

## Supplementary materials 2

Table S2. Treatment outcome prediction for a 24-week treatment for different scenarios<sup>(\*)</sup>

<i>A priori information</i>	<i>Design</i>	<i>Method</i>	MC	TN	FP	FN	TP
$M_{true}$	$D_{24w}$	<b>LBA</b>	4.1	19.3	2.3	1.8	76.6
		<b>OMIT</b>	4.0	19.6	2.0	2.0	76.4
	$D_{4w}$	<b>LBA</b>	5.5	17.9	3.7	1.8	76.6
		<b>OMIT</b>	5.6	18.0	3.6	2.0	76.4
$M_{\delta\varepsilon}$	$D_{4w\_sparse}$	<b>LBA</b>	5.7	17.6	4.0	1.7	76.7
		<b>OMIT</b>	5.6	17.7	3.9	1.7	76.7
	$D_{4w\_challenge}$	<b>LBA</b>	5.5	17.0	4.6	0.9	77.5
		<b>OMIT</b>	5.8	17.0	4.6	1.2	77.2
$M_{\beta}$	$D_{24w}$	<b>LBA</b>	3.8	19.7	1.9	1.9	76.5
		<b>OMIT</b>	7.6	19.9	1.7	5.9	72.5
	$D_{4w}$	<b>LBA</b>	5.3	18.3	3.3	2.0	76.4
		<b>OMIT</b>	9.3	18.2	3.4	5.9	72.5
$D_{4w\_sparse}$	$D_{4w\_sparse}$	<b>LBA</b>	5.7	17.5	4.1	1.6	76.8
		<b>OMIT</b>	27.4	17.7	3.9	23.5	54.9
	$D_{4w\_challenge}$	<b>LBA</b>	5.7	16.4	5.2	0.5	77.9
		<b>OMIT</b>	74.3	19.1	2.5	71.5	6.6
$M_{\beta}$	$D_{24w}$	<b>LBA</b>	21.8	21.1	0.5	21.3	57.1
		<b>OMIT</b>	25.9	21.1	0.5	25.4	53.0
	$D_{4w}$	<b>LBA</b>	24.3	21.1	0.5	23.8	54.6
		<b>OMIT</b>	25.9	21.1	0.5	25.4	53.0
$D_{4w\_sparse}$	$D_{4w\_sparse}$	<b>LBA</b>	22.8	21.0	0.6	22.2	56.2
		<b>OMIT</b>	26.9	21.0	0.6	26.3	52.1
	$D_{4w\_challenge}$	<b>LBA</b>	26.1	19.9	1.7	24.4	54.0
		<b>OMIT</b>	26.5	19.9	1.7	24.8	53.6

(\*) obtained using different sets of *a priori* information, of designs and of methods for handling BDL data. Treatment response is predicted for a 24-week treatment. TN: percentage of uncured patients predicted to remain infected, FP: percentage of uncured patients predicted to have SVR, FN: percentage of patients having SVR predicted to remain infected, TP: percentage of cured patients predicted to have SVR.MC: percentage of misclassification rates (FN+FP)