Copyright @ by The Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery, Incorporated Virk et al.

 $Systemic\ Administration\ of\ Sclerostin\ Antibody\ Enhances\ Bone\ Repair\ in\ a\ Critical-Sized\ Femoral\ Defect\ in\ a...\ http://dx.doi.org/10.2106/JBJS.L.00285$

TABLE E-1 Radiographic Scores and Healing Rates in Study 1 and Study 2 at Twelve Weeks

Groups	Healing Rate (no. healed/total no.)	Radiographic Score*
Study 1	(no. nealed/total no.)	Radiographic Score
Control	0/15	2.9 ± 0.8
Continuous (0-12 wk) Scl-Ab treatment	4/15	$3.8 \pm 0.8 \dagger$
Early (0-2 wk) Scl-Ab treatment	3/15	3.4 ± 0.9
Delayed (2-4 wk) Scl-Ab treatment	4/15	3.5 ± 0.9
Study 2		
Control	0/15	2.4 ±0.7
Continuous (0-12 wk) Scl-Ab treatment	0/15	$3.2 \pm 0.8 \ddagger$

^{*}The values are given as the mean and the standard deviation. $\dagger P < 0.05$ vs. control in Study 1. $\ddagger P = 0.0038$ vs. control in Study 2.

TABLE E-2 Micro-CT Analysis of the Femoral Defects in Study 1 and Study 2 at Twelve Weeks

17ABEL E-2 WICTO-C 1 7Marysis of the 1 emoral Belee	Bone Volume*	Bone Volume Fraction*
Groups	(mm^3)	(%)
Study 1		
Control	18.3 ± 8.6	39.3 ± 15.3
Continuous (0-12 wk) Scl-Ab treatment	29.7 ± 11.2	60 ± 17†
Early (0-2 wk) Scl-Ab treatment	22.7 ± 14.8	44.4 ± 20
Delayed (2-4 wk) Scl-Ab treatment	25.7 ± 16.5	49.6 ± 20.4
Study 2		
Control	8.5 ± 3.3	19.2 ± 9.5
Continuous (0-12 wk) Scl-Ab treatment	17.6 ± 7.4‡	$37.4 \pm 0.1 \ddagger$

^{*}The values are given as the mean and the standard deviation. $\dagger P < 0.05$ vs. control in Study 1. $\ddagger P < 0.001$ vs. control in Study 2.

TABLE E-3 Histomorphometric Analysis

Groups	Bone Area*	Bone Area/Tissue Area*
Study 1	(mm	(70)
,	20.2	
Control	30.3 ± 8.8	37.3 ± 10.2
Continuous (0-12 wk) Scl-Ab treatment	46.8 ± 16.2	44.4 ± 9.1
Early (0-2 wk) Scl-Ab treatment	31.4 ± 20.1	33.5 ± 13.5
Delayed (2-4 wk) Scl-Ab treatment	36 ± 17.4	40.4 ± 15
Study 2		
Control	13.1 ± 9.6	15.2 ± 9.1
Continuous (0-12 wk) Scl-Ab treatment	38.6 ± 23.8	28.2 ± 10.9

^{*}The values are given as the mean and the standard deviation. No significant difference was detected between the controls and Scl-Ab groups in Study 1 and Study 2.