Study, comparison	Number of pairs	Median difference (mg/dL)	Median RAD <sup>a</sup>	% ISO <sup>b</sup>
E (unpublished) ( $n = 12$	nights) <sup>c</sup>			
Overall	222	-15	15%	67%
Reference glucose (m	ng/dL)			
≤70	14	+6	8%	93%
71–120	74	-2	14%	65%
121-180	84	-25	16%	63%
>180	50	-34	16%	70%
$F^6$ ( <i>n</i> =15 nights)				
Överall	275	-38	27%	33%
Reference glucose (m	ng/dL)			
≤70	11	-15	25%	55%
71–120	75	-32	37%	12%
121-180	97	-38	24%	36%
>180	92	-48	22%	45%
G (unpublished) $(n=24)$	1 nights) <sup>c</sup>			
Överall	164	-8	14%	71%
Reference glucose (m	ng/dL)			
≤70	52	-4	21%	73%
71–120	50	-9	11%	66%
121-180	27	+5	10%	70%
>180	35	-27	13%	74%
H (unpublished) $(n=30)$	0 nights) <sup>c</sup>			
Överall	1.005	-11	13%	73%
Reference glucose (m	ng/dL)			
≤70	45	-3	17%	78%
71–120	492	-9	13%	69%
121-180	351	-14	13%	78%
>180	117	-16	13%	74%

SUPPLEMENTARY TABLE S2. OVERNIGHT ENLITE CONTINUOUS GLUCOSE MONITORING WITH VEO PUMP POINT Accuracy for Each Study

Nighttime ws defined as 10 p.m.-6 a.m.

<sup>a</sup>Relative absolute difference (RAD)=absolute difference/reference. Data are median values (25<sup>th</sup>, 75<sup>th</sup> percentiles). <sup>b</sup>International Organization for Standardization (ISO) criteria are continuous glucose monitoring measurements within±15 mg/dL for

reference glucose values  $\leq 75 \text{ mg/dL}$  and within  $\pm 20\%$  for reference glucose values >75 mg/dL. <sup>6</sup>Study E was coordinated by the Jaeb Center; data were acquired by the Stanford University and Barbara Davis Center clinical teams and are on file at the Jaeb Center. Study G was run by R. Hovorka at Cambridge University, and a data subset was provided to the Jaeb Center; data are on file at both Cambridge University and the Jaeb Center. Study H was run by E. Damiano at Boston University, and a data subset was provided to the Jaeb Center; data are on file at both Boston University and the Jaeb Center.