Table S3. Comparing experimental mRNA expression distributions to simple models

	А	В	С	D	E	F	
	tetO pı	tetO promoter		tetO promoter with		Constitutive DOA1	
	without	without activator (basal)		tTA activator		promoter	
	(ba						
	1xtetO**	7xtetO***	1xtetO	7xtetO	Higher	Lower	
Ratio of mean mRNA at	late G2/M to G1	L as a measur	e of fold-cha	nge in trans	cription:		
$\hat{R}_{\!\scriptscriptstyle M}$ (measured)	9.3	3.1	2.8	2.7	2.4	4.2	
Theoretical	Inf	4.3	3.6	3.3	2.7	8.9	
corresponding f	ini	4.3	3.6	3.3	2.7	8.9	
total population's station	nary distribution.	•					
"Burst frequency"	0.4	0.5	2.2	1.2	4.1	1.1	
	7.7	0.5 8.5	2.2 5.8	1.2	4.1		
"Burst size"	7.7						
"Burst size"	7.7 rease: *	8.5	5.8	10.0	1.4	1.3	
"Burst size" 2-fold transcription incr	7.7 rease: * th S/G2/M transo	8.5	5.8 ncreased 2-fo	10.0	1.4	1.3	
"Burst size" 2-fold transcription incre Poisson transcription wit The transcription rate is fit to	7.7 rease: * th S/G2/M transo	8.5	5.8 ncreased 2-fo	10.0	1.4	1.3 rate.	
"Burst size" 2-fold transcription incre Poisson transcription with transcription rate is fit to	7.7 rease: * th S/G2/M transo	8.5 Cription rate intal mean mRNA	5.8 ncreased 2-fo	10.0 old over G1 t	1.4 ranscription	1.3 rate.	
"Burst size" 2-fold transcription incre Poisson transcription wit	7.7 Tease: * th S/G2/M transc	8.5 Cription rate in tal mean mRNA of	5.8 ncreased 2-fo	10.0 old over G1 t	1.4 ranscription	1.3 rate.	

f-fold transcription increase: *

Poisson transcription with S/G2/M transcription rate increased f-fold over G1 transcription rate.

The transcription rate and f are fit to the experimental G1 and G2 mean mRNA count.

f	Inf	100	4	9	4	12
χ² fit p-value, Total	0.06	0.002	0.14	0.07	0.004	0.12
χ² fit p-value, G1	0.69	0.17	0.46	0.84	0	0.002
χ^2 fit p-value, G2	0.004	0	0.18	0.007	0.78	0.69

f-fold transcription increase with variable timing of transition: *

Poisson transcription with S/G2/M transcription rate increased f-fold over G1 transcription rate with a 40 minute uniformly distributed window of switching to S/G2/M transcription rates after the S/G1 transition.

The transcription rate and f are fit to the experimental G1 and G2 mean mRNA count.

F	Inf	100	6	13	7	18
χ^2 fit p-value, Total	0.85	0.003	0.13	0.15	0	0
χ^2 fit p-value, G1	0.35	0.06	0.30	0.73	0	0
χ^2 fit p-value, G2 *	0.01	0	0.84	0.76	0.06	0.27

^{*} Result of a χ^2 goodness of fit test of the measured data against the model prediction. Cases that pass or fail are in black or gray text, respectively with p = 0.05 representing the cutoff.

** For basal expression from P_{1xtetO} , the G1 and S/G2/M expression differ more than expected for no transcription in G1. Thus the data is best fit where f = infinity, representing no G1 transcription.

*** For basal expression from P_{7xtetO} , because of high expression noise and the fact that 10% of cells have not turned on by late G2/M, specifying f by matching G1 and S/G2/M means gives a value of f (~7) that fits distributions poorly. The χ^2 goodness of fit was maximal and fairly constant over a range of approximately 50 < f < 100. Thus, f = 100 was selected. For the model with variable timing of transitioning to G2 transcription rates, the estimated 40 minute window was extended to the full duration of S/G2/M.