SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

Title: Bi-directional processing of pri-miRNAs with branched terminal loops by Arabidopsis Dicer-like1

Hongliang Zhu^{1,2,3}, Yuyi Zhou^{1,2,4}, Claudia Castillo-González^{1,2}, Amber Lu^{1,2}, Chunxiao Ge^{2,5}, Ying-Tao Zhao⁶, Liusheng Duan⁴, Zhaohu Li⁴, Michael J. Axtell⁷, Xiu-Jie Wang⁶, and Xiuren Zhang^{1,2,5*}

¹Department of Biochemistry and Biophysics
¹Texas A&M University, College Station, TX 77843, USA
²Institute of Plant Genomics and Biotechnology
²Texas A&M University, College Station, TX 77843, USA
³College of Food Science and Nutritional Engineering, China Agricultural University, Beijing, 100083, China
⁴College of Agriculture and Life Science, China Agricultural University, Beijing, 100094, China
⁵Program of Molecular and Environmental Plant Sciences
⁶State Key Laboratory of Plant Genomics, Institute of Genetics and Developmental Biology,
⁶Chinese Academy of Sciences, Datun Road, Chaoyang District, Beijing, 10101, China
⁷Department of Biology and Huck Institutes of the Life Sciences, Penn State University, University
Park, PA 16802, USA
^{*}Correspondence: xiuren.zhang@tamu.edu

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURES



Supplementary Figure 1. Transgenic plants expressing pri-miRNAs with different secondary structures displayed contrasted phenotypes and miRNA abundance. (a) Illustration of extended (~50bp lower stem) and concise (~25bp lower stem) pri-miR165-166s. (b) Phenotypic distribution for 35S-MIR165-166 T1 transformants (n>200 for each construct). Four categories of phenotypic severity are shown on top. Predicted secondary structures of concise pri-miR165-166s are displayed on left. miRNA and miRNA* are marked as turquoise and red, respectively. (c) Phenotypic distribution of T1 transgenic plants expressing 35S-MIR166f mutants (n>200 for each construct). Schematic structures of pri-miR166f deletion mutants or chimeric mutants harboring counterparts from pri-miR166c are shown on left. (d) Phenotypic distribution of T1 transgenic plants expressing 35S-MIR166c mutants (n>200 for each construct). Schematic structures of primiR166c deletion mutants or swapped mutants harboring counterparts from pri-miR166f are shown on left. (e) Phenotypic distribution of T1 transgenic plants expressing the 35S-MIR166c mutants with various deletions in the terminal loop (n>200 for each construct). Schematic structures of the pri-miR166c terminal-loop deletion mutants are shown on left. Loops # 1, 2, and 3 are shown in pink, green yellow and purple, respectively. (f) sRNA blot analysis of miR166 processed from the concise and extended forms of pri-miR166c and chimeric pri-miR166c containing counterparts from pri-miR166f in N. Bentha. (g) sRNA blot analysis of miR166 processed from the concise and extended forms of pri-miR166f and chimeric pri-miR166f containing counterparts from pri-miR166c in N. Bentha. RNA blot was probed using 5' end ³²Plabeled oligo probes complementary to miR166. U6 serves as a loading control.



Supplementary Figure 2. An *in vitro* DCL1 reconstitution assay system in plants. (a) Semi-RT-PCR of pri-miR166c and pri-miR166f transcripts in the pooled T1 transformants expressing *35S-MIR166c* and *35S-MIR166f*. Four PCR cycles were used for estimation of levels of pri-miRNA transcripts. *EF1-a* was an internal control. (b) Strategies for the 5' end, 3' end and internal labeling of RNAs. (c) Western blot analysis of DCL1–HYL1 complexes prepared from *N. Bentha*. Immunoprecipitation of DCL1 complexes was performed through two-step purification using anti-Flag and then anti-Myc antibodies. The DCL1 and HYL1 proteins (shown by arrows) were detected using a polyclonal anti-Myc antibody. (d) Western blot analysis of SE protein in the DCL1–HLY1 complexes using a monoclonal anti-HA antibody. (e) Western blot analysis of immunoprecipitates of DCL1–HYL1 complexes and single SE protein prepared through two-step purification using anti-Flag and then anti-Myc antibodies. (f) *In vitro* reconstitution of DCL1 assays with 5' end labeled pri-miR166c and pri-miR166f with addition of SE protein prepared from immunoprecipitation. RNAs recovered from the reaction mix were fractionated on 15% denaturing gels. The positions of intact substrates, cleavage products, and RNA markers are shown.



b



а

Supplementary Figure 3. Experimental confirmation of *in vitro* and *in vivo* processed products of pri-miR166c. (a) *In vitro* DCL1 reconstitution assay with pri-miR166c. The reaction products from the assay were spiked with RNA markers before fractionation on a 15% denaturing gel. The positions of intact substrates, cleavage products, and RNA markers are shown. CK, negative control check. (b) Strategic illustration for 5' RACE assays of intermediate processed products derived from pri-miR166c in planta. Sequences for adapters and primers are provided in Supplementary table 1. (c-e) Sample sequences for a productive-processing (c) and an abortive-processing (d) fragment from pri-miR166c as well as a processing remnant from pre-miR166c (e). 5'-RLM-RACE products were cloned into pENTR vector and plasmids prepared from individual colonies were sequenced with M13 Forward (c and d) and M13 Reverse primers (e).



Productive processing - Abortive processing -

Supplementary Figure 4. Helicase domain of DCL1 is essential for abortive processing of primiR166c. (a) Western blot analysis of purified DCL1 mutants (mDCL1) using a polyclonal anti-Myc antibody. Immunoprecipitates containing DCL1 (a^{*} or b^{*}, or a^{*} b^{*}) or DCL1 (Δ H) were prepared as described as in Figure 2b. (b) In vitro DCL1 reconstitution assays with 5' end ³²Plabeled pri-miR166c transcripts with or without ATP and GTP. (c) In vitro DCL1 (Δ H) reconstitution assays of pri-miR166c mutants with fully complementary lower stems. Schematic illustration of the cleavage products by DCL1 (Δ H) is shown on right. (d) *In vitro* DCL1 reconstitution assays with pri-miR166c mutants with varying distances between internal loops and the reference sites without ATP and GTP. Schematic illustration of the cleavage products is similar to Figure 5d. For panels (b-d), immunoprecipitation, cleavage assays and processing of RNA products were performed as described in Figure 2b. The positions of intact substrates, cleavage products, and RNA markers are marked. Black lines mark the locations of reference sites and internal loops. Red asterisks on the transcripts indicate ³²P-labelling positions. Large and small red arrows show the predominant and minor cleavage sites while turguoise arrows indicate abortive processing sites. Note: predominant cleavages at the edges of internal loops in C-LS-18 and C-LS-19 in Panel (d) were less obvious compared to those in Figure 5a.



Supplementary Figure 5. Identification of processing direction of pri-miR166c mutants using semiactive DCL1 protein. (a) *In vitro* DCL1 reconstitution assays with the 5' end labeled pri-miR166c (Δ L1) transcripts. Schematic illustration of the cleavage products by DCL1 or DCL1 mutants is shown on right. Red asterisk on transcripts indicate the ³²P-labelling positions. Turquoise arrows indicate the abortive processing sites. (b) *In vitro* DCL1 reconstitution assays with the 5' end labeled pri-miR166c (C-T4) transcripts. Immunoprecipitation, cleavage assays and processing of RNA products were performed as in Figure 2b. The positions of intact substrates, cleavage products, and RNA markers are shown. Schematic illustration of the cleavage products by DCL1 or DCL1 mutants is shown on right. Red asterisks on the transcripts indicate ³²P-labelling positions. Red arrows show the productive processing sites.

а



Supplementary Figure 6. *In vitro* DCL1 reconstitution assays with the 5' end labeled transcripts of pri-miR165a and -miR166d. Immunoprecipitation, cleavage assays, and processing of RNA products were described as Figure 2b. The positions of intact substrates, cleavage products, and RNA markers are shown. Schematic illustration of the cleavage products by DCL1 is shown on right. Red asterisks on the transcripts indicate ³²P-labelling positions. Red arrows show the expected productive cleavage sites while turquoise arrows indicate abortive processing sites.



а

Supplementary Figure 7. Bi-directional processing of pri-miRNAs extends beyond pri-miR166 family and beyond Arabidopsis. (a) An example of bi-directional processing of pri-miRNAs with large internal loops in Arabidopsis. (b) Secondary structures of pri-miRNAs with extended sequences in 5' and 3' arms predicted from Mfold program. Red and turquoise lines show locations of productive and abortive processing. (c) Evidence of bi-directional processing of primiRNAs with branched terminal loops in rice. For Panel (a and c) miRNA and miRNA* are marked in turquoise and red, respectively. Red arrows () and numbers show productive processing sites and counts of miRNA* reads. Blue arrows () and numbers show positions and counts of sRNAs resulting from apparent abortive processing events. Pink arrows (\blacktriangle) and numbers show positions and counts of sRNAs resulting from yet unappreciated processing events. Gold arrows (A) and numbers show 5' positions and counts of degradome reads, pooled from eight distinct libraries^{22,41-43}. The distance from abortive processing sites to internal / terminal loops or between processing sites is shown between two black lines. Note: reads of miRNAs are not shown because they are not unique for individual pri-miRNA paralogs. Minor forms of miRNA/*s that are progressively away from predominant miRNA/* loci are not shown and can be referred in Supplemental Tables 2 and 3.



Supplementary Figure 8. RNA blot analyses of pre-miR166 and miR166 in *N. bentha* transiently expressing *35S-MIR166c* mutants. RNA blot was probed using ³²P-labelled oligo probes complementary to the indicated miRNA or pre-miRNA. *U6* serves as a loading control. The black asterisks indicate pre-miRNAs.



Supplementary Figure 9. Original images of key blots and autoradiographs used in this study. (a) Refer to Fig. 1b. (b) Refer to Fig.1c. (c) Refer to fig. 1f. (d) Refer to Fig. 2b,d. (e) Refer to Fig.3b,c.

SUPPLEMENTARY TABLE LIST

Supplementary Table 1. Primers used in this study.

Supplementary Table 2. Reads of sRNAs generated from bi-directional processing of selected Arabidopsis pri-miRNAs.

Supplementary Table 3. Reads of sRNAs generated from bi-directional processing of selected primiRNAs in rice.