Transcription and Replication of Viral Deoxyribonucleic acid in Cells Coinfected with Adenovirus Types 2 and 12

STANLEY MAK

Department of Biology, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada

Received for publication 22 July 1969

The yield of infectious virus was determined for KB cells infected with both adenovirus types 2 (ad 2) and 12 (ad 12). It was found that the yield of the former was greatly reduced, whereas that of the latter was not affected significantly. The reduction in virus yield was accompanied by an inhibition of ad 2 virus-specific ribonucleic acid (RNA) and viral deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) synthesis at various times after infection. On the other hand, the rate of synthesis of ad 12 virus-specific RNA and viral DNA was not inhibited, but advanced in time. The total amount of ad 12 viral DNA synthesized was not affected by coinfection with ad 2. These results suggest that ad 2 infection hastens the maturation of ad 12.

Within the group of human adenoviruses, some are highly oncogenic in newborn rodents, such as type 12 (ad 12), and others are nononcogenic, such as type 2 (ad 2) (8, 14, 19). These two types also differ in their plaque morphology and ratio of particles to plaque-forming units (PFU) (12), and ad 12 contains a much higher proportion of particles capable of killing the host cells but unable to form a plaque than ad 2 (Rainbow and Mak, unpublished data). Furthermore, with KB cells, the yield of ad 12 is very low compared to ad 2, and it matures much later (13). It is of interest to examine whether ad 2 enhances the yield of ad 12 or advances its maturation when coinfecting a common host. The deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) molecules of ad 2 and ad 12 are distantly related, sharing only about 20% of their nucleotide sequence (15); this system may allow one to examine the synthesis of virus-specific ribonucleic acid (RNA) and viral DNA in the coinfected cultures in addition to the virus yields. In this report, it is shown that ad 12 interferes with the normal development of ad 2, and that ad 2 advances the synthesis of ad 12 virus-specific RNA and viral DNA.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cells, medium, and virus. A clonal subline of human KB cells (7) was obtained from M. Green, St. Louis University Medical School, St. Louis, Mo. The cells were kept in exponential growth in suspension cultures using Joklik modified minimal essential medium (MEM; Grand Island Biologicals, Grand Island, N.Y.) supplemented with 5% horse serum. Virus

stocks of both ad 2 and ad 12 (Huie) were obtained also from M. Green. These were propagated in KB cells in suspension and purified by the procedure of Green and Piña (10). The virus collected after the second equilibrium density gradient centrifugation using CsCl was diluted at least 10-fold with sterile tris(hydroxymethyl)aminomethane (Tris)-buffered saline [TBS, (22)] plus 20% glycerol and was stored at -45 C until use.

Infection and labeling of cells. Exponentially growing KB cells were centrifuged and resuspended to 107 cells per ml of MEM containing 1% fetal calf serum (FCS). Ad 12 was added to 0.3 PFU/cell and adsorbed for 1 hr at 37 C with continuous stirring. Then, a portion of the infected cells was diluted 35-fold with warm MEM plus 5% horse serum. To the remainder of the cells, ad 2 was added to 10 to 20 PFU/cell; it was adsorbed for 1 hr more and then diluted. A separate portion of cells at 10^7 per ml was stirred for 1 hr and then infected with ad 2 at 10 to 20 PFU/cell, allowing 1 hr for adsorption. Under these conditions, more than 99% of the cells in the three separate cultures were infected as judged by cloning (9). At different times after infection, portions of cells from each infected culture were labeled either with 3H-uridine (1 μ c/ml, 20 c/mM) for 30 min or with ³H-thymidine $(1 \ \mu c/ml, 15 \ c/mM)$ normally for 60 min at 37 C. The cells were then collected by centrifugation at $500 \times g$ for 15 min at 0 C, washed with cold phosphate buffered saline [PBS, (4)], and stored at -20 C.

Preparation of cellular RNA and DNA. Whole cell RNA was extracted from a frozen pellet of infected cells by the hot phenol-sodium dodecyl-sulfate (SDS) procedure (21) and dialyzed against $0.1 \times SSC$ (0.15 M NaCl; 0.015 M Na citrate). Recovery was normally between 80 to 100%. Whole cell DNA was extracted by resuspending the frozen pellet with $1 \times SSC$, 0.1 M

RESULTS

Virus yield in coinfected cultures. The intracellular virus yield of ad 2 was reduced to about 3% of normal yield when coinfected with ad 12 (Table 1). The number of infectious virus from coinfected cultures after anti-ad 2 serum treatment was found to be only two times that infected by ad 12 alone (Table 1). This indicates that coinfection with ad 2 does not help much the intracellular yield of ad 12.

Rate of synthesis of RNA and DNA after infection. The amounts of ³H-uridine and ³H-thymidine incorporated into cultures infected with ad 2 and ad 12 only, and coinfected with both viruses are shown in Fig. 1A and 1B. The pattern of RNA synthesis in all the infected cultures was similar, showing a much decreased rate of incorporation at late times after infection. For DNA synthesis, the ad 2-infected culture showed a much higher rate than the other cultures at all times tested. However, a decreased rate occurred at late times after infection for all of them.

Synthesis of ad 2 and ad 12 virus-specific RNA. Virus-specific RNA was detected by annealing 1 μ g of either ad 2 viral DNA or ad 12 viral DNA immobilized on membrane filters with ³H-RNA extracted from infected cells. Virus-specific RNA from ad 2-infected cells reached a maximum at 18 hr after infection, whereas ad 12-infected ones reached it at 24 hr. ³H-RNA from ad 2-infected cells did not hybridize significantly with ad 12 DNA at all times examined and vice versa. Thus, ad 2 and ad 12 virus-specific RNA from coinfected cultures can be detected by hybridization with ad 2 and ad 12 DNA, respectively. The results are shown in Fig. 2 for cultures infected with either ad 2 or ad 12 singly, and coinfected with both viruses. It can be seen that in the singly infected cultures, ad 12-specific RNA synthesis showed an 8-hr delay as compared to the synthesis of ad 2-specific RNA. In the coinfected culture, ad 12-specific RNA synthesis was advanced in time

TABLE 1.	Virus	yield	in	adenovirus-infected
		KB	се	lls

Infection	Virus vield ^a	Virus yield after anti-ad 2 serum treatment ^b			
	virus yrong	PFU/106 cells	Surviving fraction		
Ad 2 only Ad 2 and ad	$\begin{array}{c} 4 \times 10^9 \\ 1.2 \times 10^8 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 8 \times 10^5 \\ 4 \times 10^6 \end{array}$	2×10^{-4} 3.3 × 10^{-2}		
Ad 12 only	2×10^{6}	$2 imes 10^{6}$	1.00		

^a Virus yield was determined at 60 hr after infection by plaque assay; values expressed as plaque-forming units (PFU) per 10⁶ cells.

^b 15 min with anti-ad 2 at 37C.

Tris (pH 8.0), 5 \times 10⁻³ M ethylenediaminetetraacetate (EDTA), 0.3 M trichloroacetate, and 0.5% SDS; the cells were allowed to lyse at room temperature for 15 min. The lysate was incubated with Pronase B (Calbiochem, Los Angeles) at 0.8 mg/ml (preincubated for 2 hr at 37 C) for 3 hr at 37 C. The lysate was extracted three times with equal volume of redistilled phenol at room temperature. Nucleic acids were precipitated with twice the volume of ethyl alcohol at 4 C overnight. RNA was removed by ribonuclease (50 μ g/ml) incubated at 37 C for 30 min (17) followed by three phenol extractions at room temperature; the aqueous phase was treated three times with ether, bubbled with compressed air, and dialyzed extensively against $0.1 \times SSC$. Recovery is usually 80 to 100% with this procedure.

Virus yield. At 60 hr after infection, 100-ml samples of the infected cultures were centrifuged at $500 \times g$ for 15 min, resuspended in 5 ml of TBS + 20% glycerol, and stored at -45 C. After thawing, the cells were disrupted at 0 C by sonication for 2 min with a Biosonik probe. Cell debris was removed by centrifugation ($500 \times g$, 10 min) and the infectious virus was determined by plaque assay (12). To determine the yield of ad 12 in the coinfected cultures, the virus preparation was treated with goat anti-ad 2 serum (a generous gift from G. D. Dressman, Saint Louis University, Institute for Molecular Virology) for 15 min at 37 C before plaque assay.

Preparation of adenovirus DNA molecules. DNA molecules from purified ad 2 and ad 12 viruses were prepared by the method of Green and Piña (11). ¹⁴C-labeled ad 2 DNA was obtained from purified ad 2 virus from cells grown in the presence of ¹⁴C-thymidine (0.04 μ c/ml, 60 mc/mM) added at 6 hr after infection.

RNA and DNA synthesis. After the infected cells had been labeled with either ³H-uridine or ³H-thymidine, a known sample was treated with cold 0.3 M trichloroacetic acid, collected on membrane filters, and washed three times with cold trichloroacetic acid. After drying, the radioactivity was counted in a Beckman scintillation counter in 5 ml of counting fluid which consists of 4 mg of 2, 5-diphenyloxazole (PPO) and 0.3 μ g of 1,4-bis-2-(5 phenyloxazolal)-benzene (POPOP) dissolved in each ml of toluene.

Detection of virus-specific RNA and viral DNA. Determination of virus-specific RNA was done by DNA-RNA hybridization on nitrocellulose membrane filters (sartonius filters; British Drug Houses, Canada) by the method of Gillespie and Spiegelman with slight modifications (5, 16). ³H-RNA bound to DNAcontaining filters after drying was counted in a Beckman scintillation counter.

Viral DNA was detected by DNA-DNA hybridization on nitrocellulose membrane filters (16, 20). Sonicated and heat-denatured radioactive DNA extracted from either purified virus or infected cells was incubated in $2 \times SSC$, 10^{-2} M Tris (*p*H 7.0) and 0.1%SDS at 64 C for 24 hr with viral DNA-containing membrane filters. Then the filters were washed exhaustively with 3×10^{-3} M Tris in $0.1 \times SSC$ (*p*H 9.4) by filtration. The amount of radioactivity bound was counted in a Beckman scintillation counter after drying.



Hours after infection

FIG. 1. Incorporation of precursors into nucleic acids of KB cells at various times after infection with adenoviruses. (A) ³H-uridine incorporated into acid-insoluble fraction, 30-min pulse. (B) ³H-thymidine incorporation into acid insoluble fraction, 60-min pulse. Symbols: (\Box) ad 2-infected (20 PFU/cell), (\bullet) ad 2- and ad 12-coinfected, (\bigcirc) ad 12 at 0.3 PFU/cell, (\diamondsuit) ad 12 at 1.5 PFU/cell.

TABLE 2. Hybridization of ad 2 or ad 12 DNA	with
³ H-RNA from adenovirus-infected KB cells	at
different times after infection ^a	

		Ad 2 DNA		Ad 12 DNA	
Infection	Hr after infec- tion	³ H-RNA input	Per cent bound	³ H-RNA input	Per cent bound
		counts/ min	counts/ min	counts/ min	counts/ min
Ad 2 (20	8	2,310	0.9	11,660	<0.1
PFU/cell)	12	2,810	5.0	14,480	0.1
	18	2,250	18.7	9,890	0.4
	24	2,830	19.3	9,890	0.5
	36	2,300	10.4	12,650	0.1
	48	2,560	3.0	8,058	0.1
Ad 12 (0.3	12	10,820	0	2,480	0.5
PFU/cell)	18	11,850	0.14	2,550	5.5
	24	10,750	0.4	2,820	20.2
	36	10,950	0.2	2,380	13.4
	48			2,220	5.0

^a Samples of infected cells were pulse-labeled with ³H-uridine (1 μ c/ml) for 30 min. ³H-RNA was annealed with 1 μ g of either ad 2 or ad 12 DNA.

to the pattern of ad 2-infected cultures, but it reached the maximal value at the same time as ad 12-infected cultures. At the same time, ad 2-specific RNA synthesis was greatly suppressed. Since in the coinfected cultures the total number of input virions per cell was higher than that in ad 12-infected alone, it is possible that this advancement in time was due to the effects of virions



FIG. 2. Synthesis of virus-specific RNA at different times after infection with adenoviruses. Percentage of ³H-RNA (30-min pulse) hybridized with 1 µg of viral DNA is plotted. Symbols: (\blacksquare) ad 2-infected (20 PFU/ cell), (\bigcirc) ad 2- and ad 12-coinfected and then hybridized with ad 12 DNA, (\square) ad 2- and ad 12-coinfected and then hybridized with ad 2 DNA, (\bigcirc) ad 12-infected (0.3 PFU/cell), (\bigcirc) ad 12-infected (1.5 PFU/cell).

per cell rather than ad 2 effect. This possibility was ruled out since cells infected with additional ad 12 virions equivalent to about 10 to 20 PFU of ad 2

Synthesis of ad 2 and ad 12 viral DNA. If coinfection of cells with ad 2 and ad 12 advanced the development of ad 12 as indicated in the synthesis of virus-specific RNA, the synthesis of ad 12 viral DNA should also be advanced in time. To detect viral DNA synthesis, 3H-DNA extracted from infected cells was annealed with $2 \mu g$ of either ad 2 or ad 12 DNA immobilized on membrane filters. Since 3H-DNA extracted from ad 2-infected cells cross-hybridize with ad 12 viral DNA about 25% and vice versa (Table 3). the amount of ³H-DNA from coinfected cultures bound to each of the viral DNA must be corrected by the amount due to cross-hybridization. The corrected per cent of 3H-DNA hybridized with either ad 2 or ad 12 DNA is shown in Fig. 3 for different times after infection. As can be seen, the synthesis of ad 12 DNA was greatly accelerated. beginning about 15 hr after infection; this is later than that for ad 2, when cells were singly infected with either ad 2 or 12. However, in the coinfected culture, the sharp increase in the synthesis of ad 12 DNA was advanced in time by about 8 hr, whereas ad 2 viral DNA synthesis was inhibited. The decline of per cent hybridized at late times after infection is probably due to the fact that some ³H-viral DNA renatured in solution, thus unable to be retained on the filters, and was not due to reinitiation of host DNA synthesis (13). The pattern of advanced synthesis of ad 12 DNA by coinfection with ad 2 is similar to the virusspecific RNA synthesis in these cultures.

 TABLE 3. Hybridization of ad 2 or ad 12 viral DNA

 with ³H-DNA from adenovirus-infected KB cells

 at different times after infection^a

Infection	Hr after infec- tion	³ H-DNA input (counts/ min)	Percenta	Percen-	
			Ad 2 DNA (2 µg/ filter)	Ad 12 DNA (2 µg/ filter)	tage of cross- hybri- dized ^b
ad 2	12 18 36	1,330 2,460 6,160	45 90 62	15 27 18	33 30 29
ad 12	12 18 24	2,020 2,130 1,000	1.2 8.6 23	6.4 39.0 81	18 22 28
None		20,800	0.2		

^a Samples of cells were labeled with ³H-thymidine $(1 \ \mu c/ml)$ for 60 min. ³H-DNA extracted from infected cells was annealed with ad 2 or ad 12 viral DNA.

^b Percentage of cross-hybridized is a ratio of the per cent bound to heterologous DNA to the per cent bound to homologous DNA.



Hours after infection

FIG. 3. Viral DNA synthesis at different times after infection with adenoviruses. Percentage of ³H-DNA (60-min pulse) bound to 2 µg of viral DNA on nitrocellulose membranes is plotted. Symbols: (**II**) ad 2infected (20 PFU/cell), (\bigcirc) ad 2- and ad 12-coinfected and then hybridized with ad 12 DNA, (\square) ad 2and ad 12 coinfected and then hybridized with ad 2 DNA, (**●**) ad 12-infected (0.3 PFU/cell), (**●**) ad 12-infected (1.5 PFU/cell).

Total viral DNA synthesized. The amount of viral DNA synthesized at 36 and 48 hr after infection was determined for singly infected and coinfected cultures. Since host DNA synthesis is shut off at these times (6, 13), the total amount of viral DNA can be determined as follows. Infected cells were labeled for 2 hr with 3H-thymidine and the amount of radioactivity incorporated into the DNA was determined. The specific activity of the ³H-viral DNA was found by determining the radioactivity bound to a known amount of immobilized viral DNA by using saturating amounts of ³H-DNA in the DNA-DNA hybridization reaction. The total ³H-thymidine (counts/min) incorporated, divided by the specific activity, would yield an estimate of the amount of viral DNA in the infected cells. To test the validity of this method for specific activity determination, two types of control experiments were carried out. (i) Increasing amounts of ad 2-14C-DNA (specific activity, 5,200 counts/min per μ g or 2,300 counts/ min per μ g), mixed with equal amount of ³Hlabeled noninfected KB cell DNA, were annealed with 0.2 μ g of ad 2 DNA on filters. The maximum amount of 14C-radioactivity bound was equivalent to 0.23 to 0.24 μ g of viral DNA in both cases, whereas no 3H-activity was bound to the filters (Fig. 4A). This indicates that the amount of DNA

bound is equal to that immobilized on the filters and, also, that the presence of cell DNA had no effect on the viral DNA-DNA hybridization. (ii) Increasing amounts of ⁸H-DNA extracted from ad 2-infected cells (48 hr after infection) were hybridized with 0.2 ot 0.3 μ g of ad 2 DNA on filters. From Fig. 4B, it can be seen that the ⁸H-DNA bound reached maximum at 950 and 1,400 counts/min, respectively, giving specific activities of 4,750 and 4,650 counts/min per μ g, respectively, supporting the validity of this method.

The amount of viral DNA molecules at 36 and 48 hr after infection for singly infected and coinfected cultures are shown in Table 4. In the determination of specific activity of ad 12 DNA in the coinfected culture, the effects of ad 2 DNA was neglected since its synthesis was shut off early (*see* Fig. 3). The total amount of viral DNA in the ad 12 singly infected cultures was less than that in ad 2 or coinfected cultures at 36 hr, but reached similar values at 48 hr after infection. These results suggest that the synthesis of ad 12 viral DNA in the coinfected cultures was not significantly increased above the singly infected cultures, but was advanced to that of ad 2-infected cultures, lending support to the data in Fig. 3. The amount of ad 2 in the coinfected cultures was not determined due to difficulties in determining the specific activity with the present method.

It is of interest to note that KB cells infected with either ad 2 or ad 12 synthesized about the



FIG. 4. Saturation of immobilized DNA with ¹⁴C-ad 2 DNA and ³H-DNA from ad 2-infected cells. (A) 0.2 μ g of immobilized ad 2 DNA was hybridized with increasing amounts of ¹⁴C-ad 2 DNA, with specific activities of 5,200 counts/min per μ g (\odot) and 2,300 counts/min per μ g (\odot). (B) Immobilized ad 2 DNA [0.3 μ g (\odot) or 0.2 μ g (\odot)] was hybridized with ³H-DNA (120 min of labeling with ³H-thymidine) extracted from cells at 48 hr after infection.

TABLE 4. Total amount of ad 2 or ad 12 viral DNA synthesized at two different times after infection

Hr after infection	Infection	³ H-DNA (counts/min per 10 ⁶ cells)	Viral DNA determined	Specific activity of viral DNA ^a (counts/min per µg)	Total amount of viral DNA ⁶ (µg per 10 ⁶ cells)
36	ad 2	9.5×10^4	ad 2	20,000	4.7
	ad 2 and ad 12	14.7×10^4	ad 12	25,000	0.0
48	ad 2	9.3×10^{4}	ad 2	6,000	15
	ad 2 and ad 12	5.6×10^{4}	ad 12	4,000	14
	ad 12	5.5×10^{4}	ad 12	6,000	9

^a For the method of determination of specific activity of viral DNA, see text.

^b Total viral DNA = ^aH-DNA (column 3)/specific activity (column 5).

DISCUSSION

The low yield of infectious adenovirus type 12 in KB cells was increased only slightly by coinfection with adenovirus type 2 which is a high yielder; the adenovirus type 2 yield was greatly inhibited by coinfection, suggesting an interference phenomenon. Similar results have been reported for cells doubly infected with unrelated animal viruses (3); in that report, the one with longer latent period was inhibited in contrast to the present study where the yield of the faster developing one is suppressed. It is unlikely that ad 2 was excluded by ad 12 infection since the development of ad 12 was advanced in the coinfected cultures. It is apparent that the level of interference is on the transcription and replication of viral DNA; however, it is not certain whether translation process is also affected.

Although ad 2 did not increase the yield of ad 12, the development of the latter was speeded up similar to that of ad 2 alone. This is evident from the time course of synthesis of ad 12 viral DNA and its specific RNA. It is not clear whether ad 2 infection of KB cells has supplied early new product(s) such as proteins which ad 12 can use, as suggested by Chany and Brailovsky (2), or merely by shutting off host metabolism, thus allowing ad 12 to develop sooner than normally.

It was also noted that the total amount of ad 12 viral DNA in the coinfected culture was similar to the singly infected cells, which is consistent with the finding that infectious virus yield was not increased greatly. This suggests that coinfection does not increase the efficiency of utilization of DNA for the infectious virions in contrast to the case where the assembly of human adenovirus DNA into infectious virus was greatly enhanced by coinfection with SV40 in African green monkey cells (1, 18).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This investigation was supported by the National Research Council of Canada and the National Cancer Institute of Canada.

LITERATURE CITED

- Altstein, A. D., and N. N. Dodonova. 1968. Interaction between human and simian adenoviruses in simian cells: complementation, phenotypic mixing and formation of monkey cell "adapted" virions. Virology 35:248-254.
- 2. Chany, C., and C. Brailovsky. 1967. Stimulating interactions

between viruses, p. 107-121. In M. Pollard (ed.), Perspectives in virology, vol. 5. Academic Press Inc., New York.

- 3. Dales, S., and H. Silverberg. 1968. Controlled double infection with unrelated animal viruses. Virology 34:531-543.
- Dulbecco, R., and M. Vogt. 1954. Plaque formation and isolation of pure lines with poliomyelitis viruses. J. Expt. Med. 99:167-182.
- Gillespie, D., and S. Spiegelman. 1965. A quantitative assay for DNA-RNA hybrids with DNA immobilized on a membrane. J. Mol. Biol. 12:829–842.
- Ginsberg, H. S., L. J. Bello, and A. J. Levine. 1967. Control of biosynthesis of host macromolecules in cells infected with adenoviruses. *In J. S. Colter and W. Paranchych (ed.)*, The molecular biology of viruses. Academic Press Inc., New York.
- Green, M. 1962. Biochemical studies on adenovirus multiplication. III. Requirement for DNA synthesis. Virology 18: 601-613.
- Green, M. 1969. Messenger RNA in tumor cells induced by adenoviruses, p. 261. In J. F. Morgan (ed.), Canadian Cancer Conference. Pergamon Press, Toronto.
- Green, M., and G. E. Daesch. 1961. Biochemical studies on adenovirus multiplication. II. Kinetics of nucleic acid and protein synthesis in suspension cultures. Virology 13:169– 176.
- Green, M., and M. Piña. 1963. Biochemical studies on adenovirus multiplication. IV. Isolation, purification and chemical analysis of adenovirus. Virology 20:199-207.
- Green, M., and M. Piña. 1964. Biochemical studies on adenovirus multiplication. VI. Properties of highly purified tumorigenic human adenoviruses and their DNA's. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 51:1251-1259.
- Green, M., M. Piña, and R. Kimes. 1967. Biochemical studies on adenovirus multiplication. XII. Plaquing efficiencies of purified human adenoviruses. Virology 31:562-565.
- Green, M., M. Piña, K. Fujinaga, S. Mak, and D. Thomas. 1968. Transcription of viral genes in adenovirus-infected and transformed cells, p. 15. In M. Pollard (ed.), Perspectives in virology, vol. 6. Academic Press Inc., New York.
- Huebner, R. J., W. P. Rowe, and W. T. Lane. 1962. Oncogenic effects in hamsters of human adenovirus types 12 and 18. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 48:2051-2058.
- Lacy, Sister S., and M. Green. 1964. Biochemical studies on adenovirus multiplication. VII. Homology between DNA's of tumorgenic and non-tumorgenic human tumor viruses. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 52:1053-1059.
- Mak, S., and M. Green. 1968. Biochemical studies on adenovirus multiplication. XIII. Synthesis of virus-specific ribonucleic acid during infection with human adenovirus type 12. J. Virol. 2:1055-1063.
- Marmur, J. 1961. A procedure for the isolation of deoxyribonucleic acid from microorganisms. J. Mol. Biol. 3: 208-218.
- Rapp, F., L. Feldman, and M. Mandel. 1966. Synthesis of virus deoxyribonucleic acid during abortive infection of simian cells by human adenoviruses. J. Bacteriol. 92:931– 936.
- Trentin, J. J., Y. Yabe, and G. Taylor. 1962. The quest for human cancer viruses: A new approach to an old problem reveals cancer induction in hamsters by human adenovirus. Science 137:835-841.
- Warnaar, S. O., and J. A. Cohen. 1966. A quantitative assay for DNA-DNA hybrids using membrane filters. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 24:554-558.
- Warner, J. R., R. Soeiro, H. C. Birnboim, M. Girard, and J. E. Darnell. 1966. Rapidly labelled HeLa cell nuclear RNA. 1. Identification by zone sedimentation of a heterogeneous fraction separate from the ribosomal precursor RNA. J. Mol. Biol. 19:349-361.
- Winocour, E. 1963. Purification of polyoma virus. Virology 19:158-168.