www.sciencemag.org/cgi/content/full/329/5994/943/DC1



## Supporting Online Material for

### **Loss of DNA Replication Control Is a Potent Inducer of Gene Amplification**

Brian M. Green, Kenneth J. Finn, Joachim J. Li\*

\*To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: jli@itsa.ucsf.edu

Published 20 August 2010, *Science* **329**, 943 (2010) DOI: 10.1126/science.1190966

### **This PDF file includes:**

Materials and Methods SOM Text Figs. S1 to S5 Tables S1 to S9 References

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY DISCUSSION**

Our studies in budding yeast have shown that re-replication is very efficient at inducing the critical first step of gene amplification, the increase in gene copy number from one to two or more. In principal, re-replication in subsequent generations can expand copy number beyond the duplications and triplications we observed. However, once tandem copies of a large chromosomal segment are generated, other routes for expansion are available, such as nonallelic homologous recombination between sister chromatids. Hence, just inducing this first step of amplification may greatly stimulate higher order amplifications as well.

Structural analysis of the resulting amplicons as well as aCGH analysis of re-replication hint at a mechanism involving fork collapse, DNA breakage, and some type of recombinational repair at re-replication bubbles (Fig. 3D). In principle, DNA fragments with broken ends can also be generated during multiple rounds of re-replication when a re-replication fork from one round catches up to a fork from the preceding round (*S1*). However, given that only half the population of *ade3-2p* reporter cassettes re-replicated in our experimental strains and an even smaller fraction may re-replicate in more biological relevant settings (see below), we have focused on scenarios where at most one round of re-replication occurs on any molecule. Ultimately, elucidating the precise mechanism of RRIGA will require further molecular and genetic analysis of the event.

We note that, in principle, the combination of fork collapse, breakage, and repair implicated in RRIGA can also occur at replication bubbles during S phase replication. Nonetheless, re-replication appears to mobilize and coordinate these steps particularly well. Simply disrupting DNA replication with hydroxyurea or temperature sensitive replication mutations (*cdc6-1, cdc7-1, cdc9-1, or cdc17-1*) or inducing DNA breaks with the DNA damaging agent phleomycin did not result in the high levels of amplification generated by rereplication (fig. S3).

This striking efficiency of RRIGA may be due to both the nature of re-replication forks and the context in which they appear. The limited size of re-replication bubbles (apparent in Fig. 1A and fig. S2A (*S2*)) and the extensive DNA damage induced by re-replication (*S3-6*) raise the possibility that re-replication forks are more susceptible to irreversibly stalling, collapse, and breakage than replication forks. Although more detailed structural and functional studies of rereplication forks will be needed to determine if they do indeed lack the integrity of replication forks (*S7*), such a possibility could help explain why re-replication is particularly efficient at inducing the recombination events leading to gene amplifications. What is clear is that serious and highly recombinogenic fork problems can be much better tolerated during limited rereplication than they can during replication.

More sporadic problems with replication forks can occur during S phase replication, and if unresolved are thought to lead to low levels of genomic rearrangements (*S8-10*), possibly even gene amplification (*S11-13*). However, the redundancy of origins available for firing during replication provides opportunities to rescue stalled forks by converging forks originating from neighboring origins. Moreover, should these converging forks also run into problems, dormant origins may become activated in between the stalled forks to rescue both (*S14*). Hence, by

protecting themselves with multiple safeguards, cells have reduced their vulnerability to genomic rearrangements from S phase accidents. In contrast, the lack of such extensive fork backup in the context of limited re-replication, when only isolated origins re-initiate, may enhance the efficiency with which RRIGA occurs.

In essence, the loss of replication control may create a highly defective extraneous round of re-replication, providing a fertile setting for genomic rearrangement of duplicated chromosome segments, without compromising the essential first round of replication. In such a setting, it would not be surprising if other genetic alterations were also induced, such as extrachromosomal amplifications, loss of heterozygosity, aneuploidy, and translocations.

Our studies on RRIGA resurrect a model proposed nearly three decades ago for gene amplification. This re-replication model was inspired by observations of nested "onionskin" rereplication bubbles during activation of an integrated DNA tumor virus (*S15*) and during the developmentally regulated amplification of Drosophila chorion genes (*S16*). However, with limited ability to detect re-replication and no ability to induce it, direct support for the model could not be obtained, contributing to its abandonment (*S17-19*).

Also contributing to this abandonment was the rise of the breakage-fusion-bridge (BFB) model for gene amplification (fig. S5). The BFB model has since become the predominant model for intrachromosomal amplifications because various aspects of its structural signature (e.g. amplicons oriented in inverted repeat, telomeric deletions, and dicentric chromosomes) have been observed in amplifications in drug-resistant cells selected in culture, in some mouse cancer models, and in a number of human tumors (*S20*). Nonetheless, among the few tumor amplifications whose structures have been extensively characterized, there are notable examples of amplified oncogenes arranged in direct repeat (*S21-24*), which are incompatible with BFB. More recently, sequencing of breast cancer genomes has revealed hundreds of tandem duplications in direct repeat (*S25*), suggesting that duplications and higher order amplifications in direct repeat may be prevalent in cancers.

The ability of re-replication to induce amplification structures that cannot be explained by BFB suggests that RRIGA could provide a complementary gene amplification model for human tumors. Nonetheless, there are several challenges to determining whether and how much RRIGA contributes to such amplifications. First, amplicon orientation and location have not been established for most tumor-associated amplifications, so it is not yet possible to assess how many exhibit the structural signature for RRIGA versus that for BFB. Second, the minimal structural signature for RRIGA, segmental amplicons *in loco* in direct tandem repeat, is not specific to RRIGA. Even the more specific version of this signature that we observed in budding yeast, which includes repetitive elements at amplicon junctions, could arise from a nonallelic homologous recombination event that is not associated with re-replication. Hence, corroborating evidence of re-replication may be required to implicate RRIGA in tumors.

Detecting such re-replication will likely require the development of more sensitive replication assays. Standard assays in current use, such as flow cytometry and density shift have difficulty detecting re-replication that increases DNA content by less than 5-10%. Compounding this detection problem is the likelihood that only extremely low or sporadic levels of rereplication will contribute to genomic instability. Currently detectable levels of re-replication cause widespread cell death or apoptosis (*S3-6, 26-28*), presumably because of the extensive DNA damage it causes (*S3-6*). In fact, the very limited re-replication occurring in our *MC<sub>2A</sub>* strains (Fig. 1A and fig. S2A) was both undetectable by flow cytometry (although detectable by our more sensitive aCGH assay) and too lethal for sustained induction. We had to transiently induce re-replication in order to see the massive stimulation of gene amplification that is possible in these strains.

We thus suspect that the most likely pathological context for RRIGA to occur will involve mutations that constitutively disrupt replication control just enough to take advantage of the extreme efficiency of RRIGA but not enough to significantly compromise viability. In effect, currently undetectable levels of re-replication could provide an oncogenic "mutator" phenotype (*S29*). The difficulty of detecting re-replication in this context could account for why rereplication has not been widely reported in tumor cells or cell lines susceptible to gene amplification. It may also explain why modest overexpression of the replication proteins Cdt1 or Cdc6 can potentiate oncogenesis in mice without causing overt re-replication (*S30-32*). Interestingly, if more sensitive replication assays do eventually demonstrate that some tumors are associated with low levels of re-replication, one can imagine that these tumor cells might be especially vulnerable to therapeutic agents that incrementally deregulate replication control further, thereby increasing re-replication in these cells to highly lethal levels.

Finally, given that gene copy number increases are important in the diversification and evolution of species (*S33, 34*), it is tempting to revive speculation that sporadic re-replication might contribute to evolutionary changes as well (*S35*). Recent studies suggest that the fidelity of wild type DNA polymerases are less than maximal, possibly to ensure sufficient genetic plasticity for evolutionary change (*S36*). It is conceivable that replication controls in eukaryotic cells are similarly tuned below maximal stringency to facilitate adaptive genomic alterations on an evolutionary time scale.

### **SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Oligonucleotides.** Oligonucleotides used in the plasmid and yeast strain constructions described below as well as the PCR analysis of amplicon boundaries and junctions are listed in Table S7.

**Plasmids.** All plasmids used for strain construction are listed in Table S8. The plasmids containing the *ade3-2p* copy number reporter cassette (schematized in fig. S1A) are described below.

Plasmids pBJL2890 and pBJL2892 effectively consist of the following fragments of DNA: Homology Left (SacI to StuI of PCR product from YJL4489 (*S2*) genomic DNA using OJL1796 and OJL1797 for pBJL2890 and OJL1804 and OJL1805 for pBJL2892), a StuI-PmeI linker sequence (5'-AGGCCTGTTTAAAC-3'), kanMX6 (PmeI to XmaI of pFA6a-pGAL1- 3HA (*S37*)), *ade3-2p* (XmaI to SgrAI of pDK243 (*S38*)), an SgrAI-XbaI linker sequence (5'- CACCGGCGTCTAGA-3'), *ARS317* (SpeI to XbaI of PCR product from S288c genomic DNA using OJL1794 and OJL1795 cloned into pCR2.1 TA TOPO, which picks up part of the polylinker including the XbaI site 5'-

GTTTAAACCCATTTGAGCAAGGGCGAATTCTGCAGATATCCATCACACTGGCGGCCG CTCGAGCATGCATCTAGA-3'), Homology Right (XbaI to NotI of PCR product from YJL4489 (*S2*) genomic DNA using OJL1798 and OJL1799 for pBJL2890 and OJL1806 and OJL1807 for pBJL2892), a NotI-SalI linker sequence (5'-GCGGCCGCGTCGAC-3') and vector backbone (SalI to SacI of pRSS56 (*S39*)).

Plasmids pBJL2889 and pBJL2891 consist of the same fragments as pBJL2890 and pBJL2892, respectively, except they lack the *ARS317* fragment. Plasmid pBJL2876 has the same cassette lacking *ARS317* but the Homology Left fragment was amplified from yeast genomic DNA using OJL1684 and OJL1685 and the Homology Right fragment was amplified using OJL1686 and OJL1687.

For all plasmids, a SacI to SalI fragment spanning the inserted sequences from Homology Left to Homology Right was used in the strain constructions described below.

**Strains.** All strains used in this study have their genotypes listed in Table S9. Re-replicating strains were derived from YJL3758 (*MATa MCM7-2NLS ura3-52::{pGAL-∆ntCDC6-cdk2A, URA3} ORC2 ORC6 leu2 trp1-289 ade2 ade3 bar1::LEU2*). YJL3758 was in turn derived as follows from YJL1737 (*MATa orc2-cdk6A orc6-cdk4A ura3-52 leu2 trp1-289 ade2 ade3 bar1::LEU2*) (*S40*). YJL2067 was generated from YJL1737 by loop-in/loop-out gene replacement of *MCM7* with *MCM7-2NLS* using Asp1-linearized pJL1206 (*S40*). YJL3151 was generated from YJL2067 by loop-in/loop-out gene replacement of *orc2-6A* with *ORC2- (Not1,SgrAI)* using EcoNI-linearized pMP933 (*S40*); *ORC2-(Not1,SgrAI)* is a phenotypically wild-type version of *ORC2* containing 5'-ATGGCACCGGTGGGCGGCCGC-3' inserted just upstream of the ORF ATG and is referred to simply as *ORC2* in strain genotypes. YJL3155 was generated from YJL3151 by loop-in/loop-out gene replacement of *orc6-4A* with *ORC6* using SphI-linearized pJL737 (*S40*). YJL3758 was generated from YJL3155 by loop-in integration of StuI-linearized pJL1488 (*S2*) *(pGAL1-∆ntCDC6-cdk2A*) at *ura3-52*.

*(Correction added in proofs. YJL3155, YJL3758 and all re-replicating strains derived from YJL3758 were discovered to have one Orc6 CDK consensus site still mutated (codon ACG for Serine 116 mutated to codon GCG for Alanine 116). Although CDK consensus mutations Nand C-terminal to this site were converted back to wild-type during loop-in/loop-out with* 

*pJL737, this site in the middle somehow was not. Genotypes in Table S9 have been corrected where appropriate by including the allele orc6-cdk1A116. When we reconstructed a true MC2A strains with fully wild-type ORC6, we found that it still preferentially re-initiates ARS317 but the level of re-initiation is 3-fold lower than the MC2A orc6-cdk1A<sub>116</sub> background used in the experiments published here.)*

YJL6558 and YJL6561, re-replicating strains with an *ade3-2p* reporter cassette containing *ARS317*, were generated from YJL3758 by the integration of the SacI to SalI fragment from pBJL2890 or pBJL2892, respectively, into Chromosome IV followed by disruption of the endogenous *ARS317* with a PCR product of natMX derived from pAG25 (*S41*) using OJL1639 and OJL1640. Chromosome IV, the largest chromosome in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, was chosen as the integration site for the reporter cassettes because *ade3-2p* duplication is least likely to arise from re-replication of the entire chromosome initiated at the cassette. The endogenous *ARS317* on chromosome III was deleted to minimize additional gross chromosomal alterations that could be stimulated by re-replication at this site.

YJL6555 and YJL6557, re-replicating strains with an *ade3-2p* reporter cassette lacking *ARS317*, were generated from YJL3758 by the integration of the SacI to SalI fragment from pBJL2889 or pBJL2891, respectively, followed by disruption of the endogenous *ARS317* with the PCR product of natMX described above.

The non-rereplicating strains, YJL6974 and YJL6977, were derived from YJL3756 (*MATa MCM7-2NLS ORC2 orc6-cdk1A116 ura3-52::{pGAL, URA3} leu2 trp1-289 ade2 ade3 bar1::LEU2*), by the integration of the SacI to SalI fragment from pBJL2890 or pBJL2892, respectively, followed by disruption of the endogenous *ARS317* with the PCR product of natMX described above. YJL3756 was generated from YJL3155 by loop-in integration of StuIlinearized pJL806 (*S40*) (*pGAL1*) at the *ura3-52* locus.

YJL6032, a strain used as a source of reference DNA for some of the aCGH analysis, was derived from YJL3758 by integration of the SacI to SalI fragment from pBJL2876. This introduces a *ade3-2p* reporter cassette without *ARS317* about 5 kb centromere distal to the endogenous *ARS317*. YJL7695, another strain used as a source of reference DNA for some of the aCGH analysis, was derived from YJL6974 by loop-out removal of pJL806, followed by loop-in/loop-out replacement of MCM7-2NLS with MCM7 using BamHI-linearized pJL1033 (*S42*).

YJL7007, a wild-type diploid used to analyze the effect of hydroxyurea and phleomycin on gene amplification, was generated as follows. The mating type of YJL3155 (*MATa MCM7- 2NLS ORC2 orc6-cdk1A116 ura3-52 leu2 trp1-289 ade2 ade3 bar1::LEU2*) was switched using *pGAL-HO* in pSB283 (*S43*) to form YJL3165. In both YJL3155 and YJL3165, *MCM7-2NLS*  was converted back to *MCM7* by loop-in/loop-out gene replacement using BamHI-linearized pJL1033 to generate YJL3516 and YJL3519, respectively. An *ade3-2p ARS317* reporter cassette was introduced into YJL3516 by integration of the SacI to SalI fragment from pBJL2890 to generate YJL6993. YJL3519 and YJL6993 were mated to generate YJL7007.

Strains used to study the effects of *cdc* mutants on gene amplification were generated as follows. For the wild-type *CDC* control, an *ade3-2p ARS317* reporter cassette (SacI to SalI fragment of pBJL2980) was integrated into 4541-8-1 (*S44*) (*MATα leu2 ade2 ade3 his7 sap3 gal1 ura1 can1*). In parallel, a *MATa* version of 4541-8-1 was generated by mating type switching using *pGAL-HO* in pSB283 (*S43*). Mating of the two strains generated YJL7002. YJL7003, YJL7005, YJL7006, and YJL7087 were similarly generated using different starting strains described in Palmer et al. (*S44*): YJL7003 was derived from 4525-061 (*MATα cdc6-1* 

*leu2 ade2 ade3 his7 sap3 gal1 can1*); YJL7005 was derived from 4528-091 (*MATα cdc9-1 leu2 ade2 ade3 his7 sap3 gal1 ura1 can1*); YJL7006 was derived from 4532-171 (*MATα cdc17-1 leu2 ade2 ade3 his7 sap3 gal1 ura1 can1*); and YJL7087 was derived from 4524-1-3 (*MATα cdc7-1 leu2 ade2 ade3 his7 sap3 gal1 ura1 can1*).

YJL7443 and YJL7452 were generated from YJL6558 by the integration of a *TRP1* disruption fragment to replace *DNL4* or *RAD52*, respectively. *TRP1* disruption fragments were generated using PCR in two steps. Step 1 primers (see table S7) were used to amplify *TRP1* from pRS304 (*S39*) and add short regions of homology flanking either *DNL4* or *RAD52*. Step 2 primers extended the region of homology, using the PCR product obtained in Step 1 as a template.

**Strain Growth and Induction of Re-Replication, DNA Damage, or Replication Stress.** Cells were grown in or on YEP or synthetic complete (SC) medium supplemented with 2% wt/vol dextrose (to form YEPD or SDC) or  $3\%$  wt/vol raffinose  $+0.05\%$  wt/vol dextrose (to form YEPRaf or SRafC). For synthetic medium, 1x amino acid concentrations were as described by Sherman (*S45*) except the amount of leucine was doubled to 60  $\mu$ g/ml and the amount of serine was halved to 200  $\mu$ g/ml. With the exception of plates for red/pink colony color development, all synthetic medium contained 2x amino acids. Color development plates contained 1x amino acids except 0.5x adenine (10  $\mu$ g/ml). All cell growth was performed at 30°C except where otherwise noted.

To obtain reproducible induction of re-replication, cells were diluted from a fresh unsaturated culture grown in YEPD into YEPRaf and allowed to grow exponentially for 12–15 h overnight till they reached an  $OD_{600}$  of 0.2 -0.8. At this cell density, 15ug/ml nocodazole (Sigma M1404 or US Biological N3000) was added for 120-150 min to arrest cells in G2/M phase. The *GAL1* promoter (*pGAL1*) was then induced by addition of 2-3% galactose for 3 hr. Tight maintenance of the arrest was confirmed by quantifying the percent of large budded cells (buds with diameters > 0.5x mother cell diameter) and analyzing the distribution of total DNA content by flow cytometry as previously described (*S46*).

To perturb S phase replication, the indicated *cdc* mutant strains were grown exponentially overnight in YEPD at 23<sup>o</sup>C to an OD<sub>600</sub> 0.2 – 0.8, then shifted to 36<sup>o</sup>C or 30<sup>o</sup>C for 3 or 6 hr, respectively. Alternatively, a wild type *CDC* strain was grown exponentially overnight in YEPD at 30 $^{\circ}$ C to an OD<sub>600</sub> 0.2 – 0.8, then 0.2M or 0.1M hydroxyurea (US Biological H9120) was added for 3 or 6 hr, respectively. To induce DNA damage, cells were grown exponentially in YEPD at 30°C overnight to an OD<sub>600</sub> 0.2 – 0.8, then 2  $\mu$ g/ml or 20  $\mu$ g/ml phleomycin (Invivogen ant-ph-1) was added for 3 hr. The effect of these treatments on cell cycle progression was monitored by quantifying the percent of large budded cells and analyzing the distribution of total DNA content by flow cytometry as previously described (*S46*)

**Colony Sectoring Assay.** To score the frequency of red sectors, ~200 colonies were plated per SDC plates containing limiting (0.5x) adenine. Temperature sensitive strains were grown for 7- 10 days at 23°C and other strains were grown at 30°C for 5 days. Then cells were shifted to 23°C for 2-6 days till colony color development was optimal. Plates were randomized and scored blind. Red sectors were counted if: 1) the sectors were greater than  $1/8$  of the colony, 2) darker red than the neighboring colonies (i.e., not a pink sector in a nearly white colony) and 3) the junctions between the red sector and pink colony were largely straight, to minimize sectors due to poor growth. The frequency of sectored colonies was determined by dividing the total

sector counts by the total number of viable colonies.This frequency was measured in at least two independent induction experiments, and the mean and standard error of the mean are reported (see table S1).

We cannot be sure whether red sectors between  $1/8$  and  $1/2$  of the colony arose because persistence of ∆ntCdc6-cdk2A allowed residual re-replication to occur after release from the nocodazole arrest or because re-replication bubbles generated during the nocodazole arrest can somehow be propagated for a few generations before being converted to a stable gene amplification. Nonetheless, it is clear that re-replication induced an increase in the number of all red sectors 1/8 and larger (Fig. 1B), and that most of these displayed segmental amplifications.

**Amplification Frequency and Rate.** The amplification frequencies arising from re-replication of the  $ade3-2p$  cassette at ChrIV<sub>567kb</sub> for YJL6974, YJL6555, and YJL6558 were calculated by multiplying their sector frequencies (table S1) by the fraction of red sectors containing *ade3-2p* amplifications, as determined by CGH. These fractions were 3/32, 1/6, and 31/35, yielding amplification frequencies of  $1.3 \times 10^{-4}$ ,  $9.7 \times 10^{-4}$ , and  $3.0 \times 10^{-2}$ , for YJL6974, YJL6555, and YJL6558, respectively.

Frequencies of genomic instability reported in the literature have often been converted to rates by using Lea and Coulson's method of the median (*S47*), an approximation of Luria-Delbruck fluctuation analysis. Fluctuation analysis, however, applies to constitutive rates of mutations, which generate fluctuations in the frequency of accumulated mutations because mutations that appear earlier during population growth contribute more mutants to the population than mutations acquired later. Fluctuation analysis does not apply and is not needed for mutations induced by transient genetic perturbations. In the simplest case of a perturbation that is experienced within a single generation and causes mutations in just that generation, the rate of mutation (per generation) would equal the observed frequency of mutation. For our RRIGA analysis, re-replication was induced within a single cell cycle, and amplifications acquired over the three immediately following generations were scored, so the observed amplifications could be attributed to a specific pulse of re-replication. Thus, we divided the observed frequency of 3.0 x 10<sup>-2</sup> for YJL6558 by three generations to obtain an order of magnitude RIGGA rate of 10<sup>-2</sup> per generation.

**Pulsed Field Gel Electrophoresis.** Cells were prepared for pulsed field gel electrophoresis as described (*S4*). Plugs were cut in half and loaded on a 1% SeaKem LE agarose (wt/vol) gel in 1x TAE (40 mM Tris, 40 mM acetate, and 2 mM EDTA, pH 8.0). Electrophoresis was carried out at 14°C in 1x TAE on a CHEF DR-III system with a switch time of 500 s, run time of 48 hr, voltage of 3 V, and angle of 106°. The DNA was transferred as described (*S4*) and probed with an *ADE3* probe generated by PCR of pBJL2889 with oligonucleotides OJL1757 and OJL1758.

### **Genomic DNA Preparation for aCGH Analysis**

*Method 1:*  $\sim$  10 OD<sub>600</sub> units of yeast were collected for DNA preparation. With the exception of samples for YJL7452 sector isolates, cultures were grown in YEPD and were either arrested with  $\alpha$ -factor (40-50 ng/ml) or nocodazole (10-15  $\mu$ g/ml), or were grown to saturation in YEP + 7-8% dextrose. YJL7452 isolates arrested poorly under all conditions, so samples were collected from asynchronous populations. In all cases DNA was prepared using the MasterPure Yeast DNA Purification Kit (Epicentre, Madison, WI), according to the manufacturer's instructions.

aCGH performed with this DNA generates data points with greater scatter than DNA prepared by Method 2, but is still reliable for mapping quantal copy number changes.

*Method 2:* 250 ml of culture (arrested with either α-factor or nocodazole as described above) was mixed with 1.2 ml of 20% sodium azide and added to 25 ml of frozen, -80°C, 0.2 M EDTA, 0.1% sodium azide. Cells were pelleted, washed with 50 ml 4°C TE (10 mM Tris-Cl, 1 mM EDTA pH 7.5) and stored frozen at -80°C. Pellets were resuspended in 4 ml Lysis buffer (2% Triton X-100, 1% SDS, 100 mM NaCl, 10 mM Tris-Cl, 1 mM EDTA pH 8.0) and mixed with 4 ml of phenol:CHCl<sub>3</sub>: isoamyl alcohol (25:24:1) and 8 ml 0.5 mm glass beads (BioSpec Products, Inc., Bartlesville, OK). The suspension was vortexed seven times for 2-3 min separated by 2-3 min intervals at RT to get at least 95% of the cells lysed. The lysate was diluted with 8 ml phenol:CHCl<sub>3</sub>:isoamyl alcohol (25:24:1) and 8 ml TE, vortexed once more, and then centrifuged at 18,500 x g for 15 min at RT. After collecting the aqueous phase, the interphase was reextracted with 8 ml TE, and the second aqueous phase from this re-extraction pooled with the first. The combined aqueous phases were extracted with an equal volume of CHCl<sub>3</sub>. The bulk of the RNA in the extract was selectively precipitated by addition of 0.01 volume 5 M NaCl (to a final concentration of 50 mM) and 0.4 volumes isopropanol followed by centrifugation at 9,000 x g for 15 min at RT. The RNA pellet was discarded and an additional 0.4 volumes of isopropanol was added to the supernatant to precipitate the DNA. Following centrifugation at 9,000 x g for 15 min at RT, the pellet was washed with 70% ethanol, dried, and resuspended with 3.5 ml of 10 mM Tris-Cl (pH 8), 1 mM EDTA. RNase A (Qiagen, Valencia, CA) was added to 340  $\mu$ g/ml and the sample incubated at 37°C for 30 min. Then Proteinase K was added to 555  $\mu$ g/ml followed by another incubation at 55°C for 30 min. Finally, 0.5 ml of 10% (w/v) Cetyltrimethylammonium Bromide (CTAB, Sigma H6269), 0.9 M NaCl (prewarmed to 65°C) and 0.9 ml of 5 M NaCl was added. The sample was incubated for 20 min at 65°C before being extracted with 8 ml CHCl<sub>3</sub>: isoamyl alcohol (24:1) and centrifuged at 6000 x g for 15 min at RT. The DNA in the aqueous phase was precipitated with 0.8 volumes isopropanol at RT, washed with 70% ethanol, dried, and resuspended in 6 ml of 25 mM Tris-Cl (pH 7), 1 mM EDTA. RNase A (Qiagen, Valencia, CA) was added to 33  $\mu$ g/ml and the sample incubated at 37<sup>o</sup>C for 15 min. Then the following were added to the sample in the order listed: 1) 1.5 ml of 5 M NaCl; 2) 0.5 ml of 1M MOPS (pH 7); 3) 0.5 ml of Triton X-100 (3% vol/vol); 4) 1.5 ml of isopropanol. The sample was then mixed by vortexing, then purified on a Qiagen Genomic-tip 100/G column as per the manufacturer's instructions (Qiagen, Valencia, CA). The eluted DNA was precipitated with 0.8 volumes isopropanol at 4°C, washed with 70% ethanol, dried, and resuspended in 275 μl of 2 mM Tris-Cl pH 7.8. Genomic DNA was then sheared by sonication with a Branson Sonifier 450 to an average fragment size of 500 bp. This method of DNA preparation was used for all aCGH profiles shown in the figures.

**aCGH Analysis of Gene Amplification.** For DNA purified by Method 1, 80-100% of each DNA sample was labeled with Cy3 and 1.5-2ug of purified reference DNA from YJL6032 or YJL6558 was labeled with Cy5 essentially as described (*S2*). The labeled DNA was isolated using one of two previously described methods (low-throughput (*S2*) or high-throughput (*S48*)). For DNA prepared by Method 2,  $2-2.5\mu$ g of each DNA sample was labeled with Cy5 and 1.5-2µg of purified reference DNA from YJL6032, YJL6974, or YJL7695 was labeled with Cy3 essentially as described (*S2*), and labeled DNA was isolated as previously described (*S2*). All samples were hybridized and analyzed as described (*S2*). All microarray data is deposited with

the Gene Expression Omnibus (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/) with accession number GSE22018.

**aCGH Analysis of Re-replication.** Re-replication profiles were performed by aCGH as described above, using Method 2 for DNA preparation.Because aCGH reports on a population average of DNA copy number, re-replication of a locus in a small percentage of cells (we estimate < 5-10%) would probably not register as a significant copy number increase above the 2C baseline of M phase arrested cells. Hence, although *ARS317* is the predominant origin reinitiating in MC2A strains, the lethality that persists when *ARS317* is absent from the genome (data not shown), suggests that other origins throughout the genome may be firing below the sensitivity of detection for aCGH. All microarray data is deposited with the Gene Expression Omnibus (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/) with accession number GSE22018.

**Junction PCR.** PCR amplification of the amplicon junctions required special care because of the large repetitive Ty element(s) at each junction. DNA was prepared from 5 ml of saturated culture using a modified Winston-Hoffman DNA prep (*S49*). Cells were pelleted in a screw cap tube and resuspended in 200  $\mu$ l of Winston-Hoffman Lysis buffer (2% Triton X-100, 1% SDS, 100mM NaCl, 10mM Tris.Cl pH8.0, 1mM EDTA pH8.0). 200  $\mu$ l of glass beads and 200  $\mu$ l of phenol: $CHCl<sub>3</sub>$ : isoamyl alcohol (25:24:1) were added and the tubes were vortexed in a Tomy multi mixer (setting of 7) for 10 min at room temperature. 450 μl 1x TE was added to each tube, which were then mixed well and microfuged at 20,000 x g for 3 min. 500  $\mu$ l of the aqueous layer was transferred to new screw cap tube containing 10  $\mu$ l of RNase A (10 mg/ml) and incubated at 23°C for 2 hours. 300  $\mu$ l of phenol:CHCl<sub>3</sub>:isoamyl alcohol (25:24:1) was added to each tube, which were then vortexed in the Tomy mixer for 5 min and microfuged at 20,000 x g for 3 min. 400  $\mu$ l of the aqueous layer was transferred to new Eppendorf tubes containing 300  $\mu$ l chloroform, vortexed, and microfuged at 20,000 x g for 3 min. 300  $\mu$ l of the aqueous layer was transferred to new Eppendorf tubes containing 3  $\mu$ 1 10N ammonium acetate pH 7.0 and 750  $\mu$ 1 100% ethanol. Tubes were vortexed, then microfuged at 20,000 x g for 7 min. The DNA pellet was washed with 300  $\mu$ l of 70% ethanol, dried and resuspended in 50  $\mu$ l of 1x TE. 0.5  $\mu$ l of DNA was subjected to PCR with 2.5  $\mu$ l Roche Long Template Buffer, 1.25  $\mu$ l 10  $\mu$ M of each oligo, 2.5  $\mu$ 1 5mM dNTPs, 1.25 U Roche Expand polymerase and H<sub>2</sub>O to a final volume of 25  $\mu$ 1. The PCR conditions were 94°C for 3 min, then 30 cycles of 94°C for 30 sec, 60°C for 1 min, 68°C for 15 min, and finally 68°C for 10 min.

The oligonucleotide primers used for these PCR reactions are listed in Table S7. As schematized in Figure 2B, these primers hybridize to unique sequences close to either side of the Ty elements that array CGH data suggested would be at or near the boundaries of the amplicons. Primers  $1_{515}$  and  $2_{515}$  flank YDRCTy2-1 at Chr IV 515kb, which mapped close to the left boundary of all amplicons. Primers  $3_{650}$  and  $4_{650}$  flank YDRCTy1-1 at Chr IV 650kb, which mapped close to the right boundary of all but one amplicon analyzed by PCR (YJL7110). Primers  $3_{985}$  and  $4_{985}$  flank the inverted Ty pair of YDRWTy2-3 and YDRCTy1-3 at Chr IV 985kb, which mapped close to the rightmost boundary of the amplicon in YJL7110.

For all strains analyzed by PCR except YJL7110, if the amplicons were in direct repeat due to nonallelic homologous recombination between YDRCTy2-1 and YDRCTy1-1, the prediction is that they would successfully yield PCR products for primer sets  $1_{515}$  and  $2_{515}$  (8016 bp),  $3_{650}$  and  $4_{650}$  (6494 bp), and  $2_{515}$  and  $3_{650}$  (7564 bp) and no PCR product for primers  $2_{515}$  alone or  $3<sub>650</sub>$  alone. In all cases except one the presence and size of the products from these five PCR

reactions matched this prediction, and a representative set of these products from one strain is shown in Fig. 2B. For the one exception, YJL7095, no product was obtained for the interamplicon junction PCR involving primers  $2_{515}$  and  $3_{650}$ . We note that the aCGH data indicate that the amplification is complex, with some regions triplicated and others duplicated, and thus cannot be unequivocally defined using this PCR approach.

For YJL7110, the structural premise that best fits the data is a direct repeat of amplicons formed by nonallelic homologous recombination between YDRWdelta7 at Chr IV 520kb and YDRWdelta20 near Chr IV 985kb (which is part of the inverted Ty elements YDRWTy2-3 and YDRCTy1-3 at Chr IV 985kb). Such a premise predicts PCR products for primer sets  $1_{515}$  and  $2_{515}$  (8016 bp),  $3_{985}$  and  $4_{985}$  (12110 bp), and  $2_{515}$  and  $3_{985}$  (6339 bp) and no PCR product for primers  $2_{515}$  alone or  $3_{985}$  alone. The presence and size of the PCR products matched these predictions, and sequencing of the interamplicon junction PCR product (as described below) from primers  $2_{515}$  and  $3_{985}$  confirmed a crossover between the two delta elements as proposed (data not shown).

**Inter-amplicon Junction Sequencing.** Genomic DNA was prepared from YJL7101, YJL7102, YJL7103, and YJL7104 (see table S9) using a modified Winston-Hoffman DNA prep (*S49*), as described above for Junction PCR using oligonucleotide primers  $2_{515}$  and  $3_{650}$ . PCR reactions were cleaned up using a Qiagen PCR Clean-up kit, according to the manufacturer's instructions (Qiagen, Valencia, CA). Cleaned up PCR products were sequenced by MCLabs (South San Francisco, CA) using oligonucleotides described in Table S7. Sequence analysis was performed using Vector NTI software (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA).

### **SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE LEGENDS**

Figure S1. Screening for re-replication induced gene amplification using colony sectoring.

**A)** Integrated copy number reporter cassette consists of the kanMX marker, *ade3-2p* copy number reporter gene, and several hundred base pairs of homology to the left (dark grey box) and right (light grey box) of the desired integration site (see Supplementary Methods). Two versions of the cassette were used: (top) one containing an *ARS317* fragment that preferentially re-initiates in the *MC2A* re-replicating strain background, and (bottom) one lacking the *ARS*.

**B)** Schematic of gene amplification screen. Cells were induced to re-replicate for 3 hr at a G2/M phase arrest then plated for single colonies on plates that remove the induction for rereplication and allow colony color development. Parental cells with a single copy of the *ade3-2p* cassette are pink. Cells in a colony lineage that acquire a stable heritable amplification of the *ade3-2p* reporter gene will generate a red sector, whose size reflects when the amplification occurred. Shown is an example of a colony where the *ade3-2p* cassette was stably amplified by one cell at the four-cell stage, resulting in a pink colony with a red quarter sector. Pink colonies with  $1/2$ ,  $1/4$ , and  $1/8$  red sectors were streaked to colony purify red cells. Red sectors that successfully restreaked were quantified and their genomic DNA analyzed by aCGH to determine if they indeed had amplified their reporter cassette. Sectoring was a good indicator of cassette amplification in cells that re-initiated *ARS317* on the *ade3-2p* cassette (Fig. 1C, fig. S2B, table S2 and S3). However, color development is affected by other factors besides *ade3-2p* copy number. In other settings, such as in non-rereplicating strains (table S4), strains perturbed by DNA damage (fig. S3C and table S5), or re-replicating strains with a *RAD52* deletion (Fig. 3C and table S6), red sectors usually did not contain amplification of the *ade3-2p* cassette and presumably arose from other genetic alterations.

**Figure S2.** Re-replication from ChrIV<sub>1089kb</sub> induces primary gene amplification

**A)** Induction of re-replication at ChrIV<sub>1089kb</sub>. Strains containing an  $ade3-2p$  copy number reporter cassette integrated at  $ChrIV<sub>1089kb</sub>$  were arrested in G2/M phase and treated with galactose for 3 hr to trigger re-initiation of *ARS317*. Copy number analysis of re-replication (>2C) by aCGH is shown for Chr IV. All other chromosomes maintained a copy number at or close to 2C (data not shown). Top panel: YJL6977, non-re-replicating strain with *ARS317* in *ade3-2p* cassette (*MATa MCM7-2NLS orc6-cdk1A116 ura3-52::{pGAL, URA3} ChrIV1089kb::{ade3- 2p, ARS317, kanMX} ade2 ade3 ars317*Δ*::natMX*). Middle panel: YJL6557, re-replicating strain with no *ARS317* in *ade3-2p* cassette (*MATa MCM7-2NLS orc6-cdk1A116 ura3-52::{pGAL-ΔntCDC6-cdk2A, URA3} ChrIV1089kb::{ade3-2p, kanMX} ade2 ade3 ars31*7<sup>Δ</sup>*::natMX*). Bottom panel: YJL6561, re-replicating strain with *ARS317* in *ade3-2p* cassette (*MATa MCM7-2NLS orc6-cdk1A116 reura3-52::{pGAL-ΔntCDC6-cdk2A, URA3} ChrIV1089kb::{ade3-2p, ARS317, kanMX} ade2 ade3 ars317*Δ*::natMX*).

**B**) Re-replication of the  $ade3-2p$  reporter cassette stimulates colony sectoring at ChrIV<sub>1089kb</sub>.

YJL6977, YJL6557, and YJL6977 were treated as described in A. After 0 or 3 hr galactose induction, isolated cells were plated for single colonies on media containing dextrose to block further re-replication and limiting adenine to promote color development (see fig. S1B). The frequency of pink colonies with  $1/2$ ,  $1/4$ , or  $1/8$  red sectors were then quantified (mean  $\pm$  SEM, N = 2 to 3 induction replicates; see table S1).

**C**) Red sectors induced by re-replication at ChrIV<sub>1089kb</sub> display primary gene amplifications. 24 red sectors derived from YJL6561 were analyzed by aCGH and distributed into seven classes based on the copy number profile of Chr IV (see table S3). Chr IV profiles of the two largest classes are shown. Schematic of Chr IV shows positions of Ty elements (triangles), centromere (circle), and *ade3-2p* cassette (black bar). \* boundary maps to Ty LTR element and not full Ty element in genome sequence of *S. cerevisiae*, S288c (*S50*). † boundary does not map to any full Ty or LTR element present in the genome sequence of *S. cerevisiae*, S288c (*S50*); whether the boundary coincides with an unmapped Ty or LTR element in YJL6561, which has a different strain background derived from A364a and W303, was not determined.

**Figure S3.** Specificity of primary gene amplifications induced by re-replication.

**A)** Replication mutants did not generate frequent red sectors. Diploid strains YJL7002 (*WT*), YJL7003 (*cdc6-1/cdc6-1*), YJL7087 (*cdc7-1/cdc7-1*), YJL7005 (*cdc9-1/cdc9-1*), and YJL7006 (*cdc17-1/cdc17-1*), all containing *ChrIV567kb::{ade3-2p, ARS317, kanMX}* on one homolog were grown exponentially at 23° C then shifted to restrictive temperatures (36° C) for 3 hr or semipermissive temperatures (30°C) for 6 hr to perturb DNA replication. Cells were plated and red sectors quantified (mean  $\pm$  SEM, N = 2 induction replicates; see table S1) as described in Fig. 1B with results for YJL6558 shown for comparison. % large budded cells were quantified right before plating to monitor the effectiveness of the *cdc* perturbation.

**B)** HU induced replication stress did not generate frequent red sectors. Exponentially growing WT diploid YJL7007 were treated with 0.1 M or 0.2 M HU for the indicated times then plated and red sectors quantified (mean  $\pm$  SEM, N = 2 to 3 induction replicates; see table S1) as described in Fig. 1B with results for YJL6558 shown for comparison. % large budded cells were quantified right before plating to monitor the effectiveness of the HU treatment.

**C)** DNA damage induced chromosomal fragmentation. Exponentially growing WT diploid cells (YJL7007, *MATa/MAT*α *MCM7/MCM7 ORC2/ORC2 ORC6/ORC6 ChrIV/ChrIV<sub>567kb</sub>::{ade3-2p, ARS317, kanMX}*) were treated with indicated concentrations of phleomycin for 3 hr before chromosomes were analyzed by PFGE and ethidium bromide staining.

**D)** DNA damage induced red sectoring. YJL7007 (WT) cells treated as described in C were plated for red sectored colonies and quantified (mean  $\pm$  SEM, N = 2 to 3 induction replicates; see table S1) as described in Fig. 1B with results for YJL6558 shown for comparison. % large budded cells were quantified right before plating to confirm induction of the DNA damage response.

**E)** Red sectors induced by DNA damage did not display gene amplification. Representative aCGH profile of Chr IV displayed by 24/24 red sectors obtained from treatment of YJL7007 with 20  $\mu$ g/ml phleomycin as described in D (see table S5).

**Figure S4.** Sequence of hybrid Ty elements at interamplicon junctions.

YJL7101-7104 contain amplicons bounded by YDRCTy2-1 at ChrIV $_{515kb}$  and YDRCTy1-1 at  $ChrIV<sub>650kb</sub>$ . Hybrid Ty elements at the interamplicon junctions were amplified by PCR and sequenced. Shown is the left most (centromere proximal) segment of approximately 1.4 kb, which spans the region where YDRCTy2-1 and YDRCTy1-1 share 99% identity (unboxed sequence). Crossover events were detected as transitions from sequence specific to YDRCTy1-1 (grey box) to sequence specific to YDRCTy2-1 (white box). Additional sequence obtained to the left and right of the displayed sequence are consistent with crossovers in these isolates only occurring in this region (data not shown). To the left of all four isolates, we sequenced at least 170 bp that proved to be identical to genomic sequences centromere proximal to the endogenous YDRCTy1-1. Similarly, in all four isolates we sequenced at least 560 bp to the right that turned out to be identical to YDRCTy2-1. For two of the isolates, YJL7103 and YJL7104, the rightward sequence continued all the way past the end of the hybrid Ty element and this sequence was shown to be identical to YDRCTy2-1 and the centromere distal genomic sequence flanking this Ty element at its endogenous location. \* marks the position of every tenth nucleotide.

### **Figure S5.** Breakage-fusion-bridge model

A schematic of a breakage fusion bridge cycle is shown. Breakage through both sister chromatids, or a break in G1 phase of the cell cycle followed by chromatid replication, (upper left) can result in fusion of the two sisters in inverted orientation (upper right). Such a fusion, which can also be initiated by telomere erosion, results in a dicentric chromosome. Attempts to segregate the two centromeres generate a mitotic bridge (lower right) and often results in breakage between the centromeres. The larger chromosome fragment contains an inverted duplication of part of the region centromeric to the original break and is missing the region telomeric to that break (lower left). Replication of this DNA results in two sister chromatids each with a break, allowing the cycle to be repeated multiple times (upper left) until a telomere is finally captured by one of the broken ends.





**C** 

**CGH Analysis of YJL6561 Sectors** 





**A**







TGACCTGGTTGAGCTCTAAGTTTCTTTCCTTTCGGTTCAAAGGTACGTTAAFTTAGTTAATTTTTCTGFAATTTTCAAGGATTTTTCCATACCTAATTTCATGTACTTGCTTTCAA TCTTTGCTGAA<mark>IT</mark>AATATCATCAACGAATAAGCAAATTGTTACTTGACTATTCTTAAATACGCATGACCATCCGCAACTTCTTGCATGTCGCAACATTTATTAAATATGATTTA \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* TGAGA<mark>TAT</mark>ATGTGGGTAATTRGATAATTGTTGGGATTCCATTGTTGATAAAGGC<mark>T</mark>ATAATATTAGGTATACAGAATATACTAGAAGTTCCTCCAGGATTTAGGAATCCATAAAAGGGA TGAGATATATGTGGGTAATTAGATAATTGTTGGGATTCCATTGTTGATAAAGGCTATAATATTAGGTATACAGAATATACTAGAAGTTCTCCTCGAGGATTTAGGAATCCATAAAAGGGA ATCTGCAATTCTACACAATTCTATAAATATTATTATCATCGTTTTATATGTTAATATTCATTGATCCTATTACATTATCAATCCTTGCGTTTCAGCTTCCACTAATTTAGATGACTATTT CTCATCATTTGCGTCATCTTCTAACACCGTATATGATAATATACTAGTAACGTAAATACTAGTTAGTAGATGATAGTTGATTTTTATTCCAACATACCACCCATAATGTAATAGATCTAA TGAATCCATTTGTT<mark>A</mark>GTTAATAGTTTAAATGTTTATGTTTTATCGGAAGAGGTTTGTCACACATCAGCAATGTTCTTCTTGGTCGATGTAGTATATATTATTACCTGATACTTCA TGAATCCATTTGTTAGTTAATAGTTTAAATGTTTTTATCGGAAGAGGTTTTGTCATCACATCAGCAATGTTCTTCTTGGTCTCGATGTAGTATACGTATAAATTATTACCTGATACTTCA TCTCRAGTCTCATTGCCTTTGTGCCAAAAAATCTGTTTCTAAATTTCTCATTTGATTTGAGACTTAATTATACTGATCGTTGATCTACTATCAGTAAGT<mark>A</mark>AGCCTTTRATAATTGGTTC TCTCTAAGTCTCATTGCCTTTGTGCCAAAAAATCTGTTTCTAAATTTCTCTTCATTTGTAGACTTAATTATACTGATCGTTGATCTACTATCAGTAAGTAAGCCTTTAATAATTGGTTTC TTGTTAAGTTCTTGCACAAGGTGAGCTGAGGTTATTCAATAGCGG<mark>T</mark>ATAGCCTTCACTGACGGTGTATTTCTGCTTGTAGTTGAAGTGCATGTAACGAAGCCTTTGTCGACTTTCCT TTGTTAAGTTCTTGCACAAGGTGACTGAGGTTATTCAATAGCGGTATAGCTTCACTGACTGCGTGTATTTCTGCTTCTGTAGTTGAAGTGCATGTTAACGAAGCCTTTGTCGACTTTCCT CCAATCACTTTTCCGTTGAGTAGGAAAATGTTACCAATTTGTGACTTGTAATATGGTTGGTTACCATATGAAGCATCGCTTATTGCGACTAGTTTATTATCTGGCTTGGTAGGTTTGTTT TTGTGCCATATTAATTGTTTATCTCTAGTGTCCCACATGAATTGTATTAACTCATATGTCATGTCTAAAACTTGCCTAGAGGGGAATAGTATATGTTGAGCAAGTGTGTTGATGTAGTAT AGTAAGTCAAATCTAAATTTATACCAACATATGAAGCCRAGCCAACCAATCAACTTTGCATT<mark>GD</mark>ACTTTCATT<mark>A</mark>FATTCATCTTCATCTATTTCTAGTTCATCCTGGTCTATATAA AGTAAGTCAAATCTAAATTTATATCCAACATATGAAGCTAGACCAATCAACTTTTGCATTTCATGTACTTTCTCTTTATATTCATCTTCATCTATTTCTAGTTCATCCTGGTCTATATAA TGACCTGGTTGACCTGGAGCTCTAAGTTTCTTTCCTTTTGGGTTCAAAGGTACGTTTAGTTTGGGTAATTTTTCTGTCAAGGATTTTTCCATACCTAATTTCATGTACTTGCTTCTTTGA TATTTGATCTCTAATCCAAGTATGTCGTACTGAATTTCGTTATCACCTTCACCCAGATTTATTATCTTTGTATCGTATTGTTTCTTGAGTGTTGTTATGATTTTCTTATTTGCATTTAAG TCTTTTGCAA<mark>T</mark>AATATCATCARCGAATAAGCAAATTGTTACTTACTTAATTCTTAAATACGAACCATGCGAACCGAACTTCTTGTATGTCGCAACAATTTAAATGATGATTAA

## **YJL7103**

GAATCCATTTGTT<mark>A</mark>GTTAATAGTTTAAATGTTTTTATCGGAAGAGGTTTGTCACACATCACAGCAATGTTCTTCTTGGTCGATGTAGTATACGTATAATTATTACCTGATACTTCAT TGTTAAGTTCTTGCACAAGGTGACTGAGGTTATTCAATAGCGG<mark>II</mark>ATAGCTTCACTGCGCGCGTGTATTTCTGCTTGTTGAAGTGAAGCATGTTAACGAAGCCTTTGTCGACTTTCCTC TGTGACATATTTATTCTTATCTTAGTGTCCAACTGAAATTGTATAACTGATATGTCATATGTCAACCTAAAACTTGCCTAGAGGGAATAGTATATGTGAGGAAGTGTGGATGTAATGTAAGTATA GTAAGTCAAATCTAAATTTATATCCAACATATGAAGCTAGACCAATCAACTTTTGCATTTCATG<mark>T</mark>ACTTTCTCTTTATATTCATCTRATCTATTCTAGTCATCCTGGTCTATATAAT \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* TGAGATATATGTGGGTAATTAGATAATTGTTGGGATTCCATTGTTGATAAAGGCTATAATATTAGGTATGTAGATATACTAGAAGTTCTCCTCGAGGATTTAGGAATCCATAAAAGGGAA TCTGCAATTCTACACAATTCTATAAATATATTATCATC<mark>G</mark>TTTTATATATATATTAATATTCATTGATCCTATTACATTCAATCCTTGCGTTTCAGCTCACTAATTTAGATGACTATTTC TCTGCAATTCTACACAATTCTATAAATATTATTATCATCGTTTTATATGTTAATATTCATTGATCCTATTACATTATCAATCCTTGCGTTTCAGCTTCCACTAATTTAGATGACTATTTC TCATCATTTGCGTCATCTTCTAACACCGTATATGATAATATACTAGTAACGTAAATACTAGTTAGTAGATGATAGTTGATTTTTATTCCAACATACCACCCATAATGTAATAGATCTAAT GAATCCATTTGTTAGTTAATAGTTTAAATGTTTTTATCGGAAGAGGTTTTGTCATCACATCAGCAATGTTCTTCTTGGTCTCGATGTAGTATACGTATAAATTATTACCTGATACTTCAT CTCTAAGTCTCATTGCCTTTGTGCCAAAAAATCTGTTTCTAAATTTCTCTTCATTTGTAGACTTAATTATACTGATCGTTGATCTACTATCAGTAAGTAAGCCTTTAATAATTGGTTTCT TGTTAAGTTCTTGCACAAGGTGACTGAGGTTATTCAATAGCGGTATAGCTTCACTGACTGCGTGTATTTCTGCTTCTGTAGTTGAAGTGCATGTTAACGAAGCCTTTGTCGACTTTCCTC CAATCACTTTTCCGTTGAGTAGGAAAATGTTACCAATTTGTGACTTGTAATATGGTTGGTTACCATATGAAGCATCGCTTATTGCGACTAGTTTATTATCTGGCTTGGTAGGTTTGTTTT TGTGCCATATTAATTGTTTATCTCTAGTGTCCCACATGAATTGTATTAACTCATATGTCATGTCTAAAACTTGCCTAGAGGGGAATAGTATATGTTGAGCAAGTGTGTTGATGTAGTATA GTAAGTCAAATCTAAATTTATATCCAACATATGAAGCTAGACCAATCAACTTTTGCATTTCATGTACTTTCTCTTTATATTCATCTTCATCTATTTCTAGTTCATCCTGGTCTATATAAT GACCTGGTTGACCTGGAGCTCTAAGTTTCTTTCCTTTTGGGTTCAAAGGTACGTTTAGTTTGGGTAATTTTTCTGTCAAGGATTTTTCCATACCTAATTTCATGTACTTGCTTCTTTGAT ATTTGATCTCTAATCCAAGTATGTCGTACTGAATTTCGTTATCACCTTCACCCAGATTTATTATCTTTGTATCGTATTGTTTCTTGAGTGTTGTTATGATTTTCTTATTTGCATTTAAGT стттесте аа<mark>п</mark>ратателте ателасе аат насе адаттет та стта от та але сата се ате со сате со то стте со то са са сатта да та те атти д CTTTGGAA<mark>IT</mark>AATATCATCAACGAATAAGCAAATTGTTGACTATTGACTAATTACGCATGACCATGGACCGGGAACTTCTTGCATGTCGCAACAATTTAAFTTAAPGTCATTTA ×  $\ast$ 

## **YJL7104**

TGAGA<mark>TAT</mark>ATGTGGGTAATTRGATAATTGTTGGGATTCCATTGTTGATA<mark>AAGGCTATAATATTAGGTATACAGA</mark>ATATACTAGAAGTTCCTCCAGGAGGAATCCATAAAAGGGA rGACCTGGACCTGAAGTTTTCTTAAGTTTTCCTTTCOAAAGGTTCAAAGGTAAGTTTAGTTAATTTTTCFAATTTTCAAGGATTTTTCAAAAGAAATTTCTTTAATGTAA TATTGATCTCRAATCCAAGTATGTACTGAATTTCGTTATCGCTACCTTCACCAGATTTATTATTGTATGGTATTGTATTCTTGAGTGTGTATGTTATTCTTRATTTGCATTTAAG TCTTTGCTGAA<mark>U</mark>AATATCATCAACGAATAAGCAAATTGTTACTTGACTATTCTTAAATACGCATGACCATCC<mark>G</mark>CGAACTTCTT<mark>GCATCGCAACAATTTATTAA</mark>ATATGATTT<mark>A</mark> \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* TGAGATATATGTGGGTAATTAGATAATTGTTGGGATTCCATTGTTGATAAAGGCTATAATATTAGGTATACAGAATATACTAGAAGTTCTCCTCGAGGATTTAGGAATCCATAAAAGGGA ATCTGCAATTCTACACAATTCTATAAATATTATTATCATCGTTTTATATGTTAATATTCATTGATCCTATTACATTATCAATCCTTGCGTTTCAGCTTCCACTAATTTAGATGACTATTT CTCATCATTTGCGTCATCTTCTAACACCGTATATGATAATATACTAGTAACGTAAATACTAGTTAGTAGATGATAGTTGATTTTTATTCCAACATACCACCCATAATGTAATAGATCTAA TGAATCCATTTGTTTGTTAATAGTTTAAATGTTTTTATCGGAAGAGGTTTTGTCATCACATCAGCAATGTTCTTCTTGGTCTCGATGTAGTATACGTATAAATTATTACCTGATACTTCA TCTCTAAGTCTTTTGCCCAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAATGTTTCTAAATTTGTTAGACTTAATTATATTATATTATACTGATCGTTACTAGTAAGTTAAGTTGTTTAATAATATTTC TTGTTAAGTTCTACAAGGTGAGTGAGGTTATTAATTCAATAGGG<mark>A</mark>ATAGCCTTCACTGACTGCGTGTATTTCTGCTGTAGTTGAAGTGATGATGAACGAAGCCTTTGTCGACTTTCCT TTGTTAAGTTCTTGCACAAGGTGACTGAGGTTATTCAATAGCGGAATAGCTTCACTGACTGCGTGTATTTCTGCTTCTGTAGTTGAAGTGCATGTTAACGAAGCCTTTGTCGACTTTCCT COAARCOTTTTTTAGGAAAAARTGFTAGAAAARGTTAAATGFTAATAAFGFTGGAACCATGGFTAATAAGGTTGGAACCATGGGGAGCOACGFTTTATTAGTTTAGTTTAGGTTTTAATGGTTTTGGTTTTAATGGTTTT CCAATCACTTTTCCGTTGAGTAGGAAAATGTTACCAATTTGTGACTTGTAATATGGTTGGTTACCATATGAAGCATCGCTTATTGCGACTAGTTTATTATCTGGCTTGGTAGGTTTGTTT TTGTGCCATATTAATTGTTTATCTCTAGTGTCCCACATGAATTGTATTAACTCATATGTCATGTCTAAAACTTGCCTAGAGGGGAATAGTATATGTTGAGCAAGTGTGTTGATGTAGTAT AGTAAGTCAAATCTAAATTTATATACCAACATATGAAGCTAGACCAATCAACTTTTGCATTGATG<mark>O</mark>ACTTTCTTTGTATTGATCATCTTCATCTATTTCTAGTTCATCTGTTCTATATAA AGTAAGTCAAATCTAAATTTATATCCAACATATGAAGCTAGACCAATCAACTTTTGCATTTCATGCACTTTCTCTTTGTATTCATCTTCATCTATTTCTAGTTCATCCTGGTCTATATAA TGACCTGGTTGACCTGGAGCTCTAAGTTTCTTTCCTTTTGGGTTCAAAGGTACGTTTAGTTTGGGTAATTTTTCTGTCAAGGATTTTTCCATACCTAATTTCATGTACTTGCTTCTTTGA TATTTGATCTCTAATCCAAGTATGTCGTACTGAATTTCGTTATCACCTTCACCCAGATTTATTATCTTTGTATCGTATTGTTTCTTGAGTGTTGTTATGATTTTCTTATTTGCATTTAAG TCTTTTGCAA<mark>C</mark>AATATCATCAACGAATAAGCAAATTGTTACTTACTTAATTCTTAAATACGAACCATCCGGCAACTTCTTCTTGCATGTCGCAACATTTAAATTAATGATTA × × ×  $\ast$ ×













Table S1 (continued) **Table S1 (continued)**





\* Same set of experiments used as asynchronous untreated control for both Phleomycin and Hydroxyurea experiments \* Same set of experiments used as asynchronous untreated control for both Phleomycin and Hydroxyurea experiments



Analysis of sectored colonies generated by re-replicating strain containing reporter cassette at Chr IV<sub>567to</sub> (YJL6558). Analysis of sectored colonies generated by re-replicating strain containing reporter cassette at Chr IV<sub>567kb</sub> (YJL6558).

Saccharomyces Genome Database. Boundary numbers were used to calculate the expected size increase in ChrIV after all amplifications screened by PFGE Boundary numbers were used to calculate the expected size increase in ChrIV after all amplifications screened by PFGE The one negative (YJL7095) may have failed because we used PCR primers to detect a junction between 515 kb and 650 kb and not a junction between 515 The one negative (YJL7095) may have failed because we used PCR primers to detect a junction between 515 kb and 650 kb and not a junction between 515 Copy Number and Amplicon Boundaries refer to locus encompassing reporter cassette. Boundaries are reported as kilobases (kb) from the left telomere of Copy Number and Amplicon Boundaries refer to locus encompassing reporter cassette. Boundaries are reported as kilobases (kb) from the left telomere of were shown to be intrachromosomal. Direct Repeat refers to whether junction PCR (see Fig. 2) confirmed the presence of a head-to-tail amplicon junction. were shown to be intrachromosomal. Direct Repeat refers to whether junction PCR (see Fig. 2) confirmed the presence of a head-to-tail amplicon junction. ChrlV. Boudaries correspond to the position of Ty elements (515=Ty2; 650=Ty1; 875=Ty1; 985=Ty1) or LTRs (670=5) mapped for S288c on the ChrIV. Boudaries correspond to the position of Ty elements (515=Ty2; 650=Ty1; 875=Ty1; 985=Ty1) or LTRs (670=δ) mapped for S288c on the kb and 670 kb. n/a- not applicable; ND - not done. kb and 670 kb. n/a- not applicable; ND - not done. Saccharomyces Genome Database.

CGH analysis of sectored colonies generated by re-replicating strain containing reporter cassette at Chr IV $_{1089kb}$  (YJL6561).



Copy Number and Amplicon Boundaries refer to locus encompassing the reporter cassette. n/a - not applicable. Boundaries are reported as kilobases (kb) from the left telomere of ChrIV and correspond to the position of Ty elements (875=Ty2; 985=Ty2; 1205=Ty1) or LTRs (805=δ; 1150=δ; 1350=δ ) mapped for S288c on the Saccharomyces Genome Database, except for 925kb. TEL is yeast telomere sequences.

CGH analysis of sectored colony isolates generated by non-re-replicating strain containing reporter cassette at  $ChrIV<sub>567kb</sub>$  (YJL6974).



Copy Number and Amplicon Boundaries refer to locus encompassing the reporter cassette. Boundaries are reported as kilobases (kb) from the left telomere of ChrIV and correspond to the position of Ty elements (515=Ty2; 650=Ty1; 875=Ty1; 985=Ty1) identified for S288c in the Saccharomyces Genome Database. n/a - not applicable. TEL - telomere.

CGH analysis of sectored colonies generated by DNA damage from 20 µg/ml phleomycin in diploid strain containing reporter cassette at 567kb on one homolog of ChrIV (YJL7007).



Diploid Copy Number and Amplicon Boundaries refer to locus encompassing the reporter cassette. Unamplified diploid copy number is 2. No boundaries are reported because no amplification of the reporter cassette was observed. n/a is not applicable.

CGH analysis of sectored colonies generated in *rad52*Δ (YJL7452) and *dnl4*Δ (YJL7443) re-replicating strains containing reporter cassette at ChrIV $_{567kb}$ .



### **Table S6 (continued)**

CGH analysis of sectored colonies generated in *rad52*Δ (YJL7452) and *dnl4*Δ (YJL7443) re-replicating strains containing reporter cassette at ChrIV $_{567kb}$ .



Copy Number and Amplicon Boundaries refer to locus encompassing the reporter cassette. Boundaries are reported as kilobases (kb) from the left telomere of ChrIV. Boudaries correspond to the position of Ty elements (515=Ty2; 650=Ty1; 875=Ty1; 985=Ty1) mapped for S288c on the Saccharomyces Genome Database, except for 580kb and 590kb. n/a - not applicable







Plasmids used in this study. Plasmids used in this study.





Yeast strains used in this study. Yeast strains used in this study.





Yeast strains used in this study. Yeast strains used in this study.



<sup>1</sup> Palmer, R. E. et al. Genetics 125, 763-774 (1990) 1 Palmer, R. E. et al. *Genetics* **125**, 763-774 (1990)

<sup>2</sup> Nguyen, V.Q. et al. Nature 411, 1068-1073 (2001) Nguyen, V.Q. et al. *Nature* **411,** 1068-1073 (2001)

<sup>3</sup> Green, B.M. et al. Mol Biol Cell 17, 2401-2414 (2006) Green, B.M. et al. *Mol Biol Cell* **17**, 2401-2414 (2006)

### **SUPPLEMENTARY REFERENCES**

- S1. I. F. Davidson, A. Li, J. J. Blow, Deregulated replication licensing causes DNA fragmentation consistent with head-to-tail fork collision. *Mol Cell* 24, 433 (2006).
- S2. B. M. Green, R. J. Morreale, B. Ozaydin, J. L. Derisi, J. J. Li, Genome-wide mapping of DNA synthesis in Saccharomyces cerevisiae reveals that mechanisms preventing reinitiation of DNA replication are not redundant. *Mol Biol Cell* 17, 2401 (2006).
- S3. V. Archambault, A. E. Ikui, B. J. Drapkin, F. R. Cross, Disruption of mechanisms that prevent rereplication triggers a DNA damage response. *Mol Cell Biol* 25, 6707 (2005).
- S4. B. M. Green, J. J. Li, Loss of rereplication control in Saccharomyces cerevisiae results in extensive DNA damage. *Mol Biol Cell* 16, 421 (2005).
- S5. M. Melixetian *et al.*, Loss of Geminin induces rereplication in the presence of functional p53. *J Cell Biol* 165, 473 (2004).
- S6. C. Vaziri *et al.*, A p53-dependent checkpoint pathway prevents rereplication. *Mol Cell* 11, 997 (2003).
- S7. K. Labib, B. Hodgson, Replication fork barriers: pausing for a break or stalling for time? *EMBO Rep* 8, 346 (2007).
- S8. A. Aguilera, B. Gomez-Gonzalez, Genome instability: a mechanistic view of its causes and consequences. *Nat Rev Genet* 9, 204 (2008).
- S9. R. D. Kolodner, C. D. Putnam, K. Myung, Maintenance of genome stability in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *Science* 297, 552 (2002).
- S10. T. Weinert, S. Kaochar, H. Jones, A. Paek, A. J. Clark, The replication fork's five degrees of freedom, their failure and genome rearrangements. *Curr Opin Cell Biol* 21, 778 (2009).
- S11. F. J. Lemoine, N. P. Degtyareva, K. Lobachev, T. D. Petes, Chromosomal translocations in yeast induced by low levels of DNA polymerase a model for chromosome fragile sites. *Cell* 120, 587 (2005).
- S12. V. Narayanan, P. A. Mieczkowski, H. M. Kim, T. D. Petes, K. S. Lobachev, The pattern of gene amplification is determined by the chromosomal location of hairpin-capped breaks. *Cell* 125, 1283 (2006).
- S13. K. Umezu, M. Hiraoka, M. Mori, H. Maki, Structural analysis of aberrant chromosomes that occur spontaneously in diploid Saccharomyces cerevisiae: retrotransposon Ty1 plays a crucial role in chromosomal rearrangements. *Genetics* 160, 97 (2002).
- S14. J. J. Blow, X. Q. Ge, A model for DNA replication showing how dormant origins safeguard against replication fork failure. *EMBO Rep* 10, 406 (2009).
- S15. M. Botchan, W. Topp, J. Sambrook, Studies on simian virus 40 excision from cellular chromosomes. *Cold Spring Harb Symp Quant Biol* 43 Pt 2, 709 (1979).
- S16. A. C. Spradling, The organization and amplification of two chromosomal domains containing Drosophila chorion genes. *Cell* 27, 193 (1981).
- S17. D. G. Albertson, Gene amplification in cancer. *Trends Genet* 22, 447 (2006).
- S18. P. J. Hahn, Molecular biology of double-minute chromosomes. *Bioessays* 15, 477 (1993).
- S19. E. Wintersberger, DNA amplification: new insights into its mechanism. *Chromosoma* 103, 73 (1994).
- S20. M. Debatisse, B. Malfoy, Gene amplification mechanisms. *Adv Exp Med Biol* 570, 343 (2005).
- S21. J. Herrick *et al.*, Genomic organization of amplified MYC genes suggests distinct mechanisms of amplification in tumorigenesis. *Cancer Res* 65, 1174 (2005).
- S22. Y. Kuwahara *et al.*, Alternative mechanisms of gene amplification in human cancers. *Genes Chromosomes Cancer* 41, 125 (2004).
- S23. J. O'Neil *et al.*, Alu elements mediate MYB gene tandem duplication in human T-ALL. *J Exp Med* 204, 3059 (2007).
- S24. M. P. Strout, G. Marcucci, C. D. Bloomfield, M. A. Caligiuri, The partial tandem duplication of ALL1 (MLL) is consistently generated by Alu-mediated homologous recombination in acute myeloid leukemia. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 95, 2390 (1998).
- S25. P. J. Stephens *et al.*, Complex landscapes of somatic rearrangement in human breast cancer genomes. *Nature* 462, 1005 (2009).
- S26. J. Kim, H. Feng, E. T. Kipreos, C. elegans CUL-4 prevents rereplication by promoting the nuclear export of CDC-6 via a CKI-1-dependent pathway. *Curr Biol* 17, 966 (2007).
- S27. H. Nishitani, P. Nurse, p65cdc18 plays a major role controlling the initiation of DNA replication in fission yeast. *Cell* 83, 397 (1995).
- S28. M. Thomer, N. R. May, B. D. Aggarwal, G. Kwok, B. R. Calvi, Drosophila doubleparked is sufficient to induce re-replication during development and is regulated by cyclin E/CDK2. *Development* 131, 4807 (2004).
- S29. L. A. Loeb, J. H. Bielas, R. A. Beckman, Cancers exhibit a mutator phenotype: clinical implications. *Cancer Res* 68, 3551 (2008).
- S30. E. Arentson *et al.*, Oncogenic potential of the DNA replication licensing protein CDT1. *Oncogene* 21, 1150 (2002).
- S31. M. Liontos *et al.*, Deregulated overexpression of hCdt1 and hCdc6 promotes malignant behavior. *Cancer Res* 67, 10899 (2007).
- S32. J. Seo *et al.*, Cdt1 transgenic mice develop lymphoblastic lymphoma in the absence of p53. *Oncogene* 24, 8176 (2005).
- S33. H. Innan, F. Kondrashov, The evolution of gene duplications: classifying and distinguishing between models. *Nat Rev Genet* 11, 97 (2010).
- S34. F. Zhang, W. Gu, M. E. Hurles, J. R. Lupski, Copy number variation in human health, disease, and evolution. *Annu Rev Genomics Hum Genet* 10, 451 (2009).
- S35. R. T. Schimke, S. W. Sherwood, A. B. Hill, R. N. Johnston, Overreplication and recombination of DNA in higher eukaryotes: potential consequences and biological implications. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 83, 2157 (1986).
- S36. E. Loh, J. J. Salk, L. A. Loeb, Optimization of DNA polymerase mutation rates during bacterial evolution. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 107, 1154 (2010).
- S37. M. S. Longtine *et al.*, Additional modules for versatile and economical PCR-based gene deletion and modification in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *Yeast* 14, 953 (1998).
- S38. D. Koshland, J. C. Kent, L. H. Hartwell, Genetic analysis of the mitotic transmission of minichromosomes. *Cell* 40, 393 (1985).
- S39. R. S. Sikorski, P. Hieter, A system of shuttle vectors and yeast host strains designed for efficient manipulation of DNA in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *Genetics* 122, 19 (1989).
- S40. V. Q. Nguyen, C. Co, J. J. Li, Cyclin-dependent kinases prevent DNA re-replication through multiple mechanisms. *Nature* 411, 1068 (2001).
- S41. A. L. Goldstein, J. H. McCusker, Three new dominant drug resistance cassettes for gene disruption in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *Yeast* 15, 1541 (1999).
- S42. V. Q. Nguyen, C. Co, K. Irie, J. J. Li, Clb/Cdc28 kinases promote nuclear export of the replication initiator proteins Mcm2-7. *Curr Biol* 10, 195 (2000).
- S43. V. Berlin, J. A. Brill, J. Trueheart, J. D. Boeke, G. R. Fink, Genetic screens and selections for cell and nuclear fusion mutants. *Methods Enzymol* 194, 774 (1991).
- S44. R. E. Palmer, E. Hogan, D. Koshland, Mitotic transmission of artificial chromosomes in cdc mutants of the yeast, Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *Genetics* 125, 763 (1990).
- S45. F. Sherman, Getting started with yeast. *Methods Enzymol* 350, 3 (2002).
- S46. S. B. Haase, S. I. Reed, Improved flow cytometric analysis of the budding yeast cell cycle. *Cell Cycle* 1, 132 (2002).
- S47. D. E. Lea, C. A. Coulson, The distribution of the number of mutants in bacterial populations. *J. Genetics* 49, 264 (1949).
- S48. J. A. Pleiss, G. B. Whitworth, M. Bergkessel, C. Guthrie, Transcript specificity in yeast pre-mRNA splicing revealed by mutations in core spliceosomal components. *PLoS Biol* 5, e90 (2007).
- S49. C. S. Hoffman, F. Winston, A ten-minute DNA preparation from yeast efficiently releases autonomous plasmids for transformation of Escherichia coli. *Gene* 57, 267 (1987).
- S50. J. M. Cherry *et al.*, Genetic and physical maps of Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *Nature* 387, 67 (1997).