## Supplementary material

Table S1. PCR primers used to amplify and sequence the mitochondrial genomes of the

domestic pig louse,	Haematopinus s	suis, and the	e wild pig lou	se, Haematopinus	apri
10,	1	,	10	, <u>1</u>	1

Primer	Target gene or	Sequence (5' to 3')
	region	
mtd6	cox1	GGAGGATTTGGAAATTGATTAGTTCC
mtd11	cox1	ACTGTAAATATATGATGAGCTCA
12SA	rrnS	TACTATGTTACGACTTAT
12SB	rrnS	AAACTAGGATTAGATACCC
PGC1F	cox1	GGTTTTAGCGGGTGGGATTACTATATTGT
PGC1R	cox1	GGCAAAGAAAGTAACAGTAGGACAGCAGT
PG12SF	rrnS	TTTGTACACCGCTGTCGGAAGGTTGTGGA
PG12SR	rrnS	GACGTGCATTATCGATTACAAACATGGGT
PGseqF1	rrnS	TGTACTTTTCATAGGAGTTATTTTCTATGA
PGseqF2	rrnS	ATTGGGATGGTTTTACCCCCAATTTTGGGG
PGseqF3	cox1	ACACTTCTTAGGTTTGAGAGGCATGCCACG
PGseqR1	rrnS	TTGGGCAATTTATAGCCCACTGTCGACTAC
PGseqR2	cox1	GCTGATATGAAGGTGTGTGTATCCGTCGATAG
PLF1	Non-coding region	GGAAGAGCATTCGACGATTGTTACTTTAAC
PLR	Non-coding region	TAGCGATTTCCTAAAAATCGTTATTGATCG

Primer	Target gene or region	Sequence (5' to 3')
HS12SF	rrnS	GGTCCATGAAAACTAATAACATATGGCGGT
HS12SR	rrnS	AACCATTACTACCTTGATATGATACTTACG
HSCBF	cob	GGAGCAACTGTAATTACAAATTTGCTATCT
HSCBR	cob	GGTAAAACATAACCTAAGAATGCTGTTGCC
HSND1F	nadl	GCAACAGAGCGAATTGCCCCCATAGTTCTA
HSND1R	nad1	TCAATCTCTCTCATTCGAGATTACATTTAG
HSND1F1	nad1	GGGTGTATACCGAATAGAAGCCTAAGTTTG
HSND1R1	nad1	TTCTTATGGATTACAACTCCATTTCTTTCC
HSND2F	nad2	GCTGGGGTAATAGTATCCTTACCTAGGTCT
HSND2R	nad2	AATCCGACGAATTGACAGATCAATTGAACC
HSCX1F	coxl	CCAGAGCAACTATGGTTATTGCCATTCCCA
HSCX1R	coxl	ATCCATCCCTACTGTAAACATACGGTGTGC
HSND4LF	nad4L	GGGTAATGTTGGCAATGAGGGTTACTCACT
HSND4LR	nad4L	AGACAGAACTACCATTCTACACCTTGAAGC
HSND4LF2	nad4L	GGCAATGAGGGTTACTCACTATTGAATTGT
HSND4LR2	nad4L	CAGATAAAGACAGAACTACCATTCTACACC
HSCX3F	cox3	GCCGATAGTGTATGTGGTTCATTGTTTTAC
HSCX3R	cox3	CCTCAAACTGAAACATAACAAACAACACTC
HSCX2F	cox2	GGATTCCTTGTTTCGCCTTTTGGAAGTAGA
HSCX2R	cox2	TGACTTAACATGTATGAATCAAACTCC
HS16SF	rrnL	CCTGCTCACTGCGTTGATAACGTAAATAGC
HS16SR	rrnL	TTAGTAAACAGTCAGACTAATTATTTGCCG

**Table S2.** PCR primers used to verify each mitochondrial minichromosome of the domestic

 pig louse, *Haematopinus suis*, and the wild pig louse, *Haematopinus apri*

HSND5F	nad5	GGAGTATCAGCACTTTGTGAAGTGGATTTA
HSND5R	nad5	ATTGACACTACCTTAAGTGCTTGTAACACC
HSATP6F	atp6	GGCTCTAATTCAGGCTTATGTGTTCATAAG
HSATP6R	atp6	CAGCAAGAGTAATTACTATGTGACCTGCTG
HA12SF	rrnS	GGTCCATGAAAACTAATAACATATGGCGGT
HA12SR	rrnS	CATTGTATATAGTAGGGTATCTAATCCTAG
HAND1F1	nadl	GGGTGTATACCGAATAGAAGCCTAAGTTTG
HAND1R1	nadl	TTCTTATGGATTACAACTCCATTTCTTTCC
HAND4LF	nad4L	GGGTAATATTGGCAATGAGGGTTACTCATT
HAND4LR	nad4L	AGACAAAACTACCATTCTACATCTTGATGC
HAND6F	nad6	CCTAATCCGGATTTAGGTGTTTTTAATAGA
HAND6R	nad6	TGAGTAACCCTCATTGCCAATATTACC
HAND4LF1	nad4L	CCTTAGGTTTGACCATTCTTGTTTCGACGA
HAND4LR1	nad4L	AATGATCTTGGGTTAACAAGAAAAAGG
HAND2F	nad2	GGTTCAATTGATCTGTCAATTCGTCGTATT
HAND2R	nad2	TAACATCACTACAACCGTTCCTCC
HACX1F	cox1	GCATATAATTATGGAGGAGAGAGAGAA
HACX1R	cox1	ATGACCAAAAAACCAGAATAGATGCTGG
HA16SF	rrnL	GGTTTTACAAGACTAAGTCTGTCTCTGTGA
HA16SR	rrnL	TACTGCAGCTATTTACGTTATCAACG
HAND4F1	nad4	CCACTCTTTCTTACACACTCCTGATTA
HAND4R1	nad4	AAGGCTACTATTAATCCTAATGAGGAC
HAATP6F	atp6	CAGCAGGTCACATAGTAATTACTCTT
HAATP6R	atp6	TTAAACTAATTGGCCGAATAACCAACC
HAND5F	nad5	GGTAGCTCTTTCTACACTGACCCATTTA

HAND5R	nad5	ATCCACCTCACAAAGTGCTGACACTCCTGC
HACBF	cob	CGACTTTAGTACGGTTCTTTTCGTTTCATT
HACBR	cob	ATTTCCTACAGAAAAACCTCCCCACAACC
HACX2F	cox2	GAGCAGGACTGCCAGCTATTTCTTTA
HACX2R	cox2	AAAGTCTCTGCACTTACAAGAAAACGG
HACX3F	cox3	GGAGTACCGTTTTTGAATACTATGATCTT
HACX3R	cox3	ATATAATTCAAAGGCTGAATACCTACAGG



Fig. S1. Hopp/Woods hydrophilicity profiles of NAD6 proteins of *Haematopinus suis* and *H. apri* with four other animals. Amino acids are along the horizontal lines. Hydrophilicity values of amino acids are along the vertical lines.



Fig. S2. Alignment of the putative amino acid sequences of mitochondrial NAD6 proteins of sucking lice. Conserved amino acid sequences were highlighted in colors. Abbreviations of species names are: Hs – *Haematopinus suis* (domestic pig louse), Ha – *Haematopinus apri* (wild pig louse), Pp – *Pthirus pubis* (human pubic louse), and Ph – *Pediculus humanus* (human body louse). Alignment was with Geneious 6.1.2 (Kearse et al. 2012). BLOSUM cost matrix was used; gap open cost was 10 and gap extend cost was 6.6.