miR-31* and *miR-16* were quantified in duplicate by qRT-PCR, using MicroRNA Assay Kits (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). qRT-PCR was performed on an Applied Biosystems 7000 Sequence Detection System with the following cycling conditions: 95°C for 10 min, followed by 45 cycles of 95°C for 15s and 60°C for 1 min. Cycle threshold (Ct) values were calculated by using same threshold cut-off values for each assay to prevent plate-to-plate variations while analyzing data with the SDS 1.4 software (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA).

#### Calculation of miRNA expression

Expression levels of serum or tissue miRNAs were normalized using cel-*miR-39* (for serum or cell media culture samples) and *miR-16* (for tissue samples) using the  $2^{-\Delta Ct}$  method. Differences between the groups are presented as  $\Delta Ct$ , indicating differences between Ct values of miRNAs of interest and Ct values of normalizer miRNAs.

## Reference

1. Kroh EM, Parkin RK, Mitchell PS, Tewari M. Analysis of circulating microRNA biomarkers in plasma and serum using quantitative reverse transcription-PCR (qRT-PCR). *Methods*.2010;50(4):298-301.

	All CRC patients	Patients analyzed for serum analysis	Patients analyzed for tissue analysis	Patients with adenomas	Healthy controls	Pţ
Characteristics	n=200	n=186	n=166	n=43	n=53	
Age(years)						
Mean±SD	67.5±7.5	-	-	66±9.8	64±12.9	0.15
Gender						
Male	117	106	100	30	27	0.62
0.Female	83	80	66	13	26	
TNM stage						
I	46	45	37	-	-	-
Ш	62	57	51	-	-	-
III	48	43	43	-	-	-
IV	44	41	35	-	-	-

Supplementary Table 1: Patient characteristics for serum and tissue *miR-21* expression analysis in the validation set\*

\*CRC: colorectal cancer; TNM: tumor-node-metastasis staging system; SD: Standard Deviation ; ns: not significance †*P* values are two-sided and estimating using the Kruskal–Wallis tests or Chi-square test, as appropriate.

# Supplementary Table 2: Actual Numbers divided by optimal cutoff value and the associated values for sensitivity, specificity, PPV and NPV\*

		CRC	Controls	Tota	
serum <i>miR-21</i> >0	.0019(cutoff)	154	5	160	
serum <i>miR-21 <u>&lt;</u>0</i>	.0019(cutoff)	32	48	8 79	
Total		186	53	239	
1		T			
PPV (%)	96.3	Sensitiv	vity (%)	82.8	
		0	- 14 (0/)	90.6	

### Colorectal Cancer (CRC) vs. Controls

Adenoma vs. Controls

		Adenoma	Controls	Total	
serum miR-21	>0.0013(cutoff)	33	10	43	
serum miR-21	<u>&lt;</u> 0.0013(cutoff)	10	43	53	
Total		43	53	96	
PPV (%)	76.7	Sensitiv	vity (%)	76.7	
NPV (%)	81.1	Specific	;ity (%)	81.1	

\*PPV: Positive Predictive Value; NPV: Negative Predictive Value

CRC vs. Controls				Adenoma vs. Controls				
Sensiti vity	Specifi city	optimal cutoff	95% CI	Sensiti vity	Specifi city	optimal cutoff	95% CI	
91.94	81.13	>0.0013	0.0009-0. 00134	81.13	76.74	>0.0013	0.0010-0. 00134	
Estima	Estimated specificity at fixed sensitivity			Estimated specificity at fixed sensitivity				
Sensiti vity	Specifi city	95% CI	cutoff	Sensiti vity	Specifi city	95% CI	cutoff	
80	92.45	79.25 - 98.11	>0.002	80	58.49	15.03 - 84.91	>0.0012	
90	83.02	50.94 - 92.45	>0.0015	90	35.85	5.66 - 64.15	>0.0009	
95	45.28	19.27 - 73.58	>0.001	95	15.09	0.53 - 52.83	>0.0006	
97.5	24.53	3.53 - 45.28	>0.0007	97.5	9.43	0.87 - 35.85	>0.0004	
Estima	Estimated sensitivity at fixed specificity			Estimated specificity at fixed sensitivity				
Specifi city	Sensiti vity	95% CI	cutoff	Specifi city	Sensiti vity	95% CI	cutoff	
80	91.94	84.41 - 96.39	>0.0013	80	76.74	58.14 - 90.70	>0.0013	
90	82.8	68.82 - 92.47	>0.0019	90	60.47	25.58 - 76.74	>0.0019	
95	73.66	57.23 - 84.41	>0.0026	95	34.88	13.54 - 65.12	>0.0026	
97.5	64.15	53.83 - 79.57	>0.0033	97.5	25.58	7.24 - 51.16	>0.0032	

Supplementary rapid 5. Sensitivity and Specificity after ROC analysis using Douistrap Method
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

\* Bootstrap bias-correction and accelerated bootstrap methods used. Repeating times:1000. CI: Confidence Interval

Methods*							
		AUC	SE	95% CI	<i>P</i> †		
CRC vs.	ROCCH	0.935	0.06	0.812-0.982	0.90		
Controls	adjusted* ROCCH	0.919	0.02	0.867-0.958	0.80		
Adenoma vs. Controls	ROCCH	0.838	0.11	0.619-0.964	0.94		
	adjusted* ROCCH	0.813	0.06	0.691-0.910	0.64		

Supplementary Table 4: Comparison between ROCCH and adjusted ROCCH by Bootstrap

\* Bootstrap bias-correction and accelerated bootstrap methods used for adjusting. Repeating times:1000. CRC: Colorectal Cancer; ROCCH:

Receiver Operating Characteristic Convex Hull; AUC: Area under the ROC curve; SE: Standard Error CI: Confidence Intervals

†A two-sided z-test was used to compare the AUCs of two ROC curves