Supporting Information

Park et al. 10.1073/pnas.1300460110

SI Materials and Methods

Molecular Biology. The cDNA of AP-1 μ -adaptin 2 (AP1M2) was obtained from the RIKEN Plant Science Center and isolated by PCR using primers AP1M2-forward (F) and AP1M2-reverse (R). Internal tags [myelocytomatosis viral oncogene homolog (myc) or 3×HA (hemagglutinin)] were introduced by a PCR approach using the primers shown in Table S3. Each tagging site is shown in Fig. S1. AP1M2 with internal myc (AP1M2:myc) was ligated to the binary vector (XbaI/blunt end) pCsV1300 with cassava vein mosaic virus promoter (pCsVMV) to generate pCsVMV::AP1M2:myc. For AP1M2pro:::AP1M2:3×HA::AP1M2_{3UTR}, a binary plasmid containing AP1M2 cis-regulatory sequences was generated as follows: a genomic fragment corresponding to the 3' UTR region of At1g60780 (approximately 0.3 kb) was PCR-amplified and subcloned into the *pGreenIIBASTA* plasmid (EcoRV/PstI). Next, a genomic fragment including the promoter and 5' UTR of At1g60780 (approximately 1.5 kb) was PCR-amplified and further subcloned into the pGreenIIBASTA::AP1M23UTR plasmid (Acc65I/XhoI). PCR-amplified AP1M2:3×HA was inserted into the pGreenIIBASTA::AP1M2pro::AP1M2JUTR plasmid (XhoI/ EcoRV). For 35S::AP1M1:3×myc, a AP1M1 genomic fragment was isolated from the Arabidopsis thaliana genome and followed by insertion of the three times repeated myc epitope (BamH1) (F. El Kasmi, M. Werner, and G.J., Entwicklungsgenetik, ZMBP, University of Tübingen, Tübingen, Germany). AP1M1:3×myc was subcloned into the 35S expression vector (SmaI/XbaI). For GST: α -adaptin, HA: α -adaptin (1) was blunted after digestion with Acc65I and further ligated to the SmaI-cleaved pGEX-5X-3 plasmid (GE Healthcare). For GST: γ -adaptin, γ -adaptin was amplified by PCR using primers γ -F and γ -R and ligated to the pGEX-5X-1 (EcoRI/XhoI; GE Healthcare). For maltose-binding protein (MBP):AP1M2, AP1M2 was PCR-amplified using primers AP1M2-F2 and AP2M2-R2 and ligated to pMAL-c2X (BamHI/XbaI). For the list of primers used, see Table S3.

Plant Material, Growth Condition, and Transformation. Arabidopsis thaliana—ecotype Columbia (Col) or Wassilewskija (Ws)—plants were grown on soil or on Murashige and Skoog (MS) plates at 23 °C in continuous light. For protoplast preparation, Arabidopsis thaliana plants were grown on MS plates for 3 wk at 23 °C under long-day condition. Wild-type (WT) or heterozygous plants of either ap1m2-1 [resistant to both kanamycin (KAN) and phosphino-thricin (PPT); purchased from the Versailles Arabidopsis Stock Center] and or hap13 (resistant to phosphinothricin) (2) were transformed with Agrobacterium tumefaciens, using the floral-dip method (3). GFP:ARA7 (4) and PIN-FORMED 2 (PIN2):GFP (5) transgenic plants or heterozygous plants of ap1m2-1.

Genetic Analysis. T1 plants grown on soil from bulk-harvested seeds were selected for transformants either with a 1:1,000 diluted BASTA (183 g/L glufosinate; AgrEvo) or with hygromycin (20 mg/L; Duchefa). Selected resistant plants were genotyped. PCR genotyping was as follows: for *ap1m2-1*, FLAG-LB4 and RP for a T-DNA insertion, amplifying a 0.7-kb fragment, and LP and RP for an endogenous *AP1M2*; for *hap13*, LB1a and RP-h13 for a T-DNA insertion, amplifying a 0.9-kb fragment, and LP and RP-h13 for an endogenous *AP1M2*; for *AP1M2:*3x-*HA*, primers HAs and M2-as, which amplify a 1-kb fragment; for *AP1M2:myc*, primers mycs and M2-as, which amplify a 0.8-kb fragment; and Sekb fragment. Genomic DNA was isolated

Park et al. www.pnas.org/cgi/content/short/1300460110

using a cetyltrimethylammonium bromide-based miniscale protocol as reported previously (6). For seedling observation, seeds were germinated on solid medium [2.15 g/L MS salts, 1 g/L MES, 1% (wt/vol) sucrose (pH 5.6)] in the same growth condition as described above. Segregation of antibiotics resistance was counted on PPT-supplemented (15 mg/L) and/or KAN-supplemented (30 mg/L) medium.

Transient Expression and in Vivo Targeting of Reporter Cargo Proteins. Plasmid DNA was introduced into protoplasts from leaf tissues by

the polyethylene glycol-mediated transformation method as described previously (7). The expression and trafficking of GFPfused cargoes, vacuolar sorting receptor (VSR)1:HA (8), and HA: δ -adaptin (1) were examined by Western blot analysis or by confocal fluorescence microscopy at different time points.

Western Blot Analysis and Coimmunoprecipitation. For immunoblot analysis, protein extracts were prepared with lysis buffer [50 mM Tris (pH 7.5), 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1% Triton X-100] supplemented with an EDTA-free protease inhibitors mixture (Roche Diagnostics). Antibodies of anti-GFP (rabbit; 1:1,000; Clontech), anti-binding protein (BiP) (rabbit; 1:3,000) (8), anti-tubulin (mouse; 1:5,000; Sigma-Aldrich), anti-myc (1:1,000; Cell Signaling), anti- γ -adaptin (rabbit; 1:1,000), anti- α -adaptin (rabbit; 1:1,000) (9), or anti– γ -subunit of coatmer complex (γ COP) (rabbit; 1:2,000; Agrisera), anti-VSR (rabbit, 1:1,000) (8), anti-actin (MP Biomedicals), and peroxidase (POD)-conjugated anti-HA (1:1,000, Roche Diagnostics) were used to detect the indicated proteins. POD-conjugated secondary antibodies (1:7,000, Sigma-Aldrich) were used in all immunoblot analyses. Membranes were developed using a chemiluminescence detection system (Fusion Fx7 Imager; PEQlab) or an LAS3000 image capture system (Fujifilm).

For coimmunoprecipitation experiments, protein extracts from protoplasts were prepared in immunoprecipitation buffer [20 mM Hepes (pH 7.5), 125 mM potassium acetate, 2.5 mM magnesium acetate Mg(OAc)₂, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM EGTA, 1 mM DTT, 0.1% Triton X-100, 0.1% NP-20, 1 mM protease inhibitor mixture]. Protein extract was incubated with anti-myc (Cell signaling technology) or anti-T7 (Novagen) antibody at 4 °C for 3 h and followed by incubation with protein A-agarose beads (Repligen) for 1 h, except for the experiment shown in Fig. S6C, in which protein G-agarose was used instead. The beads were washed three times with immunoprecipitation buffer and subjected to Western blot analysis.

Cell Fractionation. Total protein was extracted from WT (Ws) and *ap1m2-1* mutant seedlings, using a fractionation buffer [20 mM Hepes (pH 7.5), 125 mM potassium acetate, 2.5 mM Mg(OAc)₂, 125 mM sucrose, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM EGTA, 1 mM DTT, 1 mM protease inhibitor mixture]. After discarding cell debris, the supernatant (approximately 15 mg) was ultracentrifuged at 195,000 × g for 1 h at 4 °C. Resulting soluble (supernatant) and membrane fractions were subjected to immunoblot analysis.

Pull-Down Assay. Recombinant proteins GST:γ-adaptin and MBP: AP1M2 were purified from BL21(DE3) bacterial cells, using glutathione–agarose beads (Thermo Scientific) and amylase resin (New England Biolabs), respectively, and further incubated with protein extracts from seedlings, using pull-down buffer [20 mM Hepes (pH 7.5), 125 mM potassium acetate, 2.5 mM Mg(OAc)₂, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM EGTA, 0.1% Triton X-100, 0.1% NP-20, 1 mM PMSF, protease mixture]. The beads were washed three times with the same volume of pull-down buffer and subjected to immunoblot analysis. Semiquantitative RT-PCR Analysis of Transcripts. To determine the transcript level in the mutant plants, total RNA was isolated from the 7-d-old seedlings grown on plates using an RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen). Total RNA (2 μ g) was reverse-transcribed using SuperScript II (Invitrogen) with random primers mixed with oligo (dT) primers. Equal amount of cDNA was used for PCR with gene specific primers listed in Table S3. PCR products were analyzed by ethidium bromide staining.

Anti– γ -Adaptin Antibody Generation. To generate anti– γ -adaptin antibody, the full-length γ -adaptin was expressed as a fusion protein with MBP using the pMAL-c2 vector (New England Biolabs). Recombinant MBP: γ -adaptin was expressed in *E. coli* BL21(DE3) strain and purified using amylose resin (New England Biolabs). MBP: γ -adaptin was used to immunize rabbits and antibody was affinity-purified using recombinant proteins. To confirm the specificity of the anti– γ -adaptin antibody, protein extracts from protoplasts transformed with *HA*: γ -adaptin were used in Western blot analysis (1).

Immunohistochemistry. Immunostaining of root tissues was performed as reported previously (10). In brief, 5-d-old seedlings were fixed in 4% (wt/vol) paraformaldehyde and labeled with the indicated antibodies. For immunofluorescence, anti-HA (mouse; 1:1,000; BAbCO), anti- γ COP (rabbit; 1:600; Agrisera), anti-syntaxin of plants 61 (SYP61) (rabbit; 1:600; a gift from N. Raikhel, University of Califonia Riverside, Riverside, CA), anti-clathrin heavy chain (CHC) (rabbit; 1:1,000) (11), anti-KNOLLE (rabbit; 1:4,000) (10), anti-tubulin (rat; 1:1,000; Abcam), or anti-PIN2 (rabbit, 1:600) (5) antibodies were used. As the secondary antibody, FITC-labeled anti-mouse IgG (1:600; Dianova), Cy3-labeled anti-rabbit IgG (1:600; Invitrogen), or FITC-labeled anti-rat IgG (1:600; Dianova) were used.

Chemical Treatment. Five-day-old seedlings were treated with 50 μ M brefeldin A (BFA) (50 mM stock solution in 1:1 DMSO/

- Lee GJ, et al. (2007) EpsinR2 interacts with clathrin, adaptor protein-3, AtVT112, and phosphatidylinositol-3-phosphate. Implications for EpsinR2 function in protein trafficking in plant cells. *Plant Physiol* 143(4):1561–1575.
- Johnson MA, et al. (2004) Arabidopsis hapless mutations define essential gametophytic functions. *Genetics* 168(2):971–982.
- Clough SJ, Bent AF (1998) Floral dip: A simplified method for Agrobacteriummediated transformation of Arabidopsis thaliana. *Plant J* 16(6):735–743.
- Lee GJ, Sohn EJ, Lee MH, Hwang I (2004) The Arabidopsis rab5 homologs rha1 and ara7 localize to the prevacuolar compartment. *Plant Cell Physiol* 45(9):1211–1220.
- Abas L, et al. (2006) Intracellular trafficking and proteolysis of the Arabidopsis auxinefflux facilitator PIN2 are involved in root gravitropism. Nat Cell Biol 8(3):249–256.
- Assaad FF, Huet Y, Mayer U, Jürgens G (2001) The cytokinesis gene KEULE encodes a Sec1 protein that binds the syntaxin KNOLLE. J Cell Biol 152(3):531–543.
- Jin JB, et al. (2001) A new dynamin-like protein, ADL6, is involved in trafficking from the trans-Golqi network to the central vacuole in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell* 13(7):1511–1526.

EtOH; Invitrogen) or 20 μ M wortmannin (20 mM stock solution in DMSO) for 1 h, followed by fixation or immediate observation. For FM4-64, 2 μ M FM4-64 was added to 5-d-old seedlings (4 mM stock solution in H₂O; Invitrogen). For simultaneous treatment with BFA and FM4-64, BFA was followed by addition of FM4-64. For BFA washout, BFA-treated seedlings for 1 h were transferred to new liquid medium and fixed 30 min after the transfer. For concanamycin A (ConcA) treatment, protoplasts isolated from WT seedlings were treated at indicated concentrations (1 mM stock solution in DMSO, Invitrogen). For cycloheximide (CHX) treatment, 50 μ M CHX was added to seedlings for 1 h (50 mM stock solution in H₂O; Sigma-Aldrich).

Ultrastructure Analysis. Root tips of 5-d-old seedlings were highpressure-frozen in 1-hexadecene (Merck Sharp and Dohme) using a Bal-Tec HPM 010 high-pressure freezer (Balzers). Frozen samples were freeze-substituted in acetone containing 2.5% (wt/ vol) osmium tetroxide (2 d at -90 °C, 6 h at -60 °C, 6 h at -30 °C, and 1 h at 0 °C) and finally embedded in epoxy resin (Roth). Ultrathin sections were stained with 2% (wt/vol) uranyl acetate in 50% ethanol for 10–20 min and lead citrate (3–5 min).

Software. Sequences were analyzed using Vector NTI (Invitrogen) or CLC DNA Workbench 5. Images were processed using Adobe Photoshop CS3 and Adobe Illustrator CS3. Quantification of confocal laser scanning microscopy (CLSM) images was done using Pearson and Spearman correlation coefficients (PSC) colocalization plugin of ImageJ [National Institutes of Health (NIH)] after adjusting the background level to 5 in five independently taken images according to instructions in the paper by French et al. (12). Signal intensity in immunoblot analyses were determined using ImageJ (NIH). Pixel count in live seed-lings was done using Leica LAS in three or four independently taken images.

- Kim H, Park M, Kim SJ, Hwang I (2005) Actin filaments play a critical role in vacuolar trafficking at the Golgi complex in plant cells. *Plant Cell* 17(3):888–902.
- Song K, et al. (2012) An A/ENTH domain-containing protein functions as an adaptor for clathrin-coated vesicles on the growing cell plate in Arabidopsis root cells. *Plant Physiol* 159(3):1013–1025.
- Lauber MH, et al. (1997) The Arabidopsis KNOLLE protein is a cytokinesis-specific syntaxin. J Cell Biol 139(6):1485–1493.
- Song J, Lee MH, Lee GJ, Yoo CM, Hwang I (2006) Arabidopsis EPSIN1 plays an important role in vacuolar trafficking of soluble cargo proteins in plant cells via interactions with clathrin, AP-1, VTI11, and VSR1. *Plant Cell* 18(9):2258–2274.
- French AP, Mills S, Swarup R, Bennett MJ, Pridmore TP (2008) Colocalization of fluorescent markers in confocal microscope images of plant cells. Nat Protoc 3(4):619–628.

| AP1M1 AP1M2 AP2M AP3M AP4M | MAGAASALFLLDIKGRVLVWRDYRGDVTAAQAERFFTKLIETEGDS-QSNDPVAYDNGVT MAGAASALFLLDIKGRVLVWRDYRGDVSAAQAERFFTKLIEKEGDS-QSNDPVAYDNGVT MPVAASAIYFLNLRGDVLINRTYRDDVGGNMVDAFRTHIMQTKE-LGNCPVRQIGGCS MLQCIFLISDSGEVMLEKQLTGHRVDRSICAWFWDQYISQGDS-FKALPVIASPTHY MMISQFFVLSQRGDNIVFRDYRAEVPKGSTETFFRKVKFWKEDGNAEAPPIFNVDGVN |
|--|--|
| | * * . |
| AP1M1 AP1M2 AP2M AP3M AP4M | YMFVQHSNIYLMIASRQNCNAASLLFFLHRVVDVFKHYFEE-LEEESLRDNFVVVYELLD YMFVQHSNVYLMIASRQNCNAASLLFFLHRVVDVFKHYFEE-LEEESLRDNFVVVYELLD FVYMRISNVYIVIVVSSNANVACGFKFVVEAVALFKSYFGGAFDEDAIRNNFVLIYELLD LFQIVRDGITFLACSQVEMPPLMAIEFLCRVADVLSEYLGG-LNEDLIKDNFIIVYELLD YFHVKVVGLYFVATTRVNVSPSLVLELLQRIARVIKDYLGV-LNEDSFRKNFVLVYELLD |
| | |
| AP1M1 | 3XHA EMMDFGYPOFTEARILSEFIKTDAYRMEVT-ORPPMAVTNSVSWR |
| AP1M2 | EMMDFGYPQYTEARILSEFIKTDAYRMEVT-QRPPMAVTNAVSWR |
| AP2M | EIMDFGYPQNLSPEILKLYITQEGVRSPFS-SKPKDKPVPNATLQVTGAVGWR |
| AP3M | EMIDNGFPLTTEPSILKEMIAPPNLVSKMLSVVTGNASNVSDTLPSGAGSCVPWR |
| AP4M | EVIDFGYVQTTSTEVLKSYIFNEPIVVSPARLQPIDPAAIFTQGAKRMPGTAVTKSVVAN |
| | |
| AP1M1 | SEGLKFKKNEVFLDVIESVNILVNSNGQIVRSDVVGALKMRTYLSGMPECKLGLNDRILL |
| AP1M2 | SEGIQYKKNEVFLDVIENVNILVNSNGQIVRSDVVGALKMRTYLTGMPECKLGLNDRVLL |
| AP2M | ${\tt REGLAYKKNEVFLDIVESVNLLMSSKGNVLRCDVTGKVLMKCFLSGMPDLKLGLNDKIGL$ |
| AP3M | PTDPKYSSNEVYVDLVEEMDAIVNRDGELVKCEIYGEVQMNSQLTGFPDLTLSFAN |
| AP4M | -DPGGRRREEIFVDIIEKISVTFSSSGYILTSEIDGTIQMKSYLSGNPEIRLALNEDLNI |
| | myc, <u>3</u> xmyc |
| AP1M1 | EAQGRGSMGEQKLISEEDLLGEQKLISEEDLLGEQKLISEEDLLGSAIKGKAIDLEDIKF |
| AP1M2 | EAQGRATKGKAIDLEDIKF |
| AP2M | EKESEMKSRPAKSGKTIELDDVTF |
| AP3M | PSILEDMRF |
| AP4M | GRGGRSVIDIRSSGSGVILDDCNF *:* * |
| | |
| AP1M1 | HQCVRLARFENDRTISFIPPDGSFDLMTYRLSTQVKPLIWVEAHIER-HSRSRVEMLVKA |
| APIM2 | HQCVRLARFENDRTISFIPPDGAFDLMTYRLSTQVKPLIWVEAQIES-HSRSRVEMLIKA |
| APZM | |
| AP4M | HESVRLDSFDSDRTLSLVPPDGEFPVMNYRMTOEFKPPFHVNTLIEE-AGRLKAEVIIKI |
| | * .*. :::*::**** * :*.**:. : . * * . : .:: |
| | |
| APIMI | RSQFKDRSYATSVEIELPVPTDAYNPDVRTSLGSAAYAPEKDALVWKIQYFYGNKE |
| APIMZ AD2M | |
| AP3M | RSDPGKTIESITLSFOLPHCVSSADLSSNHGTVTILSNKTCTWTIGRIPKDKT |
| AP4M | RAEFPSDIIANTITVQMPLPNYTSRASFELEPGAAGQRTDFKESNKMLEWNLKKIVGGGE |
| | |
| AP1M1 | HTT.KADFHT.DSTAAFEATDERKADTRUKFETDKFTUSCTOUDVT.KTTFK_SCVOADDAUD |
| AP1M2 | YMLRAEFHLPSITAEEATPERKAPIRVKFEIPYFTVSGIOVRYLKIIEK-SGYOALPWVR |
| AP2M | STLSAEIELISTMGEK-KSWTRPPIQMEFQVPMFTASGLRVRFLKVWEK-SGYNTVEWVR |
| AP3M | PCLSGTLALEPGLERLHVFPTFKLGFKIMGIALSGLRIEKLDLQTIPPRLYKGFR |
| AP4M | ${\tt HTLRAKLTFSQEFHGN-ITKEAGPVSMTFTIPMYNVSKLQVKYLQIAKKSSSYNPYRWVR}$ |
| | * . : : * : * : : |
| AP1M1 | YITMAGEYELRLM* |
| AP1M2 | YITMAGEYELRLV* |
| AP2M | YITKAGSYEIRC*- |
| AP3M | AQTRAGEFDVRL*- |
| AP4M | YVTQANSYVARI*- |
| | * * .: * |

Fig. S1. Alignment of amino acid sequences of the *Arabidopsis* mu adaptins. Amino acid sequences of AP1M1 (At1g10730), AP1M2 (At1g60780), AP2M (At5g46630), AP3M (At1g56590), and AP4M (At4g24550) were compared using CLUSTAL Omega. Note that AP1M1 and AP1M2 share 90% sequence identity (analyzed in CLUSTAL Omega). Red and green triangles indicate the insertion sites of three times repeated HA (3×HA) and myc epitope in AP1M2, respectively. Blue triangle indicates the insertion site of three times repeated myc (3×myc) epitope in AP1M1.

SANG SANG

| Α | | ap1m2-1 % | KANR | 6 KA | N ^s % | N |
|---------------|--|------------|--------------------|------------------|------------------|------------|
| | Self-fertilized ap1m2-1/AP1M2 | 9 | 53 | 4 | 7 | 992 |
| | ap1m2-1/AP1M2(♀) x Ws (ී) |) - | 48 | 5 | 2 | 302 |
| - | Ws (♀) x ap1m2-1/AP1M2(♂) | - | 8 | 9 | 2 | 954 |
| в | _ | hap13 % | PPT ^R % | PPT ^s | % | Ν |
| | Self-fertilized hap13/AP1M2 | 5 | 52 | 48 | | 232 |
| | hap13/AP1M2 (♀) x Col (♂) | - | 55 | 45 | | 100 |
| | Col (♀) x hap13/AP1M2 (්) | - | 6 | 94 | | 96 |
| с | F1 plants | | F2 s | eedling | S | |
| | Transgenes a | llele | ap1m2-1 | (%) | Ν | |
| | AP1M2:myc #1 ap1m2 | -1/AP1M2 | 2 | | 75 | |
| | AP1M2:myc #2 ap1m2 | -1/AP1M2 | 2 | | 105 | 5 |
| | AP1M2:3xHA #1 ap1m2 | -1/AP1M2 | 2 2 | | 287 | 7 |
| | AP1M2:3xHA #4 ap1m2 | -1/AP1M2 | 2 | | 265 | |
| D | T(CsVMV::AP1M2:myc) |) ap1m2-1 | | | | |
| kD | kD N ap1m2-1 $\frac{\#1}{1}$ $\frac{\#2}{1}$ G ap1m2-1 | | | | | |
| 51- AP1M2:myc | | | | | | |
| 80BiP | | | | | | |
| | | 1 | | 1 | 3 | . J. J. J. |
| E | AP1M2::AP1M2:3xHA (T | 1) | | | | |
| KD. | (U N 1 2 4 6 7 12 15 17 21 | | | | | |
| 55 | 55- AP1M2:3xHA | | | | | |
| 55 | | 🗩 Tubulin | | | | |
| F | | | ap1m2-1 | ap1m2-1 | I | |
| | | AP1M2:3XHA | 51M2:myc) | | | |
| kb | WS ap1m2-1 T(AP1M2: | T(CsVMV: A | | | | |
| 0.8 – AP1M2 | | | | | | |
| 0.45- AP1M1 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Fig. 52. Genetic analysis of T-DNA insertional *AP1M2* mutants and phenotype of mature ap1m2-1 mutant grown in a closed container. (*A* and *B*) Reciprocal crosses of ap1m2 heterozygotes with the respective WT (Ws, Wassilewskija or Col, Columbia) revealed reduced transmission of ap1m2 mutant alleles ap1m2-1 [KAN resistance (KAN^R)] (*A*) or hap13 [PPT resistance (PPT^R)] (*B*) through the pollen, resulting in only 9% or 5% ap1m2 homozygous seedlings upon selfing of heterozygotes, respectively. N, total number of seedlings analyzed. (*C*) Complementation tests. Transgenic plants each carrying a different single T-DNA insertion of *GsVMV::AP1M2:myc* (Hyg^R) or *AP1M2::AP1M2:3×HA* (PPT^R) were crossed with ap1m2-1 heterozygous plants. F2 progenies (N, number of seedlings aproximately 9% ap1m2-1 homozygous seedlings from selfed ap1m2-1 heterozygous plants are rescued by the transgene (*A*). R, resistant; S, sensitive. (*D* and *E*) Immunoblot analysis of *GsVMV::AP1M2:myc* and *AP1M2::AP1M2::3×HA* plants. (*D*) Two independent lines of *GsVMV::AP1M2::myc* harboring a single T-DNA insertion were crossed with ap1m2-1 heterozygous plants. Total protein of nontransformed (N), ap1m2-1 homozygous, and rescued seedlings was subjected to immunoblot analysis with anti-myc or anti-BiP antiserum. T, transgene. (*E*) Total protein of nontransformed (N) and T1 plants harboring *AP1M2::AP1M2::3×HA* was subjected to immunoblot analysis with anti-HA or anti-tubulin antiserum. Plants bearing a single T-DNA insertion (#1 and #4) were crossed with the ap1m2-1 heterozygous plants for complementation test (*C*). Molecular mass markers are shown at the left. (*F*) Semiquantitative RT-PCR analysis to compare the level of transgenically expressed epitope-tagged *AP1M2* in rescued mutant lines to that of endogenous *AP1M2* in WT. Note that the level of *AP1M2::3×HA* and *AP1M2::myc* is comparable to that of WT. *18S rRNA* (*18S*) was used as loading control. Molecular mass markers are shown at the left. (*G*)



Fig. S3. Expression profile of the Arabidopsis mu adaptins. Expression levels of AP1M1 (magenta), AP1M2 (turquoise), AP2M (green), AP3M (red), and AP4M (blue) were compared using the AtGenExpress Visualization Tool (www.weigelworld.org/resources/microarray/AtGenExpress) (1).

1. Schmid M, et al. (2005) A gene expression map of Arabidopsis thaliana development. Nat Genet 37(5):501–506.



Fig. 54. T-DNA insertion AP1M1 mutant does not show any discernible morphological change. (A) Diagram of the T-DNA insertion in AP1M1, ap1m1 (SALK_027224). Primers used in Fig. 1E are indicated. (B) Images of ap1m1 homozygous seedlings grown on an MS plate for 7 d. Note that seedlings of the ap1m1 mutant look normal, in contrast to the ap1m2-1 mutant. (Scale bar: 1 cm.)



Fig. S5. Localization of tagged AP1M2 in seedling roots and the interaction of y-adaptin-containing AP1 complex with clathrin and VSR. (A) After BFA treatment, AP1M2:3×HA in ap1m2-1 homozygous background was counterstained with anti-ARF1 (Upper) or anti-yCOP (Lower) antiserum. Note that AP1M2:3×HA colocalized with ARF1 in endosomal BFA compartments surrounded by γCOP-positive Golgi stacks. (B and C) AP1M2:3×HA in ap1m2-1 homozygous background was counterstained with anti-SYP61 (B) or anti-CHC (C) antiserum. Note that the tagged AP1M2 does not accumulate at the cell plate, which is positively labeled with anti-SYP61 antiserum (B). Note partial colocalization of AP1M2:3×HA with clathrin (C). (D) AP1M2:3×HA and the multivesicular body (MVB) marker GFP:ARA7/RAB-F2b were examined for colocalization. AP1M2:3×HA was detected by immunostaining with anti-HA antibody and GFP signals of GFP:ARA7/RAB-F2b were observed directly. AP1M2:3×HA-positive endosomes were insensitive to wortmannin, in contrast to the swollen ARA7/ RabF2b-labeled prevacuolar compartment/multivesicular body/late endosome (PVC/MVB/LE) (white arrows). The extent of colocalization was quantified using the linear Pearson correlation coefficient (r_p) and the nonlinear Spearman rank correlation coefficient (r_s), and the resulting scatterplot images are shown on the right (C and D). A value of 1.0 means complete colocalization of two fluorescent signals. Note partial colocalization of AP1M2:3×HA with clathrin, as quantified by r_o/r_s = 0.71/0.53 and no localization of AP1M2:3×HA at the PVC/MVB labeled with GFP:ARA7/RAB-F2b, as indicated by r_o/r_s = 0.19/0.34. Insets show boxed areas at higher magnification. (Scale bars: 5 µm.) (E) Purified recombinant GST or GST: y-adaptin protein was precipitated with glutathione beads after incubation with plant extracts from WT seedlings and followed by immunoblot analysis. Note that CHC is detected in the precipitate but not in the control precipitate done with GST alone. The Coomassie-stained membrane (CBS) showed bands of GST (asterisk) and GST: y-adaptin (double asterisks). (F) Pull down of HA-tagged VSR1 from protoplasts, using recombinant MBP fused to AP1M2; MBP alone was used as control. The CBS showed bands of MBP (asterisk) and MBP:AP1M2 (double asterisks). Molecular mass markers are indicated in kilodaltons (kDa).



Fig. 56. Specificity determination of anti– γ -adaptin antiserum and no interaction of AP1M2 with δ -adaptin. (A) Total protein extracts from protoplasts transformed with $HA:\gamma$ -adaptin (HA: γ) were analyzed by immunoblotting using anti-HA antibody and anti– γ -adaptin antiserum. N, nontransformed protoplasts. Asterisk, endogenous γ -adaptin; double asterisks, transiently expressed HA: γ -adaptin. *A, Lower* shows the CBS. (*B*) Fractionation analysis. Total protein was extracted from WT (Con) or *ap1m2-1* mutant seedlings, followed by microultracentrifugation. Note that total protein level of γ -adaptin in *ap1m2-1* mutant is highly reduced by approximately 80% compared with the WT and that less γ -adaptin is detected in the membrane (M) fraction of the *ap1m2-1* mutant compared with WT. Actin and VSR were detected as controls of soluble (S) and membrane fractions, respectively. Rel. of adaptins, relative signal intensity: total (T) signal of *ap1m2-1* is expressed as percentage of control (Con, WT; 100%). The relative signal intensity of soluble and membrane fraction in each case is expressed as percentage of the total signal. (C) Protein extract [input (IN]] from rescued protoplasts (*CsVMV::AP1M2:myc* in *ap1m2-1* mutant background) that had been transformed with *35S::HA:* δ -adaptin was subjected to immunoprecipitation (IP) with anti-myc antibody and the immunoprecipitate was analyzed by immunoblotting using anti-HA, anti-myc, or anti– γ -adaptin antiserum. Note that HA: δ -adaptin is not immunoprecipitated with AP1M2, in contrast to γ -adaptin. Molecular mass markers are indicated in kilodaltons (kDa).



Fig. 57. Vacuolar and secretory pathways are impaired in the ap1m2 mutants. (A) Trafficking of vacuolar cargo. Protoplasts from nontransformed, ap1m2-1, and two independent rescued plants (*CsVMV::AP1M2:myc* in ap1m2-1) were transformed with *Sporamin:GFP* (*Spo:GFP*). At 24 or 36 h after transformation, total protein extracts from protoplasts were subjected to immunoblot analysis with anti-GFP antiserum. Asterisk, full-length Spo:GFP; closed triangle, processed Spo:GFP. (*B*) Trafficking of secretory cargo. Secretory GFP (*secGFP*) was transformed into protoplasts from the indicated plants. At 24 h after transformation, total protein extracts from protoplasts (C) and the incubation medium (M) were subjected to immunoblot analysis with anti-GFP antiserum. BiP was used as a control for nonspecific leaking of cellular proteins. (*C*) Protoplasts transformed with *Spo:GFP or AtpFructosidase4:GFP* (*AtpFruc4:GFP*) were treated with ConcA at the indicated concentrations. At 24 h after transformation, total protein extracts from protoplasts (L) and the incubation medium to the protein extracts from protoplasts were subjected to immunoblot analysis with anti-GFP antiserum. BiP was used as a control for nonspecific leaking of cellular proteins. (*C*) Protoplasts transformed with *Spo:GFP or AtpFructosidase4:GFP* (*AtpFruc4:GFP*) were treated with ConcA at the indicated concentrations. At 24 h after transformation, total protein extracts from protoplasts were subjected to immunoblot analysis with anti-GFP antiserum. Asterisk, full-length Spo:GFP, but not of AtpFruc4:GFP, to the vacuole. Both precursor and mature Spo:GFP are Secreted from ConcA-treatment inhibits the delivery of Spo:GFP, but not of AtpFruc4:GFP, to the vacuole. Both precursor and mature Spo:GFP are secreted from ConcA-treated protoplasts into the medium. Endoplasmic reticulum (ER)-localized BiP was used as control for intact cells. (*D*) Localization of soluble vacuolar and secretory proteins in ap1m2-1 mutant protoplasts. Protoplasts from WT



Fig. S8. Endocytosis and recycling of PIN2 occur normally in the ap1m2 mutants but vacuolar traffic of PIN2:GFP is impaired in the mutant. (A and B) No obvious defect in endocytosis in ap1m2-1 mutant plants. (A) WT, ap1m2-1, and hap13 mutant seedlings were treated with FM4-64 alone or together with BFA. Note intracellular FM4-64-positive endosomes in the seedling roots of all three genotypes. As in WT, BFA compartments were observed in ap1m2 seedling roots after BFA treatment, indicating that endocytosis of FM4-64 occurs normally in ap1m2 mutants. (B) Measurement of FM4-64 internalization in WT and ap1m2-1 seedling roots. Pixels of intracellular FM4-64 signal taken 5 min after uptake were counted from three different images. The mean value of counted pixels per square micron was calculated. Error bars indicate SD. (C) Normal recycling of PIN2 in ap1m2-1 plants. WT and ap1m2-1 homozygous seedlings were fixed and stained with anti-PIN2 antiserum. For BFA washout (BFAw), seedlings were incubated in BFA-free medium for 30 min after 1 h BFA treatment. Note the disappearance of the BFA compartment and restoration of PIN2 polar localization at the plasma membrane after BFA washout, indicating that PIN2 recycling occurs normally in ap1m2-1 seedling roots compared with WT roots. Gray arrows indicate the direction of gravity. (D and E) Measurement of PIN2:GFP internalization in ap1m2-1 mutant seedling roots. PIN2:GFP was observed after incubating 4-d-old seedlings with 50 µM CHX for 1 h, followed by darkness (Dark) for 30 min. Note appearance of PIN2:GFP-labeled intracellular endosomes in ap1m2-1, similar to the level in WT, which also presents weak, but discernible, vacuolar signals of PIN2:GFP. Control (Con) images show PIN2:GFP in WT or ap1m2-1 under normal growth condition. (E) Measurement of PIN2:GFP internalization in WT and ap1m2-1 seedling roots. Pixels of PIN2:GFP signal were counted from four different images. The mean value of counted pixels per square micron was calculated. Error bars indicate SD. (F) Confocal images showing impaired delivery of PIN2:GFP to the vacuole in ap1m2-1. Seedlings were treated with 50 µM CHX for 1 h followed by darkness (Dark) for 30 min and BFA treatment. Note that PIN2:GFP is observed in both the vacuole (indicated by arrows) and BFA bodies (indicated by arrowheads) in WT, whereas PIN2:GFP is mainly observed in BFA bodies in the ap1m2-1 mutant. (G) No alteration of vacuolar morphology in the ap1m2-1 and hap13 mutants. Vacuolar tonoplasts were observed 5 h after application of FM4-64. Note normal-looking tonoplasts in the mutants. Blue, DAPI staining of chromatin in C. (Scale bars: 5 µm).

Table S1. Genetic analyses of *ap1m1 ap1m2* double mutants

| Parental genotype | Unfertilized ovules, % (N) | Fertilized ovules, % (N) | | |
|---------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|--|--|
| Col | 2 (5) | 98 (185) | | |
| ap1m1/AP1M1 ap1m2-1/AP1M2 | 32 (206) | 68 (416) | | |
| ap1m1/AP1M1 hap13/AP1M2 | 20 (143) | 80 (591) | | |

N, number of ovules analyzed in F1 progeny of self-fertilized plants.

Table S2. Genetic analyses of *ap1m1 ap1m2* double mutants

| PPT ^R KAN ^R , % (N)* | $PPT^{S} KAN^{S}, \% (N)^{\dagger}$ | |
|--|--|--|
| 2 (4) | 98 (182) | |
| 50 (82) | 50 (82) | |
| 0 (0) | 100 (92) | |
| 26 (18) | 74 (52) | |
| | PPT ^R KAN ^R , % (N)* 2 (4) 50 (82) 0 (0) 26 (18) | |

N, number of seedlings analyzed in F1 progeny from crosses.

*Seedlings resistant to both PPT and KAN.

⁺Seedlings sensitive to either PPT or KAN.

PNAS PNAS

Table S3. List of primers used

PNAS PNAS

| Genes | Primer name | Direction | Sequence (5'–3') |
|------------------------|------------------|-----------|--|
| Constructs | | | |
| AP1M2 cassette | AP1M2 promoter-5 | Forward | ATTGCCCATGATTCATTTGTA |
| | AP1M2 promoter-3 | Reverse | TTTTTTCTCGAGGTTCTTCCCTAAGAGTTTCGAT |
| | AP1M2 3' UTR-5 | Forward | AAAAAAGATATCTGCACATTTAGTTTCATCGTC |
| | AP1M2 3' UTR-3 | Reverse | TTTTTTCTGCAGGCCCCAAAAAACAAAACCA |
| AP1M2:3×HA* | M2-s | Forward | AAAAAACTCGAGATGGCAGGAGCTGCCTCC |
| | M-HA3 | Reverse | AGGAACATCGTATGGGTACATCATTCTATATGCATCAGTCTT |
| | M-HA5 | Forward | AAGACTGATGCATATAGAATGATGTACCCATACGATGTTCCT |
| | HA-M3 | Reverse | TGGAGGTCTCTGTGTAACTTCAGCGTAATCTGGAACGTCATA |
| | HA-M5 | Forward | TATGACGTTCCAGATTACGCTGAAGTTACACAGAGACCTCCA |
| | M2-as | Reverse | TTTTTTGGATCCTTACACGAGTCTTAGTTCGTA |
| AP1M2:myc [†] | AP1M2-F | Forward | CCCTCTAGAATGGCAGGGGCGGCCTCAGCG |
| | Internal-MYC-3 | Reverse | ACTAAGGGAAAAGCCGAACAAAAGCTTATTTCTGAAGAAGAT |
| | Internal-MYC-5 | Forward | GATGTCCTCCAAATCAATAAGATCTTCTTCAGAAATAAGCTTTT GTTCGGCTTTTCCCTT |
| | AP1M2-R | Reverse | GGGCTCGAGTTACACGAGTCTTAGTTCGTA |
| AP1M1:3xmyc | M1-s | Forward | AAAAAA CTCGAGATGGCAGGGGGGGGCCTCA |
| | M1-as | Reverse | TTTTTTCCCGGGTTACATGAGTCTCAGTTCGTA |
| GST:g-Ad | γ-F | Forward | TGCTCTAGAATGAATCCCTTTTCTTC GGTA |
| 3 | γ-R | Reverse | CCGCTCGAGTCACAACCCGCGAGGGAAGTTG |
| MBP:AP1M2 | AP1M2-F2 | Forward | CGCGGATC ATGGCAGGGGCGGCCTCAGCG |
| | AP1M2-R2 | Reverse | TGCTCTAGATTACACGAGTCTTAGTTCGTA |
| Genotyping | | | |
| ap1m2-1 allele | FLAG_LB4 | Forward | CGTGTGCCAGGTGCCCACGGAATAGT |
| | LP | Forward | ATGTCCTAACGGTGGGGTTAG |
| | RP | Reverse | CCGAAGCAAGAATTCTCAGTG |
| hap13 allele | LB1a | Forward | GCCTTTTCAGAAATGGATAAATAGCCTTGCTTC |
| | RP-h13 | Reverse | TTTTTTGGATCCTTACACGAGTCTTAGTTCGTA |
| ap1m1 allele | salk LB1.3 | Forward | ATTTTGCCGATTTCGGAAC |
| | Salk_027224-LP | Forward | TAGTGACAGCCATTGGAGGTC |
| | Salk_027224-RP | Reverse | TTTCGCAGAATAATGCAAAGC |
| НА | HAs | Forward | GTTCCTGACTATGCGGGGCTAT |
| myc | mycs | Forward | ATGGAGCAAAAGCTTATTTCT |
| RT-PCR | - | | |
| AP1M2-RT-F | | Forward | TCGAGGAGTTAGAAGAGGAACA |
| AP1M2-RT-R | | Reverse | CGTTCGTTGCGGTGCTTCGT |
| AP1M1-RT-F | | Forward | GAGGAGTGAGGGGTTAAAGTTT |
| AP1M1-RT-R | | Reverse | TCAATCTCAACACTTGTTGCATA |
| 18S RNA-F | | Forward | ATGATAACTCGACGGATCGC |
| 185 RNA-R | | Reverse | CCTCCAATGGATCCTCGTTA |

*AP1M2:3×HA was generated by a two-step PCR amplification: a fragment was amplified with primers M2-s and M-HA3 from AP1M2, a fragment with primers M-HA5 and HA-M3 from 3×HA and a fragment with primers HA-M5 and M2-as from AP1M2. The final PCR amplification of the three PCR products was done with primers M2-s and M2-as.

⁺AP1M2:myc was generated by a two-step PCR amplification: a N-terminal fragment was amplified with primers AP1M2-F and internal-MYC-3 from AP1M2 and a C-terminal fragment with primers internal-MYC-5 and AP1M2-R from AP1M2. Subsequent PCR was done with the two PCR products and primers AP1M2-F and AP1M2-F.