PNAS

JAS

Support Text 1 10 1072/2000 120000 14 Jeiranian et al. 10.1073/pnas.1300624110

Fig. S1. A comparison of the replication intermediates observed by 2D agarose gel analysis following UV irradiation or temperature shift over time is shown for wild type and each of the temperature-sensitive replication mutants used in this study. Strains containing plasmid pBR322 were UV-irradiated with 50 J/m² or filtered and placed in prewarmed media at 42 °C. Genomic and plasmid DNA was then purified, digested with PvuII, and analyzed by 2D agarose gel analysis at 0, 30, 60, and 90 min following UV-irradiation or temperature shift, as indicated.

Fig. S2. The survival of each strain when grown at 30 °C is plotted at the indicated dose relative to unirradiated cultures. At the permissive temperature, all of the temperature sensitive mutants used in this study were as resistant as wild-type cells to UV irradiation. The modestly elevated resistance of the temperaturesensitive mutants to UV irradiation is likely to be due to the slower growth rate of these mutants relative to the wild-type strain at the permissive temperature, which allows more time for repair to occur before the potentially lethal act of replication. However, differences between strain backgrounds also cannot be ruled out. Error bars represent 1 SD of three independent experiments.

U

Fig. S3. Replication fork processing induced by polymerase inactivation or UV-induced damage remains unchanged when compounded by the second form of challenge, consistent with the idea that the UV-induced intermediates are similar to those that occur when the polymerase dissociates. In contrast, inactivation of the helicase prevents the formation of UV-induced processing intermediates and also destroys any UV-induced processing intermediates that are present at the time of inactivation, consistent with the idea that the fork loses integrity upon helicase inactivation and that UV-induced processing requires the integrity of the fork to remain intact. Strains containing plasmid pBR322 were split and then either UV-irradiated with 50 J/m2 or shifted to 42 °C and incubated for 15 min. At this time, the UV irradiated half of the culture was shifted to 42 °C, whereas the 42 °C half of the culture was UV irradiated with 50 J/m2. Incubation was then continued for another 15 min. Aliquots of the culture were taken before treatment began, at 15 min, and at 30 min following the initial treatment. The genomic and plasmid DNA was purified, digested with PvuII, and analyzed by 2D agarose gel analysis as described in Fig. 2.

wild type *dnaE* **sequence:**

TTATGGTATGTGCTAAAGCGG 5' NTS **C- I G Y V I E G -N Protein**

Fig. S4. Strain KH1366 retains a wild type copy of the dnaE gene. The lack of phenotype in KH1366 (dnaQ49ts) is not due to the acquisition of an spq-2 suppressor mutation. These compensatory mutations in DNA Polymerase III alpha, which convert valine at position 832 to a glycine, have been shown to frequently appear and can suppress phenotypes associated with dnaQ mutants (1).

1. Slater SC, Lifsics MR, O'Donnell M, Maurer R (1994) holE, the gene coding for the theta subunit of DNA polymerase III of Escherichia coli: Characterization of a holE mutant and comparison with a dnaQ (epsilon-subunit) mutant. J Bacteriol 176(3):815–821.

Table S1. Escherichia coli strains and plasmids used

JAS

1. Mellon I, Hanawalt PC (1989) Induction of the Escherichia coli lactose operon selectively increases repair of its transcribed DNA strand. Nature 342(6245):95–98.

2. Bachmann BJ (1972) Pedigrees of some mutant strains of Escherichia coli K-12. Bacteriol Rev 36(4):525–557.

3. Kohiyama M, Cousin D, Ryter A, Jacob F (1966) [Thermosensitive mutants of Escherichia coli K 12. I. Isolation and rapid characterization]. Ann Inst Pasteur (Paris) 110(4):465-486.

4. Wechsler JA, Gross JD (1971) Escherichia coli mutants temperature-sensitive for DNA synthesis. Mol Gen Genet 113(3):273–284.

5. Carl PL (1970) Escherichia coli mutants with temperature-sensitive synthesis of DNA. Mol Gen Genet 109(2):107–122.

6. Sutton MD (2004) The Escherichia coli dnaN159 mutant displays altered DNA polymerase usage and chronic SOS induction. J Bacteriol 186(20):6738–6748.

7. Horiuchi T, Maki H, Sekiguchi M (1978) A new conditional lethal mutator (dnaQ49) in Escherichia coli K12. Mol Gen Genet 163(3):277–283.

8. Filip CC, Allen JS, Gustafson RA, Allen RG, Walker JR (1974) Bacterial cell division regulation: Characterization of the dnaH locus of Escherichia coli. J Bacteriol 119(2):443-449.

9. Belle JJ, Casey A, Courcelle CT, Courcelle J (2007) Inactivation of the DnaB helicase leads to the collapse and degradation of the replication fork: A comparison to UV-induced arrest. J Bacteriol 189(15):5452–5462.

10. Courcelle J, Carswell-Crumpton C, Hanawalt PC (1997) recF and recR are required for the resumption of replication at DNA replication forks in Escherichia coli. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 94(8):3714–3719.

11. Courcelle J, Donaldson JR, Chow KH, Courcelle CT (2003) DNA damage-induced replication fork regression and processing in Escherichia coli. Science 299(5609):1064–1067.

12. Bolivar F, et al. (1977) Construction and characterization of new cloning vehicles. II. A multipurpose cloning system. Gene 2(2):95–113.