Supporting Information (SI):

P22 viral capsids as nanocomposite high-relaxivity MRI contrast agents

*Shefah Qazi,†,§,^ŧ Lars O. Liepold,†,§,^ŧ Md Joynal Abedin,†,§ Ben Johnson, †,§ Peter Prevelige,‡ Joseph A. Frank, ξ,€ and Trevor Douglas†,§,**

The additional figures provide supplementary data for further reference to accompany the manuscript. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

Figure S1. SDS-PAGE gel shows evidence of mass increase after modification. A) SigmaMarker Wide Ladder (MW 6,500- 200,000 Da). B) P22 WB migrates at ~46.6kDa. C) P22-AACC-Gd migrates at ~49kDa). Higher molecular weight species are suggestive of inter-subunit cross-linking within the P22 capsid.

Figure S2. Contour plots of relaxivity for P22-AACC-Gd at variable field strengths and log τ_M values. The "intersection point" of the horizontal and vertical white lines represents a field strength of 3T and τ_M = 533ns (the established value for P22-AACC-Gd). The system was evaluated at three different τ_R values. a1-2) r_1 (a1) and r_1/r_2 (a2) contour plots for τ_R = 16ns (the established value for P22-AACC-Gd). $b1-2$) r_1 ($b1$) and r_1/r_2 (b2) contour plots for $\tau_R = 1$ ns. c1-2) r_1 (a1) and r_1/r_2 (a2) contour plots for $\tau_R = 0.1$ ns. The 2D plots reveal optimal performance of this system for the given "intersection point" occurs when $\tau_R = 1$ ns, with $r_1 = 13.0$ mM⁻¹sec⁻¹, $r_1/r_2 = 0.78$.

Eqn. S1 (Relaxivity Equations):[1](#page-6-0)

Analytical equation containing the decay constant, T_1 , for the recovery of the net nuclear spin magnetization for a sample placed in a magnetic field which has be tilted out of equilibrium:

$$
M_{z}(t) = M_{z \; Equilibrium} \left(1 - e^{\frac{-t}{T_{1}}} \right)
$$

- $M_z(t)$ nuclear spin magnetization in the z axis at time t in units of seconds
- $M_{z \text{ Equilibrium}}$ equilibrium state of the nuclear spin magnetization in the z axis (maximum magnetization)
- \bullet T_1 decay constant for the recovery of spin in units of seconds

Observed T_1 of a specific sample type with a contrast agent present:

$$
T_{1\;observed} = \left[\frac{1}{T_{1\;Sample}} + (r_{1}) \text{[Contrast Agent]}\right]^{-1}
$$

- r_1 relaxivity of a contrast agent in units of mM⁻¹ seconds⁻¹
- [Contrast Agent] concentration of the contrast agent in units of mM

Solomon-Bloembergen-Morgan (SBM) model for PRE:

Relaxivity of contrast agent including the dipolar, scalar and Currie relaxation mechanisms:

$$
r_1 = \frac{q \cdot [Contrast \, Agent]}{[Water]} \left[\frac{1}{T_{1M} + \tau_M}\right]
$$

$$
r_1 = \frac{q \cdot [Contrast \, Agent]}{[Water]} \left[\frac{1}{\frac{1}{T_{1M}^{dipolar}} + \frac{1}{T_{1M}^{scalar}} + \frac{1}{T_{1M}^{currie}} + \tau_M}\right]
$$

 $[Water] = 55.6 \text{Molar}$ concentration of water in units of (moles / liter), (fixed value)

number of inner sphere waters that bind to the Gd ion, (fitting q parameter)

$$
T_{1M}^{dipolar}
$$
 dipolar contribution to the relaxation time

$$
T_{1M}^{scalar}
$$
 scalar contribution to the relaxation time

 T_{1M}^{currie} Currie contribution to the relaxation time

residence time for the Gd bound water molecule, (fitting $\tau_M^$ parameter)

Relaxivity of contrast agent considering only the dipolar relaxation mechanism (the dipolar mechanism was only considered in the fitting of the NMRD profiles in this work):

$$
r_1 = \frac{q \cdot [Contrast Agent]}{[Water]} \left[\frac{1}{T_{1M}^{dipolar} + \tau_M} \right]
$$

SBM analytical description of the dipolar relaxation time:

$$
T_{1M}^{dipolar} = \frac{2C_{dd}}{15r_{1s}^6} [3J(\omega_1, \tau_{d1}) + 7J(\omega_s, \tau_{d2})]
$$

4

Prefactor for relaxation:

$$
C_{dd} = \gamma_l^2 \gamma_S^2 \hbar^2 S(S+1) \left(\frac{\mu_0}{4\pi}\right)^2
$$

Spectral density function:

$$
J(\omega,\tau) = \frac{\tau}{1 + \omega^2 \tau^2}
$$

Larmor frequency of nuclear or electric spin ω correlation time where τ is either τ_{d1} or τ_{d2} τ

Correlation times in units of seconds:

$$
\tau_{d1} = \left(\frac{1}{\tau_R} + \frac{1}{\tau_M} + \frac{1}{T_{1e}}\right)^{-1}
$$

and

$$
\tau_{d2} = \left(\frac{1}{\tau_R} + \frac{1}{\tau_M} + \frac{1}{T_{2e}}\right)^{-1}
$$

Electronic relaxation time (longitudinal and transverse) in units of seconds:

$$
T_{1e} = \left[\frac{2\Delta^2}{50} (4S(S + 1) - 3) \left(\frac{\tau_v}{1 + \omega_s^2 \tau_v^2} + \frac{4\tau_v}{1 + 4\omega_s^2 \tau_v^2}\right)\right]^{-1}
$$

and

$$
T_{2e} = \left[\frac{\Delta^2}{50} (4S(S+1) - 3) \left(3\tau_v + \frac{5\tau_v}{1 + \omega_s^2 \tau_v^2} + \frac{2\tau_v}{1 + 4\omega_s^2 \tau_v^2}\right)\right]^{-1}
$$

References:

(1) Liepold, L.; Abedin, M.; Buckhouse, E.; Frank, J.; Young, M.; Douglas, T. Supramolecular Protein Cage Composite MR Contrast Agents with Extremely Efficient Relaxivity Properties. *Nano Lett.* **2009**, *9* (12), 4520-4526.